

# Dr. Ritesh Lad

# R Dental Clinic

# **Inspection Report**

460 Idle Road Bradford West Yorkshire BD2 2AR Tel: 01274 638061

Website: www.rdentalclinic.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 5 January 2016 Date of publication: 11/02/2016

# Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 5 January 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

# **Our findings were:**

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Background**

R Dental Clinic is situated in Bradford, West Yorkshire. It offers mainly NHS treatment to patients of all ages but also offers private dental treatments. The services include preventative advice and treatment and routine restorative dental care.

The practice has four surgeries, a decontamination room, one waiting area and a reception area. The reception area, waiting area and three surgeries are on the ground floor. The other surgery is on the first floor. There are accessible toilet facilities on the ground floor of the premises.

There are four dentists (including a foundation training dentist), two dental hygienists, four dental nurses (including a trainee), three receptionists and a practice manager.

The opening hours are Monday to Thursday from 8-30am to 5-00pm and Friday from 8-30am to 1-00pm.

The owner of the practice is the registered provider for the practice. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

During the inspection we spoke with three patients who used the service and reviewed 17 completed CQC comment cards. The patients were positive about the

# Summary of findings

care and treatment they received at the practice. Comments included that the staff were polite and welcoming, the surgery was clean and that treatment was well explained.

### Our key findings were:

- The practice had some systems in place to assess and manage risks to patients and staff including infection prevention, control and health and safety and the management of medical emergencies.
- Staff received training appropriate to their roles.
- Patients were involved in making decisions about their treatment and were given clear explanations about their proposed treatment including costs, benefits and risks.

- Oral health advice and treatment were provided in-line with the 'Delivering Better Oral Health' toolkit (DBOH).
- We observed that patients were treated with kindness and respect by staff. Staff ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way patients understood.
- Patients were able to make routine and emergency appointments when needed.
- The practice had a complaints system in place and there was an openness and transparency in how these were dealt with.

There were areas where the registered provider could make improvements and should:

• Aim to conduct more regular minuted staff meetings involving all staff.

# Summary of findings

# The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Staff told us they felt confident about reporting incidents, accidents and Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR).

We noted that the practice had not completed a risk assessment relating to the safe use of sharps in accordance with the Safe Sharps Act 2013. However, we saw that one had been completed whilst we were on site and appropriate equipment ordered.

Staff had received training in safeguarding at the appropriate level and knew the signs of abuse and who to report them to.

Staff were suitably qualified for their roles and the practice had undertaken the relevant recruitment checks to ensure patient safety.

Patients' medical histories were obtained before any treatment took place. The dentists were aware of any health or medication issues which could affect the planning of treatment. Staff were trained to deal with medical emergencies. All emergency equipment and medicines were in date and in accordance with the British National Formulary (BNF) and Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. We noted the emergency drug kit did not have buccal midazolam. A risk assessment had been completed as to why the registered provider had taken this decision.

The decontamination procedures were effective and the equipment involved in the decontamination process was regularly serviced, validated and checked to ensure it was safe to use.

### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients' dental care records provided comprehensive information about their current dental needs and past treatment. The practice monitored any changes to the patient's oral health and made referrals for specialist treatment or investigations where indicated.

The practice followed best practice guidelines when delivering dental care. These included Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and guidance from the British Society of Periodontology (BSP). The practice focused strongly on prevention and the dentists were aware of 'The Delivering Better Oral Health' toolkit (DBOH) with regards to fluoride application and oral hygiene advice.

The practice focussed strongly on prevention and they had created displays in the waiting room informing patients of the risks of a poor diet and smoking in relation to oral health.

Staff were encouraged to complete training relevant to their roles and this was monitored by the registered provider. The clinical staff were up to date with their continuing their professional development (CPD).

Referrals were made to secondary care services if the treatment required was not provided by the practice.

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

# Summary of findings

During the inspection we spoke with three patients who used the service and reviewed 17 completed CQC comment cards. Patients commented that staff were polite, welcoming and helpful. Patients also commented that they were involved in treatment options and everything was explained thoroughly.

