

SKSH Limited

# Smile Stylist

## Inspection report

UNit 4, 53 King Street  
Manchester  
M2 4LQ  
Tel: 01618322118  
[www.smilestylist.co.uk](http://www.smilestylist.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 02 November 2022  
Date of publication: 25/11/2022

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 2 November 2022 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission, (CQC), inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic was visibly clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.

# Summary of findings

- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines. Improvements are needed to bring the provision of sedation in line with national guidance.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and staff took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The dental clinic had information governance arrangements.

## Background

Smile Stylist is in Manchester and provides private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is level access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available near the practice.

The dental team includes 1 principal dentist, 3 associate dentists, 3 visiting dentists, 3 dental nurses, 1 treatment co-ordinator (who is also a qualified dental nurse), 1 patient co-ordinator (who is also a qualified dental nurse), 1 receptionist and 1 practice manager. The practice has 3 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with the principal dentist, 1 associate dentist, 2 dental nurses, the treatment co-ordinator, the receptionist and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday from 7:30am to 4pm

Tuesday and Wednesday from 10am to 6pm

Thursday from 10am to 8pm

Friday 10am to 2pm

One Saturday a month from 9am to 3pm.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Take action to ensure the clinicians take into account the guidelines published by The Intercollegiate Advisory Committee on Sedation in Dentistry in the document 'Standards for Conscious Sedation in the Provision of Dental Care 2015'. In particular, ensure pre-assessment is completed at an appointment separate to the sedation appointment, appropriate strength Midazolam is available for use and the sedation team have received Immediate Life Support training to manage medical emergencies.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

<b>Are services safe?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services effective?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services caring?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services responsive to people's needs?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services well-led?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)**

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella or other bacteria developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

We saw the practice was visibly clean and there was an effective cleaning schedule to ensure the practice was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use and maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. However, the compressor and fire alarm had passed the date they were due to be serviced. The provider had identified this, and servicing had been arranged. We discussed the importance of having an effective system for ensuring equipment is maintained appropriately. We were assured this had been addressed.

A fire risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements and the management of fire safety was effective.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required radiation protection information was available.

### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance. However, we noted a second oxygen cylinder was not available. We discussed current guidance with regards to the availability of sufficient oxygen when providing conscious sedation to provide supplemental and emergency oxygen. We were assured this would be addressed.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year. Immediate Life Support (ILS) training with airway management for staff providing treatment to patients under sedation had been completed by the visiting dentist. However, other members of the sedation team had not completed this training. We discussed the need for all sedation team members to have ILS training. We were told this would be completed prior to any more sedation being carried out.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

### **Information to deliver safe care and treatment**

# Are services safe?

Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

## **Safe and appropriate use of medicines**

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out.

## **Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements**

The practice had implemented systems for reviewing and investigating incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Effective needs assessment, care and treatment**

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

The practice offered conscious sedation for patients. The sedation was being provided by a visiting dentist who had the appropriate qualifications. We saw evidence of checks of the patient's vital signs during the provision of conscious sedation and a safe discharge process. However, the pre-assessment of the patient was not carried out at a separate appointment to the treatment appointment. Current guidance states this should be done at a separate appointment prior to the treatment appointment unless in the event of an emergency. High-strength midazolam was stored within the practice. We were told that this was diluted down to achieve the low strength version. We discussed the "Rapid Response Report – Reducing risk of overdose with midazolam injection in adults (2008)" with staff and were told that the low-strength midazolam would be obtained. We were told the sedation process would be reviewed to ensure it is line with current guidance prior to anymore sedation being carried out.

We saw the provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

### **Helping patients to live healthier lives**

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

Staff understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice kept detailed dental care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients with dementia, and adults and children with a learning difficulty.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance and legislation.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Kindness, respect and compassion**

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

We saw staff treated patients, respectfully, appropriately and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Information was displayed for patients and suggestions or concerns were encouraged. The provider conducted patient satisfaction surveys. We also saw numerous thank you cards from patients who praised the team for their kind and professional manner.

### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

The practice had installed closed-circuit television, to improve security for patients and staff. Relevant policies and protocols were in place.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage.

### **Involving people in decisions about care and treatment**

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Responding to and meeting people's needs**

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients.

### **Timely access to services**

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs.

### **Listening and learning from concerns and complaints**

The practice had systems in place respond to concerns and complaints appropriately and discuss outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service. No complaints had been received in the past 12 months.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Leadership capacity and capability**

The practice demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership and emphasis on continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were embedded, and staff worked together in such a way that any issues or omissions identified during the inspection were actioned immediately.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

### **Culture**

The practice could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance. The oversight of following guidance in conscious sedation practice was promptly responded to by the dental team.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

### **Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners**

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and a demonstrated commitment to acting on feedback.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

The practice had systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

# Are services well-led?

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, disability access, radiographs and infection prevention and control.

Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.