

# Diamond Smiles Dental Centre Limited Diamond Smiles Dental Centre Ltd

**Inspection Report** 

12 Skipton road Barnoldswick Lancashire BB185NB Tel:01282853853 Website:www. diamondsmilesdental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 24 March 2016 Date of publication: 05/05/2016

#### **Overall summary**

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 24 March 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Background

The practice provides a range of private and some NHS dental services children for patients in and around the Barnoldswick area.

The dental practice is based on the ground and first floors of a converted building in the centre of Barnoldswick. There is a waiting room, separate reception, three treatment rooms, decontamination room, staff room/ kitchen and further storage facilities.

The practice had three dentists supported by a practice manager, three dental nurses, two trainee dental nurses, hygienist and orthodontic therapists and reception staff.

The practice is open Monday and Wednesday 8:40 am to 5.00pm and Tuesday and Thursday 9:40 am to 6:30pm with Friday 9am to 1pm.

The practice owner (principal dentist) is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

# Summary of findings

Before the inspection we sent Care Quality Commission comment cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We received feedback from six patients who all gave positive comments about the care and treatment they received at the practice.

#### Our key findings were:

- The practice had systems to assess and manage risks to patients, including infection prevention and control, health and safety and the management of medical emergencies.
- Premises were well maintained and a tour of the building confirmed that good cleaning and infection control systems were in place.
- There was appropriate equipment and access to emergency drugs to enable the practice to respond to medical emergencies. This included an automated external defibrillator. Staff had been trained to manage medical emergencies.

- There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff to meet the needs of patients.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand.
- The dental practice had effective clinical governance and risk management structures in place.
- There were systems to monitor and continually improve the quality of the service; including through a programme of clinical and non-clinical audits.
- The practice had a positive relationship with local primary schools holding educational sessions in the schools to promote good dental hygiene.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

• Review the staff recruitment process to ensure all information is available prior to recruitment.

#### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems to assess and manage risks to patients. These included maintaining the required standards of infection prevention and control.

There were clear procedures regarding the maintenance of equipment and the storage of medicines in order to deliver care safely. Medicines for use in the event of a medical emergency were safely stored and records confirmed they were checked to ensure they were in date and safe to use. All staff had received training in responding to a medical emergency including cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR).

There were systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members.

There were maintenance contracts in place to ensure all equipment had been serviced regularly, including, the ultrasonic bath, autoclaves, fire extinguishers, the air compressor, oxygen cylinder and X-ray equipment.

There was documentary evidence to demonstrate that staff had attended training in child protection and adult safeguarding procedures and understood their responsibilities in relation to identifying and reporting any potential abuse.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice followed guidance issued by the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP); for example, regarding taking X-rays at appropriate intervals. Patients' dental care records provided comprehensive information about their current dental needs and past treatment.

We saw that appropriate referrals were made to specialist services for further investigations following changes in the patient's oral health.

Staff were knowledgeable about how to ensure patients had sufficient information and the mental capacity to give informed consent. Staff we spoke with were aware of the impact of patients' and their family's general health and wellbeing and were proactive in providing information and support.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback from six patients about the care and treatment they received at the practice. The feedback were positive of the practice with patients being unanimous in their praise for the care they received. Patients commented on the professionalism and caring nature of the staff and ease of accessibility in an emergency.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients using the service on the day of the inspection.Policies and procedures in relation to data protection and security and confidentiality were in place and staff were aware of these.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We reviewed the CQC comment cards patients had completed prior to the inspection and confirmed patients were happy with the care they received and felt fully involved in making decisions about their treatment.

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices about treatment. Patients were given a copy of their treatment plan and associated costs. This gave patients clear information about the different elements of their treatment and the costs relating to them

The treatment rooms were based on both the ground and first floor of the building. If a patient had mobility difficulties the dentists used the ground floor treatment area. There was a disabled bathroom based on the ground floor and disabled access throughout the ground floor.

There were arrangements for dealing with any complaints and concerns raised by patients or their carers.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There was a comprehensive range of policies and procedures in use at the practice which were easily accessible to staff.

The practice identified, assessed and managed clinical and environmental risks related to the service provided. Lead roles supported the practice to identify and manage risks and helped ensure information was shared with all team members.

There were regular team meetings where staff were given the opportunity to give their views of the service. Staff told us that they felt well supported and could raise any concerns with the dentist.

