

The Plane Trees Group Practice

Quality Report

51 Sandbeds Road
Pellon, Halifax
HX2 0QL

Tel: 01422 395988

Website: www.planetreesgrouppractice.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 23 March 2015

Date of publication: 04/06/2015

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this service

Good



Are services safe?

Good



Are services effective?

Good



Are services caring?

Good



Are services responsive to people's needs?

Good



Are services well-led?

Good



Summary of findings

Contents

Summary of this inspection

	Page
Overall summary	2
The five questions we ask and what we found	3
The six population groups and what we found	5
What people who use the service say	9

Detailed findings from this inspection

Our inspection team	10
Background to The Plane Trees Group Practice	10
Why we carried out this inspection	10
How we carried out this inspection	10
Detailed findings	12

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced inspection at Plane Trees Group Practice on 23 March 2015. Overall the practice is rated as good.

We found the practice to be good for providing caring, safe and responsive, well led and effective services. It was also good for providing services for older people, people with long term conditions, families, children and young people and the working age population.

Our key findings across all the population group areas we inspected were as follows:

- Staff understood and fulfilled their responsibilities to raise concerns, and to report incidents. Information about safety was recorded, monitored, appropriately reviewed and addressed.
- Risks to patients were assessed and managed, including those relating to recruitment checks.
- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned and delivered following best practice guidance. Staff had received training appropriate to their roles and any further training needs had been identified and planned.

- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.
- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand. Complaints would be addressed in a timely manner and the practice endeavoured to resolve complaints to a satisfactory conclusion.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and that there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients.

Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)

Chief Inspector of General Practice

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for safe. Staff understood and fulfilled their responsibilities to raise concerns, and report incidents. Lessons were learned and communicated widely to support improvement. Information about safety was recorded, monitored, appropriately reviewed and addressed. Risks to patients were assessed and well managed. There were enough staff to keep people safe.

Good



Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for effective. Data showed patient outcomes were at or above average for the locality. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance was referenced and used routinely. Patients needs were assessed and care was planned and delivered in line with current legislation. This included assessment of capacity and the promotion of good health. Staff had received training appropriate to their roles. The practice carried out regular appraisals and put in place personal development plans for staff.

Good



Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for caring. Patient surveys showed that the practice compared favourably with other practices in the area. Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in care and treatment decisions. Accessible information was provided to help patients understand the care available to them. We also saw that staff treated patients with kindness and respect ensuring confidentiality was maintained.

Good



Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for responsive. The practice reviewed the needs of their local population and engaged with the NHS Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure service improvements where these were identified. Patients reported good access to the practice, a named GP and continuity of care, with urgent appointments available on the same day. The practice was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. The practice had an effective complaints system.

Good



Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for well-led. The leadership team were effective and had a clear vision and purpose. There were systems in place to drive continuous improvement. Governance structures were in place and there was a robust system that ensured risks to patients were minimised.

Good



Summary of findings

There was an established patient reference group (PRG) that will engage with patients during future immunisation days. It included people from all backgrounds.

Summary of findings

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people. Nationally reported data showed the practice had good outcomes for conditions commonly found amongst older people. The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older people in its population and had a range of enhanced services, for example in dementia care. The practice was responsive to the needs of older people and where appropriate provided home visits.

At the last audit the practice had 424 patients over the age of 65 and 293 Over 75. The practice recognises the complex care and challenges in caring for the older population. The practice has wheel chair access and designated disabled parking bays.

The practice has a register of people over 75 with a named GP. They are invited for holistic health checks and dementia screening. The practice has an unplanned admissions register. These are updated and reviewed regularly as are the care plans.

By read coding appropriately and keeping registers the practice is able to recall annually or more often if indicated for reviews. The practice also telephone and send letters if needed. Updates including flu clinics are advertised widely and are also available on the repeat prescriptions.

The practice works with pharmacies to ease ordering of medication, electronic prescribing and authorising dosette boxes.

The practice provides safe and effective care by offering appointments at the surgery, visiting housebound patients and liaising with various teams to offer the most effective care possible in a comfortable environment. All clinicians are aware of the Mental Capacity Act and have access to a brief in the surgery.

Good



People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the population group of people with long term conditions. Emergency processes were in place and referrals made for patients in this group that had a sudden deterioration in health. When needed longer appointments and home visits were available. Patients had a named GP and structured annual reviews to check their health and medication needs were being met. For those people with the most complex needs the named GP worked with health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.

Good



Summary of findings

Patients with long term conditions are managed according to National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance which is used as a basis for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF). Each patient is reviewed at least annually in a systematic way which predates the QOF. Required investigations are usually arranged prior to the review.

Housebound patients have their review and tests carried out in the home. The GP has responsibility for the final overview to ensure that the patient's conditions are being managed effectively. From that overview the next review date is planned.

In spite of high disease prevalence and high deprivation in the area, the practice continually achieves above local and national averages in QOF.

Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the population group of families, children and young people. Systems were in place for identifying and following-up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and those who were at risk. Patients told us and we saw evidence that children and young people were treated in an age appropriate way and recognised as individuals. Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises was suitable for children and babies. We were provided with good examples of joint working with midwives and health visitors. Emergency processes were in place and referrals made for children and pregnant women who had a sudden deterioration in health.

The safeguarding of children is a priority for the practice. All staff are aware of the lead GP, contact telephone numbers, the safeguarding folder and website and are trained to raise any concerns. The Calderdale safeguarding children's board website is on each staff members desk with access to appropriate numbers and a file is available in reception with information about safeguarding children in Calderdale.