We observed the staff to be welcoming and caring towards the patients.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients using the service on the day of the inspection.

Staff explained that enough time was allocated in order to ensure that the treatment and care was fully explained to patients in a way which they understood.

### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointments slots for urgent or emergency appointments each day.

Patients commented they could access treatment for urgent and emergency care when required. There were clear instructions for patients requiring urgent care when the practice was closed.

There was a procedure in place for responding to patients' complaints. This involved acknowledging, investigating and responding to individual complaints or concerns. Staff were familiar with the complaints procedure.

The practice was fully accessible for patients with a disability or limited mobility to access dental treatment.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There was a clearly defined management structure in place and all staff felt supported and appreciated in their own particular roles. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the practice.

The practice regularly audited clinical and non-clinical areas as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning.

They conducted patient satisfaction surveys, were currently undertaking the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT) and there was a comments box in the waiting room for patients to make suggestions to the practice.



# R Dental Clinic

**Detailed findings** 

# Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

We informed local NHS England area team and Healthwatch that we were inspecting the practice; however we did not receive any information of concern from them.

During the inspection we spoke with three patients who used the service and reviewed 17 completed CQC comment cards. We also spoke with three dentists, two dental nurses,

the practice manager and the registered provider. To assess the quality of care provided we looked at practice policies and protocols and other records relating to the management of the service.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

# **Our findings**

# Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had clear guidance for staff about how to report incidents and accidents. We reviewed the incidents which had occurred in the last year and these had been documented, investigated and reflected upon by the dental practice. We saw that as a result of a particular incident further staff training had been implemented. Any accidents or incidents would be reported to the registered provider. Any incidents would be discussed at staff meetings in order to disseminate learning.

The registered provider understood the Reporting of Injuries and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR) and provided guidance to staff within the practice's health and safety policy.

The registered provider received national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA) that affected the dental profession. These would then be discussed with staff and actioned if necessary.

# Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had child and vulnerable adult safeguarding policies and procedures in place. These provided staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. The policies were readily available to staff. Staff had access to contact details for both child protection and adult safeguarding teams. The practice manager was the safeguarding lead for the practice and all staff had undertaken level two safeguarding training. There had not been any referrals to the local safeguarding team; however staff were confident about when to do so. Staff told us they were confident about raising any concerns with the safeguarding lead or the local safeguarding team.

On the day of inspection we noted that the practice did not have a process for the safe use of sharps (needles). We discussed this with the registered provider and the practice manager and we saw that during the inspection a risk assessment was done and an appropriate safe needle re-sheathing device was ordered.

Rubber dam (this is a square sheet of latex used by dentists for effective isolation of the root canal and operating field

and airway) was used in root canal treatment in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society. Both latex and non-latex rubber dam sheets were available in the event a patient might be allergic to latex.

We saw that patients' clinical records were computerised, and password protected to keep people safe and protect them from abuse.

### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had procedures in place which provided staff with clear guidance about how to deal with medical emergencies. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). Staff were knowledgeable about what to do in a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support within the last 12 months.

The emergency resuscitation kits, oxygen and emergency medicines were stored in the upstairs staff room. Staff knew where the emergency kits were kept. The practice had an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) to support staff in a medical emergency. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart including ventricular fibrillation and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

Records showed daily checks were carried out on the AED, emergency medicines and the oxygen cylinder. These checks ensured that the oxygen cylinder was full, the AED was fully charged and the emergency medicines were in date. We saw that the oxygen cylinder was serviced on an annual basis.

# Staff recruitment

The practice had a policy and a set of procedures for the safe recruitment of staff which included seeking references, proof of identity, checking relevant qualifications and professional registration. We reviewed a sample of staff files and found the recruitment procedure had been followed. The practice manager told us they carried out Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks for all newly employed staff. These checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working

# Are services safe?

in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. We reviewed records of staff recruitment and these showed that all checks were in place.

