

Toad Hall Surgeries Ltd

Dentiq Implant Clinic

Inspection Report

31A Lushington Road
Eastbourne
East Sussex
BN21 4LG
Tel: 01323 735500
Website: www.dentiq.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 15 December 2015
Date of publication: 04/02/2016

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 15 December 2015 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Dentiq Implant Clinic is a general dental practice in Eastbourne, East Sussex, offering private dental treatment to adults and children.

The practice is situated in the centre of Eastbourne. The practice has two dental treatment rooms, a decontamination room for the cleaning, sterilising and packing of dental instruments, a consultation room, a waiting area and a reception area. The treatment rooms are located on the ground floor. The practice has full disabled access and there is a patient toilet on the ground floor.

The practice is open Monday to Friday 9.00am – 5.00pm. The practice can accommodate late evening and Saturday morning appointments when required.

Dentiq Implant Clinic has two dentists (one of whom is the registered manager), two dental nurses (both of whom also work on reception) and one hygienist. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Before the inspection we sent CQC comments cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We collected five completed cards. All of the comments cards provided a positive view of the service the practice provides. Patients commented that staff were welcoming, friendly and efficient. Patients wrote that they were treated with respect and care. One

Summary of findings

patient commented that the practice was bright and clean. We also spoke with two patients who were registered at the practice by telephone. Both patients were highly satisfied with the treatment and support they had received at the practice.

Our key findings were:

- There were systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. The practice was visibly clean and well maintained.
- There were systems in place to check all equipment had been serviced regularly, including the steriliser, fire extinguishers, oxygen cylinder and the X-ray equipment.
- The practice had effective systems in place to gain the comments and views of people who used the service.
- Patients were highly satisfied with the treatment they received and were complimentary about staff at the practice.
- Staff had received training appropriate to their roles and were supported in their continued professional development (CPD).
- We observed that staff showed a caring and attentive approach towards patients. All patients were recognised and greeted warmly on arrival at reception.
- The practice had effective safeguarding processes in place and staff understood their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- Staff were proud of the practice and their team. Staff felt well supported and were committed to providing a quality service to their patients.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place to assess and manage risks to patients. There were processes in place for the management of infection prevention and control, health and safety, dental radiography and the management of medical emergencies. There were systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members. The staffing levels were safe for the provision of care and treatment.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients were given time to consider their options and make informed decisions about which treatment option they preferred. Dental care records included accurate details of treatment provided. However, we saw one dental care record that was not comprehensive. We saw examples of effective collaborative team working. Staff received professional development appropriate to their role and learning needs.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We reviewed CQC comment cards that patients had completed prior to the inspection. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice. Patients told us they were treated with care and staff were welcoming. We observed that privacy and confidentiality was maintained for patients using the service on the day of our inspection. Staff spoke with enthusiasm about their work and were proud of what they did.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We found the practice had an efficient appointments system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointments slots for urgent or emergency appointments each day. Patients told us that staff were responsive in helping them to feel calm and reassured.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective clinical governance and risk management structures in place. There were effective methods to seek feedback from patients using the service. We observed good support from the registered manager which promoted openness and transparency amongst staff. One member of staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt well supported in their role.

Dentiq Implant Clinic

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection was carried out on 15 December 2015 by a lead inspector and a dental specialist advisor.

Before the inspection we reviewed information that we held about the provider and information that we asked them to send us in advance of the inspection. This included their statement of purpose and information about staff working at the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with the registered manager and one dental nurse who also worked on

reception. We looked around the premises and the treatment rooms. We reviewed a range of policies and procedures and other documents including dental care records.

We reviewed five CQC comments cards during the inspection and spoke to two patients by telephone who were registered at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had appropriate incident reporting systems in place and standard reporting forms for staff to complete when something went wrong. Staff told us that no accidents or incidents had occurred within the last six months. Staff demonstrated good awareness of how to act on incidents that may occur.

The provider understood the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR) and confirmed that no reports had been made.

