

Dr. Sanjeev Kapadia

# Dental Care Centre

## Inspection Report

200 Fortis Green Road  
London  
N10 3DU

Tel: 020 8444 3884

Website: [www.muswellhilldental.co.uk](http://www.muswellhilldental.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 16 March 2017

Date of publication: 18/04/2017

## Overall summary

### Background

Dental Care Centre is located in the London Borough of Haringey. The practice provides NHS and private dental treatment to both adults and children. The premises are on the ground floor and consist of three treatment rooms, an X-ray room and a reception area. The practice is open on Monday - Friday 08:30am – 5:30pm and Saturday 08:30am – 3:30pm.

The staff consists of the principal dentist, five associate dentists, three dental hygienists one of whom is a dental therapist, five dental nurses, a trainee dental nurse, a practice manager and a receptionist.

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as an individual 'registered person'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

We reviewed 24 CQC comment cards and the NHS Friends and Family test. Patients were positive about the service. They were complimentary about the friendly and caring attitude of the staff.

The inspection took place over one day and was carried out by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor.

### Our key findings were:

- There were appropriate equipment and access to emergency drugs to enable the practice to respond to medical emergencies. Staff knew where equipment was stored.
- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned in line with current guidance such as from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).
- We found the dentists regularly assessed each patient's gum health and took X-rays at appropriate intervals.
- Patients were involved in their care and treatment planning so they could make informed decisions.
- There were effective processes in place to reduce and minimise the risk and spread of infection.
- The practice had effective safeguarding processes in place and staff understood their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and child protection.
- Equipment, such as the autoclave (steriliser), fire extinguishers, and X-ray equipment had all been checked for effectiveness and had been regularly serviced.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- The practice had implemented clear procedures for managing comments, concerns or complaints.
- Patients indicated that they found the team to be efficient, professional, caring and reassuring.
- Patients had good access to appointments, including emergency appointments, which were available on the same day.

# Summary of findings

- Leadership structures were clear and there were processes in place for dissemination of information and feedback to staff.

## **There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:**

- Review its responsibilities as regards to the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations 2002 and, ensure all documentation is up to date and staff understand how to minimise risks associated with the use of and handling of these substances.
- Review the practice's arrangements for receiving and responding to patient safety alerts, recalls and rapid response reports issued from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) and through the Central Alerting System (CAS), as well as from other relevant bodies such as, Public Health England (PHE).
- Review the storage of records related to people employed and the management of regulated activities giving due regard to current legislation and guidance.
- Review availability of medicines to manage medical emergencies giving due regard to guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK), and the General Dental Council (GDC) standards for the dental team.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members. There were policies and procedures in place for the management of infection control, clinical waste segregation and disposal, management of medical emergencies and dental radiography.

We found the equipment used in the practice was maintained and in line with current guidelines. Dental instruments were decontaminated suitably. Medicines and equipment were available in the event of an emergency and stored safely; however improvements were required to ensure equipment as per national guidelines was available at all times. X-rays were taken in accordance with relevant regulations. The practice had a whistleblowing policy and staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged honesty.

No action



### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice provided evidence-based care in accordance with relevant, published guidance, for example, from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Department of Health (DH) and the General Dental Council (GDC).

The practice monitored patients' oral health and gave appropriate health promotion advice. Staff had completed continuing professional development to maintain their registration in line with requirements of the General Dental Council. Staff explained treatment options to patients to ensure they could make informed decisions about any treatment. The practice followed up on the outcomes of specialist referrals made within the practice. We saw examples of effective collaborative team working.

No action



### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We reviewed 24 CQC comment cards and the NHS Friends and Family test. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice. Patients commented they felt fully involved in making decisions about their treatment, they were listened to, were made comfortable and reassured. Patients told us they were treated in a professional manner and staff were very helpful.

We noted that patients were treated with respect and dignity during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone. We observed that patient confidentiality was maintained.

No action



# Summary of findings

## Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There were systems in place for patients to make a complaint about the service if required. The practice reviewed patients' comments and acted on them where necessary. Patients' comments from the NHS Friends and Family test were reviewed on a regular basis. Information about how to make a complaint was readily available to patients. Patients had access to information about the service.

