

# Techcrown Limited Hollywynd Rest Home

### **Inspection report**

5-9 St Botolphs Road Worthing West Sussex BN11 4JN

Tel: 01903210681 Website: www.hollywynd.co.uk Date of inspection visit: 14 July 2022 15 July 2022

Good

Date of publication: 02 August 2022

#### Ratings

### Overall rating for this service

Is the service safe?	Good 🔍
Is the service effective?	Good 🔍
Is the service caring?	Good 🔍
Is the service responsive?	Good 🔎
Is the service well-led?	Good •

### Summary of findings

### Overall summary

#### About the service

Hollywynd Rest Home is a residential care home providing care and support for up to 40 people. People were living with a range of needs associated with the frailties of old age and some other physical and mental health needs. 27 people were living at the service on the day of our inspection.

#### People's experience of using this service and what we found

People received personalised care and support specific to their needs and preferences. One person told us, "I'm very grateful to them, I'm very happy." People were protected from the risks of harm, abuse or discrimination because staff knew what actions to take if they identified concerns. There were enough staff working to provide the support people needed. Staff understood the risks associated with the people they supported. Risk assessments provided guidance for staff about individual and environmental risks. People received their medicines safely, when they needed them.

Care was person-centred and promoted people's dignity, privacy and human rights. People's individual needs and choices were recognised, and respected. People were supported to have choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

The provider and staff had worked hard to develop good leadership. Quality monitoring systems had been embedded and morale was good amongst the staff team. We received positive feedback in relation to the care people received and how the service was run. One person told us, "I love it here, they are all so very good." A relative added, "The manager is on the ball, she is organised, I would certainly recommend the home to others."

Staff had the skills and knowledge to meet people's needs and preferences. They received training, regular supervision and attended team meetings to support them in their roles. People's nutritional and hydrational needs were met. There was also regular involvement from health and social care professionals.

We observed a kind and caring culture. People spoke positively about the support staff gave to people. We observed positive interactions between people and staff throughout the inspection.

People's care plans were personalised and gave staff the information they needed to support people. We saw people were supported with their communication needs and their preferred activities in accordance with their care plans.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk

Rating at last inspection The last rating for this service was good (published 25 August 2021).

2 Hollywynd Rest Home Inspection report 02 August 2022

#### Why we inspected

This inspection was prompted by a review of the information we held about this service.

We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the Safe key question. We look at this in all care home inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to COVID-19 and other infection outbreaks effectively.

The overall rating for the service has remained good based on the findings of this inspection.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service, which will help inform when we next inspect.

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

<b>Is the service safe?</b> The service was safe.	Good ●
<b>Is the service effective?</b> The service was effective.	Good ●
<b>Is the service caring?</b> The service was caring.	Good •
<b>Is the service responsive?</b> The service was responsive.	Good ●
<b>Is the service well-led?</b> The service was well-led.	Good •



# Hollywynd Rest Home Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

#### The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

As part of this inspection we looked at the infection control and prevention measures in place. This was conducted so we can understand the preparedness of the service in preventing or managing an infection outbreak, and to identify good practice we can share with other services.

#### Inspection team

The inspection was completed by one inspector and an Expert by Experience. An Expert by Experience is a person who has personal experience of using or caring for someone who uses this type of care service.

#### Service and service type

Hollywynd Rest Home is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing and/or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement dependent on their registration with us. Hollywynd is a care home without nursing care. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

#### Registered Manager

This service is required to have a registered manager. A registered manager is a person who has registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided. At the time of our inspection there was not a registered manager. However, a manager was in post and was in the process of registering with the CQC.

Notice of inspection This inspection was unannounced.

#### What we did before the inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We used the information the provider sent us in the provider information return (PIR). This is information providers are required to send us annually with key information about their service, what they do well, and improvements they plan to make. We used all this information to plan our inspection.

#### During the inspection

We used the Short Observational Framework for Inspection (SOFI). SOFI is a way of observing care to help us understand the experience of people who could not talk with us.

We spoke with people and staff and gathered information relating to the management of the service. We reviewed a range of records. This included five care plans. We spoke with six people living at the service. We also spoke with seven members of staff, including the manager, the deputy manager, the chef and care staff.

#### After the inspection

We contacted six relatives and friends by telephone.

