

Brookmill Medical Centre Quality Report

Brookmill Medical Centre College Street Leigh WN7 2RB Tel: 01942 681880 Website: www.brookmillmedical.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 5 January 2016 Date of publication: 28/01/2016

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Outstanding	\Diamond

Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Overall summary The five questions we ask and what we found The six population groups and what we found What people who use the service say Outstanding practice	2
	4
	7
	10
	10
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	11
Background to Brookmill Medical Centre	11
Why we carried out this inspection	11
How we carried out this inspection	11
Detailed findings	13

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Brookmill Medical Centre on 5 January 2016. Overall the practice is rated as good.

Our key findings across all the areas we inspected were as follows:

- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- Staff assessed patients' needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.
- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand.

- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and that there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

We saw areas of outstanding practice:

The management team had a clear vision with the needs of patients and quality and safety as their top priority. The strategy to deliver this vision had been produced with stakeholders and was regularly reviewed and discussed with staff demonstrating they were outstanding in the well led domain.

The practice was a pilot practice for the community link worker (CLW) for the past year and this service continues. Due to the success of this project at the practice it is now being rolled out across Wigan Borough Clinical Commission Group area. **Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)** Chief Inspector of General Practice

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services.

- There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.
- When there were unintended or unexpected safety incidents, patients received reasonable support, truthful information, a verbal and written apology. They were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services.

- Data from the Quality and Outcomes Framework showed patient outcomes were at or above average for the locality and compared to the national average.
- Staff assessed needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance.
- Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.
- Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- There was evidence of appraisals and personal development plans for all staff.
- Staff worked with multidisciplinary teams to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs.

Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services.

- Data from the National GP Patient Survey showed patients rated the practice higher than others for several aspects of care.
- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in decisions about their care and treatment.
- Information for patients about the services available was easy to understand and accessible.
- We saw staff treated patients with kindness and respect, and maintained patient and information confidentiality.

Good



• A member of the reception team leads as the "carer champion". They ensure they have information available for patients on what extra help is available to them.	
Are services responsive to people's needs? The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services.	Good
 Practice staff reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and the Wigan Borough Clinical Commissioning Group to secure improvements to services where these were identified. Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day. The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand and evidence showed the practice responded quickly to issues raised. Learning from complaints was shared with staff and other stakeholders. 	
Are services well-led? The practice is rated as outstanding for being well-led.	Outstanding 🕁
 The practice had a clear vision with quality and safety as its top priority. The strategy to deliver this vision had been produced with stakeholders and was regularly reviewed and discussed with staff. High standards were promoted and owned by all practice staff and teams worked together across all roles. Governance and performance management arrangements had been proactively reviewed and took account of current models of best practice. The practice carried out proactive succession planning. There was a high level of constructive engagement with staff and a high level of staff satisfaction. The practice gathered feedback from patients using new technology, and it had a very active patient participation group which influenced practice development. The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the Duty of Candour. The practice had systems in place for knowing about notifiable safety incidents and ensured this information was shared with staff to ensure appropriate action was taken. 	

• There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels.

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people.

- The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older people in its population.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older people, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- There is a community link worker based in the practice one day a week to support patients who need extra help, but not necessarily medical help.
- Referrals to the carers group were made for patients in this population group. One of the reception team leads as the "carer champion". They ensure they have all the information available and in date on what extra help is available for this vulnerable group.
- Monthly integrated neighbourhood team meetings take place particularly for patients on care plans.

People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the care of people with long-term conditions.

- Nursing staff had lead roles in chronic disease management and patients at risk of hospital admission were identified as a priority.
- There are chronic disease management clinics available for patients in this population group.
- Longer appointments and home visits were available when needed.
- All these patients had a named GP and a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For those patients with the most complex needs, the named GP worked with relevant health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.

Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young people.