The practice provided friendly and personalised dental care. Patients had good access to appointments, including emergency appointments, which were available on the same day. In the event of a dental emergency outside of normal opening hours details of the '111' out of hours service and the practice emergency mobile number were available for patients' reference.

No action



## Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged candour. Staff said that they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the principal dentist. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did so. Staff commented that the principal dentist was open to feedback regarding the quality of the care. Leadership structures were clear and there were processes in place for dissemination of information and feedback to staff.

The practice had suitable clinical governance and risk management structures in place. Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt part of a team. Opportunities existed for staff for their professional development. Staff we spoke with were confident in their work and felt well-supported.

No action



# Dental Care Centre

## Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

We carried out an announced, comprehensive inspection on 16 March 2017. The inspection was carried out by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor. Prior to the inspection we reviewed information submitted by the provider.

During our inspection visit, we reviewed policy documents and staff records.

We spoke with the practice manager and dental nurse. We conducted a tour of the practice and looked at the storage arrangements for emergency medicines and equipment. We reviewed the practice's decontamination procedures of dental instruments and also observed staff interacting with patients in the waiting area.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.



# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had an incidents and accident reporting procedure. The policy described the process for managing and investigating incidents. All staff we spoke with were aware of reporting procedures including recording them in the accident book. There were no reported incidents within the last 12 months.

The practice had a policy on the Duty of Candour and staff were aware of their responsibilities. [Duty of candour is a requirement under The Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014 on a registered person who must act in an open and transparent way with relevant persons in relation to care and treatment provided to service users in carrying on a regulated activity].

The practice had a procedure in place for Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). All staff we spoke with understood the requirements of RIDDOR. The practice had carried out a risk assessment around the safe use, handling and Control of Substances Hazardous to Health, 2002 Regulations (COSHH). However, we observed the COSHH folder required updating. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation the COSHH folder had been updated.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies and procedures in place for safeguarding adults and child protection. The policy contained details of the local authority safeguarding teams, whom to contact in the event of any concerns and the teams' contact details. The practice manager was the safeguarding lead. All members of staff we spoke with were able to give us examples of the type of incidents and concerns that would be reported and outlined the protocol that would be followed in the practice. There were no reported safeguarding incidents in the last 12 months.

We saw evidence that all staff had completed child protection training to an appropriate level.

We did not see evidence of up-to-date training in safeguarding adults for three clinical members of staff. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation of training in safeguarding adults.

The practice had a health and safety policy and had undertaken a range of risk assessments in May 2016. Policies and protocols were implemented with a view to keeping staff and patients safe. For example, we saw records of risk assessment for fire, sharps injuries, eye injuries, manual handling, electrical faults and slips, trips and falls.

Staff told us that a rubber dam was routinely used for root canal treatment in line with guidelines issued by the British Endodontic Society (A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reasons should be recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured).

### Medical emergencies

The practice had suitable emergency resuscitation equipment in accordance with guidance issued by the Resuscitation Council UK. Oxygen and manual breathing aids were available in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED). (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm). Well-fitting child and adult size oxygen mask and a portable suction were not available at the practice on the day of our inspection. We observed that the oxygen had expired in January 2017 and sterile needles and syringes in September 2015. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation these items had been ordered.

All emergency other drugs and equipment were within the expiry date ensuring they were fit for use. Improvements could be made to ensure that regular checks had been carried out to the emergency medicines to ensure they were not past their expiry and in working order in the event of needing to use them.

All staff were aware of where medical equipment was kept and knew how to respond if a person suddenly became unwell. Staff told us they were confident in managing a medical emergency.



## Are services safe?

We saw evidence that most staff completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support. We did not see evidence of up-to-date training for one clinical member of staff. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation of training in medical emergencies.

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a recruitment policy. We reviewed the recruitment records for staff members. The records contained some of the evidence required to satisfy the requirements of relevant legislation including immunisation and evidence of professional registration with the General Dental Council (where required). There were records which showed that identity checks and eligibility to work in the United Kingdom, where required, were carried out for all members of staff. The practice carried out Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks for some members of staff. [The Disclosure and Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable]. We did not see evidence of DBS checks for three clinical members of staff and up-to-date immunisation records for six members of staff. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation of DBS checks and immunisation.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

There were arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies and the practice had a fire safety policy in place. The practice had undertaken a fire risk assessment in February 2016. Fire safety signs were clearly displayed, and staff were aware of how to respond in the event of a fire. We saw records of a fire evacuation plan and fire drills had been carried out.

