

Metropolitan Housing Trust Limited

Lion Road

Inspection report

59 Lion Road
Twickenham
Middlesex
TW1 4JF

Tel: 02088916025

Date of inspection visit:
29 May 2019
31 May 2019

Date of publication:
30 July 2019

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good ●
Is the service safe?	Good ●
Is the service effective?	Good ●
Is the service caring?	Good ●
Is the service responsive?	Good ●
Is the service well-led?	Good ●

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Lion Road is a care home providing care and support for up to eight people with learning disabilities. The home is operated by the Metropolitan Housing Trust Limited. There were no vacancies.

The service has been developed and designed in line with the principles and values that underpin Registering the Right Support and other best practice guidance. This ensures that people who use the service can live as full a life as possible and achieve the best possible outcomes. The principles reflect the need for people with learning disabilities and/or autism to live meaningful lives that include control, choice, and independence. People using the service receive planned and co-ordinated person-centred support that is appropriate and inclusive for them.

People's experience of using this service and what we found

People enjoyed living at the home and it provided a safe place for people to live and work in. Risks to people were assessed, enabling them to live safely whilst taking acceptable risks. Accidents and incidents and safeguarding concerns were reported, investigated and recorded. There were enough, appropriately recruited staff. Medicine was safely administered.

People did not experience discrimination. Staff communicated clearly with people, were well-trained, supervised, and appraised. They encouraged people to discuss health needs and people had access to community-based health care professionals. People were supported to choose healthy and balanced diets that also met their likes, dislikes and preferences and protected them from nutrition and hydration risks. The premises were adapted to people's needs. Transition between services was based on people's needs and best interests.

The home's atmosphere was welcoming and friendly. People enjoyed the way that staff provided care and support for them. Staff were caring and compassionate. Throughout our visit positive interactions took place, between people, staff and each-other. Staff observed people's privacy, dignity and confidentiality. People were supported to be independent and had access to advocates.

People got person centred care with their needs assessed and reviewed. They were given choices, followed their interests and hobbies and did not suffer from social isolation. People received information to make decisions and end of life wishes were identified. Complaints were recorded and investigated.

The home's culture was positive with open and transparent management and leadership and there was a clear organisational vision and values. Areas of responsibility and accountability were identified, and the quality of the service regularly reviewed. Audits were carried out and records kept up to date. Good community links and working partnerships were established. Registration requirements were met.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the

least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

The service applied the principles and values of Registering the Right Support and other best practice guidance. These ensure that people who use the service can live as full a life as possible and achieve the best possible outcomes that include control, choice and independence. The outcomes for people using the service reflected the principles and values of Registering the Right Support by promoting choice and control, independence and inclusion. People's support focused on them having as many opportunities as possible for them to gain new skills and become more independent.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk.

Rating at the last inspection

The last rating for this service was good (published 13 September 2017). Since this rating was awarded the registered provider of the service has changed. We have used the previous rating to inform our planning and decisions about the rating at this inspection.

Why we inspected

This was a planned inspection based on the previous rating.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service until we return to visit as per our re-inspection programme. If we receive any concerning information we may inspect sooner.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?

Good ●

The service was safe.

Details are in our safe findings below.

Is the service effective?

Good ●

The service was effective.

Details are in our effective findings below.

Is the service caring?

Good ●

The service was caring.

Details are in our caring findings below.

Is the service responsive?

Good ●

The service was responsive.

Details are in our responsive findings below.

Is the service well-led?

Good ●

The service was well-led.

Details are in our well-Led findings below.

Lion Road

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Inspection team

This inspection was carried out by one inspector.

Service and service type

Lion Road is a care home. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

Notice of inspection

This inspection was unannounced.

What we did before the inspection

Before the inspection, the provider completed a Provider Information Return (PIR). This is a form that asks the provider to give some key information about the service, what the service does well and improvements they plan to make. We also checked notifications made to us by the provider, safeguarding alerts raised regarding people living at the home and information we held on our database about the service and provider.

During the inspection-

We spoke with five people, five relatives, three care workers, and the registered manager. We looked at the personal care and support plans for two people and two staff files. We contacted four health care

professionals to get their views.

We used the Short Observational Framework for Inspection (SOFI). SOFI is a way of observing care to help us understand the experience of people who could not talk with us.

After the inspection –

We requested additional evidence to be sent to us after our inspection. This included training matrix, audits and activities. We received the information which was used as part of our inspection.

Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- People's body language was positive and relaxed indicating they felt safe. One person told us, "This is my home." Staff had training in how to identify abuse and the action to take if encountered. This was also contained in the provider's policies and procedures.
- Staff were trained how to safeguard people and aware of how to raise a safeguarding alert. There was no current safeguarding activity. Staff advised people about how to keep safe and areas of concern about people individually was recorded in their files. A relative told us, "When I come there is always someone [staff] with him [person using the service]."
- The home had general risk assessments that were reviewed and updated. This included equipment used to support people that was regularly serviced and maintained.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

- People were enabled by their risk assessments to take acceptable risks and safely enjoy their lives. The risk assessments included all aspects of people's health, daily living and social activities and were regularly reviewed and updated as people's needs, and interests changed.
- Staff understood people's routines, preferences and identified situations where people may be at risk and acted to minimise those risks. A relative said, "He [person] likes his routine, a creature of habit and the home works well for him."