Good

Good

- There were systems in place to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of A&E attendances. Immunisation rates were relatively high for all standard childhood immunisations.
- Patients told us that children and young people were treated in an age-appropriate way and were recognised as individuals, and we saw evidence to confirm this.
- 85% of females aged 25-64 had attended cervical screening within the target period. This is above the CCG and national averages.
- Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises were suitable for children and babies.
- There are a range of clinics available such as mother and baby clinic, childhood immunisation clinic and a family planning clinic.
- We saw positive examples of joint working with midwives and health visitors.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students).

- The needs of the working age population and those recently retired had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening that reflects the needs for this age group.

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability.
- The practice offered longer appointments for patients with a learning disability.
- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of vulnerable people.
- The practice informed vulnerable patients about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.

Good

• Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of people experiencing poor mental health, including those with dementia.
- The practice carried out advance care planning for patients with dementia.
- The practice had told patients experiencing poor mental health about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- The practice had a system in place to follow up patients who had attended accident and emergency where they may have been experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and dementia.

What people who use the service say

The national GP patient survey results published in July 2015 showed the practice was generally performing the same or above local and national averages. There were 209 surveys set out with 109 responses which represents a 38% completion rate, and is about 1.3% of the practice population.

- 75% found it easy to get through to this surgery by phone (CCG average 77%, national average 73%).
- 88% were able to get an appointment to see or speak to someone the last time they tried (CCG average 85%, national average 85%).
- 92% described the overall experience of their GP surgery as fairly good or very good (CCG average 87%, national average 85%).

• 84% said they would definitely or probably recommend their GP surgery to someone who has just moved to the local area (CCG average 80%, national average 78%).

As part of our inspection we also asked for CQC comment cards to be completed by patients prior to our inspection. We received 22 comment cards which were all positive about the standard of care received. Patients commented that it was easy to get an appointment at the practice.

We spoke with 12 patients during the inspection. All 12 patients said they were happy with the care they received and thought staff were approachable, committed and caring. Information from the "Friends and Family Test" indicated that the vast majority of patients completing the form are extremely likely or likely to recommend the practice to others.

Outstanding practice

The management team had a clear vision with the needs of patients and quality and safety as their top priority. The strategy to deliver this vision had been produced with stakeholders and was regularly reviewed and discussed with staff demonstrating they were outstanding in the well led domain.

The practice was a pilot practice for the community link worker (CLW) for the past year and this service continues. The CLW took referrals for patients who need extra help, but not necessarily medical help. It can vary from advice on benefits to social issues such as loneliness and not knowing which services are available and how they can be accessed. It can even include the CLW escorting the patients to a social group for an initial visit. This service works in co-operation with Age UK so that patients over 65 will be linked to the services available through them. The CLW is based in the practice one day per week and is considered part of the practice team. Due to the success of this project at the practice it is now being rolled out across Wigan Borough Clinical Commission Group area.



Brookmill Medical Centre Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser, a practice manager specialist adviser and an Expert by Experience.

Background to Brookmill Medical Centre

Brookmill Medical Centre provides a comprehensive family doctor service to their patient population in a purpose built medical centre that opened in 1990. At the time of our inspection there were approximately 8060 patients registered with the practice. It is overseen by NHS Wigan Borough Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).

There are a higher proportion of patients above 65 years of age (22.1%) than the practice average across England (16.7%). There are a high proportion of patients registered who are from a socially deprived background. There are also a higher number of disability allowance claimants (72 per 1000 patients) compared to the practice average across England (50 per 1000 patients)

There are four GPs supported by two practice nurses and a healthcare assistant. There is also a practice manager, office manager and supporting administration and reception team, and a cleaning team.

The practice is also approved as a training site. All trainees are fully qualified doctors who have to complete a placement in an approved general practice. Some trainees are doing GP training and will become independent GPs after their placement and others are undertaking general training and will return to a hospital setting after their placement.

The practice delivers commissioned services under the General Medical Services (GMS) contract. It offers direct enhanced services for the childhood vaccination and immunisation scheme, extended hours access, facilitating timely diagnosis and support for people with dementia, influenza and pneumococcal immunisations and learning disabilities.

