

# Clifton Court Medical Practice Quality Report

Victoria Road Darlington County Durham DL1 5JN Tel: 01325 465646 Website: www.cliftoncourtmedicalpractice.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 18 March 2015 Date of publication: 23/07/2015

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

### Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page	
Overall summary	2	
The five questions we ask and what we found	3	
The six population groups and what we found	5	
What people who use the service say	8	
Areas for improvement	8	
Detailed findings from this inspection		
Our inspection team	9	
Background to Clifton Court Medical Practice	9	
Why we carried out this inspection	9	
How we carried out this inspection	9	
Detailed findings	11	

### **Overall summary**

### Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out this comprehensive inspection on 18 March 2015.

Overall, we rated this practice as good.

Our key findings were as follows:

- Staff understood and fulfilled their responsibilities to raise concerns and to report incidents and near misses. Information about safety was recorded, monitored, reviewed and addressed.
- The practice provided a good standard of care, led by current best practice guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect.
- The practice provided additional appointments during busy times.
- The buildings were clean, and the risk of infection was kept to a minimum.

• The practice provided effective care and support to people in vulnerable circumstances, such as people with drug and alcohol problems and those from travelling communities.

However, there were also areas of practice where the provider needs to make improvements. There was a lack of information readily available to patients in the reception area. This means patients were not easily aware of additional help and support services and how to actively engage with the practice to provide feedback.

The provider should:

Review the information available to patients in the reception area. It should ensure sufficient information is available to patients on health promotion and prevention. It should also ensure that information about complaints procedures and opportunities for patients to provide feedback are easily accessible.

#### Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)

Chief Inspector of General Practice

### The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services. Staff understood their roles and responsibilities in raising concerns and reporting incidents. Lessons were learned from incidents and these were communicated throughout the practice. The practice had assessed risks to those using or working at the practice and kept these under review. There were emergency procedures in place to keep people safe. There were sufficient numbers of staff with an appropriate skill mix to keep people safe. Risks to safety from changes in demand or disruption to services were assessed, planned for and managed effectively.

#### Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services. Guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) was referred to routinely and people's needs were assessed and care planned in line with current legislation. This included promotion of good health and assessment of capacity where appropriate. Staff had received training appropriate to their roles and any further training needs had been identified and appropriate training planned. Clinical staff undertook audits of care and reflected on patient outcomes. The practice worked with other services to improve patient outcomes and shared information appropriately. There was, however a lack of information on health promotion and prevention in the reception area.

#### Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services. The feedback gathered through the inspection process was positive, with patients stating they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and were involved in their treatment and care. Data from the patient survey also showed that patients rated the practice higher than others for the care provided by nurses and GPs. We also saw that staff treated patients with kindness and respect and maintained confidentiality.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services. The practice had extended opening hours and access to an overflow clinic. The practice monitored the availability of appointments and provided extra appointments at times of increased demand. Overall, patients were satisfied with access to both nurse and GP appointments. The practice had a good overview of the needs of

Good

Good

Good

their local population and proved additional care and support to vulnerable groups. The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to meet patient need. There was a lack of information provided in reception to help people make a complaint.

#### Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for being well-led. There was a visible management team, with a clear leadership structure. Staff felt supported by management. The practice had a vision and values which staff were clear about. There were systems in place to monitor quality and identify risk. The practice had a Patient Participation Group (PPG) and whilst they were able to evidence where changes had been made as a result of feedback the practice acknowledged that further work needed to be done to ensure more effective patient involvement.

### The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

#### **Older people**

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people. The practice held multi-disciplinary meetings to ensure the needs of those with chronic conditions or end of life care were met. Care plans were tailored to meet to individual needs and circumstances. Patients and their carers were involved in this process. The practice also undertook weekly visits to two residential nursing homes, one of which had a large elderly mental illness unit. The practice undertook monthly multi-disciplinary meetings with the local geriatrician to ensure that care for these vulnerable older patients at the unit was co-ordinated effectively. The over 75's had a named GP. Information was shared with other services, such as out of hours services. Nationally reported data showed the practice had good outcomes for conditions commonly found in older people.