Staffing and recruitment

- The staff recruitment process was thorough, and records demonstrated that it was followed. The process contained scenario-based interview questions to identify prospective staffs' skills and knowledge of learning disabilities. References were taken up and Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) security checks carried out prior to starting in post. There was also a six-month probationary period with a review.
- The staff rota showed, and staff confirmed that there were sufficient numbers to provide care flexibly to meet people's needs. Staffing levels during our visit, enabled people's needs to be met and for them to follow activities safely.
- Staff received monthly supervision, an annual performance review and regular staff meetings.

Using medicines safely

- Medicine was safely administered, regularly audited and appropriately stored and disposed of. People's medicine records were fully completed and up to date. Staff were trained to administer medicine and this training was regularly updated. If appropriate, people would be encouraged and supported to self-medicate.

Preventing and controlling infection

- Staff had infection control and food hygiene training that was reflected in good work practices.

Learning lessons when things go wrong

- The service kept accident and incident records and there was a whistle-blowing procedure that staff said they would be comfortable in using. The incidents were analysed to look at ways of reducing them from happening again.

Is the service effective?

Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and people's feedback confirmed this.

Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law

- The registered manager explained the procedure followed when a new person moved in. The commissioning local authority provided the home with assessment information and information was also requested from any previous placements. The home also carried out its own pre-admission needs assessments with the person and their relatives. The speed of the pre-admission assessment and transition was at a pace that suited the person, their needs and which they were comfortable with.
- People could visit the home as many times as they wished, before deciding if they wanted to move in. They were able to stay overnight and share meals, to help them decide. During these visits' assessment information was added to, including the views of people already living at the home.
- The home provided easy to understand written information for people and their families.

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

- Staff supported people and met their needs effectively through the induction and mandatory training they had received. A staff member told us, "A good company to work for and the training is what we need."
- New staff shadowed more experienced staff as part of their induction. This improved their knowledge of people living at the home, their routines and preferences. The induction provided was based on the Skills for Care 'Common induction standards'. The 'Common induction standards' are an identified set of 15 standards that health and social support workers adhere to in their daily working life and can lead to an award of the Care Certificate.
- The training matrix identified when mandatory training was required. There was specialist training specific to the home and people's individual needs, with detailed guidance and plans. The specialist training included epilepsy, autism and dementia.
- Staff were trained in and equipped with de-escalation techniques to appropriately deal with situations where people may display behaviour that others could interpret as challenging.

Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

- People's care plans contained health and nutrition, information and health action plans. These included nutritional assessments that were completed, regularly updated and contained fluid charts.
- Staff checked and recorded the type of meals people received. This was to encourage a healthy diet and make sure people were eating properly. Meals coincided with people's activities, their preferences and they chose if they wished to eat with each other or on their own. During the inspection some people went out for lunch together, whilst others sat down together at home.
- Whilst staff encouraged healthy eating this was balanced and did not prevent people eating the food they enjoyed. One relative told us, "He [person] has a problem eating and food needs to be in liquid form. They

make sure this always happens."

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care

- Staff had cultivated solid working relationships with external health care professionals such as district nurses, speech and language and physio therapists.
- The home provided written information such as hospital passports and staff accompanied people on health and hospital visits, as required.

Adapting service, design, decoration to meet people's needs

- The home was appropriately adapted and equipment provided that was regularly checked and serviced to meet people's needs. People chose the home's decoration and colour schemes. One person took a particular interest in the garden.

Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support

- People received annual health checks and referrals were made to relevant health services, when required.
- Everyone was registered with a GP and dentist and community-based health care professionals, such as district nurses and speech and language therapists were available to people.
- Health care professionals did not raise any concerns about the quality of the service provided.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The Act requires that, as far as possible, people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA. In care homes, and some hospitals, this is usually through MCA application procedures called the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS).

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, and whether any conditions on authorisations to deprive a person of their liberty had the appropriate legal authority and were being met.

- Staff we spoke with understood their responsibilities regarding the MCA and DoLS.
- People who required them had up to date DoLS in place.
- Mental capacity assessments and reviews took place as required.

Is the service caring?

Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity

- People were relaxed in the company of staff and each other and their body language reflected this. There was much laughter shared between everyone. One person said, "I like [member of staff]." A relative said, "Staff are really lovely."
- Staff ensured people did as they wished. One relative told us, "Has support he [person] wants, when he wants it."

People felt respected and relatives said staff treated people with kindness, dignity and respect.

- Staff were very committed and passionate about the care they provided, that was delivered in an empowering way. A relative said, "Well treated, by lovely staff who do a phenomenal job."
- Staff received equality and diversity training that enabled them to treat people equally and fairly whilst recognizing and respecting their differences. This was reflected in inclusive staff care practices with no one being left out. Staff treated people as adults, did not talk down to people and they were treated respectfully, equally and as equals.
- Staff were trained in respecting people's rights to be treated with dignity and respect and provided support in an enjoyable environment. This was reflected by staff practices throughout our visit with caring, patient and friendly support provided that respected people's privacy.

