

Woodside Medical Centre

Quality Report

247J Wood Street
Middleton
Manchester
M24 5QL
Tel: 0161 643 9244
Website: www.woodsidemedicalcentre.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 12 July 2016 Date of publication: 13/09/2016

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Overall summary The five questions we ask and what we found	2
	4
The six population groups and what we found	7
What people who use the service say	11
Outstanding practice	11
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	12
Background to Woodside Medical Centre	12
Why we carried out this inspection	12
How we carried out this inspection	12
Detailed findings	14

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Woodside Medical Centre on 12 July 2016. Overall the practice is rated as good.

Our key findings across all the areas we inspected were as follows:

- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed, including those relating to recruitment checks.
- Staff assessed patients' needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. Staff had been trained to provide them with the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.

- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand. Improvements were made to the quality of care as a result of complaints and concerns.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour.

We saw one area of outstanding practice:

The practice had developed a number of templates for all patient contacts such as telephone calls to the surgery, A&E attendances and safeguarding which allowed the practice to monitor certain activity resulting in a focused approach and improved care for vulnerable groups of patients.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP

Chief Inspector of General Practice

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services.

- There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events
- Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.
- When things went wrong patients received reasonable support, truthful information, and a written apology. They were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- Three members of staff were trained as fire marshalls and all staff were trained in basic life support.

Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services.

- Data from the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) showed patient outcomes were at or above average compared to the national average.
- Staff assessed needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance.
- Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.
- Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- There was evidence of appraisals and personal development plans for all staff.
- Staff worked with other health care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs.
- There was a clinical and administrative lead for all clinical and non clinical areas of work. This ensured continuity of care for patients.
- All patients were followed up by the practice within three days of discharge from hospital.

Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services.

Good





- Data from the national GP patient survey showed patients rated the practice in line with or higher than others for several aspects of care.
- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in decisions about their care and treatment
- Information for patients about the services available was easy to understand and accessible.
- We saw staff treated patients with kindness and respect, and maintained patient and information confidentiality.
- Birthday cards were sent to patients on their 80th, 90th and 100th birthdays which also acted as prompts for any missed health checks.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services.

- Practice staff reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group to secure improvements to services where these were identified.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand and evidence showed the practice responded quickly to issues raised. Learning from complaints was shared with staff and other stakeholders.
- In response to comments from patients, the practice introduced a 24 hour telephone answer service to enable patients to request repeat prescriptions.
- In response to comments from patients the practice offered sit and wait appointments each morning Monday to Friday.
- Patients were able to receive text reminders for appointments.

Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for being well-led.

 The practice had developed an A&E template which captured information about the time of attendance to audit if the patient attended during surgery hours. The template was completed by the staff member who received the A&E attendance letter and allowed the practice to capture information with regard to frequency of attendance, attenders, time of attendance and Good





treatment. This particularly related to vulnerable patients who overdosed, frail patients who suffered falls, exacerbation of COPD and nursing home patients. This allowed the practice to monitor certain activity resulting in better and improved care for vulnerable groups of patients

- The practice had a clear vision and strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients. Staff were clear about the vision and their responsibilities in relation to it.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice had a number of policies and procedures to govern activity and held regular governance meetings.
- There was an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This included arrangements to monitor and improve quality and identify risk.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place for notifiable safety incidents and ensured this information was shared with staff to ensure appropriate action was taken
- · The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on. The patient participation group was active.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels.

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people.

- The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older patients in its population.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- All patients over the age of 75 had a personalised care plan and a named GP.
- The practice embraced the Gold Standards Framework for end of life care. This included supporting patients' choice to receive end of life care at home.
- The practice nurse visited housebound patients to review long term conditions and to offer vaccinations such as flu, shingles and pneumococcal.
- The practice took part in a local tea dance which was organised with neighbouring practices where patients aged over 65 were encouraged to take up the flu vaccination.
- Nursing homes were given access to a bypass telephone number to contact the surgery.

People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the care of people with long-term conditions.