#### People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the care of people with long term conditions. People with long term conditions were monitored and discussed at multi-disciplinary clinical meetings so the practice was able to respond to their changing needs. The clinical team met regularly to discuss NICE guidelines and clinical cases. This ensured that patients received the most appropriate treatment. Information was made available to out of hours providers for those on end of life care to ensure appropriate care and support was offered. The practice had a chronic disease management recall system for patients with chronic conditions including cardiovascular disease, asthma, hypertension, strokes, diabetes and thyroid disease. This included an annual health check at a nurse led clinic to ensure their conditions were appropriately monitored. Patients were involved in making decisions about their care. The practice routinely followed up non-attenders to ensure they had the required routine health checks.

#### Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young people. Systems were in place to identify children who may be at risk, those on a child protection plan or looked after children. The practice monitored levels of children's vaccinations and immunisation rates were in line with the national average. Regular family planning clinics were available. The practice ensured small children who were ill would always be seen on the same day. The appointment system offered flexibility with extended opening hours giving access to both GPs and nursing staff outside of school hours. Good

Good

### Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students). The needs of the working population had been identified and services met these needs. Routine appointments could be booked in advance, or made online. Repeat prescriptions could be ordered online. Longer appointments and extended hours opening were available.Some of the clinics began at 7.30am two mornings a week and on one evening a week there was a late clinic with a choice of GP and nursing appointments until 7.10pm. The practice was also working alongside Primary Healthcare Darlington to improve access as part of the Prime Ministers Challenge Fund, with appointments at overflow clinics and weekend clinics being available.

#### People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable. The practice had a register of those who may be vulnerable, including those who were homeless or from travelling communities, who were offered annual health checks when they visited the practice. Patients or their carers were able to request longer appointments if needed. The practice had a register for looked after or otherwise vulnerable children and worked with school nurses to follow up if any routine appointments were missed. The practice supported patients engaged with substance reduction services by working with pharmacy colleagues to ensure that patients followed their medication regime. The computerised patient plans were used to flag up issues where a patient may be vulnerable or require extra support, for instance if they were a carer. Staff were aware of their responsibilities in reporting and documenting safeguarding concerns.

### People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia). National data showed the practice performed well in carrying out additional health checks and monitoring for those experiencing a mental health problem. For instance, 99% of patients diagnosed with dementia had received a face to face review of their care in the last year, this compares to a national average of 83%. The practice also undertook weekly visits to a residential nursing home with an elderly mental illness unit, where many of the residents had dementia. They worked with other mental health care professionals to ensure that care for vulnerable older patients in the unit was co-ordinated effectively. The practice identified and referred patients in need of mental health support to the Primary Care Good

Good

Mental Health service. The practice also directed patients to outside agencies, such as MIND, for additional advice and support. Regular reviews were offered to all patients on the practices mental health register.

### What people who use the service say

In the NHS England GP Patient Survey from July 2013 -March 2014 89% of patients reported their overall experience as good or very good; this was above the national average. The survey also showed higher than average levels of satisfaction, for both nurses and GPs treating patients with care and concern. However the survey also showed that only 55% of patients said it was generally easy to get through to the GP surgery on the phone, this was lower than the national average of 75%.

We spoke to three members of the Patient Participation Group (PPG) and four patients as part of the inspection. This included families with young children, older people and people with long term conditions. The majority of patients had been registered with the practice for a number of years. They were all satisfied with the service provided. Patients said that they were treated with dignity and respect and that staff were caring, professional and approachable. Whilst some patients commented that they may have to wait to get a routine appointment they were always able to get an appointment in an emergency.

CQC comment cards had been sent to the practice before the inspection for patients to complete, however none had been completed.

### Areas for improvement

#### Action the service SHOULD take to improve

• Review the information available to patients in the reception area. It should ensure sufficient information

is available to patients on health promotion and prevention. It should also ensure that information about complaints procedures and opportunities for patients to provide feedback is easily accessible.



# Clifton Court Medical Practice Detailed findings

### Our inspection team

#### Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector. The team included a specialist advisor GP and Practice Manager.

### Background to Clifton Court Medical Practice

Clifton Court Medical Practice provides Primary Medical Services to a population of 11,383 patients based at Victoria Road in central Darlington. The practice operates from a purpose built healthcare facility. It is a teaching practice.

There are six GP partners and three salaried GPs. There are five male and four female GPs. The practice also has a nurse practitioner, three practice nurses and three healthcare assistants. They are supported by a team of management, reception, administrative and cleaning staff. Out of Hours services are provided via the NHS 111 service.