All clinical staff at this practice were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC). There were copies of current registration certificates and personal indemnity insurance (insurance professionals are required to have in place to cover their working practice).

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

A health and safety policy and risk assessment was in place at the practice. This identified the risks to patients and staff who attended the practice. The risks had been identified and control measures put in place to reduce them. Where issues had been identified, remedial action had been taken in a timely manner.

There were policies and procedures in place to manage risks at the practice. These included infection prevention and control, fire evacuation procedures and risks associated with Hepatitis B.

The practice maintained a file relating to the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations, including substances such as disinfectants, and dental materials in use in the practice. The practice identified how they managed hazardous substances in its health and safety and infection control policies and in specific guidelines for staff, for example in its blood spillage and waste disposal procedures. The COSHH folder was reviewed every year by one of the dental nurses to check whether any new hazards had been identified for the substances included in the folder. However, these checks had not been documented. This was brought to the attention of the practice and we were told that these checks would now be documented.

#### Infection control

There was an infection control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. These included hand hygiene, safe handling of instruments, managing waste products and decontamination guidance. The practice followed the guidance about decontamination and infection control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 - Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'.

Staff received training in infection prevention and control. We saw evidence that staff were immunised against blood borne viruses (Hepatitis B) to ensure the safety of patients and staff.

We observed the treatment room and the decontamination room to be clean and hygienic. Work surfaces were free from clutter. Staff told us they cleaned the treatment areas and surfaces between each patient and at the end of the morning and afternoon sessions to help maintain infection control standards. There was a cleaning schedule which identified and monitored areas to be cleaned and staff signed a log book to confirm this had been done. There were hand washing facilities in the treatment room and staff had access to supplies of personal protective equipment (PPE) for patients and staff members. Patients confirmed that staff used PPE during treatment. Posters promoting good hand hygiene and the decontamination procedures were clearly displayed to support staff in following practice procedures. Sharps bins were appropriately located, signed and dated and not overfilled. We observed waste was separated into safe containers for disposal by a registered waste carrier and appropriate documentation retained.

Decontamination procedures were carried out in a dedicated decontamination room in accordance with HTM 01-05 guidance. An instrument transportation system had been implemented to ensure the safe movement of instruments between treatment rooms and the decontamination room which minimised the risk of the spread of infection.

One of the dental nurses showed us the procedures involved in disinfecting, inspecting and sterilising dirty instruments; packaging and storing clean instruments. The practice routinely used an ultrasonic bath to clean the used instruments, examined them visually with an illuminated magnifying glass, and then sterilised them in a validated autoclave. The decontamination room had clearly defined dirty and clean zones in operation to reduce the risk of cross contamination. Staff wore appropriate PPE during the process and these included disposable gloves, aprons and protective eye wear.

The practice had systems in place for daily and weekly quality testing the decontamination equipment and we saw records which confirmed these had taken place. There were sufficient instruments available to ensure the services provided to patients were uninterrupted.

# Are services safe?

The practice had carried out an Infection Prevention Society (IPS) self- assessment audit in September 2015 relating to the Department of Health's guidance on decontamination in dental services (HTM01-05). This is designed to assist all registered primary dental care services to meet satisfactory levels of decontamination of equipment. The audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Records showed a risk assessment process for Legionella had been carried out (Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings). The practice undertook processes to reduce the likelihood of legionella developing which included running the water lines in the treatment rooms at the beginning and end of each session and between patients, monitoring cold and hot water temperatures each month and also quarterly tests on the on the water quality to ensure that Legionella was not developing.

# **Equipment and medicines**

The practice had maintenance contracts for essential equipment such as X-ray sets, the autoclave and the compressor. The practice maintained a comprehensive list of all equipment including dates when maintenance contracts which required renewal. We saw evidence of validation of the autoclave and the compressor. Portable appliance testing (PAT) had been completed in February 2015 (PAT confirms that portable electrical appliances are routinely checked for safety).