- Nursing staff had lead roles in chronic disease management and patients at risk of hospital admission were identified as a priority.
- The practice nurse ran a diabesity prevention programme
 which identified patients at risk using a validated risk
 assessment tool. The overall aim was to avoid or delay the onset
 of type two diabetes in high risk patients. 71 patients were
 screened and 27 diagnosed with prediabetes and 11 patients
 diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. Patients were offered sessions
 with a health trainer, support with lifestyle and weight loss
 which resulted in a lower risk score and improved health.
- The practice developed their own templates based on NICE guidelines for long term conditions such as gout, migraine and abnormal LFT. These templates ensured consistency of care throughout the clinical team.

Good





- The practice recently held two well man clinics for male patients who don't normally attend surgery. The clinics offered screening for long term conditions and provided support for lifestyle modification.
- 92% of diabetic patients had a record of having had a foot examination and risk classification within the preceding twelve months compared to the national average of 88%.
- Longer appointments and home visits were available when needed.
- All these patients had a named GP and a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For those patients with the most complex needs, the named GP worked with relevant health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.
- Patients suffering with COPD were issed with emergency rescue packs .
- The practice were able to initiate insulin to its diabetic patients.

Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young people.

- There were systems in place to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of A&E attendances. Immunisation rates were relatively high for all standard childhood immunisations.
- The practice signposted patients to the local community centre for housing benefit advice and access to a foodbank.
- Patients told us that children and young people were treated in an age-appropriate way and were recognised as individuals, and we saw evidence to confirm this.
- 80% of women aged between 25 and 64 had their notes recorded that a cervical screening test had been performed in the preceding five years which similar to the national average of 82%.
- Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises were suitable for children and babies.
- Same day appointments were offered to children under the age of 12 years.
- We saw positive examples of joint working with midwives, health visitors and school nurses.
- Birth cards were sent to all new parents together with information about assessments and immunistions.
- Breast feeding mothers were welcomed by the practice and a room was made available if required.

- Full contraceptive services were offered including the fitting of coils, implants and injections.
- A confidential sexual health screening was offered to patients.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students).

- The needs of the working age population, those recently retired and students had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening that reflects the needs for this age group.
- The practice offered extended hours every Monday evening for patients that were unable to attend the surgery during normal working hours.
- Telephone consultations were offered to patients who required them.
- The practice had a dedicated 24 hour answer phone service so that patients were able to phone, at a time convenenient to them, to order repeat prescriptions.

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers, military veterans and those with a learning disability.
- The practice offered longer appointments for patients with a learning disability.
- The practice regularly worked with other health care professionals in the case management of vulnerable patients.
- The practice informed vulnerable patients about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.

Good





- The practice held a register of all patients with a DoLs
 (Deprivation of Liberties) in place. Each of these patients had an
 alert on the clinical computer system which was linked to a
 template showing detailed information about the DoLs.
- One of the partners had a special interest in substance misuse and held a weekly clinic for all patients in the local area.
- The practice had developed a number of templates for all
 patient contacts such as telephone calls to the surgery, A&E
 attendances and safeguarding which allowed the practice to
 monitor certain activity resulting in a focused approach and
 improved care for vulnerable groups of patients.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

- 79% of patients diagnosed with dementia who had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the last 12 months, which is comparable to the national average.
- 87% of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in their record in the preceding 12 months which is comparable to the national average of 88%...
- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of patients experiencing poor mental health, including those with dementia.
- The practice carried out advance care planning for patients with dementia.
- The practice had told patients experiencing poor mental health about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- The practice had a system in place to follow up patients who had attended accident and emergency where they may have been experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and dementia.
- Patients at risk of depression were signposted to Healthy Minds for counselling and support.
- Patients with a history of self harm were followed up by the practice and offered support.



What people who use the service say

The national GP patient survey results were published in July 2016. Results were mixed when compared with local and national averages. 333 survey forms were distributed and 108 were returned. This was a return rate of 32% and represented 1.3% of the practice's patient list.

- 33% of patients found it easy to get through to this practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%.
- 84% of patients were able to get an appointment to see or speak to someone the last time they tried compared to the national average of 85%.
- 83% of patients described the overall experience of this GP practice as good compared to the national average of 85%.
- 73% of patients said they would recommend this GP practice to someone who has just moved to the local area compared to the national average of 78%.