The practice is in a comparatively deprived area and has higher than average numbers both of older people and children in income deprived households compared to the national average.

The practice is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) to provide the regulated activities of diagnostic and screening procedures; family planning; maternity and midwifery services; surgical procedures, and treatment of disease, disorder and injury.

# Why we carried out this inspection

We inspected this service as part of our comprehensive inspection programme.

We carried out the inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.

# How we carried out this inspection

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services are provided for specific groups of people and what good care looks like for them. The population groups are:

- Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Families, children and young people

# **Detailed findings**

- Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

Before visiting, we reviewed a range of information that we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to

share what they knew. We carried out an announced visit on 18 March 2015. During our visit we spoke with a range of staff including the practice manager, GP's, nursing staff, healthcare assistant and administrative and reception staff. We also spoke with three members of the Patient Participation Group and patients who used the service. We reviewed a variety of documents used by the practice to run the service.

## Are services safe?

### Our findings

#### Safe track record

The practice used a range of information to identify risks and improve quality in relation to patient safety. This included reported incidents, national patient safety alerts and complaints, some of which were then investigated as significant events. Prior to inspection the practice gave us details of complaints and significant events from within the last 12 months.

The practice had a system in place for reporting, recording and monitoring significant events, incidents and accidents. The records showed that staff reported incidents, including their own errors. Significant events were divided into clinical and non-clinical events and were discussed at weekly practice meetings.

The practice had systems in place to circulate safety and medication alerts received into the practice. These were disseminated via email by the practice manager. We found that GPs and nurses were aware of the latest best practice guidelines and incorporated this into their day-to-day practice.

We reviewed safety records and incident reports and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. These showed the practice had managed these consistently over time and so could evidence a safe track record over the long term.

#### Learning and improvement from safety incidents

The practice had a system in place for reporting, recording and monitoring significant events, incidents and accidents. There were records of significant events that had occurred during the last year and we were able to review these. Significant events were an agenda item on weekly clinical meetings which were attended by both clinical and administrative staff. There was evidence that the practice had learned from these and that the findings were shared with relevant staff. Staff, including receptionists, administrators and nursing staff, knew how to raise an issue of concern and felt encouraged to do so.

We saw details of how incidents were managed and monitored over the past year. Incidents and significant events had been raised by both administrative and clinical staff. We tracked these incidents and saw they were completed in a comprehensive and timely manner. Incidents were reviewed; learning identified and changes to practice established. An example of this was how staff were reminded to check name, address and date of birth after the wrong patient details had been entered for an appointment, this was noticed by the GP at the time of the appointment. It was clear from the documentation that patients were informed when something that had gone wrong, the actions taken and an apology given.

### Reliable safety systems and processes including safeguarding

The practice had systems to manage and review risks to vulnerable children, young people and adults. Staff had received relevant role specific training on safeguarding and staff we spoke to could describe how they would identify signs of abuse in older people, vulnerable adults and children. They were also aware of their responsibilities and knew how to share information, properly record documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact the relevant agencies in working hours and out of normal hours. The practice had policies for both the safeguarding of vulnerable adults and safeguarding children.

The practice had appointed a dedicated GP as the lead in safeguarding vulnerable adults and children and they had had the appropriate training to enable them to fulfil this role. All staff we spoke to were aware who the lead was and who to speak to in the practice if they had a safeguarding concern. Safeguarding concerns were discussed at clinical meetings.

There was a system to highlight vulnerable patients on the practice's electronic records. This included children on a child protection plan, looked after children, adults with safeguarding concerns, patients from travelling communities or with substance misuse issues. The clinical staff confirmed they were able to identify and follow up children, young people and families. The practice was working with the Darlington Clinical Commissioning Group on identifying unplanned admissions and how these vulnerable patients could be managed better. Child protection case conferences and reviews were attended by staff where appropriate. We were told that children who persistently fail to attend appointments for childhood immunisations were followed up.

The practice had a chaperone policy and chaperoning was undertaken by the nursing staff. They understood their

### Are services safe?

responsibilities when acting as chaperones, including where to stand to be able to observe the examination. However, at the time of our inspection there were no posters in reception to inform patients about asking for a chaperone. We raised this with the practice and they immediately produced a poster for the reception area.