### Is the service safe?

# Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. At this inspection the rating has remained good. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

• People told us they felt safe at the service. A relative told us, "[My relative] tells me that someone often pops in at night to see if she is all right. She says there is always someone there to help her."

• Staff had received training in how to keep people safe from abuse. They knew how to raise concerns both with the service and to external health and social care organisations.

• The provider took action to keep people safe. They had worked with the local safeguarding authority to investigate concerns. Where needed they supported staff with extra training to ensure they provided safe care.

• Staff knew about whistleblowing and said they would have no hesitation in reporting any concerns they had.

Using medicines safely

• People told us they received their medicines appropriately, a relative said, "I feel reassured that my [relative] is given her medication at set times."

• Care staff were trained in the administration of medicines. A member of staff described how they completed medicine administration records. These were accurate. We observed a member of staff giving medicines sensitively and appropriately. Staff administered medicines to people in a discreet and respectful way and stayed with them until they had taken them safely.

• People's medicines were stored appropriately, and accurate records had been kept of when they were administered to people. Staff supported people to take their medicines safely and at the time prescribed by their doctor. Medicines were kept locked so they could only be accessed by trained staff.

#### Staffing and recruitment

• We observed care delivery in all areas of the service. The deployment of staff met people's needs and kept them safe.

• Staffing levels were assessed daily, or when the needs of people changed, to ensure people's safety. We were told existing staff would be contacted to cover shifts in circumstances such as sickness and annual leave.

• Feedback from people and staff was they felt the service had enough staff and our own observations supported this. One person told us, "I give them a wave or push my bell and they come straight to me."

• There were systems in place to ensure staff were safe to work in the service. All staff had a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check completed prior to starting at the home. A DBS check provides information including details about convictions and cautions held on the Police National Computer. The information helps employers make safer recruitment decisions.

Learning lessons when things go wrong

• Staff took appropriate action following accidents and incidents to ensure people's safety and this was recorded. For example, contacting relevant health professionals after any specific incidents.

• We saw specific details and any follow up action to prevent a re-occurrence was recorded, and any subsequent action was shared and analysed to look for any trends or patterns.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

• Risks associated with the safety of the environment and equipment were identified and managed appropriately. Regular checks to ensure fire safety had been undertaken and people had personal emergency evacuation plans, which informed staff of how to support people to evacuate the building in the event of an emergency. Equipment was regularly checked and maintained. This ensured that people were supported to use equipment that was safe.

• Risk assessments were reviewed regularly to ensure they provided current guidance for staff. Each person's care plan had a number of risk assessments completed which were specific to their needs, such as mobility, risk of falls and medicines. The assessments outlined the associated hazards and what measures could be taken to reduce or eliminate the risk.

Preventing and controlling infection

- We were assured that the provider was preventing visitors from catching and spreading infections.
- We were assured that the provider was meeting shielding and social distancing rules.
- We were assured that the provider was admitting people safely to the service.
- We were assured that the provider was using PPE effectively and safely.
- We were assured that the provider was accessing testing for people using the service and staff.
- We were assured that the provider was promoting safety through the layout and hygiene practices of the premises.

• We were assured that the provider was making sure infection outbreaks can be effectively prevented or managed.

• We were assured that the provider's infection prevention and control policy was up to date.

Visiting in care homes

The provider was facilitating visits for people living in the service in accordance with the current guidance.

### Is the service effective?

# Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. At this inspection the rating has remained good. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and people's feedback confirmed this.

#### Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

• People's ability to eat safely and maintain a healthy weight were assessed. Where needed, advice was sought from healthcare professionals on how people's diets should be adapted to suit them. Information was available in the kitchen to ensure people received appropriate drinks, meals and snacks.

• Where people were at risk of malnutrition, food and fluid charts were completed to monitor people's intake. This allowed staff to provide support and encouragement to people who were struggling to eat and drink. A relative told us, "My [relative] is always supplied with a pureed meal. The SALT (speech and language therapy) team have visited her and she also has thickener in her drinks."

• People were offered a choice of food from the menu and was enjoyed by everyone. One person told us, "The food is lovely and there's lots of it. I think they are building me up for the winter." In addition, people were confident staff knew about any food allergies and would provide alternative meals if needed. A relative told us, "[My relative] enjoys the food and the meals are always nice and hot. Drinks are always being served, they do their best to keep people hydrated with tea, squash and recently ice lollies. They are fantastic people, marvellous."

