

Miss Olivia Michelle Fulmyk

The White House Dental Surgery

Inspection Report

117 Old Tovil Road
Maidstone
ME15 6QG
Tel: 01622 752356
Website:

Date of inspection visit: 6 March 2017
Date of publication: 03/04/2017

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 6 March 2017 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The White House Dental Surgery is a general dental practice in Maidstone, Kent offering NHS and private dental treatment to adults and children. The premises are located on the ground and first floor and consist of two dental treatment rooms, a reception and waiting area and a designated decontamination area.

The staff at the practice consist of a principal dentist, two associate dentists, a dental hygienist, two dental nurses, two trainee dental nurses and three receptionists. One of the associate dentists has a special interest in periodontics (the treatment of inflammatory disease that destroys the gums and other supporting structures around the teeth).

The principal dentist is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Our key findings were:

Summary of findings

- There was an induction programme for staff to follow which ensured they were skilled and competent in delivering safe and effective care and support to patients.
- The practice ensured staff maintained the necessary skills and competence to support the needs of patients.
- There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. We found the treatment rooms and equipment were visibly clean.
- There were systems in place to check equipment had been serviced regularly, including the dental air compressor, autoclaves, fire extinguishers and the X-ray equipment.
- We found the dentists regularly assessed each patient's gum health and dentists took X-rays at appropriate intervals.
- The practice kept up to date with current guidelines when considering the care and treatment needs of patients.
- The practice placed an emphasis on the promotion of oral and general health and the prevention of dental disease. Appropriate information and advice was available according to patients' individual needs.
- Staff had been trained to handle emergencies and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were readily available.
- Patients received clear explanations about their proposed treatment, and its costs, benefits and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.

- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- The appointment system met the needs of patients and waiting times were kept to a minimum.
- There was an effective complaints system and the practice was open and transparent with patients if a mistake had been made.
- Staff demonstrated knowledge of the practice whistleblowing policy and were confident they would raise a concern about another staff member's performance if it was necessary.
- At our visit we observed staff were kind, caring and very welcoming.
- There was an effective system in place to act on feedback received from patients and staff.

We reviewed 39 Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards that had been completed by patients in the two weeks prior to our inspection. Common themes were patients felt they received excellent standards of care from dentists who were very calm, caring and gentle. They also commented that the practice staff were kind, helpful and informative. On the day of our inspection, we observed staff being polite, friendly and welcoming to patients.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review audit protocols to ensure the practice audits its infection control procedures every six months in accordance with guidelines issued by the Department of Health - Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place for the management of infection control, clinical waste segregation and disposal, management of medical emergencies and dental radiography. We found the equipment used in the practice was well maintained and in line with current guidelines. There were systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members. The staffing levels were suitable for the provision of care and treatment.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice provided evidence based dental care which was focussed on the needs of the patients. We saw examples of effective collaborative team working. The staff were up-to-date with current guidance and received professional development appropriate to their role and learning needs. Staff, who were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC), had frequent continuing professional development (CPD) training and were meeting the requirements of their professional registration.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients commented they had positive experiences of dental care provided at the practice. Patients felt they received excellent care and detailed explanations of treatment options from dentists who were very calm, caring and gentle. On the day of our inspection we observed staff to be caring, friendly and very welcoming. Staff spoke with enthusiasm about their work and were proud of what they did.

No action



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice provided friendly and personalised dental care. Patients could access routine treatment and urgent or emergency care when required. The practice offered dedicated emergency appointments each day enabling effective and efficient treatment of patients with dental pain. There was an effective system in place to acknowledge, investigate and respond to complaints made by patients.

No action



Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action



Summary of findings

The dental practice had effective risk management structures in place. Staff told us the practice principal was always approachable and the culture within the practice was open and transparent. All staff were aware of the practice ethos, philosophy and values and told us they felt well supported and able to raise any concerns where necessary. Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt part of a team.

The White House Dental Surgery

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection was carried out on 6 March 2017 by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor. We reviewed information received from the provider prior to the inspection. On the day of our inspection we looked at the practice's policies and protocols, clinical patient records and other records relating to the management of the service. We spoke with the registered manager (who was the principal dentist), an associate dentist, a dental nurse, a trainee dental nurse and a receptionist. We reviewed 39 Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards that had been completed by patients in the two weeks prior to our inspection.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

This informed our view of the care provided and the management of the practice.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

There was a system in place to learn from and make improvements following any accidents, incidents or significant events.