#### **Medicines management**

We checked medicines stored in the treatment rooms and medicine refrigerators and found they were stored securely and only accessible to authorised staff. There was a clear policy for ensuring that medicines were kept at the required temperatures. The practice staff followed the policy. Practice staff checked and recorded the temperatures of the refrigerators on a daily basis.

Processes were in place to check medicines were within their expiry date and suitable for use. All the medicines we checked were within their expiry dates. The practice had two emergency bags one for internal and one for external use, these were regularly checked to ensure that the contents were intact and in date. Expired and unwanted medicines were disposed of in line with waste regulations. We looked at how vaccines were ordered and saw that they were checked on receipt and stored appropriately in accordance with the manufactures recommendations.

All prescriptions were reviewed and signed by a GP before they were given to the patient. There was a process to regularly review patients' repeat prescriptions to ensure they were still appropriate and necessary. Any changes in medication guidance were communicated to clinical staff. This ensured that staff were aware of any changes and patients received the best treatment for their condition.

Blank prescription forms were handled in accordance with national guidance as these were tracked through the practice and kept securely at all times.

#### **Cleanliness and infection control**

We observed all areas of the practice to be clean, tidy and well maintained. Patients we spoke with told us they found the practice to be clean and had no concerns about cleanliness. The practice had hand washing, hygiene and waste disposal policies. At the time of the inspection the practice did not have a comprehensive infection prevention and control (IPC) policy, however they were able to show us that they had one they were developing and would be introducing in the near future. Staff were able to describe how their clinical practice minimised the risk of the spread of infections including how they would handle a needle stick injury or the cleaning up of a spillage of bodily fluids. There was an identified IPC lead.

We saw evidence that staff had training in IPC to ensure they were up to date in all relevant areas. Aprons, gloves and other personal protective equipment (PPE) were available in all treatment areas as was hand sanitiser and safe hand washing guidance. Sharps bins were appropriately located, labelled, closed and stored after use. We saw that a cleaning schedule was being developed which covered all areas of the practice. Cleaning was carried out by cleaners employed by the practice. Public toilets were observed to be clean and have supplies of hot water, soap, and paper towels.

Staff said they were given sufficient PPE to allow then to do their jobs safely, and were able to discuss their responsibilities for cleaning and reporting any issues. Staff told us that all equipment used for invasive procedures was disposable, so staff were not required to clean or sterilise any instruments. This reduced the risk of infection for patients. We saw that other equipment such as blood pressure monitors used in the practice were clean.

The practice had an infection control audit undertaken in early 2015 by the infection control nurse from the nearby hospital. No significant issues were identified. With the development of the IPC policy it was envisaged that future audits would be undertaken on a regular basis by the practice's IPC lead.

The practice had annual testing for legionella (a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings and can be potentially fatal). This was undertaken by an external professional company.

#### Equipment

We found that equipment such as nebulisers, spirometers, auroscopes, ECG machines (used to detect heart rhythms) and medicine refrigerators were on external contracts to be checked and calibrated on a timely, regular basis to ensure they were functioning correctly. Regular external checks were carried out on equipment such as fire extinguishers and fire alarms and portable appliance testing had been carried out.

### Are services safe?

Staff told us they had sufficient equipment to enable them to carry out diagnostic examinations, assessments and treatments. Staff told us they were trained and knowledgeable in the use of equipment for their daily jobs, and knew how to report faults with equipment.

#### **Staffing and recruitment**

Records we looked at contained evidence that appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and criminal records checks via the Disclosure and Barring Service. The practice had a recruitment policy that set out the standards it followed when recruiting clinical and non-clinical staff.

Staff told us about the arrangements for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. The practice reviewed the demand for appointments and added extra appointments to meet additional needs. The GPs worked collaboratively to ensure that they covered the additional appointment slots. Staff told us there were enough staff to keep patients safe. Feedback from patients we spoke with and surveys confirmed they could get an appointment to see a GP or nurse when they needed to.

#### Monitoring safety and responding to risk

We found that staff recognised changing risks within the service, either for patients using the service or for staff, and were able to respond appropriately. There were procedures in place to assess, manage and monitor risks to patient and staff safety. These included regular checks and risk assessments of the building, the environment and equipment and medicines management, so patients using the service were not exposed to undue risk. There were health and safety policies in place covering subjects such as fire safety, manual handling and equipment and risk assessments for the running of the practice. These were all kept under review to monitor changing risk.

