

Whitecross Dental Care Limited

# Mydentist - Caldy Road - Aintree

## Inspection Report

2 Caldy Road  
Aintree  
Liverpool  
Merseyside  
L9 4RY  
Tel: 0151 525 3196  
[www.mydentist.co.uk](http://www.mydentist.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 11 February 2020  
Date of publication: 05/03/2020

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 11 February 2020 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission, (CQC), inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

#### **Our findings were:**

##### **Are services safe?**

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services effective?**

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services caring?**

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services responsive?**

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services well-led?**

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Background**

My Dentist – Caldy Road – Aintree is located in Liverpool, Merseyside and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

# Summary of findings

There is no level access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs. The practice could be accessible for those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available on the roads near the practice.

The dental team includes five dentists, three dental nurses, one trainee dental nurse, one dental hygienist, two receptionists and a practice manager. The practice has three treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by a company and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the CQC as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at My Dentist – Caldly Road – Aintree is the practice manager. The registered manager was on leave at the time of our inspection. At the time of this inspection, a dental nurse from another practice was providing cover as practice manager.

On the day of inspection, we collected 16 CQC comment cards filled in by patients.

During the inspection we spoke with two dentists, two dental nurses, the regulatory compliance officer for the area, the area manager and the acting practice manager. A newly recruited area compliance officer was also present on the day of our inspection, but this was in the role of an observer, during a period of their training.

We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open from Monday to Friday from 9am to 5.15pm. The practice is closed for an hour for lunch each day between 1pm and 2pm.

## Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared to be visibly clean. Various maintenance works had been carried out recently and further work was planned, for example, to address damp in the top floor of the building.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff; risk assessments in relation to staff immunity to Hepatitis B were not introduced timeously.
- The provider had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The provider had staff recruitment policies and procedures in place which reflected current legislation. When we reviewed records, we saw that these were not consistently applied.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The provider promoted a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and worked as a team.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints quickly and efficiently.
- The provider had information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Implement an effective recruitment procedure to ensure that appropriate checks are completed prior to new staff commencing employment at the practice.
- Improve the practice's risk management systems for monitoring and mitigating the various risks arising from the undertaking of the regulated activities.
- Take action to ensure the regulated activities at My Dentist – Caldly Road - Aintree are overseen by an individual who is registered as a manager.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

<b>Are services safe?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services effective?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services caring?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services responsive to people's needs?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services well-led?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)**

Staff had systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff had received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight vulnerable patients and patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication, within dental care records.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices, (HTM 01-05), published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The provider had arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance. The provider had suitable numbers of dental instruments available for the clinical staff and measures were in place to ensure they were decontaminated and sterilised appropriately.

The staff had systems in place to ensure that patient-specific dental appliances were disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All

recommendations in the assessment had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were maintained. We noted that the practice staff had recorded temperatures outside of the range required for thermic control of Legionella. This had been brought to the attention of management who sought further advice. Documentation shown to us at our inspection, set out reasons why this would not adversely impact on the provisions of the Legionella risk assessment. The information provided stated that if the required temperature was reached (50 degrees centigrade) even for a few seconds, this would be sufficient to reduce the possibility of Legionella bacteria developing in the pipework supplying the practice.

We saw effective cleaning schedules to ensure the practice was kept clean. When we inspected we saw the practice was visibly clean.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The provider lead carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy. Staff could describe steps they would take if they had concerns. The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.

The dentists used dental dam in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, we saw this was documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. When we looked at three staff recruitment records, we found the recruitment policy and recruitment checks were not consistently applied. For three established members of staff there was no evidence of immunity to Hepatitis B. Prior to the announcement of our inspection there were no risk assessments in place for

# Are services safe?

these staff. For a member of staff there was no valid background check and no indemnity insurance cover in place. We noted that discrepancies with some documents had not been identified.

The practice manager told us they did not use locum staff, as there was sufficient cover from staff at neighbouring practices and amongst staff who worked at the practice on a part time basis.

We observed that, with the exception of one staff member, clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

A fire risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. We saw there were fire extinguishers and fire detection systems throughout the building and fire exits were kept clear.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required radiation protection information was available.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The provider carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development in respect of dental radiography.

## **Risks to patients**

The provider had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed the relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken and was updated annually.

The provider's system to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked, was not followed for all staff.