All children who are on the child protection register or where there are safeguarding concerns are coded in the IT system and this creates an alert whenever the child's notes are accessed. The parents notes are coded to alert that their child is subject to safeguarding concerns. Child protection reports are filed separately and securely, naming the lead social worker / lead health visitor or school nurse and the category of abuse if appropriate.

Monthly meetings are held with the health visiting teams.

The lead GP in child safeguarding has the level 3 qualification. They attend regular updates and have a good working relationship with the health visiting team.

Good



Summary of findings

The practice accommodates families and children in the appointment system on a daily basis (out of school access) and this is being updated. The 'on call' doctor is available to give advice and see any unwell children. All staff are appropriately trained for emergency situations.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the population group of the working-age people including those recently retired and students. The needs of the working age population, those recently retired and students, had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening which reflected the needs for this age group.

Working age people (between 16-65) represent 63% of the practices population. To facilitate working hours the practice offer early morning, late evening and Saturday morning surgeries on a rota system.

Appointments are pre-bookable up to six weeks in advance. These are available online, via the telephone or in person. Repeat prescriptions can be ordered online and sent to the chemist of their choice. The practice allows students to register temporarily when they are back from university.

The practice strongly encourages people to engage in screening and prevention programmes e.g. cardiovascular disease checks, breast and cervical screening and also smoking and alcohol advice clinics. Invites and reminders are sent as per National Health Service Executive (NHSE) guidance. The practice also have appointments for travel advice and immunisations.

Good



People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the population group of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable. The practice held a record of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with learning disabilities. The practice offered longer appointments for people with learning disabilities.

Learning Disabilities (LD) - Patients are categorised as mild, mild with complex needs, moderate and severe. All patients are invited to an annual health check as part of the Learning Disabilities Local Enhanced Services (LES). The practice have a template to facilitate sharing of information collected at annual review and this is audited

Good



Summary of findings

annually. All patients on the Learning Disability Register have a major alert to allocate a 20 minute appointment if requesting to be seen in surgery. The practice meet annually with the LD lead at Adult Health and Social Care.

Substance Misuse - The practice run a shared care clinic with the local Substance Misuse Service (SMS) service at the practice.

Domestic Violence - The practice were about to offer this service at the practice and they had a planned training programme.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the population group of people experiencing poor mental health including people with dementia. The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of people experiencing poor mental health including those with dementia. The practice had in place advance care planning for patients with dementia.

The practice population had high levels of deprivation with above average prevalence compared to local, regional and national populations in Depression, Dementia, Learning Disabilities and Enduring Mental Health Problems.

Depression- All newly diagnosed patients were reviewed regularly. The practice have good access to talking therapies and a health living advisor who attends the surgery. The practice uses templates to access risk and have good relationships with secondary care.

Dementia - The practice have a robust system of case finding in all at risk patients who attend annual medication review with clear pathway of referral for patients of concern. Holistic reviews are performed. Major alert facility is used in patients who require involvement of carers in decision making.

Enduring Mental Health - All patients are invited to an annual medication review. Templates are used to share information. This vulnerable group often require telephone recall. The practice oversee lithium monitoring every four months. Mental Health has a GP lead supported by a Practice Nurse Lead, Quality Manager and Administration team. The practice continue to reflect and improve on their service using Audits and Significant Event Analysis (SEA).

Good



Summary of findings

What people who use the service say

We received 33 completed CQC comment cards and spoke with four patients on the day of our visit.

The patients were complimentary about the care provided by the staff, their overall friendliness and behaviour of all staff. They felt the doctors and nurses were competent and knowledgeable about their treatment needs and that they were given a professional and efficient service. They told us that long term health conditions were well monitored and supported.

Patients reported that they felt that all the staff treated them with dignity and respect and told us that the staff listened to them and were well informed.

Patients said the practice was very supportive and felt that their views were valued by staff. They were complimentary about the appointments system and its ease of access and the flexibility provided.

Patients told us that the practice was always clean and tidy.

The Plane Trees Group Practice

Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team consisted of a CQC Lead Inspector, a second CQC Inspector and two specialist advisors (a GP and a Nurse Practitioner).

Background to The Plane Trees Group Practice

Plane Trees Group Practice is registered with CQC to provide primary care services, which includes access to GPs, family planning, surgical procedures, treatment of disease, disorder or injury and diagnostic and screening procedures. It provides GP services for patients living in Halifax. The practice has five GPs, a management team, practice nurses and healthcare assistants, administrative staff and cleaning staff.

The practice is open 8:30am to 6pm on Monday to Friday, Wednesday until 8pm and Saturday opening was available for booked appointments. Patients can book appointments in person, via the phone and online. Appointments can be booked in advance for the doctors and for the nursing clinics. When the practice was closed patients accessed the out of hours NHS 111 service.

The practice is part of NHS Calderdale CCG. It is responsible for providing primary care services to approximately 9000 patients. The practice is meeting the needs of an increasingly elderly patient list size that is generally comprised of an equal number of women and men.

Why we carried out this inspection

The Plane Trees Group was part of a random sample of practices selected in the Calderdale CCG area as part of our new comprehensive inspection programme covering Clinical Commissioning Groups throughout the country.

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service in accordance with the Care Act 2014.

How we carried out this inspection

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care, we always ask the following five questions of every service and provider:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services are provided for specific groups of people and what good care looks like for them. The population groups are:

Detailed findings

- Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Mothers, babies, children and young people
- The working-age population and those recently retired
- People in vulnerable circumstances who may have poor access to primary care
- People experiencing a mental health problems

Before our inspection we carried out an analysis of the data from our intelligent monitoring system. We also reviewed information we held and asked other organisations and key stakeholders to share what they knew about the service. We reviewed the policies, procedures and other information the practice provided before the inspection. The information reviewed did not highlight any significant areas of risk across the five key question areas.