We were told that in the case of a patient being affected by something that went wrong, the patient would be offered an apology and informed of any actions taken as a result.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies in place for child protection and safeguarding vulnerable adults. The policies referred to current legislation and national guidance. This included contact details for the local authority safeguarding team.

Staff had attended recent safeguarding training. Staff told us that safeguarding had been discussed during staff meetings. Staff demonstrated their knowledge of how to recognise the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to raise a concern.

Staff demonstrated knowledge of the whistleblowing policy and were confident they would raise a concern if it was necessary.

The British Endodontic Society uses quality guidance from the European Society of Endodontology regarding the use of rubber dams for endodontic (root canal) treatment. The practice had rubber dam kits available for use in line with the current guidance. A rubber dam is a thin sheet of rubber used by dentists to isolate the tooth being treated and to protect patients from inhaling or swallowing debris or small instruments used during root canal treatment. We noted that the rubber dams used were latex free to avoid the possibility of an adverse reaction from a patient with a latex allergy.

Medical emergencies

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with medical emergencies. These were in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). Appropriate emergency equipment and an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) were available. An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Oxygen and medicines for use in an emergency were available and were stored securely. We saw that the emergency kit contained appropriate emergency drugs.

Records showed that checks were made to ensure that the equipment and emergency medicines were safe to use. The expiry dates of medicines and equipment were monitored regularly.

Records showed that staff had completed annual training in AED use and basic life support. Staff we spoke with knew the location of the emergency equipment. We were told that medical emergency training scenarios were practised when staff attended their annual basic life support training. The registered manager told us that more regular emergency scenario sessions would be arranged in the near future.

Staff recruitment

The Disclosure and Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. The practice told us that it was the practice's policy to carry out Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) checks for all clinical staff and we saw evidence that this had been carried out.

The practice had an effective system in place for the safe recruitment of new staff which included seeking references, checking qualifications and professional registration. We found that recruitment records contained the required recruitment documentation such as proof of identification, references, indemnity insurance and proof of professional registration.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had arrangements to deal with foreseeable emergencies. A health and safety policy was in place for the practice. The practice had a log of risk assessments such as

Are services safe?

radiation, hazardous substances and fire. The assessments included the measures which had been put into place to manage the risks and any action required. The practice had a file relating to the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations, including substances such as disinfectants and dental clinical materials.

We found there was an emergency continuity plan in place at the practice. The plan included the procedures to follow in the case of specific situation which might interfere with the day to day running of the practice and treatment of patients, such as loss of electrical supply and fire.

The practice had a fire alarm system in place. We reviewed documents which showed that the fire alarm system, smoke detector and fire extinguisher testing regularly took place. Records showed fire procedures had been verbally discussed with staff. Staff had a good awareness of the procedures to follow in the event of a fire. We saw that the fire evacuation procedure was clearly posted in areas throughout the practice.

Infection control

The 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices' (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of health, sets out in detail the processes and practices which are essential to prevent the transmission of infections. During our inspection, we observed processes at the practice to check that the HTM 01-05 essential requirements for decontamination had been met. The practice had an infection control policy and a set of procedures which included hand hygiene, managing waste products and decontamination guidance.

We looked around the premises during the inspection and found all areas to be visibly clean. This was confirmed by the patients we spoke with and from the comments cards we reviewed. Treatment rooms were visibly tidy and free from clutter. We saw that cleaning schedules were documented accordingly. There were designated hand wash basins in each treatment room. Appropriate handwashing liquid was available. Instruments were stored in sterile pouches in treatment room drawers.

The practice had a dedicated local decontamination room (LDU). One of the dental nurses showed us the procedures involved in cleaning, rinsing, inspecting and sterilising dirty instruments along with the storing of sterilised instruments. They wore appropriate PPE during the

decontamination process. Dirty instruments were washed and rinsed in an ultrasonic machine prior to being placed into an autoclave (sterilising machine). We observed that there was an illuminated magnifier available to check for any debris or damage throughout the cleaning stages.

We saw a clear separation of dirty and clean areas. There were adequate supplies of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as face visors, aprons and gloves. Posters about good hand hygiene and sharps injuries were displayed to support staff in following practice procedures.