Patients with a change in their condition or new diagnoses were reviewed appropriately, which allowed clinicians to monitor treatment and adjust according to risk. Information on patients was made available to out of hours' providers as required so they would be aware of changing risk. All patients who had been discharged from hospital were contacted to review their care needs.

### Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

Staff we spoke with were able to describe what action they would take in the event of a medical emergency situation. We saw records confirming staff had received basic life support training. Given the constant presence of a GP in the practice during all surgery times the practice had decided that Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation training was required but the decision had been taken following assessment not to have a member of staff trained as a first aider. Staff could describe the roles of accountability in the practice and what actions they needed to take if an incident or concern arose.

A business continuity plan and emergency procedures were in place which had been reviewed, which included details of scenarios they may be needed in, such as loss of data or utilities. Fire drills were held regularly and fire safety checks were carried out.

Emergency medicines, such as for the treatment of cardiac arrest and anaphylaxis, were available and staff knew their location. Processes were in place to check emergency medicines were within their expiry date. A defibrillator and emergency oxygen were available at the practice. Both were checked regularly.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

#### **Effective needs assessment**

All clinical staff we interviewed were able to describe how they accessed guidelines from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and from local health commissioners. These were received into the practice and disseminated via email by the practice manager.

Treatment was considered in line with evidence based best practice. Clinical meetings with the partners were held weekly to ensure clinicians were kept up to date. All the GP's interviewed were aware of their professional responsibilities to maintain their knowledge and had up to date appraisals. Nurses worked alongside GPs within their guidelines for their area of chronic disease management. GPs maintained lead areas of special interest and knowledge including prescribing and learning disabilities. One of the GPs was previously a specialist in ear, nose and throat and provided advice and assessment both to patients at Clifton Court and to other GPs in Darlington.

The practice aimed to ensure that patients had their needs assessed and care planned in accordance with best practice. For instance the practice had a number of patients with drug and alcohol issues. As well as receiving regular health checks they were referred to drug and alcohol support services. The practice also provided support to elderly patients living in a nearby residential home and had initiated monthly meetings with the psycho-geriatrician to ensure co-ordinated care. All over 75s had a named GP.

The practice used computerised tools to identify patients with complex needs who had multidisciplinary care plans documented. The practice had processes in place to ensure that patients recently discharged from hospital were contacted after discharge to have their care reviewed.

Staff were able to demonstrate how care was planned to meet identified needs using best practice templates and how patients were reviewed at required intervals to ensure their treatment remained effective. The practice kept up to date records of patients with long term conditions such as asthma, diabetes and chronic heart disease which were used to arrange annual, or as required health reviews. The annual checks were scheduled based on the month of the patient's birth, which made it easier for the patients to remember. They also provided annual reviews to check the health of patients with learning disabilities and mental illness. National data showed the practice was in line with referral rates to secondary care services for a range of conditions. All GP's we spoke with used national standards for referral, for instance two weeks for patients with suspected cancer to be referred and seen.

The practice also used the computer system to identify patients with specific needs, such as those with dementia or who were in need of palliative care and support. National data showed that over 99% of patients diagnosed with dementia had received a face to face review in the last 12 months, this is significantly above the national average of 83%. Patients requiring palliative care were discussed at regular multi-disciplinary care meetings to ensure their needs assessment remained up to date.

We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care or treatment choices, with patients referred on need alone.

### Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice routinely collected information about people's care and outcomes. It used the Quality and Outcome Framework (QOF) to assess its performance and undertook regular clinical audits. Latest QOF data from 2013-14 showed the practice was above the national average for a range of indicators on the management of diabetes and mental health related indicators.

The practice participated in the unplanned admissions programme being run with the CCG. They had identified their patients who are at highest risk and had formulated care plans to try to reduce unplanned admissions. The practice also attended benchmarking meetings with the CCG and compared their performance with other practices in the area.

The practice has a system in place for completing clinical audit cycles. Audits had been undertaken on medications. This included an audit of patients prescribed methotrexate, to treat auto immune conditions. This medication has known side effects so careful monitoring of the patient's condition was required. The results of the audit were presented back to the practice meeting and medications were reviewed and updated as required.