We reviewed all areas of the practice including the administrative areas. We sought views from patients through face-to-face interviews and via comment cards completed by patients of the practice in the two weeks prior to the inspection visit. We spoke with GPs, the practice manager, clinical nurses, health care practitioners, administrative staff, data quality manager and receptionists.

We observed how staff treated patients visiting and phoning the practice. We reviewed how GPs made clinical decisions. We reviewed a variety of documents used by the practice to run the service.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Safe track record

The practice used a range of information to identify risks and improve quality in relation to patient safety. For example, reported incidents, national patient safety alerts as well as comments received from patients. Staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibilities to raise concerns, and how to report incidents and near misses.

Staff who identified an incident could talk to the practice manager or a GP and there was a reporting form to record this information. Incidents were prioritised so that urgent action could be taken if required, otherwise they were discussed at a monthly meeting where minutes were kept and actions managed. We saw there was an issues log kept for matters such as delayed discharge summaries and these were relayed via the clinical commissioning group (CCG) monthly meeting.

We reviewed safety records and incident reports and minutes of meetings where these were discussed for the last year. This showed the practice had managed these consistently over time and so could evidence a safe track record.

Audits we looked at included 'Iron Deficiency' and 'Antibiotic prescribing' these had all been identified and coded appropriately on the IT system. We looked at the 'Significant Event and Incident Log' dated April 2014-2015.

Learning and improvement from safety incidents

The practice had a system in place for reporting, recording and monitoring significant events, incidents and accidents. Records were kept of significant events that had occurred during the last year and these were made available to us. A slot for significant events was on the practice meeting agenda and a dedicated meeting occurred every week to review actions from past significant events and complaints. There was evidence that appropriate learning had taken place and that the findings were disseminated to relevant staff. Staff including receptionists, administrators and nurses were aware of the system for raising issues to be considered at the meetings.

Fortnightly clinical meetings are held and a monthly meeting with the nursing team to share good working practice. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meetings were also held six weekly to ensure groups of patients e.g. Palliative,

young families are monitored effectively and given the best access to care as possible. Non clinical staff meetings are held monthly, any updates are also shared via emails. The appointments are monitored on a weekly basis.

Reliable safety systems and processes including safeguarding

The practice had systems to manage and review risks to vulnerable children, young people and adults. Practice training records made available to us showed that all staff had received relevant role specific training on safeguarding. We asked members of the medical, nursing and administrative staff about their most recent training. A GP had attended level three safeguarding training; the practice nurse had level three; they followed the local child protection protocols. There was a monthly meeting that considered safeguarding incidents with local social services teams.

Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in older people, vulnerable adults and children. They were also aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact the relevant agencies in and out of hours.

The practice had named GP's and nurses appointed as leads in safeguarding vulnerable adults and children who had been trained to enable them to fulfil this role. All staff we spoke with were aware who these leads were and who to speak to in the practice if they had a safeguarding concern.

Safe procedures were in place to ensure that criminal record checks via the disclosure and barring service (DBS) were undertaken where necessary. Risk assessments of all roles and responsibilities had been completed to determine the need for a criminal record check. Criminal record checks of staff employed within the practice, were repeated at three year intervals.

Medicines management

Medicines were prescribed by the GP and other authorised clinicians following guidelines in British National Formulary (BNF) and local guidelines such as South West Yorkshire Area Prescribing Committee. These guidelines were available to the clinicians as a desktop aid. The practice was fully engaged with Calderdale CCG and its initiatives to promote safe evidence based cost effective prescribing.

The recently completed 'Antibiotic Prescribing Audit' was an example of the practice's engagement with the CCG.

Are services safe?

Each patient who received long term or repeat medication was assessed annually by a practice nurse and GP. Each patient had a review date which prompted a clinical review. The clinical review ensured appropriate management of chronic conditions along national guidance (NICE, QOF) and overview by GP of prescribed medications.

Patients or their representatives could order repeat medication at reception, via email or via a nominated pharmacist. Repeat medication meant that medication which was on the patients repeat list and was not underused or overused by 20%.

Medication ordered before 12:30 hours would be processed and available for collection or distributed to nominated pharmacies by 5pm on the same day. Requests for repeat prescriptions were collected electronically or via reception by the prescription team. The requests were processed in turn by the prescription team. If the prescription was being overused or underused by 20% or the prescription had become historical then the duty GP was consulted.

If a prescription has been previously issued as an acute item then the issuing GP was consulted. The patient was kept informed at all times if the request was not routine. Consideration was also given to patients that may be away on holiday.

Patient advisors who have been trained could process repeat prescriptions along these guidelines. If the request deviated from the protocol then the prescribing team consulted the GP. Controlled drugs were not issued as repeat items. Extra caution was exercised in processing these requests.

We checked medicines stored in the treatment rooms and medicine refrigerators and found they were stored securely and were only accessible to authorised staff. There was a clear policy for ensuring medicines were kept at the required temperatures. This was being followed by the practice staff, and the action to take in the event of a potential failure was described.

The practice had a repeat medication protocol and all prescription staff were aware of this. We were told that an electronic prescriptions service has greatly improved safety as staff could directly link to care records. This also reduced the chance of lost prescriptions.

