

Sensu

Sensu

## Inspection report

69 Wimpole Street  
London  
W1G 8AS  
Tel: 02074864433  
[www.sensu.co.uk](http://www.sensu.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 29 March 2023  
Date of publication: 27/04/2023

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 March 2023 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and most life-saving equipment were available. The practice arranged for the missing items to be replaced during the inspection.
- The practice had systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment, and the premises.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.

# Summary of findings

- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported, and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

## Background

Sensu dental practice is in Marylebone in the London borough of Westminster and provides private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

The practice is in the basement of the building and is not accessible to those with wheelchairs. The practice has arrangements to direct patients to their other practice in Southwark or other local practices. Car parking spaces, including dedicated parking for disabled people, are available near the practice.

The provider has 2 locations, and all staff work across both services. The dental team includes 2 principal dentists, 8 associate dentists, 3 specialist dentists, 4 dental nurses, 8 trainee dental nurses, 1 dental hygienist, 4 orthodontic therapists, 13 receptionists, 3 treatment coordinators, 3 administrators and 6 staff who covered practice management. The practice has 3 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 1 of the principal dentists, 1 associate dentist, 1 dental nurse, 1 dental hygienist, 2 receptionists, the practice manager, and the compliance manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures, and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

8am to 8pm Monday to Friday

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Implement audits for prescribing of antibiotic medicines taking into account the guidance provided by the College of General Dentistry.
- Take action to ensure the availability of equipment in the practice to manage medical emergencies taking into account the guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK) and the General Dental Council.
- Take action to ensure audits of radiography are undertaken at regular intervals to improve the quality of the service.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

<b>Are services safe?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services effective?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services caring?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services responsive to people's needs?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services well-led?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)**

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff, including for agency or locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council, and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. The management of fire safety was effective.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available.

### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance. The practice did not have an oxygen face mask for children and the portable oxygen had expired. The practice had identified the issue with the portable oxygen prior to our visit. They provided evidence to confirm it was due to be delivered the day after our inspection. Staff ordered the missing face masks immediately and they were due to be received the following date. All other equipment was available in line with guidance.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

### **Information to deliver safe care and treatment**

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

# Are services safe?

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

## **Safe and appropriate use of medicines**

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. The practice was not carrying out antimicrobial prescribing audits. The practice was a cosmetic and orthodontic practice and therefore did not routinely prescribe. We discussed this with the practice, and they told us they would review this.

## **Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements**

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Effective needs assessment, care and treatment**

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

The specialist orthodontists carried out a patient assessment in line with recognised guidance from the British Orthodontic Society.

### **Helping patients to live healthier lives**

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded, and reported on the radiographs they took. Guidance suggests audits at six-monthly intervals. The practice were carrying out radiography audits every 12 months. We discussed this and they told us they would review the frequency.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge, and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Kindness, respect and compassion**

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress, or discomfort.

### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

The practice had installed closed-circuit television to improve security for patients and staff. Relevant policies and protocols were in place.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

### **Involving people in decisions about care and treatment**

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included for example, photographs, study models, videos, X-ray images and an intra-oral camera.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Responding to and meeting people's needs**

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients.

### **Timely access to services**

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website, patient information leaflet and social media page.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with partner organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

### **Listening and learning from concerns and complaints**

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.



# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Leadership capacity and capability**

The practice staff provider demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were embedded, and staff worked together in such a way that the inspection did not highlight any significant issues or omissions.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

### **Culture**

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported, and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals, 1 to 1 meeting and during clinical supervision. They also discussed learning needs, general well-being and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles, and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues, and performance.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

### **Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners**

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

Face to face staff meetings were held weekly. A presentation was delivered which covered standard agenda items including medical emergency scenarios.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

# Are services well-led?

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance and continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The practice nominated an “employee of the month” to encourage staff to develop and for continuous improvements. They also had a “gratitude jar” for staff to celebrate something they admired about another colleague.