

# The Wilf Ward Family Trust The Wilf Ward Family Trust -Supported Living (Scarborough Inner)

### **Inspection report**

Suite 7 Cayley Court, Hopper Hill Road, Eastfield Scarborough YO11 3YJ Date of inspection visit: 23 June 2021

Good

Date of publication: 26 July 2021

### Ratings

### Overall rating for this service

Is the service safe?GoodIs the service effective?GoodIs the service caring?GoodIs the service responsive?GoodIs the service well-led?Good

### Summary of findings

### Overall summary

#### About the service

The Wilf Ward Family Trust - Supported Living (Scarborough Inner) provides support to people living in their own supported living accommodation. The service supports people with learning disabilities and/or autism with personal care and support in their own homes. At the time of the inspection, the service was supporting 20 people living in seven group houses. There were sleeping-in rooms for staff where required in the houses

### People's experience of using this service and what we found

We received positive feedback from people, who told us they were happy with the support they received from the service. People received person-centred support and staff knew people well. Support plans covered all aspects of people's lives and their preferences to ensure a personalised experience. People were supported to maintain important personal relationships.

People's health and well-being needs were supported from the staff team who encouraged people to develop, try new things, learn new skills and achieve personal goals. People were empowered to have their say and to exercise their rights. Access to an advocacy service was available and used when needed. Systems were in place for communicating with people, their relatives and staff to ensure they were fully involved.

Medicines were safely administered and recorded accurately. Individualised risk assessments were in place. Staff were confident they would raise concerns to safeguard people. Robust recruitment and selection procedures ensured suitable staff were employed.

All essential visitors had to wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), provide evidence of a negative COVID-19 test and complete NHS Track and Trace information. Staff completed training in infection prevention and control. The registered manager completed competency checks and regular spot checks with all staff regarding safe use of PPE and infection prevention and control procedures.

We expect health and social care providers to guarantee autistic people and people with a learning disability the choices, dignity, independence and good access to local communities that most people take for granted. Right support, right care, right culture is the guidance CQC follows to make assessments and judgements about services providing support to people with a learning disability and/or autistic people.

The service was able to demonstrate how they were meeting the underpinning principles of Right support, right care, right culture. People were supported to reach their personal goals through person-centred approaches from leadership and staff with the right skills and attitudes to achieve this. For more details, please see the full report which is on the Care Quality Commission (CQC) website at www.cqc.org.uk

### Rating at last inspection

2 The Wilf Ward Family Trust - Supported Living (Scarborough Inner) Inspection report 26 July 2021

This service was registered with us on 20 November 2019 and this is the first inspection.

#### Why we inspected

This was a planned first rating inspection. We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the Safe key question. We look at this in all inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to COVID-19 and other infection outbreaks effectively.

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

<b>Is the service safe?</b> The service was safe Details are in the safe findings below.	Good •
Is the service effective? The service was effective Details are in the effective findings below.	Good ●
Is the service caring? The service was caring	Good ●
Details are in the caring findings below. Is the service responsive?	Good ●
The service was responsive Details are in the responsive findings below. Is the service well-led?	Good ●
The service was well led Details are in the well led findings below.	



# The Wilf Ward Family Trust -Supported Living (Scarborough Inner)

**Detailed findings** 

### Background to this inspection

#### The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

### Notice of inspection

This inspection was announced. We gave the service 24 hours' notice of the inspection. This was because it is a small service and we needed to be sure that the provider or registered manager would be in the office to support the inspection.

The service had a Registered Manager. This means that when registered they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

#### What we did before the inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service. We sought feedback from the local authority and professionals who work with the service.

We used the information the provider sent us in the provider information return. This is information providers are required to send us with key information about their service, what they do well, and improvements they plan to make. This information helps support our inspections. This is information we require providers to send us to give some key information about the service, what the service does well and improvements they plan to make. We took this into account when we inspected the service and made the

5 The Wilf Ward Family Trust - Supported Living (Scarborough Inner) Inspection report 26 July 2021

judgements in this report.

#### During the inspection

We spent time with people who used the service. We spoke with ten people who used the service, six relatives, the registered manager, and three support staff.