Prescriptions were stamped only at the point of issue to maintain their safe use. The practice kept a log of all prescriptions given to patients to keep a track of their safe use. Prescription pads were kept locked away when not needed to ensure they were secure.

The practice also dispensed a limited number of antibiotics for private patients. These were kept locked away and a log of which antibiotics was kept.

# Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had a radiation protection file and a record of all X-ray equipment including service and maintenance history. Records we viewed demonstrated that the X-ray equipment was regularly tested, serviced and repairs undertaken when necessary. A Radiation Protection Advisor (RPA) and a Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS) had been appointed to ensure that the equipment was operated safely and by qualified staff only. We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. Local rules were available in all surgeries and within the radiation protection folder for staff to reference if needed. We saw that a justification, grade and a report was documented in the dental care records for all X-rays which had been taken.

X-ray audits were carried out every year. This included assessing the quality of the X-rays which had been taken. The results of the most recent audit undertaken confirmed they were compliant with the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000 (IRMER).

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

# **Our findings**

# Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept up to date detailed electronic and paper dental care records. They contained information about the patient's current dental needs and past treatment. The dentists carried out an assessment in line with recognised guidance from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP). This was repeated at each examination in order to monitor any changes in the patient's oral health. The dentist used NICE guidance to determine a suitable recall interval for the patients. This takes into account the likelihood of the patient experiencing dental disease. This was documented and also discussed with the patient.

During the course of our inspection we discussed patient care with the dentists and checked dental care records to confirm the findings. Clinical records were comprehensive and included details of the condition of the teeth, soft tissue lining the mouth, gums and any signs of mouth cancer. If the patient had more advanced gum disease then a more detailed inspection of the gums was undertaken. During the inspection we noted that all of the dentists used dental loupes during examinations and whilst providing treatment. Dental loupes provide a dentist with a degree magnification which aids visual acuity and aids correct diagnosis and treatment of dental conditions.

Records showed patients were made aware of the condition of their oral health and whether it had changed since the last appointment. Medical history checks were updated by each patient every time they attended for treatment and entered in to their electronic dental care record. This included an update on their health conditions, current medicines being taken and whether they had any allergies.

The practice used current guidelines and research in order to continually develop and improve their system of clinical risk management. For example, following clinical assessment, the dentists followed the guidance from the FGDP before taking X-rays to ensure they were required and necessary. Justification for the taking of an X-ray, quality assurance of each x-ray and a detailed report was recorded in the patient's care record.

### **Health promotion & prevention**

The practice had a strong focus on preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the 'Delivering Better Oral Health' toolkit (DBOH). DBOH is an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting. For example, the dentist applied fluoride varnish to all children who attended for an examination. The practice conducted an audit of fluoride varnish application to patients' teeth to ensure that all high risk patients received it. High fluoride toothpastes were prescribed for patients at high risk of dental decay.

The practice had received the 'Health Promoting Dental Practice Award' (HPDPA) from the local area team. This award scheme works with dental teams to identify the knowledge, skills and support necessary for dental practices to work with their patients to improve their oral health. The scheme involves the local area team providing the practice with training on DBOH, smoking cessation and alcohol support programmes.

The practice had a display in the waiting room with informing patients of the amount of sugar in different types of soft drinks and the adverse effects of long term dummy use in children. There was also a display highlighting the risks that smoking and alcohol have with regards to oral cancer developing. There was a television in the waiting room which had animations describing effective oral hygiene techniques including brushing and interdental cleaning.

The practice had a selection of dental products on sale in the reception area to assist patients with their oral health.

The medical history form patients completed included questions about smoking and alcohol consumption. We were told by the dentist and saw in dental care records that smoking cessation advice was given to patients who smoked. There were health promotion leaflets available in the waiting room and surgery to support patients.

### **Staffing**

New staff to the practice had a period of induction to familiarise themselves with the way the practice ran. The induction process included getting the new member of staff aware of the practice's policies, the location of emergency medicines, arrangements for fire evacuation procedures, record keeping and the decontamination procedures. We saw evidence of completed induction

# Are services effective?

# (for example, treatment is effective)

checklists in the personnel files. As part of the induction process new recruits had regular meetings with the practice manager to ensure they were happy and to resolve any issues.