Supporting people to express their views and be involved in making decisions about their care

- During our visit people came and went, as they pleased, attending various activities including lunch out. A relative said, "Good support without being intrusive."

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

- Staff knocked on people's doors and waited for a response before entering. They asked people if they were happy for us to see their rooms and respected this if people said no.
- The home had a confidentiality policy and procedure that staff understood and followed. Confidentiality was included in induction and on-going training and contained in the staff handbook.
- There was a visitor's policy that visitors were welcome at any time with the agreement of people. Relatives said they were made welcome and treated with courtesy. This was what we found when we visited.

Is the service responsive?

Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

- People were supported to make decisions regarding their care, support and activities. Staff ensured people understood what they were saying and their choices and that they understood people's responses. They asked what people wanted to do, where they wanted to go and who with. One person told us, "I've got new trainers, a fiver, a bargain."
- Staff met people's needs and wishes, in a timely fashion and way that people liked and were comfortable with. One person spilt some food. They said, "Look what I've done." A staff member replied, "That's alright we will fix it."
- People's care plans were individualised, and recorded their interests, hobbies and health and life skill needs as well as their aspirations and the support required for them to be achieved.
- People's needs were regularly reviewed, re-assessed with them and their relatives and updated to meet their changing needs. They were encouraged to take ownership of the care plans and contribute to them as much or as little as they wished.
- Staff were available to discuss any wishes or concerns people and their relatives might have. People's positive responses reflected the appropriateness of the support they received. A relative told us, "They [staff] always phone up, if there is something I need to know." Another relative said, "He [person] is not the easiest person to get on with but is very well looked after."

Meeting people's communication needs

- Since 2016 onwards all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard (AIS). The standard was introduced to make sure people are given information in a way they can understand. The standard applies to all people with a disability, impairment or sensory loss and in some circumstances to their carers. The AIS was being followed by the organisation, home and staff with pictorial information available to make it easier for people to understand. Staff communicated clearly with people which enabled them to understand what they meant and were saying. People were also given the opportunity to respond at their own speed.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities that are socially and culturally relevant to them

- Activities were a combination of individual and group, taking place at home and in the community. They included Makaton chat club, cooking group, hydro pool access, Bollywood dancing, Gateway club, and Ellory Hall. The last two venues provided activities and an opportunity to meet up with friends.
- A number of activities took place at home, such as cleaning and tidying their room, help with meal preparation, gentle exercise, arts and crafts and gardening. One person told us, "I'm in charge of the flowers

in the garden."

- People made good use of local shops and were well known in the community. Two people went out for lunch in Bushey Park, during our visit.

Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns

- People did not comment on the complaint's procedure. Relatives said they were aware of the complaints procedure and how to use it. A relative told us, "No complaints." The complaints procedure was provided in pictorial form for people to make it easier to understand. There was a robust system for logging, recording and investigating complaints.

End of life care and support

- Whilst the service did not provide end of life care, people were supported to stay in their own home for as long as their needs could be met with assistance from community based palliative care services, as required.

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong

- The home had an open, positive, inclusive and empowering culture. This was largely achieved by the staff and registered manager's attitude and contribution. They listened to people and acted upon their wishes. A relative said that they were comfortable discussing any concerns they may have with staff. One relative told us, "My brother has complex needs and I was pleasantly surprised by little discernible change with the new company as many staff were retained."
- Staff told us although the registered manager was new, they were good and the home well-run. One staff said, "When the new [registered] manager came in it changed in a good way."
- The organisation's vision and values were clearly set out and staff understood them. They had been explained during induction training and revisited at staff meetings.
- Staff reflected the organisation's stated vision and values as they went about their duties. There were clear lines of communication and specific areas of responsibility regarding record keeping of areas such as medicine.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements Continuous learning and improving care

- The home and organisation's quality assurance systems were robust and contained performance indicators that identified how the service was performing, any areas that required improvement and areas where the service was accomplishing or exceeding targets.
- Audits were carried out by the registered manager, staff and senior management external to the home. They were up to date. There was also an audit action plan.
- The records kept demonstrated that safeguarding alerts and accidents and incidents were fully investigated, documented and procedures followed correctly including hospital admissions. Our records told us that appropriate notifications were made to the Care Quality Commission in a timely way.
- The home's previous rating was displayed and available on the organisation's website.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics Working in partnership with others

- The organisation supported the home to build close links with services, such as speech and language therapists and district nurses. This was underpinned by a policy of relevant information being shared with appropriate services within the community or elsewhere.

- The home engaged with other homes within the organisation and externally to share activity resources available within the community and continue friendships built up over many years. People were also involved with the local church.
- Staff made sure that people had access to local resources that provided advocacy and advice.
- The home had group meetings for people, to decide upcoming activities and weekly meal planning. They also had regular personal reviews. Relatives said they were in frequent contact with the home, who kept them informed and adjustments were made from feedback received. A relative said, "I get good feedback, you can see things when you are there, and it works very well."