Clinical staff checked that all routine health checks were completed for long-term conditions such as diabetes and the latest prescribing guidance was being used. The IT

### Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

system flagged up when patients needed to attend for a medication review before a repeat prescription was issued and when people needed to attend for routine checks related to their long term condition.

#### **Effective staffing**

Details of staff training were kept on individual staff files as well as on a training matrix maintained by the practice manager. All essential training had been undertaken and further training needs had been identified and appropriate training planned. Training was provided through external training courses, internal training and e-learning. Staff told us the practice was supportive of relevant professional development.

GP's told us they had undertaken annual external appraisals and had been revalidated or had a date for revalidation, an assessment to ensure they remain fit to practice. Continuing Professional Development for nurses was monitored through the appraisals process. Professional qualifications and medical indemnity insurances were checked and up to date ensuring that clinical staff remained fit to practice.

Staff were appraised annually which generated aims and objectives for staff, with staff able to feed back any problems and what they did well. The recruitment policy showed that relevant checks were made on qualifications and professional registration as part of the process. On starting, staff commenced an induction comprising health and safety and fire precautions, in addition to further role specific induction training and shadowing of other members of staff.

Mandatory training for clinical staff included safeguarding and infection control. Staff also had access to additional training related to their role. Staff said they felt confident in their roles and responsibilities, and were encouraged to ask for help and additional clinical support.

#### Working with colleagues and other services

The practice worked with other service providers to meet people's needs and manage complex cases, for instance regular multi-disciplinary meetings were held to identify and discuss the needs of those requiring palliative care or those with mental health needs. The summary care record was used and all health care professionals had access to it.

Information from out of hour's services and NHS 111 contacts was disseminated to GPs to review the next

15 Clifton Court Medical Practice Quality Report 23/07/2015

working day so that any required action could be taken. The practice kept 'do not resuscitate' and advance decision registers to reflect patient's wishes, and this information was made available to out of hours providers.

Blood results, discharge letters and information from out of hours' providers were received into the practice as paper documents and scanned into the IT system. The scanned documents were disseminated to doctors for action before the end of the day. These were allocated either to the named GP, who had instigated the referral, or the duty doctor if the named GP was not available. The GP recorded their actions around results or arranged to see the patient as clinically necessary.

#### Information sharing

Information was shared between staff at the practice by a variety of means. There were mutli-disciplinary team meetings and clinical meetings which were attended by both clinical and administrative staff. Staff received information via meeting minutes, the intranet, or emails.

Referrals were completed by direct letters to the local hospital, and these were completed within appropriate protocols. The practice used the Choose and Book system for referrals where possible. (Choose and Book is a national electronic referral service which gives patients a choice of place, date and time for their first outpatient appointment in a hospital). There was a shared system with the out of hours provider to enable information to be shared in a timely manner and as appropriate. Urgent information could also be sent or received via fax.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

Clinical staff were aware of the implications of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 and were able to describe key aspects of the legislation and how they implemented it, although not all staff had received training.

Where patients with a learning disability or other mental health problems were supported to make decisions, this was recorded. If someone had lasting power of attorney concerning a patient this was recorded on the computer and in the patients plan.

Staff were able to explain how they would deal with a situation if someone did not have capacity to give consent, including escalating this for further advice to a senior member of staff where necessary. Verbal consent was documented on the computer as part of a consultation.

### Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

Written consent forms were used for invasive procedures such as ear syringing or coil fitting, which detailed risks, benefits and potential complications, which allowed patients to make an informed choice.

All clinical staff demonstrated a clear understanding of Gillick competencies. (These are used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions).

#### Health promotion and prevention

Advice was given on smoking, alcohol consumption and weight management. Smoking status was recorded and patients were offered advice or referral to a cessation service. Patients over the age of 75 had been allocated a named GP. Nurses used chronic disease management clinics to promote healthy living and health prevention in relation to the person's condition. Patients aged 40-74 were offered a health check in line with national policy, to help detect early risks and signs of some conditions such as heart disease and diabetes. New patients were offered health checks. In addition to routine immunisations the practice offered flu vaccinations in line with current national guidance. Data showed childhood immunisation rates were in line with national figures.