Staff understood the process for accident and incident reporting including the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). We found incidents were reported, investigated and measures put in place where necessary to prevent recurrence.

Patients were told when they were affected by something that went wrong, given an apology and informed of any actions taken as a result such as further staff training.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies and procedures in place for child protection and safeguarding adults. This included contact details for the local authority's safeguarding team, social services and other agencies including the Care Quality Commission. Staff demonstrated to us their knowledge of how to recognise the signs of abuse and neglect. There was a documented reporting process available for staff to use if anyone made a disclosure to them. This included and identified the practice's safeguarding lead.

Staff demonstrated knowledge of the whistleblowing policy and were confident they would raise a concern about another staff member's performance if it was necessary.

A risk management process had been undertaken for the safe use of sharps (needles and sharp instruments). The practice had introduced safety syringes in order to minimise the risk of inoculation injuries to staff.

Medical emergencies

The practice had suitable emergency resuscitation equipment in accordance with guidance issued by the Resuscitation Council UK. This included face masks for both adults and children. Oxygen and medicines for use in an emergency were available. Records completed showed regular checks were done to ensure the equipment and emergency medicine was safe to use. However, we noted

that although the practice kept a set of oropharyngeal airways, these were out of date. We also observed the practice did not have buccal midazolam which was not in accordance with current guidance.

We discussed this with the practice principal who ordered these items immediately.

Records showed staff regularly completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support including the use of the automatic external defibrillator (AED). An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Staff we spoke with demonstrated they knew how to respond if a person suddenly became unwell.

Staff recruitment

There were effective recruitment and selection procedures in place. We reviewed the employment files for five staff members. Each file contained evidence that satisfied the requirements of relevant legislation. This included application forms, employment history, evidence of qualifications and photographic evidence of the employee's identification and eligibility to work in the United Kingdom where required. The qualification, skills and experience of each employee had been fully considered as part of the recruitment process.

Appropriate checks had been made before staff commenced employment including evidence of their professional registration with the General Dental Council (where required) and checks with the Disclosure and Barring Service had been carried out. The Disclosure and Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they might have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

There were arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. We found the practice had been assessed for risk of fire in September 2016. Fire safety signs were clearly displayed and fire extinguishers had been recently serviced. Staff demonstrated that they knew how to respond in the event of a fire.

The practice had a health and safety risk management process in place which enabled them to assess, mitigate and monitor risks to patients, staff and visitors to the

Are services safe?

practice. There were some business continuity plans in place however these were not detailed and may not have fully addressed a sudden interruption in services. We discussed this with the practice principal who told us they were currently developing a more comprehensive plan to ensure there would be no disruption in services for patients in the event of an emergency.

There were effective arrangements in place to meet the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations. We looked at the COSHH file and found that risks (to patients, staff and visitors) associated with substances hazardous to health had been identified and actions taken to minimise them.

Infection control

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. The practice had undertaken an extensive programme of work since the principal dentist had acquired the practice to ensure the risks of infection were minimised. This included replacing floor coverings and windows, reupholstering the dental chair and redecorating the practice.

There was a written infection control policy which included minimising the risk of blood-borne virus transmission which included Hepatitis B. The policy also described processes for the possibility of sharps' injuries, decontamination of dental instruments, hand hygiene, segregation and disposal of clinical waste. The practice had followed the guidance on decontamination and infection control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 -Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'. This document and the practice policy and procedures on infection prevention and control were accessible to staff.

We examined the facilities for cleaning and decontaminating dental instruments. A dental nurse showed us how instruments were decontaminated. They wore appropriate personal protective equipment (including heavy duty gloves and a mask) while instruments were decontaminated and placed in an ultrasonic cleaning bath prior to decontamination in an automatic washer disinfectant. Instruments were then inspected with an illuminated magnifier prior to being placed in an autoclave (sterilising machine).

We saw instruments were placed in pouches after sterilisation and dated to indicate when they should be

reprocessed if left unused. We found daily and weekly tests were performed to check the steriliser was working efficiently and a log was kept of the results. We saw evidence the parameters (temperature and pressure) were regularly checked to ensure equipment was working efficiently in between service checks.

We observed how waste items were disposed of and stored. The practice had an on-going contract with a clinical waste contractor. We saw the different types of waste were appropriately segregated and stored at the practice. This included clinical waste and safe disposal of sharps.

Staff confirmed to us their knowledge and understanding of single use items and how they should be used and disposed of which was in line with guidance.