The practice is open between Monday, Wednesday and Friday from 8.30am to 6.00pm, Tuesday from 8.30am to 7.30pm and Thursday from 7.00am to 6.00pm. GP surgery times are staggered throughout the week to meet the needs of the patient population.

Patients can book appointments in person, online, or via the phone. Emergency appointments are available each day. There is an out of hours service available provided by Bridgewater Community Health Care Trust and commissioned by Wigan Borough CCG.

Why we carried out this inspection

We inspected this service as part of our new comprehensive inspection programme.

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. The inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Detailed findings

How we carried out this inspection

Before visiting, we reviewed a range of information that we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to share what they knew. We carried out an announced visit on 5 January 2016. During our visit we:

- Reviewed information available to us from other organisations e.g. NHS England.
- Reviewed information from CQC intelligent monitoring systems.
- Spoke to staff and patients.
- Reviewed patient survey information.
- Observed how people were being cared for and talked with carers and/or family members
- Reviewed comment cards where patients and members of the public shared their views and experiences of the service.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?

- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services are provided for specific groups of people and what good care looks like for them. The population groups are:

- Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Families, children and young people
- Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Safe track record and learning

There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.

- Staff told us they would inform the practice manager of any incidents and there was a recording form available on the practice's computer system.
- The practice carried out a thorough analysis of the significant events. Significant events were reviewed again 12 months later to ensure that the management of them was appropriate and current.

We reviewed safety records, incident reports national patient safety alerts and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.

When there were unintended or unexpected safety incidents, patients received reasonable support, truthful information, a verbal and written apology and were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.

Overview of safety systems and processes

The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse, which included:

- Arrangements were in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adultsfrom abuse that reflected relevant legislation and local requirements and policies were accessible to all staff. The policies clearly outlined who to contact for further guidance if staff had concerns about a patient's welfare. One GP partner was the lead for safeguarding children and another lead for safeguarding adults. The GPs attended safeguarding meetings when possible and always provided reports where necessary for other agencies. Staff demonstrated they understood their responsibilities and all had received training relevant to their role. GPs were trained to Safeguarding level 3.
- A notice in the waiting room advised patients that chaperones were available if required. All staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service check (DBS

check). (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).

- The practice maintained appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. A practice nurse was the infection control clinical lead. There was an in-house cleaning team and cleaning schedules were maintained and completed.
- The arrangements for managing medicines, including emergency drugs and vaccinations, in the practice kept patients safe (including obtaining, prescribing, recording, handling, storing and security). The practice carried out regular medicines audits, with the support of the local CCG pharmacy teams, to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing. Prescription pads were securely stored and there were systems in place to monitor their use. Patient Group Directions (PGD) had been adopted by the practice to allow nurses to administer medicines in line with legislation. The practice had a system for production of Patient Specific Directions (PSD) to enable the healthcare assistant to administer vaccinations after specific training when a doctor or nurse were on the premises.
- We reviewed personnel files and found appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and the appropriate checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service.

Monitoring risks to patients

Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

• An external company had completed a full health and safety inspection of the practice in December 2015. This included an overview of areas relating to documentation, equipment, fire safety, people management, premises management, risk assessments, and safety arrangements. This was supported by an action plan. We saw that the practice manager, in response to the health and safety report,

Are services safe?

had already actioned some recommendations. These are scheduled to be completed in early 2016 and included portable appliance testing (PAT), a fire risk assessment and a legionella risk assessment.

• Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. There was a rota system in place for all the different staffing groups to ensure that enough staff were on duty.

Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had adequate arrangements in place to respond to emergencies and major incidents.

• There was an instant messaging system on the computers in all the consultation and treatment rooms which alerted staff to any emergency.