The practice had undertaken a risk assessment of the business and there was a business continuity plan in place. The business continuity plan detailed the practice procedures for unexpected incidents and emergencies including a faulty equipment, electricity or failure of the computer system. It included the name and contact details for another dental practice where patients could be referred for treatment if necessary.

Staff told us that the practice received the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) alerts and

alerts from other agencies. Staff told us alerts were received and reviewed and disseminated by them to the staff, where appropriate. However, we did not see records of this.

### Infection control

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. There was an infection control policy and included minimising the risk of blood-borne virus transmission and the possibility of sharps injuries, decontamination of dental instruments and hand hygiene. The practice had followed the guidance on decontamination and infection control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 - Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'. This document and the practice policy and procedures on infection prevention and control were accessible to staff.

We examined the facilities for cleaning and decontaminating dental instruments. The practice did not have a dedicated decontamination room. Staff confirmed the cleaning and decontamination of used dental instruments was not undertaken when a patient was present in the treatment room. A dental nurse showed us how instruments were decontaminated. They wore appropriate personal protective equipment including heavy duty gloves while instruments were decontaminated. Instruments were cleaned prior to being placed in an autoclave (sterilising machine).

We observed that the two sterilisers were currently being used and stored in the passageway. The passage way was used by both patients and staff to access the treatment and X-ray room and the toilet. We discussed this with the practice manager who showed us plan for the implementation of the decontamination room. Following our inspection the principal dentist sent us confirmation that work on the decontamination room was due to start in the near future. The principal dentist confirmed the sterilisers had been moved from the passageway to a designated area.

We saw instruments were placed in pouches after sterilisation. We found daily and weekly tests were performed to check that the steriliser was working



## Are services safe?

efficiently and a log was kept of the results. We saw evidence that the parameters (temperature and pressure) were regularly checked to ensure equipment was working efficiently in between service checks.

We observed how waste items were disposed of and stored. The practice had an on-going contract with a clinical waste contractor. We saw the differing types of waste were appropriately segregated and stored at the practice. This included clinical waste and safe disposal of sharps. Staff confirmed to us their knowledge and understanding of single use items and how they should be used and disposed of which was in line with guidance.

The treatment rooms where patients were examined and treated and equipment appeared visibly clean. Hand washing posters were displayed next to each dedicated hand wash sink to ensure effective decontamination of hands. Patients were given a protective bib and safety glasses to wear when they were receiving treatment. There were good supplies of protective equipment for patients and staff members.

The practice had undertaken a Legionella risk assessment in May 2016 and there was a recommended action plan in place. (Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings). We noted the practice had a score of 60% and was rated as a high risk. We did not see records which showed that all recommended actions from the risk assessment had been actioned. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation that all outstanding actions had been addressed.

### Equipment and medicines

There were service contracts in place for the maintenance of equipment such as the autoclave which was serviced in April 2016. A pressure vessel check had been carried out in June 2016. The practice had portable appliances and had carried out portable appliance tests (PAT) in January 2016. The fire extinguishers had been checked in January 2017. Improvements could be made to ensure that equipment was serviced within the recommended timescales.

The practice had a policy on prescribing which detailed how medicines should be prescribed, dispensed and stored. Staff showed us medicines were stored securely in a locked cabinet. We saw records which showed that when medicines were dispensed the appropriate information had been recorded. This included the batch number, expiry date and quantity of medicines.

### Radiography (X-rays)

We checked the provider's radiation protection records as X-rays were taken and developed at the practice. We also looked at X-ray equipment and talked with staff about its use. We found there were arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment including the local rules. The radiation protection file contained the maintenance history of X-ray equipment along with the critical examination and acceptance test reports. We saw records which showed that the X-ray equipment was serviced in December 2016.

We found procedures and equipment had been assessed by an independent expert within the recommended timescales. The practice had a radiation protection adviser and had appointed a radiation protection supervisor.



# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

Patients' needs were assessed and care and treatment was delivered in line with current guidance. This included following the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP). We saw records which showed the dentists gave preventive advice in line with current guidance. The dentists told us they regularly assessed each patient's gum health and took X-rays at appropriate intervals.