The practice had a higher than average number of patients with and drug and alcohol problems. These patients had a named GP who worked alongside pharmacists to ensure medication was taken as prescribed.

The practice's performance for cervical smear uptake was 97.83% which was above the national average of 81%. There was a policy to follow up patients who did not attend for cervical smears.

There was only limited information on health promotion and prevention available to patients in the reception area. For example information on how to access mental health services only covered psychological therapies.

## Are services caring?

### Our findings

#### Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

We spoke to four patients during the inspection and three members of the patient participation group. The feedback from these patients indicated that they were satisfied with the service provided, that they were treated with dignity, respect and care, and that staff were caring,

professional and approachable. This was in line with comments from the NHS England GP Patient Survey from July 2013 - March 2014 where 89% of patients reported their overall experience as good or very good; this was above the national average. The survey also showed higher than average levels of satisfaction for both GPs and nurses treating them with care and concern, 91% and 93% respectively.

The reception desk was shielded by glass partitions which helped keep patient information private. A system was in place to encourage patients to approach the desk one at a time, to help prevent patients overhearing potentially private conversations between patients and reception staff. There was a separate room where patients could speak in private if they wished.

Staff and patients told us that all consultations and treatments were carried out in the privacy of a consulting room. Curtains were in use in treatment and consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during investigations and examinations. We noted that consultation/treatment room doors were closed during consultations and that conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard. There was a chaperone policy and guidelines for staff. Nursing staff acted as chaperones where requested.

### Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The templates used on the computer system for people with long term conditions supported staff in helping to involve people in their care, and nursing staff were able to provide examples of where they had discussed care planning and supported patients to make choices about their treatment.

Patients we spoke to told us that health issues were discussed with them and they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment they wished to receive.

People said the GP's explained treatment and results in a way they could understand. They felt able to ask questions and involved in making decisions about their care. Staff told us there was a telephone translation service available for those whose first language was not English and we saw details for this service.

### Patient/carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

Patients said they were given good emotional support by the doctors and nurses at the practice. GP's referred people to bereavement counselling services where necessary.

The practice maintained a register of carers, with the information being recorded in patient notes so extra support could be offered. The practice also kept registers of other groups, such as those receiving palliative care and patients with mental health issues, so extra support could be provided.

### Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

### Our findings

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The needs of the practice population were understood and systems were in place to address identified needs. The clinical staff had a detailed understanding both about the prevalence of specific diseases and the specific population groups in their area. This information was reflected in the services provided, for example screening programmes, reviews for patients with long term conditions and those with mental health needs. Longer appointments could be made available for those with complex needs, for instance patients with diabetes or for whom English was not their first language.

The practice had extended opening hours, with appointments starting at until 7:30am on Tuesdays and Thursday. The practice also opened until 7.10pm on a Monday which would benefit the working population and parents bringing children outside of school hours. The practice was also working with Primary Healthcare Darlington, as part of the Prime Minister's Challenge Fund, to improve access by offering access to weekday overflow clinics and weekend clinics at a nearby surgery. Reception staff were aware of how to book patients into these clinics. The GPs would also provide telephone consultations if needed. The practice was proactive in monitoring the availability of appointments and would increase appointment slots to meet increases in demand.

The practice was proactive in monitoring those who did not attend for screening or long term condition clinics, and followed these up.

The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services which were planned and delivered, with sufficient treatment rooms and equipment available.

#### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The buildings accommodated the needs of people with disabilities. All treatment/consulting rooms and patient toilets were on the ground floor. We saw that the waiting area was large enough to accommodate patients with wheelchairs and prams and allowed for easy access to the treatment and consultation rooms. Accessible toilet facilities were available for all patients attending the practice. There were a limited number of disabled parking spaces to the rear of the building. Translation facilities were available for those patients whose first language was not English. Where translation services were needed these were automatically allocated a double appointment.

Patient records were noted to highlight to the GPs when someone was living in vulnerable circumstances or at risk so extra support could be offered.

The practice had five male and four female GPs which gave patients to the choices of seeing a male or female GP.

#### Access to the service

Patients were able to book urgent appointments by contacting the practice at 8.00am in the morning; alternatively appointments could be booked in advance. There were also arrangements in place to ensure patients received urgent medical assistance when the practice was closed and details of how to access these were on pre-recorded telephone messages. Appointments could also be booked on line.