Sepsis prompts for staff in the form of patient information posters, were displayed throughout the practice. This helped ensure staff triaged appointments effectively to manage patients who present with dental infection and where necessary refer patients for specialist care

We observed none of the clinical staff had received training on the recognition, diagnosis and early management of sepsis. We were told that this training was available and staff would be signposted to this. The practice reception staff who were triaging requests for appointments had not received training recognising early symptoms of possible sepsis. We were told that training for this could be organised for non-clinical staff who worked in reception roles.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year. Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. We found staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure they were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and the dental hygienist when they treated patients in line with General Dental Council Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

## **Information to deliver safe care and treatment**

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at dental care records with clinicians to confirm our findings and observed that individual records were typed and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

# Are services safe?

The provider had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements. These arrangements were initiated by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

## **Safe and appropriate use of medicines**

The provider had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

We saw staff stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out annually. The most recent audit indicated the dentists were following current guidelines.

## **Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements**

The provider had implemented systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. Staff monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped staff to understand risks which led to effective risk management systems in the practice as well as safety improvements.

Where there had been safety incidents we saw these were investigated, documented and discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to prevent such occurrences happening again.

The provider had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Staff learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. We saw they were shared with the team and acted upon if required.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Effective needs assessment, care and treatment**

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

### **Helping patients to live healthier lives**

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists prescribed high concentration fluoride products if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them.

The dentists where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staff were aware of and involved with national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

The dentists described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients with preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition.

Records showed patients with severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The staff were aware of the need to obtain proof of legal

guardianship or Power of Attorney for patients who lacked capacity or for children who are looked after. The dentists gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions. We saw this documented in patients' records. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves in certain circumstances. Staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. Staff kept records of the results of these audits, the resulting action plans and improvements.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice had a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were welcoming and kind. We saw staff treated patients respectfully and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders, patient survey results and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

### Privacy and dignity

Staff respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

The provider had installed closed-circuit television, (CCTV), to improve security for patients and staff. We found signage was in place in accordance with the CCTV Code of Practice (Information Commissioner's Office, 2008). A policy and privacy impact assessment had also been completed.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided some privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. If a patient asked for more privacy, the practice would respond appropriately. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

### Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard and the requirements of the Equality Act.

The Accessible Information Standard is a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given. We saw:

- Interpreter services were available for patients who did not speak or understand English. We saw notices in the reception areas, written in languages other than English, informing patients that translation services were available. Patients were also told about multi-lingual staff that might be able to support them.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way they could understand, and communication aids and easy-read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example photographs, study models and X-ray images.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care. They conveyed a good understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia, and adults and children with a learning difficulty.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

Two weeks before our inspection, CQC sent the practice 50 feedback comment cards, along with posters for the practice to display, encouraging patients to share their views of the service.

16 cards were completed, giving a patient response rate of 32%.

16 or 100% of views expressed by patients were positive.

Common themes within the positive feedback were friendliness of staff and easy access to dental appointments. We shared this with the provider in our feedback.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. This included a hearing loop at reception which was portable and the ability to print off any information leaflets in large print if requested.

Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients.

Staff could give examples of patients who found it unsettling to wait in the waiting room before an appointment. The team kept this in mind to make sure the dentist could see them as soon as possible after they arrived.

### Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and included it on their website.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients who requested an urgent appointment were offered an appointment the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The practice's website and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Staff told us the provider took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The provider had a policy providing guidance to staff about how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The acting practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the acting practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response. Alternatively, patients could contact the head office of the provider to register their complaint. In these cases, information was gathered from the practice and the complaint investigated by a customer care team, who provided a response and resolution to the complaint.

The acting practice manager aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice manager had dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the past 12 months.

More work could be done to discuss outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service for patients.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Leadership capacity and capability**

Leaders were visible and approachable. They understood the challenges and were addressing them. Staff told us they worked closely with them to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

The provider had a strategy for delivering the service which was in line with health and social priorities across the region. Staff planned the services to meet the needs of the practice population.

### **Culture**

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued.

Staff discussed their training needs at an annual appraisal and one to one meetings. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The staff focused on the needs of patients.

We saw the provider had systems in place to deal with staff poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so, and they had confidence that these would be addressed.

### **Governance and management**

The acting practice manager had overall responsibility for the management of the practice. The acting practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

The practice was part of a corporate group which had a support centre where teams including human resources, finance, clinical support and patient support services were based. These teams supported and offered advice and updates to the practice when required.

The permanent practice manager, who is the registered manager of the practice was on a period of planned extended leave at the time of our inspection. During our inspection, we observed that the staff member providing cover for this role was not familiar with computer applications to support governance, used by experienced practice managers.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information including performance information, surveys and audits was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The provider had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

### **Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners**

Staff involved patients and staff to support the service. The provider used patient surveys, comment cards and verbal feedback to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test. This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The provider gathered feedback from staff through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

The provider had systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The staff were involved in quality improvement initiatives including peer review as part of their approach in providing high quality care.

## Are services well-led?

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The dentists and dental nurses we spoke with showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete continuing professional development.