Staff told us they had good access to on-going training to support their skill level and they were encouraged to maintain the continuous professional development (CPD) required for registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). The practice organised in house training for medical emergencies to help staff keep up to date with current guidance on treatment of medical emergencies in the dental environment. Records showed professional registration with the GDC was up to date for all staff and we saw evidence of on-going CPD.

Staff told us they had annual appraisals and training requirements were discussed at these. We saw evidence of completed appraisal documents. Staff also felt they could approach the registered provider or practice manager at any time to discuss continuing training and development as the need arose.

### Working with other services

The practice worked with other professionals in the care of their patients where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations or specialist treatment including orthodontics and sedation. The practice completed detailed proformas or referral letters to ensure the specialist service had all the relevant information required. A copy of the referral letter was kept

in the patient's dental care records. Letters received back relating to the referral were first seen by the referring dentist to see if any action was required and then stored in the patient's dental care records.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

Patients were given appropriate verbal and written information to support them to make decisions about the treatment they received. Staff were knowledgeable about how to ensure patients had sufficient information and the mental capacity to give informed consent. Staff described how valid consent was obtained for all care and treatment and the role family members and carers might have in supporting the patient to understand and make decisions. Staff were clear about involving children in decision making and ensuring their wishes were respected regarding treatment.

Staff had an understanding of the principles of the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005 and how it was relevant to ensuring patients had the capacity to consent to their dental treatment.

Staff ensured patients gave their consent before treatment began and this was signed by the patient. We were told that individual treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed with each patient. The practice used a clinipad on the reception desk for patients to sign consent forms. This helped the practice keep the amount of paper records to an absolute minimum. Patients were given time to consider and make informed decisions about which option they preferred.

# Are services caring?

# **Our findings**

# Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Feedback from patients was positive and they commented that they were treated with care, respect and dignity. They said staff supported them and were quick to respond to any distress or discomfort during treatment. Staff told us that they always interacted with patients in a respectful, appropriate and kind manner. We observed staff to be friendly and respectful towards patients during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients who used the service on the day of inspection. Dental care records were not visible to the public on the reception desk. We observed staff were helpful, discreet and respectful to patients. Staff said that if a patient wished to speak in private, an empty room would be found to speak with them

Patients' electronic care records were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices. Patients commented they felt involved in their treatment and it was fully explained to them. Staff described to us how they involved patients' relatives or carers when required and ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way patients understood. One of the dentists showed us models of crowns and dentures which they used to describe treatment to patients. They felt that this enabled patients to more fully understand the proposed treatment. The practice had recently purchased two intraoral cameras the use of which was demonstrated. Their use significantly aided patients in understanding what dental problems they had and what treatments were available.

Patients were also informed of the range of treatments available (including the practice's membership plan) in the practice information leaflet, on notices in the waiting area and on the television in the waiting room.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

# **Our findings**

# Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment would be seen the same day. We saw evidence in the appointment book that there were dedicated emergency slots available each day for each dentist. If the emergency slots had already been taken for the day then the patient was offered to sit and wait for an appointment if they wished.

Patients commented they had sufficient time during their appointment and they were not rushed. We observed the clinics ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had equality and diversity, and disability policies to support staff in understanding and meeting the needs of patients. Reasonable adjustments had been made to the premises to accommodate patients with mobility difficulties. A DDA audit had been completed as required by the Disability Act 2005 and recommendations of the audit report implemented. These included a ramp to access the premises, an automatic door opener and a ground floor accessible toilet. The ground floor surgeries were large enough to accommodate a wheelchair or a pram. The registered provider had installed dental chairs which were easier for patients who had mobility difficulties to sit on.

#### Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and on the practice website. The opening hours are Monday to Thursday from 8-30am to 5-00pm and Friday from 8-30am to 1-00pm.