Home visits could be made available where required, for instance for those with mobility issues. Repeat prescriptions could be ordered online and this was highlighted on the website.

The practice was open from 8:00am to 7:10pm on Monday, 7:30am to 6:00pm on Tuesday, Wednesday, 8:00am to 6:00pm on Wednesday and Friday. Opening hours on a Thursday were 7:30am to 12:00pm and 3:00pm to 6:00pm. Patients who were working or had caring responsibilities could also obtain appointments on a Saturday and Sunday. Opening times and closures were advertised on the practice website.

During core times patients could access doctors, nurses and health care assistants. Patients we spoke with told us their appointments generally ran to time and could get appointments with their preferred GP, although they may have to wait a few days for these. However, patients commented that they could always get an urgent appointment on the day.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had a system in place for handling complaints and concerns. Their complaints policy was in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England and there was a designated responsible person

### Are services responsive to people's needs?

### (for example, to feedback?)

who handled all complaints in the practice. Information on how to complain was not displayed in reception. Staff were aware of the complaints process and could provide information to patients on it.

We looked at a summary of complaints from the last 12 months, and could see that these had been responded to with a full explanation and apology. The practice summarised and discussed complaints with staff at meetings. Some complaints were also raised as significant events and investigated and changes made to medications or practice. People we spoke to said they would feel comfortable raising a complaint if the need arose.

There was no suggestion box in reception where patients could leave feedback other than the Friends and Family box. Patients could also access a link to the Friends and Family Test via the practice website.

### Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

### Our findings

### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to ensure that they offered patient centred medical services to all patients. In doing so they treated patients with respect and courtesy and provide advice on treatment based on clinical need. The practice was in the process of developing a detailed business plan to set out their short and long terms goals and how they would achieve them.

All the staff we spoke to knew and understood the vision and values and knew what their responsibilities were in relation to these. Staff also felt that they were consulted and their opinion was valued.

#### **Governance arrangements**

The practice had a number of policies and procedures in place to govern activity and these were available to staff on the desktop on any computer within the practice. Staff were aware of the policies and how to access them.

Staff were clear on their roles and responsibilities and were able to communicate with doctors or managers if they were asked to do something they felt they were not competent in. A number of staff had specific lead roles such as infection control and management of specific conditions.

The practice used the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) to measure performance. The QOF data for this practice showed was performing in line or above national standards in most areas, and the practice regularly reviewed its results and considered how to improve. The practice had identified lead roles for areas of clinical interest and safeguarding. The GPs undertook their own programme of clinical audit. Audit results were regularly reported back to staff in the practice.

Information and learning from incidents and complaints was also evaluated and reported to staff in the practice.

#### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff said they felt happy to work at the surgery, and that they were supported to deliver a good service and good standard of care. Staff described the culture at the practice as open and honest and said they felt confident in raising concerns or feedback. Our review of the complaints and incidents log confirmed that both administrative and clinical staff had raised issues.

Staff within the practice felt supported by their managers and the GPs there was also a clear structure which set out both organisational and clinical lines of accountability.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

There was a virtual Patient Participation Group (PPG) and actions were published on the practice website for the practice population to read. This included informing patients of the work undertaken to review the appointments system, including a review of the triage service. As result of the feedback the practice agreed to only triage those appointments were a visit was requested. The practice regularly monitored access to appointments to meet increases in demand.

The practice had struggled to gain on-going participation from patients on the PPG and had decided that the best way to gain feedback was to have a PPG that functioned solely as a virtual entity. The PPG members did not meet face to face with each other or with staff from the practice. Feedback was gained from the PPG members by surveys or questionnaires which were sent out to them by email. Members of the PPG did feel that they could approach the practice with any suggestions or concerns and these would be acted upon. As part of the practices' on-going development the partners and the practice manager were actively considering how to improve patient engagement and involvement.

#### Management lead through learning and improvement

Staff told us the practice supported them to maintain their clinical professional development through training and mentoring. We saw that all the doctors and relevant staff were able to access protected learning time where necessary. We saw that appraisals took place where staff could identify learning objectives and training needs.

The practice had completed reviews of significant events and other incidents, and shared these with staff via team meeting discussions to ensure the practice improved outcomes for patients. Staff told us the culture at the practice was one of continuous learning and improvement.