There were sufficient instruments available to ensure that services provided to patients were uninterrupted. Staff showed us the paperwork which was used to record validation checks of the sterilisation cycles. We observed maintenance logs of the equipment used to sterilise instruments. The practice had systems in place for the daily quality testing of decontamination equipment. Records confirmed that these had taken place.

Records showed a risk assessment process for Legionella had been carried out which ensured the risks of Legionella bacteria developing in water systems within the premises had been identified and preventive measures taken to minimise the risk of patients and staff of developing Legionnaires' disease. Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings.

The practice regularly carried out an annual Infection Prevention Society (IPS) self-assessment decontamination audit relating to HTM01-05. This is designed to assist all registered primary dental care services to meet satisfactory levels of decontamination of equipment.

The practice had a sharps injury protocol for reporting and handling sharps injuries which informed staff of the process to follow in the case of a sharps injury. This involved a referral to a local Occupational Health department. We were told that dental nurses did not handle sharps. The practice had undertaken a sharps risk assessment in relation to the current Health and Safety and sharps guidelines (2013).

The practice had a record of staff immunisation status with regards to Hepatitis B in staff recruitment records. Hepatitis B is a serious illness that is transmitted by bodily fluids including blood.

Are services safe?

We observed that practice waste was stored and segregated into safe containers in line with the Department of Health guidance. Sharps containers were well maintained and correctly labelled. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove dental waste from the practice including amalgam, extracted teeth and gypsum.

Equipment and medicines

There were systems in place to check and record that all equipment was in working order. These included the testing of specific items of equipment such as X-ray machines and pressure vessel systems. Records showed that the practice had contracts in place with external companies to carry out servicing and routine maintenance work in a timely manner. This helped to ensure that there was no disruption in the safe delivery of care and treatment to patients.

Medicines and prescription pads were stored securely and traceable records were kept of each prescription. Medicines stored in the practice were reviewed regularly to ensure they were not kept or used beyond their expiry date.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice was working in accordance with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 (IRR99) and the Ionising

Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000 (IR(ME)R). The practice maintained suitable records in their radiation protection file demonstrating the maintenance of the X-ray equipment. An external Radiation Protection Advisor (RPA) and a Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS) had been appointed for the practice.

We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment and we saw local rules relating to the X-ray machine had been written. The practice had carried out an annual X-ray audit within the last year.

We saw evidence that the dentists mostly recorded the reasons for taking X-rays and that the images were checked for quality and accuracy. However, one of the dental care records we reviewed had a limited justification of the reasons why the X-ray had been taken and whether the image was of good quality and accuracy. We brought this to the attention of the registered manager at inspection. They told us that this would be addressed immediately.

We were shown the current training certificates for the dentists which demonstrated that they were up to date with IR(ME)R training requirements.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

We found that the practice planned and delivered patients' treatment with attention to their individual dental needs. We found that patient's dental care records were clear and contained appropriate information about patients' dental treatment. The practice kept electronic records of the care given to patients. We reviewed the information recorded in patients' dental care records about the oral health assessments, treatment and advice given to patients. We found these included details of the condition of the teeth, soft tissues lining the mouth and gums. These were repeated at each examination in order to monitor any changes in the patient's oral health.

However, one of the dental care records we reviewed was not comprehensive. Where a scale and polish had been carried out and BPE (Basic Periodontal Examination) scores of 3 and 4 had been recorded, this was not followed with any other notation. There was no evidence of a description of treatment or full examination. This was brought to the attention of the registered manager during the inspection. We were told that this would be addressed immediately. The provider contacted us following the inspection to inform us that a record keeping audit had taken place at the practice which had identified some improvements to be made in the area of record keeping.

The practice kept up to date with current guidelines and research in order to develop and improve their system of clinical risk management. We saw evidence that the dentists were adhering to current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines when deciding how often to recall patients for examination and review. We also saw evidence that the practice had protocols and procedures in place for promoting the maintenance of good oral health giving due regard to guidelines issued by the Department of Health publication 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention'.

