

# King Street Medical Centre

### **Inspection report**

43 King Street
Accrington
Lancashire
BB5 1QE
Tel: 01254617664
www.drbellosurgery.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 06/06/2018 Date of publication: 13/08/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

# Overall summary

**This practice is rated as Good overall.** (This is the first inspection of the service under this provider.)

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at King Street Medical Centre on 6 June 2018 as part of our inspection programme. The current provider registered this practice in September 2017. Previous staff including the practice manager were retained until January 2018 when the current team took full managerial responsibility.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had put in place clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen.
   When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence- based guidelines. Particular emphasis was placed on improving patient engagement for recall of long term conditions, baby immunisations and cervical smears.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they could access care when they needed it.
- The management team led a work plan which placed a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements are:

- Clinical staff should continue to develop two cycle audits or other quality improvement work in order to demonstrate improvements in patient care and outcomes.
- Staff should take action to establish regular staff meetings which encourage learning and improvement after serious incidents.
- Multidisciplinary meetings should be established at the practice to demonstrate clear care coordination of patients with complex needs.
- All substances hazardous to health had been identified, however a risk assessment should be carried out.
- Practice staff should consider fitting a hearing loop to support patients with a hearing impairment.
- Seek assurance from the landlords in respect of the electrical safety certificate.
- Practice staff should introduce a patient survey to monitor patient views about the service.
- Consideration should be given to increasing the practice nurse hours available.

**Professor Steve Field** CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

Please refer to the detailed report and the evidence tables for further information.

### Population group ratings

Older people	Good
People with long-term conditions	Good
Families, children and young people	Good
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good

### Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser.

### Background to King Street Medical Centre

King Street Medical Centre is located in a detached property in King Street, Accrington. The practice website is www.kingstreetaccrington.nhs.uk

The practice delivers primary medical services to a patient population of approximately 2,000 patients under a General Medical Services (GMS) contract with NHS England. It is part of the NHS East Lancashire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).

The practice delivers the following regulated activities: -

- Diagnostic and screening procedures
- Maternity and midwifery services
- Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

The practice's patient population has a slightly higher proportion of older people than the local

averages, for example 15% are over the age of 65 (CCG average being 13.5% and national average 17%), 23% are under the age of 18 (CCG average 25%, national average 21%) Information published by Public Health England rates the level of deprivation within the practice

population group as one on a scale of one to ten. Level one represents the highest levels of deprivation and level ten the lowest. The practice population is 30% of Pakistani origin and 6% Polish.

The practice was reregistered by the current provider in September 2017 and the management team changed in January 2018. The practice is staffed by one male GP. Other clinical staff consist of one part- time female practice nurse, and one part- time health care assistant. Clinical staff are supported by a business manager, a management support and a team of reception and administration staff.

The practice is open between 8am and 7.30pm on Monday, 8am to 6.30pm Tuesday to Friday. Extended hours appointments are available on Monday 6.30 to 7.30pm. Outside normal surgery hours, patients are advised to contact the out of hour's service by dialling 111, offered locally by the provider East Lancashire Medical Services.



### Are services safe?

# We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

### **Risks to patients**

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.

 When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and acted to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.

### Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

- There were a range of risk assessments in relation to safety issues. However, whilst all substances hazardous to health had been identified and data sheets were available they had not been risk assessed.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.

### Lessons learned and improvements made

In general, the practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

 Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.



# Are services safe?

- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. However due to the infrequency of staff meetings we saw no formal evidence that practice staff learned and shared lessons or identified themes. The management team recorded the action taken to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.



### Are services effective?

# We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services overall

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

### Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice nurse followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins for secondary prevention. People with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.

• The practice could demonstrate how it identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.

Families, children and young people:

- Current data was not available to monitor whether childhood immunisation uptake rates were in line with the target percentage of 90%. Staff were focussing on this area to improve rates and made calls to families to encourage attendance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- Current data was not available to monitor the practice's uptake for cervical screening. Staff were targeting this group and making personal contact to encourage attendance.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

 The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity,



## Are services effective?

obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.

- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
   When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

- Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.
- QOF data was not available for the current provider as
  they took managerial control from January 2018 and felt
  that the unvalidated data for the three months
  January-March 2018 was not representative of their
  performance. Therefore data within the evidence table
  is attributed to the previous provider. The current
  provider had comprehensive plans in place to improve
  patient outcomes, which included specifically targetting
  improvement in childhood immunisations and cervical
  screening.

#### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people with mental health problems.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.

- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. There
  was an induction programme for new staff. Management
  had developed a policy and planned to establish one to
  one meetings and appraisals, and revalidation.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw patient records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals at a joint meeting at Dill Hall surgery (the providers other practice) discussing care delivery for people with long term conditions and coordinating healthcare for care home residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local area. Practice staff planned to establish a separate meeting to discuss King Street patients.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care.
   This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

 The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.



### Are services effective?

- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.



# Are services caring?

### We rated the practice as good for caring.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs. The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The current providers of the practice had not yet received the GP patient survey results for the period January-March 2018. The results from the previous provider indicated GPs and nurses ability to listen to patients and treat them with care and concern were below average.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- The GP patient survey results attributable to the previous provider indicated the GPs and nurses ability to involve the patients in decisions about their care and explaining tests and treatments were below average.
- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- There was no hearing loop available in the practice to support patients with a hearing impairment.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them.

### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues, or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

# We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Telephone GP consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

### Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- The GP reviewed all patients following hospital discharge and staff then worked with the CCG funded nurse who visited all over 75 years.

### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

 The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours were available on Monday evening 6.30-7.30pm.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- Best interest meetings were held with patient's families, the GP and nursing home staff to agree a way forward for patients who did not have capacity to make decisions about their treatment.

#### Timely access to care and treatment

- Patients told us they were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.
- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.



## Are services well-led?

# We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

### Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

#### **Culture**

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, and joint working arrangements promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control
- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Whilst there was limited clinical audit it had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients.
   There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.



### Are services well-led?

• The practice considered and understood the impact on the quality of care of service changes or developments.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Practice staff planned to use outcomes data combined with the views of patients to continue to improve quality.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where the development work plan was reviewed and staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used ongoing performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

# Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- The practice was in the initial stages of developing a full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns which were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements at management level. There were plans to establish regular staff meetings to make this discussion and learning more inclusive.
- Leaders and managers had plans and policies to encourage staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.