The practice regularly sought feedback from patients conducting their own surveys and via family and friends /NHS surveys, in order to improve the quality of the service provided. The latest family and friends surveys concluded that patients were extremely likely to recommend the dental practice to others.

The practice had a system to monitor and continually improve the quality of the service through a programme of clinical and non-clinical audits. Where areas for improvement had been identified action had been taken and there was evidence of repeat audits to monitor those improvements had been maintained.



# Diamond Smiles Dental Centre Ltd

**Detailed findings** 

### Background to this inspection

The inspection was undertaken on the 24 March 2016 and led by a CQC inspector who had access to remote advice from a specialist advisor.

We informed NHS England area team / Healthwatch that we were inspecting the practice; however we did not receive any information of concern from them.

The practice sent us their statement of purpose, and details of staff working at the practice. During our inspection visit, we reviewed policy documents and staff records. We spoke with six members of staff, including principal dentist and dental hygienist. We toured the practice and reviewed emergency medicines and equipment. To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

# Our findings

#### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice manager we spoke with told us if there was an accident or incident that affected a patient they would be given an apology and informed of any actions taken to prevent a reoccurrence.

The practice responded to national patient safety and medicines alerts that affected the dental profession. The principal dentist reviewed all alerts and spoke with staff to ensure they were acted upon.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to investigate, respond to and learn from significant events and complaints. Staff were aware of the reporting procedures in place and encouraged to raise safety issues to the attention of the principal dentist. The practice responded to and made improvements following any accidents or incidents. Incidents were discussed individually with all staff and at staff meetings. They were also displayed on the staff memo board based in the staff room for reference.

The practice had an incident reporting policy which included information and guidance about the Reporting of Injuries Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR).

We reviewed accidents that had taken place since the practice opened and found the practice had responded appropriately. Serious incidents were recorded, appropriately reported and action taken to minimise future risk.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had up to date safeguarding policies and guidance for staff to refer to including the contact details for the relevant safeguarding professionals in Barnoldswick, Lancashire. All of the staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibility to safeguard people from abuse.

The practice followed national guidelines on patient safety. For example, rubber dams were used when carrying out root canal treatments in line with guidelines from the British Endodontic Society. (A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth).

The practice had a policy and procedure to assess risks associated with the Control Of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations 2002. The policy directed staff to identify and risk assess each substance at the practice. There were risk assessments in place and information regarding materials used to inform staff what action to take if an accident occurred for example in the event of any spillage or a chemical being accidentally splashed onto the skin.

#### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had clear guidance about how to deal with medical emergencies. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). The practice maintained an emergency resuscitation kit, oxygen and emergency medicines to support patients. This included a wide range of airways and face masks for both adults and children. The practice had in place an emergency bag which had individualised areas for different types of emergency responses with organised drugs and equipment grouped to meet the needs of each potential emergency.

The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED) to support staff in a medical emergency. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart including ventricular fibrillation and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

Records showed weekly checks were carried out to ensure the equipment and emergency medicines were safe to use. Staff had attended their annual training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support as a team within the last 12 months. First aid boxes were easily accessible in the practice.

#### Staff recruitment

There were clear recruitment and selection procedures in place that described the process for employing new staff. They included proof of identity, immunisation status and checking qualifications and professional registration. However we found that with the most recent employee

# Are services safe?

references were not in place prior to recruitment. We spoke to the practice manager who explained that they had spoken verbally to the references but had not recorded this.

The practice's policy was to carry out Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) checks for all newly appointed staff. These checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. We looked at the recruitment files and found that these were all in place.

We saw that clinical staff were covered by personal indemnity insurance (this is an insurance professionals are required to have in place to cover their working practice) In addition the providers public liability insurance covered all employees working in the practice and which was valid. Staffs' professional registration with the General Dental Council (GDC) was checked annually. The GDC registers all dental care professionals to make sure they are appropriately qualified and competent to work in the United Kingdom. Records we looked at confirmed these were up to date.

#### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had systems, processes and policies in place to manage and monitor risks to patients, staff and visitors to the practice. These included annual and monthly checks of the building, the environment, cross infection, sharps, emergency medicines and equipment.

The practice carried out a number of risk assessments and kept a well maintained Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) file. Other assessments included fire safety, health and safety and water quality risk assessments.