In response to the national survey results the practice had:

- Introduced a 24 hour telephone answering machine where patients could leave messages requesting repeat prescriptions and cancel appointments.
- Introduced a telephone triage system to help patients that may not need a face to face appointment.
- Introduced sit and wait appointments each morning from Monday to Friday.

The practice nurse was training to become an Advanced Nurse Practitioner and the practice had recruited an additional practice nurse. This would enable the practice to offer more appointments to patients.

As part of our inspection we also asked for CQC comment cards to be completed by patients prior to our inspection. We received 43 comment cards which were all positive about the standard of care received. Comments included how patients felt listened to by the GPs and that they worked together to achieve the best health for them.

We spoke with nine patients during the inspection. All nine patients said they were satisfied with the care they received and thought staff were approachable, committed and caring.

Outstanding practice

The practice had developed a number of templates for all patient contacts such as telephone calls to the surgery,

A&E attendances and safeguarding which allowed the practice to monitor certain activity resulting in a focused approach and improved care for vulnerable groups of patients.



Woodside Medical Centre

Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser, a practice manager specialist adviser and an Expert by Experience.

Background to Woodside Medical Centre

Woodside Medical Centre at 247j Wood Street, Middleton, M24 5QL provide primary medical services in Middleton near Manchester from Monday to Friday. The practice is open between 8am and 6.00pm. The first appointment of the day with a GP is 8.40am and the last appointment with a GP is 5.50pm. Extended hours are offered on Monday evenings until 7.45pm. Same day urgent appointments are available each day.

Woodside Medical Centre is situated within the geographical area of Heywood, Middleton and Rochdale Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).

The practice has a General Medical Services (GMS) contract. The GMS contract is the contract between general practices and NHS England for delivering primary care services to local communities.

Woodside Medical Centre is responsible for providing care to 8152 patients.

The practice consists of six GP partners three male and three female, one practice nurse and a phlebotomist. The practice is supported by a practice manager, finance manager, secretaries and receptionists.

When the practice is closed patients are directed to the out of hour's service BARDOC.

The practice is part of a group of practices who offer appointments to a GP and PN seven days a week.

The practice is a teaching practice regularly taking students from Manchester University.

Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. The inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

How we carried out this inspection

Before visiting, we reviewed a range of information we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to share what they knew. We carried out an announced visit on 12 July 2016. During our visit we:

- Spoke with a range of staff including GPs, the practice manager, adminstration manager, phlebotomist and medical secretary and spoke with patients who used the service.
- Observed how patients were being cared for and talked with carers and/or family members.
- Reviewed an anonymised sample of the personal care or treatment records of patients.

Detailed findings

 Reviewed comment cards where patients and members of the public shared their views and experiences of the service.'

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- · Is it safe?
- · Is it effective?
- · Is it caring?
- · Is it responsive to people's needs?
- · Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services were provided for specific groups of people and what good care looked like for them. The population groups are:

- · Older people
- · People with long-term conditions
- · Families, children and young people
- · Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- · People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- \cdot People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.



Are services safe?

Our findings

Safe track record and learning

There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.

- Staff told us they would inform the practice manager of any incidents and there was a recording form available on the practice's computer system. The incident recording form supported the recording of notifiable incidents under the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment).
- We saw evidence that when things went wrong with care and treatment, patients were informed of the incident, received reasonable support, truthful information, a written apology and were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice carried out a thorough analysis of complaints and significant events to identify any patterns or trends.

We reviewed safety records, incident reports, patient safety alerts and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. We saw evidence that lessons were shared and action was taken to improve safety in the practice. However we did not see evidence to show that significant events were reviewed to ensure that actions implemented were effective.

Overview of safety systems and processes

The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse, which included:

 Arrangements were in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. These arrangements reflected relevant legislation and local requirements. Policies were accessible to all staff. The policies clearly outlined who to contact for further guidance if staff had concerns about a patient's welfare. There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding. The GPs attended safeguarding meetings when possible and always provided reports where necessary for other agencies. Staff demonstrated they understood their responsibilities and all had received training on