Health promotion & prevention

Patients completed a medical questionnaire which included questions about smoking and alcohol intake. The waiting room contained some written literature regarding

effective dental hygiene and how to reduce the risk of poor dental health. The registered manager told us that they were in the process of setting up patient education videos to the television in the waiting area.

Staff told us that patients were given advice and written leaflets appropriate to their individual needs, such as smoking cessation and dietary advice. The dental care records we reviewed demonstrated that preventative advice had been given to patients according to their needs.

Staffing

The practice had two dentists, two dental nurses (both of whom also worked on reception) and one hygienist.

Staff had attended continued professional development and training which was required for their registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). This included infection control, child and adult safeguarding and basic life support. We looked at the individual training records of various members of staff at the practice which demonstrated that they had attended appropriate training and were up to date. Staff attended internal training, online courses and used team meetings to share learning and knowledge.

New members of staff received an appropriate induction programme when they joined the practice. There was an appraisal system in place which was used to identify training and development needs. These were carried out both on an annual and a monthly basis. We noted that both of the dental nurses had received their most recent annual appraisal at a previous practice which was also owned by the registered manager. The registered manager told us that a full mid-year appraisal would be carried out for both members of staff in order to reflect their roles in the new practice.

Staff records contained details of current registration with the GDC and the registered manager monitored that staff remained registered.

Staff we spoke with told us they were clear about their roles and responsibilities, had access to the practice policies and procedures, and were supported to attend training courses appropriate to the work they performed.

Working with other services

The practice was able to carry out the majority of treatments needed by their patients but referred more

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

complex treatments such as difficult extractions to specialist services. These included local NHS hospital dental services, specialist clinicians and internal referrals to the hygienist.

The practice worked with other professionals where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations. The practice completed detailed proformas or referral letters to ensure the specialist service had all of the relevant information required. Staff were able to describe the referral process in detail.

Consent to care and treatment

The registered manager described the methods they used to ensure that patients had the information they needed to be able to make an informed decision about treatment. They explained to us how valid consent was obtained from patients at the practice. We reviewed a number of patient's

dental care records which indicated that valid consent had been obtained for treatment at the practice. There was evidence that discussions regarding consent had taken place.

One patient we spoke with by telephone told us that treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed clearly. They told us they were given time to consider their options and make informed decisions about which option they wanted.

In situations where people lack capacity to make decisions through illness or disability, health care providers must work in line with the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA). This is to ensure that decisions about care and treatment are made in patient's best interests. We spoke with staff about their knowledge of the MCA and how they would use the principles of this in their treatment of patients. They had a good understanding of the MCA and the importance of assessing each situation individually. Staff had attended recent MCA training.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Before the inspection we sent CQC comments cards to the practice for patients to tell us about their experience of the practice. We also spoke with two patients who were registered at the practice by telephone. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice and commented that they were treated with respect and care.

The practice had effective systems in place to gain the comments and views of people who used the service. The practice had carried out a patient assessment questionnaire every two months. We reviewed the most recent questionnaires which highlighted that patients were satisfied with their care at the practice. Patients commented that they felt cared for and had received a pleasant experience. Patients also wrote that the staff were friendly, polite and welcoming. As the practice had opened recently and no negative comments had been received, the registered manager had not had an opportunity to act on patient feedback. Staff at the practice told us that they would be open to any comments from patients and would act on them if necessary.

On the day of our inspection, there were no patients at the practice. We observed that staff were friendly and helpful towards patients over the telephone. We observed that privacy and confidentiality were maintained. Patients' dental care records were stored in password protected computers. Staff we spoke with were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and spoke about patients in a respectful and caring way.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients were given a copy of their treatment plan and the associated costs. Patients we spoke with by telephone told us that they were allowed time to consider options before returning to have their treatment. Before treatment commenced patients signed their treatment plan to confirm they understood and agreed to the treatment. Staff told us they involved relatives and carers to support patients in decision making when required.

Patients were informed of the range of treatments available on the practice website and in the practice leaflet. We saw that prices of treatments were clearly displayed at the reception desk and in the waiting area.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered on their website. The practice leaflet contained information about the practice such as opening times, staff details, treatments offered and contact details. We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointment slots each day for the dentists to accommodate urgent or emergency appointments.