- All staff received annual basic life support training and there were emergency medicines available in the treatment room.
- The practice had a defibrillator available on the premises and oxygen with adult and children's masks. A first aid kit and accident book were available.
- Emergency medicines were easily accessible to staff in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. All the medicines we checked were in date and fit for use.
- The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan in place for major incidents such as power failure or building damage. The plan included emergency contact numbers for staff. During our visit the practice suffered loss of use of their clinical computer systems. The business continuity plan was implemented and we saw the practice had reported this to the CCG as a major incident and was well prepared to deal with this.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment

The practice assessed needs and delivered care in line with relevant and current evidence based guidance and standards, including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) best practice guidelines.

- The practice had systems in place to keep all clinical staff up to date. Staff had access to guidelines from NICE and used this information to deliver care and treatment that met peoples' needs.
- The practice monitored that these guidelines were followed through risk assessments, audits and random sample checks of patient records.

Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice used the information collected for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice). The most recent published results were 99.5% of the total number of points available, with 9% exception reporting. (Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients are unable to attend a review meeting or certain medicines cannot be prescribed because of side effects). This practice was not an outlier for any QOF (or other national) clinical targets. The latest data published showed;

- Performance for diabetes related indicators was better than the CCG and national averages. All 86 points achieved which is 8.1% points above the CCG Average, and 10.8above the England Average.
- Indicators for patients with hypertension was better than the CCG and national averages. All 26 points achieved which is 0.3% points above the CCG Average, and 2.2% above the England Average.
- Performance for mental health related indicators was better than the CCG and national averages. All 26 points achieved which is 5.6% points above the CCG Average, and 7.2% above the England Average.

Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.

- There had been several clinical audits completed in the last two years and all of these were completed audits where the improvements made were implemented and monitored.
- The practice participated in local audits, national benchmarking, accreditation, peer review and research.

There were further audit cycles planned to check whether the improvements had been sustained.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- All clinical staff met weekly for the "journal club". A schedule was produced up where by each week one clinician will present a case study in order to share learning, in particular for the GP trainees.
- The practice had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff. It covered such topics as safeguarding, infection prevention and control, fire safety, health and safety and confidentiality.
- The practice could demonstrate how they ensured role-specific training and updating for relevant staff for example, for those reviewing patients with long-term conditions., Staff administering vaccinations and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training which had included an assessment of competence. Staff who administered vaccinations could demonstrate how they stayed up to date with changes to the immunisation programmes, for example by access to online resources and discussion at practice meetings.
- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support during sessions, one-to-one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidatingGPs. All staff had had an appraisal within the last 12 months.
- Staff received training that included: safeguarding, basic life support and cold chain policy and procedures. Staff had access to and made use of e-learning training modules and in-house training.

Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

Coordinating patient care and information sharing

The information needed to plan and deliver care and treatment was available to relevant staff in a timely and accessible way through the practice's patient record system and their intranet system.

- This included care and risk assessments, care plans, medical records, investigation and test results.
 Information such as NHS patient information leaflets were also available.
- The practice shared relevant information with other services in a timely way, for example when referring patients to other services.

Staff worked together and with other health and social care services to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs and to assess and plan ongoing care and treatment. This included when patients moved between services, including when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. We saw evidence that multi-disciplinary team meetings took place regularly and that care plans were routinely reviewed and updated.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff sought patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- <>taff understood the relevant consent and decision-making requirements of legislation and guidance, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005. When providing care and treatment for children and young people, staff carried out assessments of capacity to consent in line with relevant guidance.
- Where a patient's mental capacity to consent to care or treatment was unclear the GP or practice nurse assessed the patient's capacity and, recorded the outcome of the assessment.

Supporting patients to live healthier lives

The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support.

• These included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, carers, those at risk of developing a long-term condition and those requiring advice on their diet, smoking and alcohol cessation. There was a health trainer available at the practice to support this. Patients were also signposted to the relevant service.

The practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 85%, which was above the national average of 82%. There was a policy to offer telephone reminders for patients who did not attend for their cervical screening test. The practice also encouraged its patients to attend national screening programmes for bowel and breast cancer screening.

Childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given were comparable to CCG/national averages. For example, childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given to under two year olds ranged were 97% and five year olds from 93% to 100%.

