

# BST Partnership Shirley Dental Care Inspection report

383 Stratford Road Shirley Solihull B90 3BW Tel: 01217331232

Date of inspection visit: 02 March 2023 Date of publication: 21/03/2023

### **Overall summary**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 2 March 2023 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

#### Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and most life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises. However, minor shortfalls were identified in fire safety assessments which had been rectified following the inspection.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children however, evidence of staff training was not available at inspection.

## Summary of findings

- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

#### Background

Shirley Dental Care is in Shirley, Solihull and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is step free access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including dedicated parking for disabled people, are available near the practice. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 6 dentists including 1 foundation dentist, 8 dental nurses, 1 dental hygienist, 1 practice manager and 1 receptionist. The practice has 4 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 1 dentist, 1 dental nurse, and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Friday from 9am to 5.30pm

Saturday from 9am to 1pm

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Take action to ensure the availability of equipment in the practice to manage medical emergencies taking into account the guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK) and the General Dental Council.
- Take action to ensure that all the staff have received training, to an appropriate level, in the safeguarding of children and vulnerable adults.
- Implement an effective recruitment procedure to ensure that appropriate checks are completed prior to new staff commencing employment at the practice.
- Take action to implement any recommendations in the practice's fire safety risk assessment and ensure ongoing fire safety management is effective.

# Summary of findings

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	No action 🖌
Are services effective?	No action 🖌
Are services caring?	No action 🖌
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action 🖌
Are services well-led?	No action 🖌

## Are services safe?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. However, training evidence was not available for ten members of staff and out of date for one member of staff.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance. However, the storage bin was not attached securely. Following our inspection, the provider submitted evidence that the clinical storage bin had been secured.

The practice appeared clean and there were effective cleaning schedules in place. It was noted that the cleaning equipment needed replacing and was not stored correctly. The provider submitted evidence that this had been rectified following the inspection.

The practice did not have a recruitment policy or procedure in place including an induction policy to help them employ suitable staff. Following the inspection, evidence was submitted to show this had been put in place.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. The management of fire safety was not effective as monthly fire extinguishers checks were not carried out. However, evidence was submitted following inspection to show that checks have been put in place to ensure the equipment is not damaged and suitable for use.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available.

#### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance, with the exception of clear face masks (sizes 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4) which were missing. The missing face masks were ordered within 48 hours of our inspection.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and some of the staff had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

## Are services safe?

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

#### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out. However, there was not a system in place to log NHS prescriptions to monitor all prescriptions on the premises at any one time. It would therefore be difficult to identify lost or missing prescriptions. Evidence was been submitted showing a log has been implemented following the inspection.

#### Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

### Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice did not have systems in place to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice including training in safeguarding children and adults, infection control, basic life support, radiography, fire safety and the learning and development needs of individual staff members at appropriate intervals.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

#### Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

#### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance.

#### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff did not have a structured induction and there was no oversight to ensure clinical staff had completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council. Following our inspection, evidence of a recruitment and induction policy had been implemented as well as a staff training log.

#### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentist confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

## Are services caring?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

#### Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentist explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included the use of X-ray images.

## Are services responsive to people's needs?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments, including downstairs treatment rooms for patients with access requirements. Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients including the use of the step free access via the back entrance to the practice.

#### Timely access to services

The practice displayed the opening hours and provided information in the patient information leaflet.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with a partner organisation to support urgent access for patients to be seen at Hockley Heath Dental Care. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

## Are services well-led?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Leadership capacity and capability

The provider demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

#### Culture

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff had not received annual appraisals to discuss their training needs. This was to be implemented following inspection.

#### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance. However, minor shortfalls were identified in fire safety assessments which had been rectified following the inspection.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance and continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.