We reviewed a range of records. These included three people's care records, a variety of records relating to the management of the service, including audits and procedures were reviewed.

#### After the inspection

We carried out telephone interviews with six members of support staff and continued to seek clarification from the provider to corroborate evidence found. We looked at audits, care plans, reports and policies.

### Is the service safe?

### Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm. This is the first inspection for this newly registered service. This key question has been rated Good. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

How well are people protected by the prevention and control of infection?

- We were assured that the provider was preventing visitors from catching and spreading infections.
- We were assured that the provider was meeting shielding and social distancing rules.
- We were assured that the provider was admitting people safely to the service.
- We were assured that the provider was using PPE effectively and safely.
- We were assured that the provider was accessing testing for people using the service and staff.
- We were assured that the provider was making sure infection outbreaks can be effectively prevented or managed.
- We were assured that the provider's infection prevention and control policy was up to date.

#### Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

- People told us they felt safe. One person told us, "Staff make sure we are social distancing, washing our hands, wearing masks when we go in taxis, it was difficult at first."
- Personalised risk assessments were in place and regularly reviewed. Where risks were identified, support plans guided staff to manage and reduce these risks.
- Fire safety practices helped ensure people's safety and included regular checks of equipment used by staff.

### Using medicines safely

- People received their medicines as prescribed and at the right time. Medicine records were clear for staff to follow and were accurately completed.
- Medicine administration records were clear and completed fully.
- Where appropriate people were also supported to manage their own medicines safely.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- Staff had received safeguarding training and were able to appropriately raise any concerns.
- Where safeguarding concerns had been raised, investigations and appropriate action was taken.

#### Staffing and recruitment

- There were enough staff to meet people's individual needs and maintain their safety.
- Staff were recruited safely. The provider's processes included robust checks to ensure only suitable staff were employed.

#### Learning lessons when things go wrong

• Accidents and incidents were recorded on an individual basis. The registered manager analysed these to

look for any patterns or trends and took appropriate action to minimise risk of further incidents.

### Is the service effective?

# Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence. This is the first inspection for this newly registered service. This key question has been rated Good. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and people's feedback confirmed this.

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

- Staff had the skills and knowledge to support people. Staff completed mandatory training and additional training to ensure they could meet people's specific needs. One staff member told us, "Yes we have people with conditions so regular training on them and person-centred training is the basis of everything. It's all around people's needs. People change all the time so it's good to have a handle on things."
- People were supported by staff who received regular supervisions and appraisals.
- Staff were inducted to the service by a robust induction process that included specific training and shadowing experienced staff.

Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

- People's eating and drinking needs were met. Staff understood people's dietary needs and supported them to have a varied and nutritionally balanced diet. Appropriate support was provided to people who required a specialised diet.
- People enjoyed flexibility in choosing what, where and when they ate.

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care

- The service worked in partnership with external professionals, such as social workers, psychiatrists, and GPs to support and maintain people's long-term health and well-being.
- People had personalised support plans covering their healthcare needs. These shared important information with healthcare professionals.

Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law

- Outcomes for people were met. Their preferences, care and health needs were assessed and regularly reviewed.
- Changes to people's needs were reviewed with them and their advocate or relatives and this was reflected in their support plans.

Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support

- The service enabled people to maximise their independence by working with healthcare professionals. to ensure they received where appropriate for example the speech and language therapy team.
- Referrals were made to healthcare professionals where appropriate and in a timely manner.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The Act requires that, as far as possible, people

make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA. When people receive care and treatment in their own homes an application must be made to the Court of Protection for them to authorise people to be deprived of their liberty.

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, and whether any conditions on authorisations to deprive a person of their liberty had the appropriate legal authority and were being met.

• Staff sought people's consent and included people in decisions about their care. People were given choices and encouraged to make their own decisions where possible.

• Where required, healthcare professionals and staff completed capacity assessments to ensure people were supported appropriately to make decisions.