The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan which described situations which might interfere with the day to day running of the practice. This included water ingress, loss of computer systems, electricity or water supplies or the closure of the premises due to fire. The plan contained a list of contact numbers for staff and various contractors.

#### Infection control

The practice had a decontamination room based on the first floor. The room was set out according to the Department of Health's guidance, Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 (HTM 01-05), decontamination in primary care dental practices.

The practice followed the guidance about decontamination and infection control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 -Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)' and the 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance'. These documents and the practice's policy and procedures relating to infection prevention and control were accessible to staff. The practice manager was the infection control lead and they ensured there was a comprehensive infection control policy and set of procedures to help keep patients safe. These included hand hygiene, manual cleaning, managing waste products and decontamination guidance. We observed waste was separated into safe containers for disposal by a registered waste carrier and appropriate documentation retained.

We also saw the infection control audit completed in 2015, which had risk assessed the dental practice and highlighted action to be taken. We saw that this action had been completed.

Posters about good hand hygiene and the decontamination procedures were clearly displayed to support staff in following practice procedures.

We looked around the premises during the inspection and found the treatment rooms and the decontamination room were visibly clean and hygienic. They were free from clutter and had sealed floors and work surfaces that could be cleaned with ease to promote good standards of infection control. The practice had cleaning schedules and infection control daily and weekly checks for each treatment room which were complete and up to date. Staff cleaned the treatment areas and surfaces between each patient and at the end of the morning and afternoon sessions to help maintain infection control standards. A cleaner was employed to clean the public areas of the building.

There were hand washing facilities in the treatment rooms and staff had access to supplies of protective equipment for patients and staff members.

Decontamination procedures were carried out in a dedicated decontamination room. In accordance with HTM

## Are services safe?

01-05 guidance an instrument transportation system with sealed boxes implemented to ensure the safe movement of instruments between the treatment room and the decontamination room which minimised the risk of the spread of infection.

Staff took us through the decontamination process in both areas and were aware of the work flow in the decontamination area from the 'dirty' to the 'clean' zones.

The dental nurse showed us the procedures involved in cleaning, rinsing, inspecting and decontaminating dirty instruments; and packaging and storing clean instruments. A spot check of bagged instruments showed that they were clean, free from damage and appropriately dated. Staff wore eye protection, an apron and heavy duty gloves throughout the cleaning stages The practice had systems in place for daily quality testing the decontamination equipment and we saw records which confirmed these had taken place. The practice had an ultrasonic bath (away for repair) and two autoclaves. A washer disinfector had been purchased but not yet installed. There were sufficient instruments available to ensure the services provided to patients were uninterrupted.

We saw that all sharps bins were being used correctly and located appropriately. The practice operates a "safer sharps" policy to reduce the risk of injury to staff and patients. Safer syringes had been purchased and where possible sharp items were single use only. Clinical waste was stored securely for collection in a locked room on the 2nd floor. We saw that the provider had a contract with an authorised contractor for the collection and safe disposal of clinical waste.

We reviewed the last legionella risk assessment report from 2015. Actions identified had been put into place including regular water temperature testing and flushing of water lines. (Legionella is a germ found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings). This ensured the risks of Legionella bacteria developing in water systems within the premises had been identified and preventive measures taken to minimise the risk to patients and staff of developing Legionnaires' disease.

#### **Equipment and medicines**

We saw that the practice had an arrangement to check the portable electrical appliances (PAT) (PAT is the term used to

describe the examination of electrical appliances and equipment to ensure they are safe to use). The most recent test was carried out in 2015. We noted the electrical systems had been checked in 2013.

There were maintenance contracts in place for the equipment such as autoclaves, X-ray equipment and the air compressor. We saw evidence to show the fire system was serviced and the alarms were tested on a regular basis and staff carried out regular fire drills.

There was a system in place to ensure that staff received safety alerts from the Medicines and Health Care products Regulatory Agency and the practice manager was aware of recent alerts.

#### Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had in place a Radiation Protection Adviser (RPA) and Radiation Protection Supervisors (RPS). The practice's radiation protection file was maintained in line with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 and Ionising Radiation Medical Exposure Regulations 2000 (IRMER). It was detailed and up to date with an inventory of all X-ray equipment and maintenance records. We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. For example, local rules relating to each X-ray machine were maintained, a radiation risk assessment was in place and X-ray audits were carried out. The results of the most recent audit in 2015 confirmed they were meeting the required standards.