We looked at the treatment rooms where patients were examined and treated. The rooms and equipment were visibly clean. Separate hand wash sinks were available with good supplies of liquid soap and alcohol gel. Patients were given a protective bib and safety glasses to wear each time they attended for treatment. There were good supplies of protective equipment for patients and staff members.

Records showed a risk assessment process for Legionella had been carried out in October 2015. This process ensured the risks of Legionella bacteria developing in water systems within the premises had been identified and preventive measures taken to minimise risk of patients and staff developing Legionnaires' disease. (Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings).

There was a good supply of environmental cleaning equipment which was stored appropriately. The practice had a cleaning schedule in place that covered all areas of the premises and detailed what and where equipment should be used. This took into account national guidance on colour coding equipment to prevent the risk of infection spreading.

Equipment and medicines

There were systems in place to check equipment had been serviced regularly, including the dental air compressor, autoclave, fire extinguishers, oxygen and the X-ray equipment. We were shown the servicing certificates.

Are services safe?

An effective system was in place for the prescribing, administration and stock control of the medicines used in clinical practice such as local anaesthetics. These medicines were stored safely for the protection of patients.

Radiography (X-rays)

We checked the practice's radiation protection records as X-rays were taken and developed at the practice. We also looked at X-ray equipment and talked with staff about its use. We found there were arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. We saw local rules relating to each X-ray machine were available.

We found procedures and equipment had been assessed by an independent expert within the recommended timescales. The practice had a radiation protection adviser and had appointed a radiation protection supervisor.

In order to keep up to date with radiography and radiation protection and to ensure the practice is in compliance with its legal obligations under Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulation (IRMER) 2000, the General Dental Council recommends that dentists undertake a minimum of five hours continuing professional development training every five years. We saw evidence that the dentists were up to date with this training.

Dental care records we reviewed showed the practice was justifying, reporting on and grading X-rays taken.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for people using best practice

The dentists told us they regularly assessed each patient's gum health and the dentists took X-rays at appropriate intervals. We asked the dentists to show us some dental care records which reflected this. Records showed an examination of a patient's soft tissues (including lips, tongue and palate) had been carried out and dentists had recorded details of the condition of patients' gums using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) scores. (The BPE is a simple and rapid screening tool that is used to indicate the level of examination needed and to provide basic guidance on treatment need). In addition they recorded details of treatment options offered to or discussed with patients as well as the justification, findings and quality assurance of X-ray images taken.

The practice kept up to date with other current guidelines and research in order to develop and improve their system of clinical risk management. For example, the practice referred to National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines in relation to wisdom teeth removal and in deciding when to recall patients for examination and review.

Health promotion & prevention

The practice placed an emphasis on oral disease prevention, the maintenance of good oral health and the practice of minimally invasive dentistry as part of their overall philosophy. A range of information was available to patients in the waiting room including information on the safe and effective use of floss, mouth cancer and maintaining children's oral health. There were also free toothpaste samples available to patients throughout the practice.

Staff we spoke with told us patients were given advice appropriate to their individual needs such as smoking cessation or dietary advice. This was also recorded in the dental care records we reviewed.

Staffing

There was a comprehensive induction and training programme for staff to follow which ensured they were skilled and competent in delivering safe and effective care and support to patients.

Staff had undertaken training to ensure they were kept up to date with the core training and registration requirements issued by the General Dental Council. This included areas such as responding to medical emergencies and infection control and prevention.

There was an appraisal system in place which was used to identify training and development needs. Staff told us they felt supported by the practice management team and they were given opportunities to learn and develop.

Working with other services

Referrals for patients when required were made to other services. The practice had a system in place for referring patients for dental treatment and specialist procedures such as orthodontics and minor oral surgery. Staff told us where a referral was necessary, the care and treatment required was fully explained to the patient. Referrals made were recorded and monitored to ensure patients received the care and treatment they required in a timely manner.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice ensured informed consent from patients was obtained for all care and treatment. Staff confirmed individual treatment options, risks and benefits were discussed with each patient who then received a detailed treatment plan and estimate of costs. We asked the dentists to show us some dental care records which reflected this. Patients were given time to consider and make informed decisions about which option they wanted.

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves. Staff demonstrated an understanding of the MCA and how this applied in considering whether or not patients had the capacity to consent to dental treatment. This included assessing a patient's capacity to consent and when making decisions in their best interests.