During the course of our inspection we checked dental care records to confirm our findings. We saw evidence of assessments to establish individual patient needs. The assessments included completing a medical history, outlining medical conditions and allergies and a social history. An assessment of the periodontal tissue was taken and recorded using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) tool. [The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums].

The dentists also recorded when oral health advice was given. We saw records which showed that one of the dentists had developed a practice protocol for preventive treatment based on the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

### Health promotion & prevention

Appropriate information was given to patients for health promotion. Staff showed us the practice information relating to health promotion such as smoking cessation, gum disease, caring for children's teeth and pregnancy and dental health.

Staff we spoke with told us patients were given advice appropriate to their individual needs such as dietary advice and smoking cessation. Dental care records we checked confirmed this; for example we saw that the dentists had discussions with patients about gum disease and smoking.

### Staffing

There was a comprehensive induction and training programme for staff to follow which ensured they were skilled and competent in delivering safe and effective care and support to patients. All new staff were required to

complete the induction programme which included training on health and safety, infection control, disposal of clinical waste, medical emergencies, COSHH and confidentiality.

We reviewed the training records for all members of staff. We noted that opportunities existed for staff to pursue continuing professional development (CPD). There was evidence to show that staff members were up to date with CPD and registration requirements issued by the General Dental Council (GDC). Staff had completed training in areas such as health and safety, equality and diversity, information governance, record keeping and legal and ethical issues.

The practice had a policy and procedure for staff appraisals to identify training and development needs. Staff showed us the practice training policy. We saw records which showed that the practice had started the appraisal process. We discussed this with staff who provided assurances that the appraisals would be completed in April 2017.

### Working with other services

The practice had a referral policy and appropriate arrangements were in place for working with other health professionals to ensure quality of care for their patients. The practice provided specialist services in orthodontics and the treatment of periodontal disease. Referrals were made to other dental specialists when required. The dentists referred patients to other practices or specialists if the treatment required was not provided by the practice.

Staff told us where a referral was necessary, the care and treatment required was explained to the patient and they were given a choice of other dentists who were experienced in undertaking the type of treatment required.

### Consent to care and treatment

The practice ensured valid consent was obtained for care and treatment. Staff showed us the practice consent policy which detailed the procedures to follow in order to gain valid consent. Staff confirmed individual treatment options, risks and benefits and costs were discussed with each patient who then received a detailed treatment plan and estimate of costs.

Patients would be given time to consider the information given before making a decision. The practice asked patients to sign treatment plans and a copy was kept in the

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

patient's dental care records. We checked dental care records which showed treatment plans signed by the patient. The practice also had an information leaflet detailing NHS and private dental charges.

Dental implants were provided at the practice. Staff showed us the information given to patients prior to implant treatment. The practice website had information on dental implants. The practice had a consent form for implant treatment and copies were retained in the patient's dental care records.

The dental care records we checked showed that options, risks and benefits of the treatment were discussed with

patients. We saw that the dentists recorded consent was obtained prior to treatment. The practice also had consent forms on extractions, treating gum disease and root canal treatment.

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves. The practice had a policy on the MCA and some staff had received formal training. All staff we spoke with demonstrated an understanding of the principles of the MCA and how this applied in considering whether or not patients had the capacity to consent to dental treatment. This included assessing a patient's capacity to consent and when making decisions in a patient's best interests.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy**

We saw records which showed that the practice sought patients' views through the NHS Friends and Family test. We reviewed 24 CQC comment cards completed by patients in the two weeks prior to our inspection. Patients were complimentary of the care, treatment and professionalism of the staff and gave a positive view of the service. Patients commented that the team were courteous, friendly and kind. Patients commented that they were listened to and treated with dignity and respect. During the inspection we observed staff in the reception area. They were polite, courteous, welcoming and friendly towards patients.

The practice had a policy on confidentiality which detailed how a patient's information would be used and stored. Staff explained how they ensured information about patients using the service was kept confidential. Patients' dental care records were computerised as well as paper based. The computers were password protected, stored securely and regularly backed up. Staff told us patients were able to have confidential discussions about their care and treatment in the treatment room.

Staff told us that consultations were in private and that staff never interrupted consultations unnecessarily. We observed that the door at treatment room two was not

always kept closed so that the conversations could not be overheard whilst patients were being treated. We discussed this with staff who confirmed the treatment room doors would be kept closed to maintain confidentiality.