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

• Staff had received training in looking after people, including safeguarding, health and safety, equality and diversity. They were knowledgeable of relevant best practice and regulations. Staff supported people with confidence and professionalism.

• Staff completed an induction when they started working at the service and 'shadowed' experienced members of staff until they were assessed as competent to work unsupervised.

• Systems of staff development including one to one supervision meetings and annual appraisals were in place.

Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law • People's needs were assessed before they moved into the service. This allowed the manager to assess risks to people and whether staff were able to support people in a safe manner or identify if they required further training.

• The provider had up to date policies in place which reflected legislation and best practice. All staff knew how to access the policies and systems were in place to monitor that they kept up to date with changes.

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care; Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support; Adapting service, design, decoration to meet people's needs

• Staff liaised effectively with other organisations and teams. People received support from specialised healthcare professionals when required, such as GP's, chiropodists and social workers. Feedback from staff and documentation supported this. Staff recognised when people were poorly and had contacted the relevant professionals. Staff kept records about the healthcare appointments people had attended and implemented the guidance provided by healthcare professionals.

• People told us they received effective care and their needs were met. A relative told us, "I am really happy with the care, my [relative] is the best I've seen her for a long time, she has only been in the home for six weeks. It has been a complete change for her. She had become immobile at home and stayed in bed, but now she walks with a frame."

• People's individual needs around their mobility were met by the adaptation of the premises. Handrails were fitted throughout. Slopes and a passenger lift allowed people in wheelchairs to access all parts of the service, and there were adapted bathrooms and toilets. Clear signage enabled people to orientate themselves around the service and locate any specific rooms they needed, such as toilets and bathrooms.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA. In care homes, and some hospitals, this is usually through MCA application procedures called the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS).

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, whether appropriate legal authorisations were in place when needed to deprive a person of their liberty, and whether any conditions relating to those authorisations were being met.

• The provider and care staff had a good understanding of the Act and were working within the principles of the MCA. People were not unduly restricted and consent to care and treatment was routinely sought by staff.

### Is the service caring?

### Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. At this inspection the rating has remained good. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity
Peoples' equality and diversity was respected. Staff adapted their approach to meet peoples' individualised needs and preferences. People were supported with kindness and compassion.
We observed positive interactions, appropriate communication and staff appeared to enjoy delivering care to people. One person told us, "The staff are marvellous, especially the ones here today, I can't fault them."
People were encouraged to maintain relationships with their friends and families and to make new friends with people living in the service. Visitors could come to the service and could stay as long as they wanted.
Staff also recognised that people might need additional support to be involved in their care and information was available if people required the assistance of an advocate. An advocate is someone who can offer support to enable a person to express their views and concerns, access information and advice, explore choices and options and defend and promote their rights.

Supporting people to express their views and be involved in making decisions about their care • Staff provided people with choice in the way their care was delivered. Throughout the inspection, people were given a variety of choices of what they would like to do and where they would like to spend time. A relative told us, "My [relative] has a very flexible choice of when she wants to get up or go to bed. I know recently she was asked if she would like to choose the colour of the paint for her bedroom." • People were supported to make their own decisions. People told us they were free to do what they wanted throughout the day. Staff were committed to ensuring people remained in control and received support that centred on them as an individual.

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

• Staff supported people and encouraged them, where they were able, to be as independent as possible. For example, we saw people assisting around the service, by clearing up plates after lunch.

• Care staff informed us that they always prompted people to remain active and carry out any personal care tasks for themselves, such as brushing their teeth and hair and mobilising around the service.

• People we spoke with thought they were well cared for and treated with respect and dignity, and had their independence promoted. A relative told us, "The staff are very friendly, they enabled [my relative] to make the decision whether to stay there, or to go home, and she decided to stay. She used to stay in bed at home, but she gets up every day here and stays up for the whole afternoon. She had pressure areas at home, but she is having a good diet here and her skin is fine now."

• People's privacy and dignity was protected, and we saw staff knocking on doors before entering and talking with people in a respectful manner.

### Is the service responsive?

# Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. At this inspection the rating has remained good. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

• Individual person-centred care plans had been developed, enabling staff to support people in a personalised way that was specific to their needs and preferences, including any individual religious beliefs. These included people's choices around what they enjoyed doing during the day and their preferences around clothes and personal care.

