

Dr Nishani Anne Jayasuriya

15 The Pantiles Dental Practice

Inspection Report

15 The Pantiles,
Queens Park Ave,
Billericay,
CM12 0UA
Tel:01277658374
Website: pantilesdental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 4 December 2018
Date of publication: 24/12/2018

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 4 December 2018 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

15, The Pantiles Dental Practice is in Billericay, Essex and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including spaces for blue badge holders, are available near the practice.

Summary of findings

The dental team includes two dentists, one visiting implantologist, one hygienist, four dental nurses, one receptionist and one practice manager. The practice has three treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection, we collected 49 CQC comment cards filled in by patients.

During the inspection we spoke with two dentists, two dental nurses, one dental hygienist and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday from 9am to 5pm.

Tuesday from 8am to 5.30pm.

Wednesday from 8am to 5.30pm.

Thursday from 10am to 7pm.

Friday from 9am to 5pm.

Saturday (the first two of each month) from 9am to 1pm.

Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The practice staff had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. There was no thermometer available to test water temperatures when cleaning instruments.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available with the exception of a paediatric ambubag. Fridge temperatures were not monitored. Following the inspection, the provider took immediate action to replace equipment and put systems in place to monitor fridge temperatures.
- The practice had effective systems to help ensure patient safety. These included safeguarding children and adults from abuse, maintaining the required standards of infection prevention and control.
- Not all the clinicians were using rubber dam to protect patients' airways.

- The practice had some systems to help them manage risk. There was scope to ensure risk assessments were in place when undertaking domiciliary visits and when the hygienist worked without chairside support.
- The practice staff had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system met patients' needs. The practice provided evening appointments on Thursday to 7pm and morning appointments on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8am. Appointments were available on the first and second Saturday of each month from 9am to 1pm.
- The practice had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided. We noted that feedback from patients and other external surveys had been wholly positive.
- The practice staff dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The practice staff had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's protocols for the use of rubber dam for root canal treatment taking into account guidelines issued by the British Endodontic Society.
- Review the practice's protocols for domiciliary visits taking into account the 2009 guidelines published by British Society for Disability and Oral Health in the document "Guidelines for the Delivery of a Domiciliary Oral Healthcare Service". In particular review the risks associated with the transport of contaminated instruments outside the practice's premises, the

Summary of findings

availability of medical emergency equipment for domiciliary visits and the necessity of a second oxygen cylinder where appropriate for the practice's circumstances.

- Review the practice's risk management systems for monitoring and mitigating the various risks arising from the undertaking of the regulated activities.

- Review the practice's protocols for monitoring and recording the fridge temperature to ensure that medicines and dental care products are being stored in line with the manufacturer's guidance.
- Review the suitability of the premises and ensure all areas are fit for the purpose for which they are being used. In particular ensure the areas identified in the 2016 electrical fixed wiring report are completed.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies. Not all dentists routinely used rubber dam to protect patients' airways.

A five-year fixed wiring test had been undertaken by the previous provider in 2016. The current provider was in the process of reviewing and undertaking the actions required from this report and was able to assure CQC that professional support had been sought to complete this work and ensure the practice was safe and fit for purpose.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as professional, respectful and meticulous. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals. Domiciliary visits were provided for a limited number of private patients, we found there was a lack of risk assessment in place to assess the potential risks when providing this service, during the inspection the practice confirmed they would take immediate action to put these in place.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 49 people. Patients were wholly positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were welcoming, polite and excellent.

No action



Summary of findings

They said that they were given helpful, honest explanations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain. The practice provided evening appointments on Thursday to 7pm and morning appointments on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8am. Appointments were available on the first and second Saturday of each month from 9am to 1pm.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children. The practice had access to on-line interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

No action



Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff. We noted that feedback from patients and other external surveys had been wholly positive.

No action



Are services safe?

Our findings

Safety systems and processes (including staff recruitment, Equipment & premises and Radiography (X-rays)).

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The practice manager understood the formal reporting pathways required following serious untoward incidents as detailed in the Reporting of Injuries Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR).

There was a system to highlight vulnerable patients on records e.g. children with child protection plans, adults where there were safeguarding concerns, people with a learning disability or a mental health condition, or who require other support such as with mobility or communication.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of retribution.

We found that not all dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment to fully protect patients' airways.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We noted that a majority of staff had been working with the practice for over 20 years. The practice had recently used the services of a recruitment agency to

help them employ a new member of staff. We found that staff files contained appropriate recruitment checks. We looked at three staff recruitment records. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical appliances. The previous provider had undertaken a five-year fixed wiring check in 2016, this had highlighted a number of actions required. The current provider was in the process of reviewing and undertaking the actions required from this report and was able to assure CQC that professional support had been sought to complete this work to ensure the practice was safe and fit for purpose.

Records showed that fire detection equipment, such as smoke detectors, were regularly tested and firefighting equipment, such as fire extinguishers, were regularly serviced.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The practice had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken and was updated annually.

Are services safe?