X-rays were taken in accordance with the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP) Good Practice Guidelines. The justification for taking X-rays was recorded in dental care records to evidence that the potential benefit and/or risks of the exposure had been considered. X-rays were stored within the patient's dental care record.

We saw that all the staff were up to date with their continuing professional development training in respect of dental radiography. The practice also had a maintenance log which showed that the X-ray machines had been serviced regularly. The registered provider told us that they undertook annual quality audits of the X-rays taken. We saw the results from monthly audits and the results were in accordance with the National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB). Action plans were in place to continuously improve the procedure and reduce future risks.

# Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

# Our findings

#### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

Patients were asked to complete a full medical history when they joined the practice. They were asked on each visit if there were any changes to medical conditions or prescribed medicines before any course of treatment was undertaken. The dental care records we reviewed showed medical histories had been checked.

The practice had policies and procedures in place for assessing and treating patients. The dental care records contained all the relevant detail including medical history and followed the guidance provided by the Faculty of General Dental Practice. X-rays were taken at appropriate intervals and in accordance with the patient's risk of oral disease; they were justified, graded and reported in the patient's care record. This reduced the risk of patients being subjected to unnecessary X-rays. We saw that regular patient record audits were completed and any action identified was completed.

We received feedback from patients comment cards; we also reviewed surveys the practice had undertaken. All the comments received reflected that patients were very satisfied with the staff, assessments, explanations, the quality of the dentistry and outcomes.

#### Health promotion & prevention

Dentists were working in accordance with guidance issued in the DH publication 'Delivering Better Oral Health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention' when providing preventive oral health care and advice to patients. This is an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting.

We noted that patients were given advice about their oral health from the dentist. The waiting area contained posters and information; treatment rooms had a variety of leaflets that explained effective dental hygiene and how to reduce the risk of poor dental health.

The practice had a positive relationship visiting local primary schools and holding educational sessions with children to promote good dental hygiene. Staff told us they were encouraged to maintain the continuous professional development (CPD) which was a requirement of their registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). The GDC is the statutory body responsible for regulating dentists, dental therapists, dental hygienists, dental nurses, clinical dental technicians and dental technicians. All clinical staff members were registered with the GDC and registration certificates were available in the practice.

New staff to the practice had a period of induction to familiarise themselves with the way the practice worked. Staff training was monitored by the practice manager who kept a detailed record of training. This enabled them to identify gaps in CPD and when essential training updates were due.

#### Working with other services

The practice worked with other professionals where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations or specialist treatment. Where patients had complex dental needs, such as suspected oral cancer, the practice referred them to other healthcare professionals using their referral process. Referrals made were recorded and monitored to ensure patients received the care and treatment they required in a timely manner. Once the specialist treatment was completed patients were referred back to the practice for follow up and on-going treatment.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The dental care records we looked at contained evidence that treatments had been discussed and consent obtained.

The practice had a consent policy in place and staff had completed training and were aware of their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act (2005) (MCA). Mental Capacity Act 2005 – provides a legal framework for acting and making decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves.

Staff understood the Gillick competence test this is a method of deciding whether a child (16 years or younger) is able to consent to his or her own medical treatment, without the need for parental permission or knowledge.

#### Staffing

# Are services caring?

### Our findings

#### Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

We looked at CQC comment cards patients had completed prior to the inspection. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice. Feedback commented on how compassionate caring and friendly way staff were at the practice. Without exception patients were positive about the practice and their experience.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients who used the service on the day of the inspection. Patient dental care records were stored on paper and electronically. Paper records were locked safely in a secured room. The electronic records were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage. Staff we spoke with were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and how to maintain confidentiality. The receptionist worked in a separate room from the waiting area, which ensured the computer screen could not be viewed by patients and telephone conversations were not overheard.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices about their dental care and treatment.

The practice displayed both private and NHS sets of costs in leaflets available in the waiting area. Costs were also explained to individuals as part of their ongoing dental care plan.

The patient feedback we received confirmed that patients felt appropriately involved in the planning of their treatment that they felt listened to and were satisfied with the information they had received.

## Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

# Our findings

#### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

As part of our inspection we conducted a tour of the practice and we found the facilities were appropriate for the services that were planned and delivered.

