

Mrs Sally Jane Scholey

# Robinspool Dental Practice

## Inspection Report

265 Reading Road  
Winnersh  
Reading  
RG41 5AG

Telephone: 0118 9786703 / 0118 9787828  
Website: [www.robinspool.co.uk](http://www.robinspool.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 02/10/2017  
Date of publication: 20/11/2017

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 2 October 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

#### Our findings were:

##### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### Background

Robinspool Dental Practice is in Winnersh and provides private treatment to patients of all ages.

There is level ramp access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces include space for disabled patients.

The dental team includes the practice manager, three dentists, five dental nurses, three dental hygienists, and two receptionists. The practice has three treatment rooms.

# Summary of findings

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as an individual and is legally responsible for making sure that the practice meets the requirements relating to safety and quality of care, as specified in the regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

On the day of inspection we collected 33 CQC comment cards filled in by patients and obtained the views of five other patients. This information gave us a positive view of the practice.

The practice is open Monday 8am to 6pm, Tuesday to Friday 8.30am to 5.30pm and by appointment only on Saturday.

## **Our key findings were:**

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.
- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership. Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

The practice did not have a system in place to respond to national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA). We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

Staff knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns. Records seen confirmed staff received the recommended level of safeguarding training.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed most of the essential recruitment checks. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

Records seen confirmed emergency medicines were checked monthly. National guidance states these should be checked weekly. We noted the oxygen cylinder used at the practice did not meet the size recommended by national guidelines. We have since been provided evidence to confirm both of these shortfalls have been addressed.

Premises and equipment appeared clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical emergencies.

Fire safety monitoring arrangements were not effective. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

No action



### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as exemplary. We received feedback about the practice from 38 patients.

Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were amazingly accommodating and treated them with respect. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

No action



# Summary of findings

## Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 38 patients. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were amazingly accommodating, were friendly and treated them with respect.

They said they never felt rushed, dentists listened and explained treatment options and gave excellent advice. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

No action



## Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children.

The practice did not have access to British sign language interpreters and braille translation to help patients with sight or hearing loss. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

No action



## Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided.

There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated. We noted the practice did not have a system in place to analyse and learn from significant events, accidents and incidents. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate and respond to accidents, incidents and significant events. Staff knew about these and understood their role in the process. We noted the practice did not have a system in place to analyse and learn from these. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

The practice did not have a system in place to respond to national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA). We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. The practice followed relevant safety laws when using needles and other sharp dental items. The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

### Medical emergencies

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order. Records seen confirmed emergency medicines were checked monthly. National guidance states these should be checked weekly. We noted the oxygen cylinder used at the practice did not meet the size recommended by national guidelines. We have since been provided evidence to confirm both of these shortfalls have been addressed.

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at four staff recruitment files. We noted two checks missing from the recruitment process which included reasons for leaving last job and investigation into gaps in employment history. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice's health and safety policies and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental topics. The practice had current employer's liability insurance and checked each year that the clinicians' professional indemnity insurance was up to date.

Fire safety monitoring arrangements were not effective. We were assured this shortfall would be addressed as soon as practicably possible. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

A dental nurse worked with the dentist when they treated patients and was available to support the hygienist when required.

We noted the practice did not have needle stick injury information displayed in treatment rooms. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

### Infection control

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. They followed

# Are services safe?

guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM01-05. The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice appeared clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

## **Equipment and medicines**

We saw servicing documentation for the equipment used. Staff carried out checks in line with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing, dispensing and storing medicines.

The practice stored and kept records of prescriptions as described in current guidance.

## **Radiography (X-rays)**

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits every year following current guidance and legislation. Clinical staff completed continuous professional development in respect of dental radiography.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

### Health promotion & prevention

The practice believed in preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentist told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for all children/children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay for each child.

The dentist told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

### Staffing

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuous professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals.

### Working with other services

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. These included referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist. The practice monitored urgent referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

### Consent to care and treatment

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence and the dentists and dental nurses were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16. Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy**

Staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were caring, friendly and lovely. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients.

Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Music was played and there were magazines in the waiting room.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. These included general dentistry and treatments for gum disease and more complex treatment such as dental implants.

Dentists could show patients photographs, X-ray images and draw diagrams when they discussed treatment options.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

Staff told us that they currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment.

Staff described an example of a patient who found it unsettling to receive treatment. The team kept this in mind and encouraged the patient to sit in the treatment room without receiving treatment to get acclimatised to the environment.

Staff told us that they physically supported some older patients while they were in the practice.

### Promoting equality

The practice made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. These included step free access via a portable ramp.

The practice had access to language interpreting services but did not have access to British sign language interpreters and braille translation to help patients with sight or hearing loss. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

### Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, their information leaflet and on their website.

We confirmed the practice kept waiting times and cancellations to a minimum.

The practice was committed to seeing patients experiencing pain on the same day and kept two appointment slots free for same day appointments.

We noted there was not any information in the practice leaflet or on the practice website for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

The practice managed its own out of hours provision.

Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

### Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance for staff on how to handle a complaint. The policy stated that a complaint would be acknowledged within three working days and a full response would be given in ten days.

We noted there was not any information about how to complain in the patient information leaflet or on the practice website. We have since been provided evidence to confirm this shortfall has been addressed.

The practice manager was responsible for dealing with complaints. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The principal dentist told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received. These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### **Governance arrangements**

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments to support the management of the service and to protect patients and staff. These included arrangements to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

### **Leadership, openness and transparency**

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients if anything went wrong.

Staff told us there was an open, no blame culture at the practice. They said the practice manager encouraged them to raise any issues and felt confident they could do this. They knew who to raise any issues with and told us the practice manager was approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. The practice manager discussed concerns at staff meetings and it was clear the practice worked as a team and dealt with issues professionally.

The practice held meetings where staff could raise any concerns and discuss clinical and non-clinical updates. Immediate informal discussions were arranged to share urgent information.

### **Learning and improvement**

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiography and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff files.

Staff told us they completed mandatory training, including medical emergencies and basic life support, each year. The General Dental Council requires clinical staff to complete continuous professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.

### **Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff**

The practice used in house surveys and feedback forms to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

We saw examples of suggestions from patients/staff the practice had acted on. For example, as a result of patient feedback the practice made improvements to waiting room chairs.

As a result of staff feedback the practice introduced new staff uniforms.