- safeguarding children and vulnerable adults relevant to their role. GPs were trained to child protection or child safeguarding level 3. All other staff were trained to a level relevant to their role.
- A notice in the waiting room advised patients that chaperones were available if required. All staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- The practice maintained appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. The practice nurse was the infection control clinical lead who liaised with the local infection prevention teams to keep up to date with best practice. There was an infection control protocol in place and staff had received up to date training. Annual infection control audits were undertaken and we saw evidence that action was taken to address any improvements identified as a result.
- The arrangements for managing medicines, including emergency medicines and vaccines, in the practice kept patients safe (including obtaining, prescribing, recording, handling, storing, security and disposal).
 Processes were in place for handling repeat prescriptions which included the review of high risk medicines. The practice carried out regular medicines audits, with the support of the local CCG pharmacy teams, to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing. Blank prescription forms and pads were securely stored and there were systems in place to monitor their use. Patient Group Directions had been adopted by the practice to allow nurses to administer medicines in line with legislation.
- We reviewed three personnel files and found appropriate recruitment checks for newer members of staff had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and the appropriate checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service.

Monitoring risks to patients

Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.



Are services safe?

- There were procedures in place for monitoring and managing risks to patient and staff safety. There was a health and safety policy available with a poster in the reception office which identified local health and safety representatives. The practice had up to date fire risk assessments and carried out regular fire drills. All electrical equipment was checked to ensure the equipment was safe to use and clinical equipment was checked to ensure it was working properly. The practice had a variety of other risk assessments in place to monitor safety of the premises such as control of substances hazardous to health and infection control and legionella (Legionella is a term for a particular bacterium which can contaminate water systems in buildings).
- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. There was a rota system in place for all the different staffing groups to ensure enough staff were on duty. Staff were skilled in all tasks and were able to provide cover across teams.

Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had adequate arrangements in place to respond to emergencies and major incidents.

- · There was an instant messaging system on the computers in all the consultation and treatment rooms which alerted staff to any emergency.
- · All staff received annual basic life support training and there were emergency medicines available in the treatment room.
- · The practice had a defibrillator available on the premises and oxygen with adult and children's masks. A first aid kit and accident book were available.
- · Emergency medicines were easily accessible to staff in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. All the medicines we checked were in date and stored securely.
- The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan in place for major incidents such as power failure or building damage. The plan included emergency contact numbers for staff.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment

The practice assessed needs and delivered care in line with relevant and current evidence based guidance and standards, including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) best practice guidelines.

- The practice had systems in place to keep all clinical staff up to date. Staff had access to guidelines from NICE and used this information to deliver care and treatment that met patients' needs.
- The practice monitored that these guidelines were followed through risk assessments, audits and random sample checks of patient records.

Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice used the information collected for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice). The most recent published results were 97% of the total number of points available with 3.5% exception reporting.

This practice was not an outlier for any QOF (or other national) clinical targets. Data from 2014/2015 showed:

 \cdot Performance for diabetes related indicators was similar to the national average.

For example the percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last HbA1c (blood glucose level) was 64 mmol or less in the preceding 12 months was 75% compared to the national average of 77%.

· Performance for mental health related indicators was similar to the national average . For example the percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who had a comprehensive , agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months was 87% compared to the national average of 88%.

There was evidence of quality improvement including clinical audit.

- There had been a number of clinical and quality improvement audits completed in the last two years, these were completed audits where the improvements made were implemented and monitored.
- The practice participated in local audits, national benchmarking, accreditation, peer review and research.
- Findings were used by the practice to improve services.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- The practice had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff. This covered such topics as safeguarding, infection prevention and control, fire safety, health and safety and confidentiality.
- The practice could demonstrate how they ensured role-specific training and updating for relevant staff. For example, for those reviewing patients with long-term conditions.
- Staff administering vaccines and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training which had included an assessment of competence. Staff who administered vaccines could demonstrate how they stayed up to date with changes to the immunisation programmes, for example by access to on line resources and discussion at practice meetings.
- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support, one-to-one meetings, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidating GPs. All staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months.
- Staff received training that included: safeguarding, fire safety awareness, basic life support and information governance. Staff had access to and made use of e-learning training modules and in-house training.

Coordinating patient care and information sharing

The information needed to plan and deliver care and treatment was available to relevant staff in a timely and accessible way through the practice's patient record system and their intranet system.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- This included care and risk assessments, care plans, medical records and investigation and test results.
- The practice shared relevant information with other services in a timely way, for example when referring patients to other services.