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered. This information was displayed in the waiting room and, in the practice leaflet. We looked at the practice's electronic appointment system and found there were appointment slots each day for urgent or emergency appointments. Staff told us patients were seen as soon as possible for emergency care and this was normally the same day. The practice scheduled longer appointments with the dentist where required if a patient needed more support.

#### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had a comprehensive equality, diversity and human rights policy in place to support staff understanding and meeting the needs of patients. The staff had completed equality and diversity training.

The practice had made adjustments to individuals with disabilities, for example to accommodate patients with limited mobility they a disabled bathroom and level access to throughout the ground floor which included the waiting area and a treatment room. On the day of the inspection we noted that the dentist move to alternative treatments rooms depending on the needs of their patients on the day.

The staff told us they did not have any patients with language needs however if required an interpreter service would be sought.

#### Access to the service

The practice is open Monday and Wednesday 8:40 am to 5.00pm and Tuesday and Thursday 9:40 am to 6:30pm with Friday 9am to 1pm.

Patients' feedback confirmed that they were happy with the availability of routine and emergency appointments.

The answerphone, practice leaflet and website provided patients with details of how to access NHS emergency out of hour's dental care when the practice was closed.

#### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaint policy and procedure in place. The procedure explained the process to follow, and included other agencies to contact if the complaint was not resolved to the patients satisfaction. This included NHS England and the Dental Complaints Service (for private patients).

There had been no complaints received in the past 12 months. The practice manager explained that they would seek to resolve any complaint promptly, efficiently and ensure appropriate action was taken.

We saw that there was a suggestion box in the waiting area, the practice manager explained that they reviewed any suggestions made and any verbal suggestions comments would be responded to. Patients had asked for instance for a bigger range of magazines, which the surgery had accommodated.

# Are services well-led?

# Our findings

#### **Governance arrangements**

The principal dentist and key staff led on the individual aspects of governance such as responding to safeguarding, infection control, decontamination and managing risks. Staff we spoke with were clear about their roles and responsibilities within the practice and of lines of accountability.

The practice had a proactive approach for identifying where quality or safety was being affected and addressing any issues. The practice responded to national patient safety and medicines alerts that affected the dental profession. The principal dentist reviewed all alerts and spoke with staff to ensure they were acted upon.

Health and safety and risk management policies were in place and we saw a risk management process to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments relating to fire, exposure to hazardous substances and medical emergencies.

There was a comprehensive range of policies and procedures in use at the practice and accessible to staff. These included guidance about quality assurance, information governance, record keeping, and incident reporting.

#### Leadership, openness and transparency

The practice had a duty of candour policy in place to support an open, honest and transparent culture. The duty of candour is where the health provider must always be open and transparent when mistakes occur.

Staff told us they felt valued and well supported and reported the dentists were very approachable.

We saw that the practice had monthly meetings, which were recorded. Minutes of staff minutes showed that learning was taken from concerns raised significant events, and patient and staff feedback. Meetings covered policies and procedures, fire safety and drills and medical emergency awareness with mock scenarios. Meetings also gave all staff an opportunity to openly share information and discuss any concerns or issues. Staff told us this helped them keep up to date with new developments and policies.

#### Learning and improvement

The practice had a clear understanding of the need to ensure staff had access to learning and improvement opportunities. We saw that staff had regular appraisals and were supported to maintain their continuous professional development (CPD) as required by the General Dental Council (GDC).

The practice audited areas of their practice each year as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. These included audits of X-rays, patient records and infection control procedures. Where areas for improvement had been identified action had been taken, for example through discussion and training at practice meetings. There was evidence of repeat audits to monitor that improvements had been maintained.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice held regular staff meetings and annual staff appraisals had been undertaken. The meetings covered a range of issues including training updates and audit results. Staff told us that information was shared and that their views and comments were sought informally and their ideas listened to. Staff we spoke with said they could raise any concerns about the practice if they needed to.

The practice was participating in the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). The FFT is a national programme that enables patients to provide feedback on the services provided. We saw that the practice also conducted their own surveys. We reviewed the results and found these were positive and that the practice had responded to suggestions/comments, for instance improving waiting times whilst at the surgery. We saw that an audit had been completed of waiting times and the results used to improve the times designated to certain dental procedures. This was implemented and minimised overall waiting times for patients.