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, the practice maintained records of all staff vaccinations, however not all staff records had evidence that the effectiveness of the vaccination had been confirmed. We discussed this with the practice manager who confirmed this would be actioned.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were mostly available as described in recognised guidance. There was no paediatric ambubag. We noted that there were no records of monitoring the fridge temperature where Glucagon was stored to ensure the fridge temperature had not exceeded the recommended normal range during hot weather. We discussed these issues with the principal dentist who confirmed that a paediatric ambubag would be purchased, and a fridge temperature monitor with a daily protocol initiated to ensure the safer storage of Glucagon.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team. The practice had not undertaken a risk assessment for when the dental hygienist worked without chairside support. We discussed this with the practice manager and provider who agreed to take immediate action to ensure any risk was assessed.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments were validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice had in place systems and protocols to ensure that any dental laboratory work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before the dental laboratory work was fitted in a patient's mouth.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had either been actioned or were in the process of on-going action and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed that this was usual.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance. We noted that the external clinical waste bin was not secured, we discussed this with the management team and were assured immediate action would be taken.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were accurate, complete, and legible and were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) protection requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

Are services safe?

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

The practice stored NHS prescriptions securely, we noted that where medicines were dispensed, the practice did not include the practice name and address on the prescription label. We discussed this with the management team who confirmed that action would be taken immediately to rectify this.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good safety record.

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. The practice monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements. There was scope to include a wider range of incidents and complaints as significant events to ensure a wider range of training needs were identified and to prevent such occurrences happening again in the future.

In the previous 12 months there had been two safety incidents. The incidents were investigated, documented and discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to prevent such occurrences happening again in the future.

Lessons learned and improvements

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

The staff were aware of the Serious Incident Framework and recorded, responded to and discussed all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning in line with the framework.

There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

The provider undertook domiciliary visits for a limited number of private patients in their own homes. These were undertaken by a dentist and a dental nurse. The provider had mostly taken into account guidelines as set out by the British Society for Disability and Oral Health when providing dental care in domiciliary settings such as in people's residence. However, there was a lack of risk assessment in place when attending patients in their homes which reviewed the risks associated with the environment and access to oxygen and emergency equipment. We discussed this with the practice manager and the provider and were assured that these would be put in place for any future domiciliary visits.

The practice offered dental implants. These were placed by a visiting implantologist who had undergone appropriate post-graduate training in this speciality. The provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

The practice was aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes available in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentists, dental hygienist and dental nurses described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcome of periodontal treatment. This involved preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and detailed charts of the patient's gum condition. One dental nurse told us they used every opportunity when patients visited the practice to educate and encourage improved oral health and hygiene.

Patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals to review their compliance and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age can consent for themselves. The staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly. The practice had processes in place to establish and confirm parental/legal responsibility when seeking consent for children and young people.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

We were told staff new to the practice had a period of induction. We noted the practice did not have any method to ensure the induction was documented or that the member of staff had signed to confirm they had understood and agreed with their induction.

We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals, one to one meetings and during clinical supervision. We saw evidence of completed appraisals and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice had systems and processes to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with bacterial infections.

The practice also had systems and processes for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

The practice monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were professional, excellent and caring. We saw that staff treated patients with consideration, were supportive and polite and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding and they told us they could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

We saw that tea, coffee and water were available for patients.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Staff were aware how to access interpretation services for patients who could not speak or understand English and were able to give examples of when and how this had been used.
- In addition, staff described how they communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example staff told us they could read out information to a patient if they had reduced vision or write things down for patients with reduced hearing. We were told that if a patient had hearing difficulties they were taken into a private area where staff could speak louder without interference of background noise.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website, social media pages and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists and nurses described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included photographs, models, social media sites, videos and X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care.

We were told that extra time could be allocated for patients who experienced anxiety about attending their appointment. Staff told us they would contact patients who had undergone a complex or lengthy procedure the following day to check on their wellbeing.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice building was limited in size and scope for further development, we found they had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. There was level access and all treatment rooms were on the ground floor. The practice had not undertaken a Disability Access audit, however we found that all the staff we spoke with were well informed of the alternative local arrangements available for patients who could not access the practice toilet, and staff readily described what support they offered to patients who found it difficult to access the practice. We were told the supermarket and public house opposite the practice both provided accessible toilets with handrails. In addition, another local dental practice provided access for patients from the practice to a CBCT scanner when required with wide access for patients in a wheelchair.

Nervous patients described how the practice had supported and encouraged them to access treatment. Staff described examples of patients who were nervous. The team kept this in mind to make sure the dentist could see them as soon as possible after they arrived.

Staff told us that they used text messaging and e-mails to remind patients they had an appointment. Staff told us that they telephoned some older patients on the morning of their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice.

Timely access to services

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, and included it in their practice information leaflet, on social media pages and on their website.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting. The practice provided evening appointments on Thursday to 7pm and morning appointments on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8am. Appointments were available on the first and second Saturday of each month from 9am to 1pm.

Patients were referred to a practice emergency on-call telephone number and/or the NHS 111 out-of-hours service.

The practice website, social media sites, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The practice manager and principal dentist were responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

Leaders at the practice told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. There was a patient comments book in reception for patients to add their thoughts or concerns as well as any positive feedback. We were told this was regularly reviewed to ensure they identified any concerns.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns. However, we found this was incomplete and referred to one organisation no longer in existence. We discussed this with the practice management team and were assured both this and the practice leaflet would be amended to reflect current information.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the previous twelve months.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately, identified trends where relevant had made changes where required and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care and had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice aims and objectives were to provide dental care and treatment of consistently good quality for all patients and only to provide services that meet patients' needs and wishes. The practice aimed to make care and treatment as comfortable and convenient as possible. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

The practice focused on the needs of patients.

Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The practice used private dental insurance surveys, patient surveys, comment cards and verbal comments to obtain patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used. We noted that feedback from patients and other surveys had been wholly positive.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings, social media pages and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

Are services well-led?

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually.

The General Dental Council also requires clinical staff to complete continuing professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.