Staff members we spoke with were clear about involving children in decision making and ensuring their wishes were respected regarding treatment. They were familiar with the concept of Gillick competence regarding the care and treatment of children under 16. Gillick competence principles help clinicians to identify children aged under 16 who have the legal capacity to consent to examination and treatment.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Staff explained how they ensured information about patients using the service was kept confidential. Patients' electronic records were password protected and paper dental care records were stored securely. Staff members demonstrated their knowledge of data protection and how to maintain patient confidentiality. Staff told us patients were able to have confidential discussions about their care and treatment in one of the treatment rooms if it was required.

Comments we reviewed from patients included that they received excellent standards of care from dentists who were very calm, caring and gentle. They also commented that the practice staff were kind, helpful and informative. On the day of our inspection, we observed staff being polite, friendly and welcoming to patients.

Some staff had worked at the practice for several years and demonstrated they knew their patients well and understood their care and support needs.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The dentists told us they used a number of different methods including tooth models, display charts, pictures and leaflets to demonstrate what different treatment options involved so that patients fully understood. A treatment plan was developed following examination of and discussion with each patient.

Staff told us the dentists took time to explain care and treatment to individual patients clearly and were always happy to answer any questions. Patient feedback also confirmed that the dentists took time to explain dental treatment and options in a way the patient understood.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

Staff reported (and we saw from the appointment book) the practice scheduled enough time to assess and undertake patients' care and treatment needs. Staff told us they did not feel under pressure to complete procedures and always had enough time available to prepare for each patient. Patients told us through feedback that they always felt the dentist had enough time to listen to their concerns and answer questions. The practice placed a strong focus on the care and treatment needs of their patients.

There were systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment. This included checks for laboratory work such as crowns and dentures which ensured delays in treatment were avoided.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

We asked staff to explain how they communicated with people who had different communication needs such as those who spoke another language. Staff told us they treated everybody equally and welcomed patients from different backgrounds, cultures and religions. Staff told us if they were unable to communicate fully with a patient due to a language barrier they would encourage a relative or friend to attend who could translate or they would contact a translator.

The practice had completed a disability discrimination audit to assess whether patients with a disability were adequately supported to access care and treatment and services. Improvement actions taken had included moving a radiator and reception desk to facilitate safe access to the toilet facilities for people using wheelchairs.

The practice also provided reading glasses to support patients to fully access reading material and patient information.

Access to the service

We asked staff how patients were able to access care in an emergency or outside of normal opening hours. They told us an answer phone message detailed how to access out of hours emergency treatment. We saw this information was also displayed in the practice. Each day the practice was open, emergency treatment slots were made available for people with urgent dental needs. Staff told us patients requiring emergency care during practice opening hours were seen the same day. This was reflected in patients' feedback we reviewed.

Concerns & complaints

There was a complaints' policy which provided staff with information about handling formal complaints from patients. Staff told us the practice team viewed complaints as a learning opportunity and discussed those received in order to improve the quality of service provided.

Information for patients about how to make a complaint was available in the practice's waiting room. This included contact details of other agencies to contact if a patient was not satisfied with the outcome of the practice investigation into their complaint.

We looked at the practice's procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients and found there was an effective system in place which ensured a timely response.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The governance arrangements of the practice were developed through a process of continual learning and were focused on the care and treatment needs of patients. The principal dentist and practice team shared responsibility for the day to day running of the practice and worked well together in order to identify where any improvements were needed. There were clear lines of responsibility and accountability with individual staff members identified as leads in certain areas such as infection control, fire safety and safeguarding. Staff knew who to report to if they had any issues or concerns.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff reported there was an open and transparent culture at the practice which encouraged candour and honesty. Staff felt confident they could raise issues or concerns at any time with the principal dentist without fear of recriminations.

Management lead through learning and improvement

The practice carried out regular audits of infection prevention and control to ensure compliance with government HTM 01-05 standards for decontamination in

dental practices. The most recent audit undertaken January 2017 indicated the facilities and management of decontamination and infection control were managed well. We found the practice had not audited their infection control processes every six months as is recommended by the guidance. We discussed with the principal dentist who resolved to update their processes to ensure this was done in future.

X-ray audits were carried out on a regular basis. The results of the audits confirmed the dentists were consistently taking X-ray images which were above the required standards. This reduced the risk of patients being subjected to further unnecessary X-rays.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice regularly sought feedback from patients through satisfaction surveys. The practice management team told us they would discuss the results with the practice team in order to identify and act upon any areas for improvement.

The practice held regular staff meetings each month where they discussed a range of topics in order to learn and improve the quality of service provided. Staff members told us they found the meetings were a useful opportunity to share ideas.