Flu vaccination rates for the over 65s were 76%, and at risk groups were 52%. These were comparable to CCG and national averages.

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks. These included health checks for new patients and NHS health checks for people aged 40–74 years old. Appropriate follow-ups for the outcomes of health assessments and checks were made, where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, dignity, respect and compassion

We observed members of staff were courteous and very helpful to patients and treated them with dignity and respect.

- Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.
- We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations; conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.
- Reception staff knew when patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private area to discuss their needs.

All of the 22 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the service experienced. Patients we spoke with said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were helpful, caring and treated them with dignity and respect.

We spoke with two members of the patient participation group. They also told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Comment cards highlighted that staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. The practice was above average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 94% said the GP was good at listening to them (CCG average 89%, national average 89%).
- 93% said the GP gave them enough time (CCG average 88%, national average 87%).
- 97% said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw (CCG average 95%, national average 95%)
- 93% said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern (CCG average 86%, national average 85%).

- 99% said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern (CCG average 94%, national average 90%).
- 95% said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful (CCG average 89%, national average 87%)

Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients told us they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them. Patient feedback on the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were above local and national averages. For example:

- 92% said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared to the CCG average of 86% and national average of 86%.
- 91% said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care (CCG average 83%, national average 81%)
- 93% said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care (CCG average 89%, national average 85%)

Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. If this was required for a patient it was recorded in their notes.

Patient and carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

Notices in the patient waiting room told patients how to access a number of support groups and organisations.

The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. Written information was available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them.

Referrals to the carers group were made for patients. One of the reception team leads as the "carer champion". They ensure they have all the information available and in date on what extra help is available for this vulnerable group. The practice also offer carers an annual health check.

Are services caring?

The practice held a carers awareness coffee morning in November 2015. This was to provide information available on services to carers, and also to raise money for Wigan & Leigh Young Carers. Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement their usual GP contacted them. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Wigan Borough Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified.

- There were longer appointments available for patients with a learning disability.
- Home visits were available for older patients and patients who would benefit from these.
- Same day appointments were available for children and those with serious medical conditions.
- Patients were able to receive travel vaccinations available on the NHS.
- The practice was a registered yellow fever centre.
- There were disabled facilities and translation services available.

Access to the service

The practice is open between Monday, Wednesday and Friday from 8.30am to 6.00pm, Tuesday from 8.30am to 7.30pm and Thursday from 7.00am to 6.00pm GP surgery times were staggered throughout the week to meet the needs of the patient population. In addition to pre-bookable appointments that could be booked up to six weeks in advance, urgent appointments were also available for people that needed them.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed that patient's satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment were comparable to the local and national averages.

- 84% of patients were satisfied with the practice's opening hours (CCG average 80%, national average 75%).
- 75% patients said they could get through easily to the surgery by phone (CCG average 77%, national average 73%).
- 59% patients said they always or almost always see or speak to the GP they prefer (CCG average 65%, national average 60%).

People told us on the day of the inspection that they were able to get appointments when they needed them.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had an effective system in place for handling complaints and concerns.

- Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England.
- The practice manager was the designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.
- We saw that information was available to help patients understand the complaints system.

The practice kept a complaints log for written complaints. We looked at all complaints received in the last 12 months and found these were satisfactorily handled, dealt with in a timely way and there was a culture of openness and transparency by the practice when dealing with the complaint. (for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients. The aims and objectives of the practice are:

- To provide the highest quality NHS general medical services available under the NHS.
- To ensure that patients are seen by the most appropriate healthcare professional as quickly as possible as dependent upon their presenting complaint.
- To focus on prevention of disease by promoting good health and prophylactic medicine.
- To provide patients with an experience and environment that is comfortable, friendly, professional and relaxing.
- To understand and meet the needs of our patients, involve them in decisions about their care and encourage them to participate fully.
- To involve other professionals in the care of patients where this is in the patient's best interests; for example, referral for specialist care and advice.
- To ensure that all members of the team have the right skills and training to carry out their duties competently.
- To continuously improve the lines of communication to patients using the latest technologies as appropriate.
- To develop new ways to educate and inform patients in order to encourage patients to be pro-active in their health and wellbeing. This included proactice use of external resources such as the community link worker and health trainers.