Cleanliness and infection control

We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. We saw there were cleaning schedules in place and cleaning records were kept. Patients we spoke with told us they always found the practice clean and had no concerns about cleanliness or infection control.

The practice had nurse leads for infection control who had undertaken further training to enable them to provide advice on the practice infection control policy and carry out staff training. All staff received induction training about infection control specific to their role and there after annual updates. We saw evidence the lead nurse had carried out audits for the last year and that any improvements identified for action were completed on time.

An infection control policy and supporting procedures were available for staff to refer to, which enabled them to plan and implement control of infection measures. For example, personal protective equipment including disposable gloves and aprons were available for staff to use and staff were able to describe how they would use these in order to comply with the practice's infection control policy. We saw a copy of an infection control audit dated 16 March 2015.

Hand hygiene techniques guidance was displayed in staff and patient toilets. Hand washing sinks with hand gel and hand towel dispensers were available in treatment rooms.

Equipment

Staff we spoke with told us they had sufficient equipment to enable them to carry out diagnostic examinations, assessments and treatments. They told us that all equipment was tested and maintained regularly and we saw equipment maintenance logs and other records that confirmed this. All portable electrical equipment was routinely tested and displayed stickers indicating the last testing date. A schedule of testing was in place. We saw evidence of calibration of relevant equipment; for example an ear syring machine and the vaccine fridge thermometers.

All staff including clinicians underwent Basic Life Support (BLS) / Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) and emergency equipment training annually and three yearly respectively. The practice nurse lead also updated staff and familiarised everyone with the emergency equipment and trolley and checked this periodically. All electrical equipment was tested annually.

Are services safe?

Staffing and recruitment

Records we looked at contained evidence that appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and criminal records checks via the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS).

Staff Recruitment was conducted through internal and external advertising and all recruitment was subject to appropriate levels of DBS clearance and satisfactory references. Appointment also incorporated a three month probation period. New contracts had been drawn up recently for all staff and the practice have an updated staff handbook.

Staff told us about the arrangements for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. There was an arrangement in place for members of staff, including nursing and administrative staff to cover each other's annual leave.

Monitoring safety and responding to risk

The practice had systems, processes and policies in place to manage and monitor risks to patients, staff and visitors to the practice. These included annual and monthly checks

of the building, the environment, medicines management, staffing, dealing with emergencies and equipment. The practice also had a health and safety policy. Health and safety information was displayed for staff to see.

Identified risks were included on a risk log. Each risk was assessed, rated and mitigating actions recorded to reduce and manage the risk. We saw that any risks were discussed at GP partners' meetings and within team meetings.

Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had arrangements in place to manage emergencies. We saw records showing all staff had received training in basic life support. Emergency equipment was available including access to oxygen and an automated external defibrillator which was used to attempt to restart a person's heart in an emergency. All staff asked knew the location of this equipment and how to use it and records we saw confirmed these were checked regularly.

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) training was held between 12 and 36 monthly according to guidelines for all staff. All staff were aware of where emergency equipment was stored and the nurse lead updated staff regularly. All equipment was PAT tested annually.

The practice had a comprehensive fire check which was conducted weekly.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment

Patient's needs were assessed and care and treatment considered, in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance. We spoke with the GP who told us that they used relevant and current evidence-based guidance such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines. These were applied during assessment, diagnosis, referral to other services and management of long term conditions or chronic conditions such as hypertension.

The GPs told us they lead in specialist clinical areas such as diabetes, hypertension and asthma and the practice nurses supported this work, which allowed the practice to focus on specific conditions. Clinical staff we spoke with were open about asking for and providing colleagues with advice and support. GPs told us this supported all staff to continually review and discuss new best practice guidelines for the prescribing of medication. Our review of the clinical meeting minutes confirmed that this happened.

Discrimination was avoided when making care and treatment decisions. Interviews with GPs and nurses showed that the culture in the practice was that patients were cared for and treated based on need and the practice took account of patient's age, gender, race and culture as appropriate.

Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

Staff across the practice had key roles in monitoring and improving outcomes for patients. These roles included data input, scheduling clinical reviews, and managing child protection alerts and medicines management. The information staff collected was then collated by the practice manager and assistant practice manager to support the practice to carry out clinical audits.

The practice showed us clinical audits that had been undertaken in the last year. All of these were completed audits where the practice was able to demonstrate the changes resulting since the initial audit. The practice had a system in place for completing clinical audit cycles. The practice showed us clinical audits that had been completed recently. Following each clinical audit, changes to treatment or care were made where needed and the audit repeated to ensure outcomes for patients had

improved. An example audit we looked at in detail was for Anticoagulation treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation. The aim of the audit was to ensure that where applicable stroke prevention was highlighted and was being managed in the safest environment. The information was shared with GPs and patients were called for a medication review. A second clinical audit was completed later which demonstrated that all patients were receiving the recommended treatment.

Other examples included audits to confirm that the GPs who undertook minor surgical procedures were doing so in line with their registration and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance.

The GPs told us clinical audits were often linked to medicines management information, safety alerts or as a result of information from the quality and outcomes framework (QOF). (QOF is a voluntary incentive scheme for GP practices in the UK. The scheme financially rewards practices for managing some of the most common long-term conditions and for the implementation of preventative measures).

The practice also used the information collected for the QOF and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. For example, all of patients with asthma had an annual medication review, and the practice met all the minimum standards for QOF in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (lung disease). This practice was not an outlier for any QOF (or other national) clinical targets.

The team was making use of clinical audit tools, clinical supervision and staff meetings to assess the performance of clinical staff. The staff we spoke with discussed how they reflected on the outcomes being achieved and areas where this could be improved. Staff spoke positively about the culture in the practice around audit and quality improvement.