• Where people lacked capacity to make decisions in an area of their life, they were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives. Decisions were made in their best interests with involvement from people, their family, advocates and relevant professionals Staff understood their role in making decisions in people's best interests and appropriate records were in place

### Is the service caring?

# Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect. This is the first inspection for this newly registered service. This key question has been rated Good. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity

- There was a positive rapport between people, support staff and the management team.
- People were supported to maintain personal relationships. One person told us, "I couldn't speak with my partner when the pandemic started, the staff helped me to get a video phone so I could face time them."
- Staff were trained in dignity and respect. Staff always treated people with kindness and respect.

Supporting people to express their views and be involved in making decisions about their care

- People were supported to make plans and discuss any changes to their support with staff and their advocate or relatives. One person told us, "I like the staff they're caring and are looking after us properly. I make choices a lot, where to go out to with my staff".
- People were supported to have their say and had an independent advocate where required to promote their rights.

• Staff spent time listening and talking to people. We observed the relaxed atmosphere and mutual respect between people and staff.

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

- People were actively supported to set goals and to develop their independence. One person told us, "Becoming more independent by doing our active support, it is giving me confidence, I can now cook my own meals."
- People were supported to learn new skills. One relative told us staff had supported their family member to try and cook different meals which had enabled them to have more experiences.
- Staff engaged with people in a dignified way.

### Is the service responsive?

# Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs. This is the first inspection for this newly registered service. This key question has been rated Good. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

• People had personalised support plans which covered all aspects of their life and the support they required. Records showed they were reviewed regularly.

• The support people received was tailored to their needs and was delivered in a person-centred way.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities socially and culturally relevant to them

- People followed their interests and took take part in activities that had positive impacts on their lives and their overall well-being. One person told us as restrictions were lifting, they were now enjoying things again. They said, "I like the gym, and drama, also meeting friends at bowls club."
- People were supported to use a range of communication methods to maintain contact with their relatives and friends during the COVID-19 pandemic. One person told us, "I have been able to keep I touch with my family, it's been tough but we can plan for the future now."

### Meeting people's communication needs

Since 2016 onwards all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard (AIS). The standard was introduced to make sure people are given information in a way they can understand. The standard applies to all people with a disability, impairment or sensory loss and in some circumstances to their carers.

- People's communication needs were met. Staff adapted information and communication methods to suit people's preferences. For example, providing easy read information for those who needed it.
- During the pandemic, the provider set up an online lunch club for people to meet up virtually with other people who use the service in the area. This helped people to continue to communicate and enjoy being part of a group that was accessible to them.

Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns.

- A complaints procedure was in place and was followed by the registered manager and staff.
- People were supported to raise any issues. Where issues had been raised, these were addressed and appropriately followed up.

### Is the service well-led?

# Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture. This is the first inspection for this newly registered service. This key question has been rated Good. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

- The registered manager encouraged people and staff to be open with each other and created a culture of acceptance.
- The provider's aim was to support people to achieve their goals and provide person centred support. This was embedded in the culture of the service.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- The provider had contingency plans for people in case of an emergency to ensure minimal disruption to their care and in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Policies and procedures were current and in line with best practice.
- The registered manager carried out at suite of audits that enabled action for improvement where needed.
- The provider understood their legal responsibility to notify CQC about incidents that affected people's safety and welfare had sent us notifications relating to significant events occurring within the service.

Continuous learning and improving care, working in partnership with others

- People interacted positively with the registered manager and told us how approachable they were. One person told us, "There is always someone here if we have a problem or if we want to talk. If there was a real problem, then we would need to tell the manager."
- The registered manager took on board the opinions and views of people who used the service and their relatives to make improvements.
- Staff told us they felt supported by the registered manager.
- People were supported by a range of healthcare professionals with whom the registered manager and staff had forged good working relationships.

How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong

- There was clear leadership and regular audits were completed by the registered manager to understand the quality and safety of the service.
- The provider had a plan to ensure minimal disruption to care in case of an emergency.
- Policies and procedures were current and in line with best practice.
- The registered manager was open with the inspector during the inspection.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

- The registered manager held meetings for each individual person and their staff team to discuss relevant information.
- Staff could approach the registered manager for support at any time.