

Mr. Mohammad Reza Takhayori

Peacehaven Dental Practice

Inspection Report

216 South Coast Road Peacehaven East Sussex BN10 8JR

Tel: 01273 582226

Website: www.peacehavendentalpractice.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 18 April 2017 Date of publication: 26/05/2017

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 18 April 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Peacehaven Dental Practice is in Peacehaven and provides NHS and private treatment to patients of all ages.

There is no level access for people who use wheelchairs. Car parking spaces are available near to the practice.

The dental team includes four dentists, two dental nurses, one dental hygienist/therapist and a practice manager. The practice has two treatment rooms.

Summary of findings

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection we collected five CQC comment cards filled in by patients and spoke with one other patient. This information gave us a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with two dentists, one dental nurse and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Friday 9.00am until 5.00pm.

Our key findings were:

- The practice was clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.
- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership. Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. On the whole, the practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments. Improvements could be made to ensure that the appropriate decontamination process of instruments was followed according to national guidelines.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies, though improvements were required to ensure all emergency medicines as per current national guidelines were available.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as caring, friendly and relaxing. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent. Improvements could be made to ensure the dental care records demonstrated suitably that formal consent had been gained and that explanations had taken place with patients before receiving treatment.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from five people via CQC comments cards. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were helpful and patient. They said that the dentists explained everything clearly and they felt informed.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality.

No action



Summary of findings

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. The practice had access to interpreter services.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



No action



Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate, respond and learn from accidents, incidents and significant events. Staff knew about these and understood their role in the process.

The practice recorded, responded to and discussed all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning.

The practice received national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA). Relevant alerts were discussed with staff, acted on and stored for future reference.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. We noted that the review dates for some of the risk assessments were not clear. We brought this to the attention of the principal dentist who told us that this would be improved. The practice followed relevant safety laws when using needles and other sharp dental items. The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

Medical emergencies

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. However, we found that the emergency medical kit was missing a medicine called Glucagon. This was brought to the attention of the principal dentist who told us that this would be ordered immediately.

Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at four staff recruitment files. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice's health and safety policies and risk assessments were on the whole up to date and reviewed to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental topics. We noted that it was not clear when the fire risk assessment and the general practice risk assessment had been reviewed. This was brought to the attention of the practice manager who told us that this would be addressed.

We observed that there was no appropriate fire escape signage to direct patients and staff towards the fire exit at the rear of the premises. We were sent evidence following the inspection in the form of photographs which confirmed that the correct signage had been put into place.

The practice had current employer's liability insurance and checked each year that the clinicians' professional indemnity insurance was up to date.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients.

Infection control

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. On the whole, the

Are services safe?

staff followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Improvements were required to ensure that used dental instruments, while being cleaned, were fully immersed in water rather than being manually scrubbed out of the water. Staff also needed to wear personal protective equipment (PPE) such as an apron while decontaminating instruments. We also noted that dates were not routinely being recorded on instrument pouches once they were sterile to indicate a use by date. This was brought to the attention of the principal dentist who told us these issues would be addressed immediately.

The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice carried out an infection prevention and control audit twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

Equipment and medicines

We saw on the day of the inspection most of the servicing documentation for the equipment used in the practice. Following the inspection, we were sent details of a service contracts which had been set up for the washer-disinfector machine and the ultrasonic cleaning machine. Staff carried out checks in line with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing, dispensing and storing medicines. We noted that there was no dedicated fridge for medicines and materials on the premises. The principal dentist told us that there were no medicines or materials which required refrigeration on the premises.

We noted that NHS prescription pads were not stored securely in a lockable drawer or cupboard and the practice did not keep a log of completed prescriptions. The principal dentist told us that this would be actioned immediately.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuous professional development in respect of dental radiography.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

Health promotion & prevention

The practice believed in preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay for each child.

The dentists told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staffing

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuous professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals.

Working with other services

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. This included referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist. The practice monitored urgent referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. One patient we spoke with confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The principal dentist told us that full discussions with patients were undertaken along with obtaining full consent.

Improvements could be made to ensure these discussions and consent were documented suitably as we noted from the dental care records we reviewed that this was not taking place.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence and the staff had a basic awareness of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16. Improvements could be made to ensure that staff had a better understanding of Gillick competence and were confident to apply this in their day to day practice.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

Staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights. Patients commented positively that staff were caring and friendly via CQC comments cards. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and the waiting room provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. The practice stored paper records securely.

There were magazines in the waiting room. An information folder was available for patients to read. Improvements could be made to ensure information regarding out of hours' emergency treatment or how a complaint could be made were available for patients. We were sent evidence following the inspection in the form of photographs which confirmed that this information was now available for patients.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. One patient we spoke with confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. One of the dentists described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. These included general dentistry, treatments for gum disease and more complex treatment such as gum regeneration and implants.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice via CQC comments cards. One patient we spoke with told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting. The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day.

Staff told us the appointment system gave them sufficient time to meet patient's needs. The practice had effective systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment.

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered in the waiting room and on the practice website.

Promoting equality

The practice was not accessible to wheelchair users. The principal dentist told us they would refer patients to a local practice with wheelchair access. The patient toilet was located near to the waiting room. Parking spaces were available on the road outside the practice.

Staff told us that they could provide information in different formats and languages to meet individual patients' needs. They had access to interpreter/translation services which included British Sign Language and braille.

Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in information folder and on their website.

We confirmed the practice kept waiting times and cancellations to a minimum.

The practice was committed to seeing patients experiencing pain on the same day and kept two appointments free for same day appointments. The answerphone provided a telephone number for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. One patient we spoke with confirmed that they were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice manager and principal dentist were responsible for dealing with these. The patient information folder did not include information on how to make a complaint or which organisations patients could contact if they were not satisfied with their concerns. This was sent to us in the form of photographs following the inspection which confirmed that this was now in place. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager or principal dentist about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The principal dentist told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints that the practice had received within the last year. These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments to support the management of the service and to protect patients and staff. These included arrangements to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients if anything went wrong.

Staff told us there was an open, no blame culture at the practice. They said the practice manager and principal dentist encouraged them to raise any issues and felt confident they could do this. They knew who to raise any issues with and told us the practice manager and principal dentist were approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. The principal dentist discussed concerns at staff meetings and it was clear the practice worked as a team and dealt with issues professionally.

The practice held meetings where staff could raise any concerns and discuss clinical and non-clinical updates. Immediate discussions were arranged to share urgent information.

Learning and improvement

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, X-rays and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The principal dentist showed an awareness of the importance of learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. Staff had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed mandatory training, including medical emergencies and basic life support, each year. The General Dental Council requires clinical staff to complete continuous professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice used patient surveys to obtain staff and patients' views about the service. We saw examples of suggestions from patients that staff the practice had acted on such as extending appointment times for dentists that were running over their appointment time.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.