There was a protocol for repeat prescribing which was in line with national guidance. In line with this, staff regularly checked that patients receiving repeat prescriptions had been reviewed by the GP. They also checked that all routine health checks were completed for long-term conditions such as diabetes and that the latest prescribing guidance was being used. The IT system flagged up relevant medicines alerts when the GP was prescribing medicines. We saw evidence to confirm that, after receiving an alert,

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

the GPs had reviewed the use of the medicine in question and, where they continued to prescribe it, outlined the reason why they decided this was necessary. The evidence we saw confirmed that the GPs had oversight and a good understanding of best treatment for each patient's needs.

We were told about how the practice provided end of life care. The practice worked to the Gold Standard Framework with multi-disciplinary meetings held regularly. The named GP knew each of the families.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge, qualifications and experience to deliver effective care and treatment. Staff received appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. Staff we spoke with told us that newly employed staff were supported in the first few months of working in the practice. We were able to review staff training records and we saw that this covered areas such as safeguarding, health and safety, fire and first aid.

Staff had received an appraisal every year and the practice manager confirmed to us that all staff would receive an appraisal yearly. Staff told us they were able to discuss any issues or training needs with their manager.

Staff told us that they felt they had opportunities to develop and were able to take study leave and protected time to attend courses. Multi-disciplinary training and the open supportive culture were evident at this practice. We saw evidence of staff undertaking additional training in mental health. The practice last had a protected learning session dedicated to domestic violence in the last month and this is an area they feel should be updated for staff.

Staff recruitment interviews are always held by two senior staff/ clinicians. All staff undergo annual appraisals where Personal Development Plans (PDP) was agreed and an evaluation of each persons performance was made. The practice have just looked at the structure of the practice and with the help of an outside firm made robust changes to the structure to offer a better service. This has involved a lot of consultation with staff and patients through the PRG.

The practice is in the process of using IT software to log all staff details and training so review dates courses and other information was easily viewed.

Working with colleagues and other services

The practice had clear arrangements in place for referrals to other services. Patients told us that they were given a choice of which hospital they would like to be referred to. It was the GPs responsibility to follow up on the referrals.

Staff worked together to assess and plan on-going care and treatment in a timely way when patients were discharged from hospital. We spoke with the practice manager who told us that discharge letters were scanned on to the patient's record (about half hospital letters were received electronically). This enabled the practice to have an effective means of ensuring continuity of care and treatment of those patients discharged from hospital. Their records from the hospital were scanned onto the patients' records so a clear history could be kept and an effective plan made.

The practice had systems in place for managing blood results and recording information from other health care providers including discharge letters. The GP viewed all of the blood results and took action where needed.

The practice engages with multidisciplinary case meetings. In addition to the quarterly review meeting for the patients on the practice Palliative Care Register.

We were shown evidence of multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working/case management of patients with mental health problems. The practice regularly visits a large local Nursing Home, where there are a significant number of patients with dementia. The two GP's who visit the nursing home liaise with a Consultant in Psychiatry for the Elderly, about management of symptoms.

The practice works closely with other social and health care providers. The practice has a weekly session provided by a finance expert to which the practice can directly refer patients.

Information sharing

Systems were in place for making referrals through the Choose and Book system. The Choose and Book system enables patients to choose which hospital they will be seen in and to book their own outpatient appointments in discussion with their chosen hospital. The practice manager reported that this system was easy to use.

The practice had a commitment to the 18 care homes which it managed from a medical viewpoint. GPs visited as and when required. There were structured templates for

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

each of the patients and the information was also cascaded to the out of hours provider who could usually see the practice's IT system notes but who also received faxed copies of special notes for each of these patients where appropriate. This demonstrated a good level of communications with other providers.

The practice had systems to provide staff with the information they needed. Staff used an electronic patient record to coordinate, document and manage patients' care. All staff were fully trained on the system, and commented positively about the system's safety and ease of use. This software enabled scanned paper communications, such as those from hospital, to be saved in the system for future reference. We saw evidence that audits had been carried out to assess the completeness of these records and that action had been taken to address any shortcomings identified.

Consent to care and treatment

We found that staff were aware of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 and the Children's and Families Act 2014 and their duties in fulfilling it. All the clinical staff we spoke to understood the key parts of the legislation and were able to describe how they implemented it in their practice.

Patients with a learning disability and those with dementia were supported to make decisions through the use of care plans, which they were involved in agreeing. These care plans were reviewed annually or more frequently if changes in clinical circumstances required it. While talking with staff they gave examples of how a patient's best interests were taken into account if a patient did not have capacity to make a decision.

All clinical staff demonstrated a clear understanding of Gillick competencies. These were used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

Health promotion and prevention

The practice has a provision of a named GP for patients aged 75 and over. The practice has written to all outpatients aged 75 and over, informing them of their named GP. They regularly check for patients who reach the age of 75 and write to them, again informing them of their named GP. The practice currently has 868 patients aged 75 and over and all patients have been written to informing them of their named GP.

The practice offered a full range of immunisations for children, travel vaccines and flu vaccinations in line with current national guidance. Last year's performance for all immunisations was above average for the CCG, and there was a clear policy for following up non-attenders by the named practice nurse.

It was practice policy to offer a health check with the health care assistant / practice nurse to all new patients registering with the practice. The GP was informed of all health concerns detected and these were followed up in a timely way. We noted a culture among the GPs to use their contact with patients to help maintain or improve mental, physical health and wellbeing. For example, by offering diabetes checks to patients and offering smoking cessation advice to smokers.