Patients told us that they were rarely kept waiting for their appointment. Patients could access care and treatment in a timely way and the appointment system met their needs. Where treatment was urgent patients would be seen the same day. The practice had a system in place for patients requiring urgent dental care when the practice was closed. Patients were signposted to the 111 service on the telephone answering machine. Information about the out of hours emergency dental service was also displayed in the waiting area and in the practice's information leaflet.

# **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaints policy which provided staff with clear guidance about how to handle a complaint. There were details of how patients could make a complaint displayed in the waiting room and in the practice's information leaflet. The practice manager was in charge of dealing with complaints when they arose. Staff told us they raised any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure responses were made in a timely manner. Staff told us that they aimed to resolve complaints in-house initially. If the patient was not satisfied with the result then they were given a copy of the practice's code of practice which included details of other organisations to contact to deal with the complaint. We reviewed the complaints which had been received in the past 12 months and found that they had been dealt with in line with the practices policy.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients. We found there was an effective system in place which helped ensure a timely response. This included acknowledging the complaint within seven working days and providing a formal response within six months. If the practice was unable to provide a response within six months then the patient would be made aware of this.

# Are services well-led?

# **Our findings**

### **Governance arrangements**

The registered provider and practice manager were in charge of the day to day running of the service. There was a range of policies and procedures in use at the practice. We saw they had systems in place to monitor the quality of the service and to make improvements. The practice had governance arrangements in place to ensure risks were identified, understood and managed appropriately.

The practice had an approach for identifying where quality or safety was being affected and addressing any issues. Health and safety and risk management policies were in place and we saw a risk management process to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments relating to fire safety, the use of equipment and infection control.

There was an effective management structure in place to ensure that responsibilities of staff were clear. Staff told us that they felt supported and were clear about their roles and responsibilities.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

The culture of the practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty to promote the delivery of high quality care and to challenge poor practice. This was evident when we looked at the complaints they had received in the last 12 months.

Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they were encouraged and confident to raise any issues at any time. These were discussed openly at staff meetings where relevant and it was evident that the practice worked as a team and dealt with any issue in a professional manner.

The practice had two staff meetings a year including all staff members. There were also separate meetings for dentists, dental nurses and receptionists. These meetings were minuted for those who were unable to attend. If there was more urgent information to discuss with staff then an informal staff meeting would be organised to discuss the matter. We discussed the frequency of staff meeting with the practice manager and registered provider and it was decided that more frequent staff meetings involving the whole team would be a good idea.

All staff were aware of whom to raise any issue with and told us that the practice manager was approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. We were told that there was a no blame culture at the practice and that the delivery of high quality care was part of the practice's ethos.

### **Learning and improvement**

Quality assurance processes were used at the practice to encourage continuous improvement. The practice audited areas of their practice as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. This included clinical audits such as dental care records, X-rays and infection control. We looked at the audits and saw that the practice was performing well. However, where improvements could be made these were identified and followed up by a repeat audit.

Staff told us they had access to training and this was monitored to ensure essential training was completed each year; this included medical emergencies and basic life support. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuous professional development as required by the General Dental Council.

All staff had annual appraisals at which learning needs, general wellbeing and aspirations were discussed. We saw evidence of completed appraisal forms in the staff folders.

# Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had systems in place to involve, seek and act upon feedback from people using the service including carrying out annual patient satisfaction surveys and a comment box in the waiting room. The satisfaction survey included questions about the patients' overall satisfaction, the cleanliness of the premises, the value for money and the quality of the dental work provided. The most recent patient survey showed a high level of satisfaction with the quality of the service provided. We were told that as a result of feedback from patients that more magazines had been purchased for the waiting room.

The practice also undertook the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). The FFT is a feedback tool that supports the fundamental principle that people who use NHS services

# Are services well-led?

should have the opportunity to provide feedback on their experience. The latest results showed that 100% of patients asked said that they would recommend the practice to friends and family.