• Care plans contained personal information, which recorded details about people and their lives. This information had been drawn together, where possible by the person, their family and staff. A relative told us, "We gave background information and [my relative's] likes and dislikes. She brought in some of her treasured personal items from home."

• Staff told us they knew people well and had a good understanding of their family history, individual personality, interests and preferences, which enabled them to engage effectively and provide person centred care.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities that are socially and culturally relevant to them
People told us that the service responded well to their care and recreational needs. There was a varied range of activities on offer which included, music, arts and crafts, quizzes, exercise and visits from external entertainers. A relative told us, "They are wonderful people, so caring. They are always organising something for the residents, they try very hard. They have visiting singers and there is a garden party in a couple of weeks. On their birthday they make a cake and put up balloons and make it special for them."
People were given the opportunity to observe their faith and any religious or cultural requirements were recorded in their care plans. If requested, representatives of churches visited, so that people could observe their faith.

#### Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns

• People were supported to raise concerns. People received information on how to make a complaint when they moved into the service and information was also on display for people to access.

• People living at the service and their relatives told us that they were happy to raise concerns.

#### Meeting people's communication needs

Since 2016 all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard. The Accessible Information Standard tells organisations what they have to do to help ensure people with a disability or sensory loss, and in some circumstances, their carers, get information in a way they can understand it. It also says that people should get the support they need in

relation to communication.

• The service identified people's information and communication needs by assessing them. People's communication needs were identified, recorded and highlighted and in care plans. These needs were shared appropriately with others.

End of life care and support

• People's wishes for the end of their life had been recorded in their care plans. For example, if people wanted to stay at the service instead of being admitted to hospital.

• Staff were knowledgeable about supporting people at the end of their lives and the healthcare professionals who would be able to support people.

### Is the service well-led?

## Our findings

Well-led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. At this inspection the rating has remained good. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high quality, person-centred care.

Managers and staff are clear about their roles, and understand quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

• The provider undertook a range of quality assurance audits to ensure a good level of quality was maintained. We saw audit activity which included medicines, infection control and health and safety. The results were analysed to determine trends and introduce preventative measures.

• Policy and procedure documentation was up to date and relevant to guide staff on how to carry out their roles.

• The provider had informed the CQC of significant events in a timely way, such as when people had passed away, where there had been suspected abuse and any significant injury. This meant we could check appropriate action had been taken.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

• People, relatives and staff spoke highly of the service and felt it was well-led. We received positive feedback in relation to how the service was run, and our own observations supported this. One person told us, "I like it here, I really can't grumble. I'm happy." A relative added, "The manager is approachable and is always happy, they are all very happy in their work."

• Staff commented they felt supported and had a good understanding of their roles and responsibilities. The manager and staff told us the care of people living at the service was the most important aspect of their work and they strived to ensure that people received high quality care. Our own observations supported this. The manager told us, "There is a good atmosphere here, a good buzz. The staff are happy, and people want to live here."

• Staff had a good understanding of equality, diversity and human rights and explained how they would make sure nobody at the service suffered from any kind of discrimination. This was reinforced through training.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

• People and staff were actively involved in developing the service. We saw examples of how feedback from people had influenced activities and food choices.

• There were systems and processes followed to consult with people, relatives, staff and healthcare professionals. Meetings and satisfaction surveys were carried out, providing management with a mechanism for monitoring satisfaction with the service provided.

Continuous learning and improving care

• The service had a strong emphasis on teamwork and communication. Handover between shifts was thorough and staff had time to discuss matters relating to the previous shift.

• Staff commented that they all worked together and approached concerns as a team. A member of staff told us, "We are a good team, I enjoy working here. The manager has put faith and trust in me, and I like that."

• Up to date information was made available for staff including details of specific topics, such as COVID-19, medicines and the Mental Capacity Act, to ensure they understood and had knowledge of how to assist people.

Working in partnership with others; How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong

• The service liaised with organisations within the local community. For example, the Local Authority and Clinical Commissioning Group, to share information and learning around local issues and best practice in care delivery, as well as to assist each other in investigating any concerns.

• The manager was aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. The Duty of Candour is a regulation that all providers must adhere to. Under the Duty of Candour, providers must be open and transparent, and it sets out specific guideline's providers must follow if things go wrong with care and treatment.