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs and to assess and plan ongoing care and treatment. This included when patients moved between services, including when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. Meetings took place with other health care professionals on a monthly basis when care plans were routinely reviewed and updated for patients with complex needs.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff sought patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Staff understood the relevant consent and decision-making requirements of legislation and guidance, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005.
- When providing care and treatment for children and young people, staff carried out assessments of capacity to consent in line with relevant guidance.
- Where a patient's mental capacity to consent to care or treatment was unclear the GP or practice nurse assessed the patient's capacity and, recorded the outcome of the assessment.
- The process for seeking consent was monitored through patient records audits.

Supporting patients to live healthier lives

The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support. For example:

 Patients receiving end of life care, carers, those at risk of developing a long-term condition and those requiring advice on their diet, smoking, alcohol cessation and drug misuse. • A dietician was available on the premises and smoking cessation advice was available from a the practice.

The practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 80%, which was the same as the CCG average of 80% and similar to the national average of 82%. There was a policy to offer telephone reminders for patients who did not attend for their cervical screening test. The practice demonstrated how they encouraged uptake of the screening programme by using information in different languages and for those with a learning disability and they ensured a female sample taker was available. There were failsafe systems in place to ensure results were received for all samples sent for the cervical screening programme and the practice followed up women who were referred as a result of abnormal results.

The practice also encouraged its patients to attend national screening programmes for bowel and breast cancer screening. The Practice Manager had meetings with the breast screening ambassador to promote breast screening in the area. The practice were looking at why women do not attend so that they were able to make a difference and reduce the DNA rate.

Childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given were above CCG averages. For example, childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given to under two year olds ranged from 89% to 99% and five year olds from 89% to 94%.

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks. These included health checks for new patients and NHS health checks for patients aged 40–74. Appropriate follow-ups for the outcomes of health assessments and checks were made, where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.



Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, dignity, respect and compassion

We observed members of staff were courteous and very helpful to patients and treated them with dignity and respect.

- Curtains and screens were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.
- We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations; conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.
- Reception staff knew when patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a privacy booth or a private room to discuss their needs.

All of the 43 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the service experienced. Patients said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were helpful, caring and treated them with dignity and respect.

We spoke with two members of the patient participation group (PPG). They also told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Comment cards highlighted that staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. The practice was above average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 97% of patients said the GP was good at listening to them compared to the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 88% and the national average of 89%.
- 92% of patients said the GP gave them enough time compared to the CCG average of 87% and the national average of 87%.
- 98% of patients said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw compared to the CCG average of 95% and the national average of 95%.
- 89% of patients said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 85%.

- 81% of patients said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 91%.
- 85% of patients said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful compared to the CCG average of 84% and the national average of 87%.

Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients told us they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them. Patient feedback from the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views. We also saw that care plans were personalised.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were above local and national averages. For example:

- 94% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared to the CCG average of 87% and the national average of 86%.
- 86% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the national average of 82%.
- 78% of patients said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care which was lower then the national average of 85%.

The practice provided facilities to help patients be involved in decisions about their care:

- Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.
 We saw notices in the reception areas informing patients this service was available.
- Information leaflets were available in easy read format.

Patient and carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access a number of support groups and organisations. Information about support groups was also available on the practice website.



Are services caring?

The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 362 patients as carers (4% of the practice list). The practice had two named members of staff who acted as carer advocates and offered support to this group of patients. The advocates were able to signpost carers to different agencies. The two advocates had recently attended a carers seminar where they were able to gather information on different agencies offering support to carers. Written information was also available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them.

Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement, their usual GP contacted them or sent them a hand written condolence card. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified.

- The practice offered extended hours every Monday evening for working patients who could not attend during normal opening hours.
- There were longer appointments available for patients with a learning disability.
- Home visits were available for older patients and patients who had clinical needs which resulted in difficulty attending the practice.
- Same day appointments were available for children and those patients with medical problems that required a same day consultation.
- Patients were able to receive travel vaccinations available on the NHS and were referred to other clinics for those travel vaccinations which were only available privately.
- There were disabled facilities and translation services available. The practice did not have a hearing loop and staff told us that they took patients with hearing difficulties to the privacy booth to talk to them.