Governance arrangements

The practice had an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This outlined the structures and procedures in place and ensured that:

- There was a clear staffing structure and that staff were aware of their own roles and responsibilities
- Practice specific policies were implemented and were available to all staff

- A comprehensive understanding of the performance of the practice was maintained
- A programme of continuous clinical and internal audit which was used to monitor quality and to make improvements
- There were robust arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks, issues and implementing mitigating actions

Leadership and culture

The partners in the practice had the experience, capacity and capability to run the practice and ensure high quality care. They prioritise safe, high quality and compassionate care. The partners were visible in the practice and staff told us they were approachable and always took the time to listen to all members of staff.

All clinical staff met weekly for the "journal club". A schedule was produced up where by each week one clinician will present a case study in order to share learning, in particular for the GP trainees.

We saw evidence that a number of practice staff had lead roles external to the practice. For example one GP partner takes a lead role within the CCG. Also the practice manager was the lead practice manage for the CCG locality and chairs the practice manager forum meetings. This demonstrated the practice worked effectively with the CCG to respond to patient need.

The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the Duty of Candour. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place for knowing about notifiable safety incidents

When there were unexpected or unintended safety incidents:

- The practice gave affected people reasonable support, truthful information and a verbal and written apology
- They kept written records of verbal interactions as well as written correspondence.

There was a clear leadership structure in place and staff felt supported by management.

Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

- The partner GPs met weekly to ensure any issues that have arisen can be dealt with promptly, and at this meeting the practice manager highlighted feedback given to them by practice staff.
- Staff told us the practice held regular team meetings. These meetings include all the practice staff, led by the practice manager, and attended by at least one of the partner GPs. If staff could not attend then they were sent minutes of the meeting to ensure they were kept up to date with developments.
- The GP partners and key team members have an annual away day to discuss how the practice is performing, and how they can improve services and reflect patient need. This gives the practice focus for the upcoming year.
- Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise any issues at team meetings and felt confident in doing so and felt supported if they did. We noted that the practice partners hosteda "garden party" annually as a thank you to all staff for their hard work and support over the preceding year.
- Staff said they felt respected, valued and supported, particularly by the partners in the practice. All staff were involved in discussions about how to run and develop the practice, and the partners encouraged all members of staff to identify opportunities to improve the service delivered by the practice.

Seeking and acting on feedback from patients, the public and staff

The practice encouraged and valued feedback from patients, the public and staff. It proactively sought patients' feedback and engaged patients in the delivery of the service.

The practice had gathered feedback from patients through the patient participation group (PPG) and through a patient satisfaction questionnaire and complaints received. There was an active PPG which met regularly, produced a newsletter and submitted proposals for improvements to the practice management team. The winter newsletter included information such as winter health, accessing services and flu vaccinations.

• The practice had gathered feedback from staff through staff meetings, appraisals and discussion. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. Staff told us they felt involved and engaged to improve how the practice was run.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy which was available to all staff electronically in the employee handbook on any computer within the practice.

Continuous improvement

There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. The practice team was forward thinking and part of local pilot schemes to improve outcomes for patients in the area.

For example the practice was a pilot practice for the community link worker (CLW) for the past year and this service continues. The CLW took referrals for patients who need extra help, but not necessarily medical help. It can vary from advice on benefits to social issues such as loneliness and not knowing which services are available and how they can be accessed. It can even include the CLW escorting the patients to a social group for an initial visit. This service works in co-operation with Age UK so that patients over 65 will be linked to the services available through them. The CLW is based in the practice one day per week and is considered part of the practice team. Due to the success of this project at the practice it is now being rolled out across Wigan Borough Clinical Commission Group area.