There was a variety of information available for health promotion and prevention throughout the practice, in the waiting area. The practice had also displayed useful information for patients which was situated in the reception and waiting areas. Information on the PRG, NHS, dementia support memory club and Ebola. This provided a good service for patients to seek health promotion information and literature.

Seasonal flu vaccinations were available to at risk patients such as patients aged 65 or over, patients with a serious medical condition or those living in a care home.

The nurse we spoke with told us there were a number of services available for health promotion and prevention. These included child immunisation, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, hypertension, coronary heart disease (CHD), cervical screening and travel vaccination appointments.

Displays were changed periodically in the waiting area reflecting different public health topics- smoking cessation, screening programmes and flu clinics.

The practice realises that they treat ill patients but keeping them stable and also prevention and screening is a large part of primary care. Various clinics were held to facilitate these. However through monitoring the practice have found that some people find it difficult to access set clinics so appointments can be made across most days.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The practice offered a quarterly newsletter which is produced by the Patient Reference Group (PRG) but overlooked by the management at the practice. The practice website contained information on clinics and health issues.

Population Groups evidence

The following indicators are similar in comparison with other practices.

Older people – 1994 registered patients

- 76% of patients who were identified as being at high risk of admission / End of Life and have up to date care plans and sharing with other providers
- 88% of people received structured annual medication reviews for polypharmacy
- 37% of people being offered Cognition Testing (as documented in the notes)
- All patients over the age of 75 had a named GP

People with long term conditions – 2247 registered patients

- 86% Diabetics with annual foot check/ eye check
- All patients had a named GP

Families, children and young people (0-17 population 2276 registered patients)

- There was a 89% uptake for the Rotavirus vaccine

Working age people – 6654 registered patients

- There was a 65% Uptake rate for Health Checks
- There was a 89% Uptake rate for Cervical smears
- There was a 90% uptake for people who required a blood pressure checks

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable (50 patients on Learning Disability Register)

- 48 patients recorded on the learning disability register. Practice held a register of those in various vulnerable groups (e.g. homeless, travellers, learning disabilities)
- 92% of patients with learning disabilities received annual follow-ups

People experiencing poor mental health – 117 registered patients

- 86% of people with severe mental health problems who received annual physical health check
- 83% Dementia screening

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

We reviewed the most recent data available for the practice on patient satisfaction. This included information from the GP patient survey tool and feedback from patients undertaken by the practice via the patient reference group. We saw the 'Patient Satisfaction Survey – Report and Section Plan' for 2014 and 2013. The evidence from these sources showed patients were satisfied with how they were treated and that this was with compassion, dignity and respect. For example, data from the GP patient survey showed that 91% of patients said that their last appointment was convenient for them. The practice was also above average 94% for its satisfaction scores on 'had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw or spoke to' (compared to 92% nationally and 86% locally).

Patients completed CQC comment cards to provide us with feedback on the practice. We received 33 completed cards and all were positive about the service experienced. Patients said they felt the practice offered a good service and staff were efficient, helpful and caring. They said staff treated them with dignity and respect. We also spoke with patients on the day of our inspection. All told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected.

Staff and patients told us that all consultations and treatments were carried out in the privacy of a consulting room. Curtains were in consulting rooms and treatment rooms so that patients' privacy and dignity was maintained during examinations, investigations and treatments. We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations and that conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.

We observed staff were careful to follow the practice's confidentiality policy when discussing patients' treatments in order that confidential information was kept private. The practice switchboard was shielded by partitions which helped keep patient information private.

Staff told us if they had any concerns or observed any instances of discriminatory behaviour or where patients' privacy and dignity was not being respected they would raise these with the practice manager. The practice

manager told us she would investigate these and any learning identified would be shared with staff. There was evidence of learning taking place as staff meeting minutes showed issues had been discussed.

The practice firmly believes that when patients are registered at the practice, they are putting their trust in the practice to help them when needed in their best possible way. Customer satisfaction was key to the practices services. Different people may have different needs based on culture, illnesses, beliefs, language and the practice respected this and provided for these in different ways. For example, booking interpreters, offering female/male clinicians if available, chaperones. Posters were displayed to this effect and the practice have trained chaperones.

The practice often faced individuals who are vulnerable and they make sure they are treated with dignity respect and any additional measures are put in place to cater for them. As an example offering longer appointments for complex problems and learning disabilities.

Patient notes were stored securely and as part of induction and on going evaluation, confidentiality is stressed at every patient contact in line with the Caldicott principles. A GP was the practices Caldicott guardian.

The practice aimed to maintain privacy at the desk and have a quiet room if anyone requests to be spoken to alone. The practice often had difficult conversations especially on the phone as they could not disclose any information without patient consent. However staff were trained to maintain confidentiality and adequately explain why they cannot breach this. For elderly patients adding a Next of Kin (NOK) with verbal consent to discuss medical records and results had proved invaluable.

All clinical staff are aware of the Mental Health Acts and a brief of this is on the shared IT folder as an aide memoir.

Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The patient survey information we reviewed showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment and generally rated the practice well in these areas. For example, data from the GP patient survey showed 92% of practice respondents said 'the last GP they

Are services caring?

saw or spoke to was good at explaining tests and treatments' and 92% felt 'the last GP they saw or spoke to was good at giving them enough time'. Both these results were comparable compared to this CCG.

Patients we spoke with on the day of our inspection told us that health issues were discussed with them and they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment they wished to receive. Patient feedback on the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views.

Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. We saw notices in the reception areas informing patients this service was available. We observed an Arabic interpreter was helping a family on the day of our inspection.

Patient/carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

The survey information we reviewed showed patients were positive about the emotional support provided by the practice and rated it well in this area. The patients we spoke to on the day of our inspection and the comment cards we received were also consistent with this survey information. For example, these highlighted staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Notices in the patient waiting room also signposted people to a number of support groups and organisations. The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. We were shown the written information available for carers to ensure they understood the various avenues of support available to them.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

We found the practice was responsive to people's needs and had systems in place to maintain the level of service provided. The needs of the practice population were understood and systems were in place to address identified needs.

The NHS Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) told us that the practice engaged regularly with them and other practices to discuss local needs and service improvements that needed to be prioritised. We saw minutes of meetings where this had been discussed and actions agreed to implement service improvements and manage delivery challenges to its population. The practice had committed a lot of time and effort to ensure they could respond appropriately to the fluctuations of demand.

There had been very little turnover of staff which enabled good continuity of care and accessibility to appointments with a GP of choice. Longer appointments were available for people who needed them and those with long term conditions. This also included appointments with a named GP or nurse. Home visits were made to local nursing and residential care homes by a named GP. The result of this was seen in the reduced need for unplanned call-outs and reductions in unplanned admissions to hospital. The practice had achieved and implemented the gold standard framework for end of life care.

The practice recognised that patients have different needs and that it may not always be possible to have a system that is suitable to everyone.

A comments box is available in reception and the practice welcome positive, negative and improvement comments. The appointments system has been reviewed recently and from April 1 2015 they have a new rota in place offering a wider spread of appointments across our working day. The practice has early morning, late evening and Saturday appointments on a rota. These are pre bookable and book on the day appointments with a new emphasis on 48 hour booking in the system from April. The practice will monitor this closely as previous audits have shown an increase in DNA rates for pre-bookable appointments.

The practice provide additional services like minor surgery, implants, coil fittings, substance misuse, diabetic, phlebotomy clinics so patients can avoid travelling and

having to attend hospital. These can be booked in person, via the telephone and online. As more online access is taken up the practice hopes the telephone access will improve.

The practice gave special attention to the elderly population who may not always be able to access services. They liaise with pharmacies, care homes and next of kin (NOK) and provide home visits when necessary.

The clinical admin team provided results, make sure letters were coded and the secretaries can help with referrals letters and other correspondence.

The practice prescriptions team turned around prescriptions in 24 hours which is an almost unique service in the area. The practice encouraged Electronic Prescription Service (EPS) prescriptions and annual reviews. They do not accept prescriptions over the phone to avoid errors in prescribing however faxing, and online requests were accepted.

All complaints were taken seriously, acknowledged and investigated and all staff are aware of the procedure and are available to advice on the process.

An action plan is put in place and they learn from certain incidents. For others they ensure an adequate explanation is given.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its services. The practice had access to translation services and GPs who spoke other languages. The practice provided equality and diversity training. Staff we spoke with confirmed that they had read the 'Patient Dignity Policy' and that 'Equality & Diversity Policy' was discussed at staff appraisals and team events. The premises and services had been adapted to meet the needs of people with disabilities. This included lowered windows for wheel chair users at the reception desk.

The practice staff were aware of the needs of more vulnerable patients who may not normally have easy and regular access to GP services, for example homeless or transient patients.

The practice had a stable register of patients. The practice manager told us they had very small numbers of patients from different ethnic backgrounds, namely Eastern Europeans people and a small number of patients from

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

other Ethnic minorities. Most of these patients could speak English but interpreting services were available if required. The practice had a hearing loop system in place for use by patients with hearing difficulties.

Access to the service

Appointments were available from 8:30am to 6pm on weekdays. Multiple pre bookable appointments were available up to two weeks in advance. No one was turned away.

Comprehensive information was available to patients about appointments in reception and on the website. This included how to arrange urgent appointments and home visits and how to book appointments. There were also arrangements in place to ensure patients received urgent medical assistance when the practice was closed. If patients called the practice when it was closed, there was an answerphone message giving the telephone number they should ring depending on the circumstances. Information on the out-of-hours service was provided to patients.

The practice operated a flexible appointment system to ensure all patients who needed to be seen the same day were accommodated. Patients we spoke with were happy with the appointment system. This ensured patients were able to access healthcare when they needed to. Patients told us they could see another GP if there was a wait to see the GP of their choice.

The practice utilises a telephone based system to organise appointments. The practice also caters for walk in cases and people who do not have access to a phone. Reception staff are the first point of contact for patients. They are trained to take demographic data and brief medical details. Patients may be offered a routine appointment, a same day or an urgent appointment.

Patients can book directly into nurse appointments or they may be contacted by reception to book appointments for

chronic disease management. The nurses have recently started to provide a telephone follow up service for chronic disease management which is proving popular with patients.

Patients told us that when they needed urgent attention they were able to see a GP on the same day.

The practice was situated on the ground of the building with all of services for patients on the ground floor

We saw that the waiting area was large enough to accommodate patients with wheelchairs and prams and allowed for access to the treatment and consultation rooms. Accessible toilet facilities were available for all patients attending the practice including baby changing facilities.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had a system in place for handling complaints and concerns. Their complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England. There was a designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.

We saw that information was available to help patients understand the complaints system. Patients we spoke with were aware of the process to follow should they wish to make a complaint. None of the patients spoken with had ever needed to make a complaint about the practice.

