

# Mughal and Bhuva Citident Dental Centre Inspection Report

12-15 Yearlstone Square Ashland Milton Keynes Buckinghamshire MK6 4AT Tel:01908606666 Website: www.miltonkeynesdentist.com

Date of inspection visit: 15 November 2017 Date of publication: 14/12/2017

### **Overall summary**

We carried out this announced inspection on 15 November 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

#### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Background

Citident Dental Centre is in the Ashland area of Milton Keynes and provides NHS and private treatment to patients of all ages.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including one for patients with disabled badges, are available outside the practice.

The dental team includes six dentists, five dental nurses, three dental hygienists, a practice manager and two receptionists. The practice has five treatment rooms and is in the process of adding a sixth.

# Summary of findings

The practice is owned by a partnership and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at Citident Dental Centre is one of the principal dentists.

On the day of inspection we collected 31 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. This information gave us a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with three dentists, three dental nurses, two receptionists and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday from 9am to 5.30pm on Friday from 9am to 5pm

### Our key findings were:

- The practice was clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.

- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had mostly thorough staff recruitment procedures. References were not always sought or recorded as part of the recruitment process.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership. Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's recruitment procedures to ensure that appropriate background checks are completed prior to new staff commencing employment.
- Review the practice's complaint handling procedures and establish an accessible system for identifying, receiving, recording, handling and responding to complaints by patients.

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve although the learning was delivered informally across the practice team.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed most essential recruitment checks. They did not always acquire references for potential employees.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

| guidance for cleaning, stemising and storing dental instruments.   |           |   |
|--|-----------|---|
| The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.   |           |   |
| <b>Are services effective?</b><br>We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.  | No action | ~ |
| The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as informative, friendly and excellent. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.  |           |   |
| The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.  |           |   |
| The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.   |           |   |
| <b>Are services caring?</b><br>We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.  | No action | ~ |
| We received feedback about the practice from 31 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were welcoming, professional and polite. They said that they were given helpful information, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist. |           |   |
| We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of  |           |   |

confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

# Summary of findings

| <b>Are services responsive to people's needs?</b><br>We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.  | No action | ~ |
|--|-----------|---|
| The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.   |           |   |
| Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children. The practice had access to interpreter services and had some arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.                                  |           |   |
| The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.   |           |   |
| <b>Are services well-led?</b><br>We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.  | No action | ~ |
| The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated. |           |   |
| The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.  |           |   |
| The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.  |           |   |

## Are services safe?

### Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate, respond and learn from accidents, incidents and significant events. There was scope to improve the detail recorded for accidents and incidents and to formalise the discussions of incidents to underline the learning.

The practice received national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA). Relevant alerts were discussed with staff, acted on and stored for future reference.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. The practice took steps to mitigate the risk involved in using needles and other sharp dental items. They had not adopted a system of 'safer sharps' but they had considered their use in a risk assessment.

The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

### **Medical emergencies**

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance with the exception of portable suction and a razor for use with the AED. Following the inspection we were sent evidence that these had been obtained.

Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at six staff recruitment files. These showed the practice mostly followed their recruitment procedure. They did not always have evidence that references had been sought for new members of staff and there were some other gaps in their records.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice's health and safety policies and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental topics.

A fire risk assessment had been carried out by an external contractor on 5 December 2016. This had raised some necessary actions. Some of these had been completed, and some were still outstanding for example: the practice fire alarm required servicing and checking weekly and a fixed electrical wiring check required completion. Following the inspection we were sent evidence that these had been completed.

The practice had current employer's liability insurance and checked each year that the clinicians' professional indemnity insurance was up to date.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and dental hygienists when they treated patients.

### Infection control

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. They followed

### Are services safe?

guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM01-05. The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice was unable to demonstrate that infection prevention and control audits were carried out twice a year. The latest audit shown to us was dated May 2016 although the practice manager told us that it had been completed since but had been lost from the computer. Following the inspection we were sent a further infection control audit which showed that the practice met essential quality requirements.

The practice had some procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. There were some recommendations made by the risk assessment that had not been carried out. For example: the practice was not measuring and recording their water temperatures to ensure that they were outside the range in which Legionella is more likely to proliferate. Following the inspection the practice sent us evidence that a system had been put into place to ensure that all routine monitoring was carried out in line with the recommendations of the risk assessment.

We discussed flushing the dental unit water lines with staff and found that this was not being carried out as frequently or for as long as recommended in national guidance. Following the inspection the practice implemented a new written procedure for this which was discussed with the whole team to ensure that it was carried out in line with guidance. The practice did not always have documented evidence for immunity to Hepatitis B for all clinical staff. Following the inspection we were informed that this was being addressed and a risk assessment had been put into place for any clinical staff member who could not demonstrate adequate immunity.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

### **Equipment and medicines**

We saw servicing documentation for the equipment used. Staff carried out checks in line with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing, dispensing medicines. A medicine used to treat low blood sugar was stored in the fridge in line with manufacturer's instructions however; according to the log the temperature of the fridge had moved outside the required range. Following the inspection we were informed that the medicine was now stored appropriately.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

### Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuous professional development in respect of dental radiography.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

### Health promotion & prevention

The practice believed in preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay for each child.

The dentists told us they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale.

### Staffing

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuous professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council. Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals.

### Working with other services

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. This included referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist. The practice monitored urgent referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence and the dentists were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16. Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

# Are services caring?

### Our findings

### Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

Staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were welcoming, efficient and professional. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully and politely and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Nervous patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

We saw a record of staff telephoning a patient to check on a family member that had been unwell at the practice.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave personal information where other patients might see it. Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

There were magazines and a television in the waiting room.

### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. These included general dentistry and treatments for gum disease and more complex treatment such as short term orthodontics.

Each treatment room had a screen so the dentists could show patients photographs, videos and X-ray images when they discussed treatment options.

### Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

### Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

Staff told us that they currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment.

Staff told us that they telephoned patients that had undergone complex treatment to ensure they were well and did not require a further appointment.

### **Promoting equality**

The practice made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. These included step free access, a doorbell, an accessible toilet with hand rails, automatic lighting, a lowered sink and hand dryer and a call bell. We were shown evidence that the practice had recently purchased a hearing loop to assist patients that use hearing aids.

Staff said they could provide information in different formats to meet individual patients' needs. They had access to interpreter services which included British Sign Language.

### Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, their information leaflet and on their website.

We confirmed the practice kept waiting times and cancellations to a minimum.

The practice was committed to seeing patients experiencing pain on the same day and kept appointments availble specifically for this. The website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint. The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The practice manager told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the last year. These showed the practice responded to concerns, but there was scope to improve the detail recorded in this regard. Outcomes were discussed informally with staff to share learning and improve the service.

## Are services well-led?

### Our findings

### **Governance arrangements**

The principal dentists had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments to support the management of the service and to protect patients and staff. These included arrangements to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients if anything went wrong.

Staff told us there was an open, no blame culture at the practice. They said the practice manager encouraged them to raise any issues and felt confident they could do this. They knew who to raise any issues with and told us the practice manager was approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. The practice manager discussed concerns at staff meetings and it was clear the practice worked as a team and dealt with issues professionally.

The practice held meetings where staff could raise any concerns and discuss clinical and non-clinical updates. Immediate discussions were arranged to share urgent information.

### Learning and improvement

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, X-rays and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The partners showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed mandatory training, including medical emergencies and basic life support, each year. The General Dental Council requires clinical staff to complete continuous professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice used patient surveys, verbal comments and annual staff appraisals to obtain staff and patients' views about the service. We saw examples of suggestions from patients and staff the practice had acted on.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.