Staff told us the appointment system gave them sufficient time to meet patient's needs. The practice had effective systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice was contained on the ground floor of the building. The entrance at the front of the building was accessible to patients with mobility difficulties. There was parking at the front of the practice. The patient toilets were located on the ground floor. Staff told us that patients with mobility difficulties were supported as much as possible when visiting the practice.

We asked staff to explain how they communicated with people who had different communication needs, such as those who spoke a language other than English. Staff told us they had access to local interpreter services and that staff spoke a range of different languages.

Access to the service

The practice was open Monday to Friday 9.00am – 5.00pm. The practice offered evening and Saturday morning appointments at the request of patients.

Information regarding the opening hours was available in the practice leaflet. The practice answer phone message provided information for patients on how to access out of hours treatment. The registered manager was contactable at all times in an emergency. The main phone line at the practice was covered by staff on the days that the dentist was not present at the practice. Appointment slots were available each day so that the practice could respond to patients in pain. Patients told us that the practice was very accommodating when scheduling both emergency and routine appointments.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy and procedure in place for handling complaints which provided staff with relevant guidance. The practice had received no complaints within the last six months. Staff had a good understanding of the complaints process. Staff described the process which would be followed and were confident that all complaints would be dealt with in a timely and respectful manner.

Information for patients about how to raise a concern or complaint was available at the reception desk, in the waiting area, in the patient information leaflet and on the practice website. One patient we spoke with told us they were confident in raising a concern and would speak to the practice manager. The practice had a whistleblowing policy which staff were aware of. Staff we spoke with had a good understanding of the whistleblowing process.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The registered manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. They led on the individual aspects of governance such as complaints, risk management and audits within the practice. The registered manager also ensured there were systems to monitor the quality of the service such as audits. The practice had carried out recent audits relating to infection control and radiographs. A record keeping audit had been carried out following the inspection. Action plans had been identified as a result of the audits and the results were shared with other members of the team.

The practice had a range of policies and procedures to support the management of the service. We looked in detail at how the practice identified, assessed and managed clinical and environmental risks related to the service. We saw detailed risk assessments and the control measures that had been put into place to manage those risks.

The practice undertook regular meetings involving all the staff in the practice and records of these meetings were retained. Staff told us that during staff meetings, patient-centred actions were discussed and shared learning regularly took place.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff told us they were kept informed of any changes and updates. They told us that the registered manager adopted an open and transparent approach at the practice. We reviewed records of a recent staff meeting which demonstrated that staff were provided with up to date information. Staff meeting minutes from September 2015 showed that areas such as critical incident analysis, duties and roles of all staff, surgery checklists, ordering of stock, audits and appraisals had been discussed.

The practice had a statement of purpose which outlined their aims and objectives in the care and treatment of patients. Staff we spoke with described the practice culture

as friendly and open. Staff demonstrated an awareness of the practice's purpose and were proud of their work. Staff said they felt valued and supported and were committed to the practice's progress and development. The team appeared to work effectively together and there was a supportive and relaxed atmosphere. The registered manager was highly visible within the practice and had a positive approach towards any improvements that were needed at the practice.

Management lead through learning and improvement

The registered manager had a clear understanding of the need to ensure that staff had access to learning and improvement opportunities. All of the staff who were working at the practice were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC). The GDC registers all dental care professionals to make sure they are appropriately qualified and competent to work in the United Kingdom. Records were kept to ensure staff were up to date with their professional registration.

Staff told us they had good access to training and the management monitored staff training to ensure essential training was completed each year. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuous professional development (CPD) as required by the General Dental Council (GDC). There was a system in place for staff to receive monthly and annual appraisals.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had an effective system in place to seek feedback from patients using the service. The results were compared with previous feedback. Staff told us that issues were discussed with patients and suggestions would always be implemented if possible.

The registered manager told us that they welcomed feedback and suggestions in order that the practice may learn and improve. Staff members told us that they could discuss ideas and share experiences with the practice manager and the rest of the team and that they were always listened to and acted upon.