Comment cards completed by patients reflected that the dentists and staff had been very mindful of the patients' anxieties when providing care and treatment. Patients indicated the practice team had been very respectful and responsive to their anxiety which meant they were no longer afraid of attending for dental care and treatment.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

The dentist told us they used a number of different methods including tooth models, display charts, pictures, leaflets and X-rays to demonstrate what different treatment options involved so that patients fully understood. The practice had information leaflets on treatments such as bridges, crowns and root canal treatment. The practice website also had information on root canal treatment, fillings and implants. A treatment plan was developed following discussion of the options, risk and benefits of the proposed treatment.

Staff told us the dentist took time to explain care and treatment to individual patients clearly and were always happy to answer any questions. Patients told us that treatment was discussed with them in a way that they could understand.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We viewed the appointment book and saw that there was enough time scheduled to assess and undertake patients' care and treatment. Staff told us they did not feel under pressure to complete procedures and always had enough time available to prepare for each patient.

There were effective systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment. These included checks for laboratory work such as crowns and dentures which ensured delays in treatment were avoided.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had an equality and diversity policy. The demographics of the practice were mixed and we asked staff to explain how they communicated with people who had different communication needs such as those who spoke another language. Staff told us they treated everybody equally and welcomed patients from different backgrounds, cultures and religions.

The practice had undertaken a disability risk assessment and recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its service. The treatment rooms were located on the ground floor of the premises. The practice was accessible to people using wheelchairs, or those with limited mobility including a disabled toilet.

### Access to the service

We asked staff how patients were able to access care in an emergency. They told us that if patients called the practice in an emergency they were seen on the same day. Emergency appointments were available in the morning and afternoon for patients who required urgent treatment.

In the event of a dental emergency outside of normal opening hours details of the '111' out of hours service and the practice emergency number were available for patients' reference. These contact details were given on the practice answer machine message when the practice was closed.

The practice information leaflet and website included details of how to make an appointment and out of hours arrangements. Feedback received from patients indicated that they were happy with the access arrangements. Patients said that it was easy to make an appointment.

### Concerns & complaints

The practice had a code of practice for patient complaints which described how formal and informal complaints were handled. Information about how to make a complaint was displayed in the reception area including the contact details of other agencies to contact if a patient was not satisfied with the outcome of the practice investigation into their complaint.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients and found there was an effective system in place which ensured a timely response. The practice had not received any complaints in the last 12 months.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### Governance arrangements

The practice had good governance arrangements with an effective management structure. There were relevant policies and procedures in place. These were frequently reviewed and updated. Staff were aware of the policies and procedures and acted in line with them.

The practice had implemented suitable arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks through the use of scheduled risk assessments and audits. The practice had undertaken a risk assessment following the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013.

The principal dentist organised staff meetings to discuss key governance issues and staff training sessions. We saw records of regular staff meetings in the last 12 months documenting discussions on X-rays, safeguarding and the Mental Capacity Act.

The practice manager had responsibility for the day to day running of the practice and was fully supported by the practice team. There were clear lines of responsibility and accountability; staff knew who to report to if they had any issues or concerns.

Dental care records we checked were complete, legible and accurate and stored securely. The practice had computerised and paper based dental care records. All computers were password protected and records were stored appropriately.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were very proud to work in the service and spoke respectfully about the leadership and support they

received from the principal dentist as well as other colleagues. Staff we spoke with were confident in approaching the principal dentist if they had concerns and displayed appreciation for the leadership.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy and staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged honesty. We found staff to be hard working, caring, a cohesive team and were supported in carrying out their roles.

### Learning and improvement

Staff showed us audits that the practice had completed on antimicrobial prescribing in June 2016 and X-rays in October 2016. We saw records which showed that the audits had documented learning points, were analysed and the resulting improvements could be demonstrated. The practice did not have an infection control audit. When asked staff told us an infection control audit had not been completed. Following our inspection the practice sent us confirmation of an infection control audit.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had a procedure for monitoring the quality of the service provided to patients. We saw records that showed that the practice collected patient's response through the NHS Friends and Family test and the practice patient satisfaction survey.

Staff commented that the principal dentist was open to feedback regarding the quality of the care. The appraisal system and staff meetings also provided appropriate forums for staff to give their feedback.