Access to the service

The practice was open between 8.30am and 6pm Monday to Friday. Appointments were from 8.40am to 12.45 and 3pm to 5.50pm daily. Extended hours appointments were offered until 7.45pm every Monday evening. In addition to pre-bookable appointments which were booked by the GPs to follow up patients, urgent appointments were also available for people that needed them. Telephone triage appointments and telephone consultations were offered to patients who required them.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed that patient's satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was lower than local and national averages.

• 69% of patients were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared to the national average of 76%.

• 33% of patients said they could get through easily to the practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%

The practice regularly monitored the demand on the service and the number of appointments available. The appointment system had evolved over the last few years in response to patient demand and feedback.

The practice had recently installed a 24 hour telephone message service where patients were able to request repeat prescriptions and cancel appointments. We saw evidence that patient satisfaction had improved when asked about getting through to the practice by telephone.

People told us on the day of the inspection that they were able to get appointments when they needed them.

The practice had a system in place to assess:

- · whether a home visit was clinically necessary; and
- the urgency of the need for medical attention.

One of the GPs triaged all requests for home visits and where the urgency of need was so great that it would be inappropriate for the patient to wait for a GP home visit, alternative emergency care arrangements were made. Clinical and non-clinical staff were aware of their responsibilities when managing requests for home visits.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had an effective system in place for handling complaints and concerns.

- Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England.
- There was a designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.
- We saw leaflets and a notice board in the reception area showing the information available to help patients understand the complaints system. This information was also available on the practice website.

We looked at six complaints received in the last 12 months and found that they had been dealt with in a timely way with openness and transparency when dealing with the complaint. Lessons were learnt from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends and action was taken to as a result to improve the quality of care.



Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- The practice had a mission statement and staff knew and understood the values.
- The practice had a robust strategy and supporting business plans which reflected the vision and values and were regularly monitored.

Governance arrangements

The practice had an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This outlined the structures and procedures in place and ensured that:

- There was a clear staffing structure and that staff were aware of their own roles and responsibilities.
- Practice specific policies were implemented and were available to all staff.
- A comprehensive understanding of the performance of the practice was maintained
- A programme of continuous clinical and internal audit was used to monitor quality and to make improvements.
- There were robust arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks, issues and implementing mitigating actions.

Leadership and culture

On the day of inspection the partners in the practice demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and capability to run the practice and ensure high quality care. They told us they prioritised safe, high quality and compassionate care. Staff told us the partners were approachable and always took the time to listen to all members of staff.

The provider was aware of and had systems in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment). This included support training for all staff on communicating with

patients about notifiable safety incidents. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place to ensure that when things went wrong with care and treatment::

- The practice gave affected people reasonable support, truthful information and a verbal and written apology
- The practice kept written records of verbal interactions as well as written correspondence.

There was a clear leadership structure in place and staff felt supported by management.

- Staff told us the practice held regular team meetings.
- Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise any issues at team meetings and felt confident and supported in doing so.
- Staff said they felt respected, valued and supported, particularly by the partners in the practice. All staff were involved in discussions about how to run and develop the practice, and the partners encouraged all members of staff to identify opportunities to improve the service delivered by the practice.

Seeking and acting on feedback from patients, the public and staff

The practice encouraged and valued feedback from patients, the public and staff. It proactively sought patients' feedback and engaged patients in the delivery of the service.

The practice had gathered feedback from patients through the patient participation group (PPG) and through surveys and complaints received. The PPG met regularly, carried out patient surveys and submitted proposals for improvements to the practice management team. For example, the practice had introduced a 24 hour telephone answering service where patients were able to request repeat prescriptions.

 The practice gathered feedback generally from staff through meetings, appraisals and discussion. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. Staff told us they felt involved and engaged to improve how the practice was run.

Continuous improvement

Good



Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. The practice team was forward thinking and part of local pilot schemes to improve outcomes for patients in the area. For example

- The practice were piloting the use of IPads for home visit use.
- The practice nurse had trained to become and Advanced Nurse Practitioner which will increase clinical capacity from September 2016.
- There were plans to extend the building to increase clinical space.
- The practice continually monitored the telephone and appointment systems adjusting them in response to patient comments.