The practice manager responded to complaints offering the patient the option to come in and discuss the issue. The manager contacted the GP concerned and the item was discussed at the weekly Friday team meeting. We looked at the summary of complaints which highlighted the summary of the complaint, the outcome and the completed action for the practice.

Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients. We were told details of the vision and practice values were part of the practice's business plan. These values were at the heart of the practice's way of providing services to patients.

"Plane Trees Group Practice aims to provide excellent patient care in a happy working environment which values practice and personal development, respects people and is based on achievable and realistic goals". This mission statement was revised in November 2014 at an away day event. All staff and GPs were involved in the development of this statement.

We spoke with members of staff and they all knew and understood the vision and values and knew what their responsibilities were in relation to these.

The staff team understood and shared the vision for the practice and the GP partners had agreed the strategic approach of the business, we saw evidence of documented planning which supported their decision making.

Governance arrangements

The practice had a number of policies and procedures in place to govern activity and these were available to staff via the IT system. All the policies and procedures we looked at had been reviewed annually and were up to date.

The practice held monthly governance meetings. We looked at minutes from the last meeting and found that performance, quality and risks had been discussed.

The practice used the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) to measure their performance. The QOF data for this practice showed it was performing above the national standards. We saw that QOF data was regularly discussed at monthly team meetings and action plans were produced to maintain and improve outcomes.

The practice had arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks. The practice manager showed us their risk log which addressed a wide range of potential issues. We reviewed the comprehensive range of risk assessments in place. These included assessment of risks associated

with moving and handling, fire safety, medical emergencies, health and safety of the environment and control of legionella bacteria. All risk assessments had been recently reviewed and updated.

Leadership, openness and transparency

After a recent restructure all staff had a clear vision of how the practice was managed and who to report to and who was their line manager. This change enabled stronger leadership and a more directed focus on hands on management. Staff felt this had improved the support as the practice was now covering early and late shifts with senior management.

As part of the wider picture the practice engaged extensively with the CCG through the engagement scheme, and the other organisations like the LMC, federation to seek advice when appropriate, to follow best practice guidelines.

The practice was led in accordance with the principles of NHSE, clinical GMS contract values but all in constant touch with changes as they arose. The practice had their clinical quality manager who picked up all new protocols, safety issues, services and audits services and cascaded this through the practice in conjunction with GP leads and the manager as required.

The practice had a very active Patient Reference Group – regular meetings, minutes, action plan and newsletters were in their remit. We saw copies of the last two newsletters Summer and Winter 2014. Staff meetings were held to engage and obtain views of staff with an annual away day to focus on what we have done and set priorities for the forthcoming year.

We were shown a clear leadership structure which had named members of staff in lead roles. For example there was a lead nurse for infection control and the senior partner was the lead for safeguarding. We spoke with members of staff and they were all clear about their own roles and responsibilities. They all told us that they felt valued, well supported and knew who to go to in the practice with any concerns.

We saw from minutes that team meetings were held regularly, at least monthly. Staff told us that there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity and were happy to raise issues at team meetings.

Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Staff told us they felt very well supported and knew who to go to in the practice with any concerns. The GPs fulfilled a leadership role within the practice, providing highly visible, accessible and effective support.

The practice had implemented a comprehensive schedule of meetings which provided staff with the opportunity to discuss concerns and disseminate information. Staff told us that there was an open and transparent culture within the practice. They had the opportunity to contribute to the agenda of team meetings, to raise issues within team meetings and on a more informal basis and felt well supported in doing so.

The practice manager was responsible for human resource policies and procedures. We reviewed a number of policies which were in place to support staff. Staff we spoke with knew where to find these policies if required.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had gathered feedback from patients through annual patient surveys, comment cards, suggestion box and complaints received. We looked at the results of the annual patient survey and were shown a report on comments from patients.

The practice has an established Patient Reference Group (PRG) who contribute and feedback customer satisfaction. The practice has found these comments an extremely useful reflection tool for helping to improve customer service. Currently there were eight members.

The practice manager was working with the PRG to have a broader representation from various population groups; including people from ethnic backgrounds. A GP occasionally attended PRG meetings. The PRG met every quarter. The practice manager showed us the analysis of the last patient survey which was considered in conjunction with the PRG.

Recent improvements made to the practice as a direct result of the PRG included Saturday opening and an improved appointment system.

The practice had gathered feedback from staff. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. We saw minutes of a meeting where improvements were discussed and an action was agreed by all staff.

The practice had a whistle blowing policy which was available to all staff within the practice.

Management lead through learning and improvement

Staff told us that the practice supported them to maintain their clinical professional development through training and mentoring. We looked at two files and saw that regular appraisals took place which included a personal development plan. Staff told us that the practice was very supportive of training.

The practice offered all GPs and nurses time to develop their skills and competencies. Staff who we spoke with confirmed this time was available. Staff also told us they were actively encouraged to take study time.

Systems were in place for recording and monitoring all staff training needs. We reviewed staff training records and saw that staff were up to date with attending mandatory courses such as annual basic life support, infection control and safeguarding of children and vulnerable adults. Staff told us they also had opportunities for individual training and development. For example, the lead nurse for diabetes told us they had been supported in undertaking advanced training in diabetes.

The practice completed reviews of significant events and other incidents and shared the learning with the staff team to ensure the practice learnt from incidents to improve outcomes for patients. Significant events and incidents were discussed within weekly clinical meetings, GP partner meetings and monthly practice staff meetings. We looked at the 'Significant Event and Incident Log' dated April 2014-2015 which highlighted the incident, review date, action taken, by whom and date completed.