

# Crystal Clear Dental Solutions Limited Crystal Clear Dental Spa Inspection Report

25 Blake Street York North Yorkshire YO1 8QJ Tel:01904 642595 Website:www.crystalcleardentalspa.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 12 September 2016 Date of publication: 30/09/2016

### **Overall summary**

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 12 September 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Background

Crystal Clear Dental Spa is situated in the town centre of York, North Yorkshire. The practice offers private dental treatment including preventative advice, dental implants and cosmetic dentistry.

The practice has two surgeries located on the first and second floor, a decontamination room, two waiting areas, a reception area to welcome patients and patient toilets. There are staff facilities, offices and a conference room on the second and third floors of the premises.

There are four dentists, two dental nurses (one of which is a trainee), a receptionist and a practice manager.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday 8:45am to 5:30pm

Friday 8:45am to 4:30pm.

The practice manager is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

#### Our key findings were:

# Summary of findings

- The practice had systems in place to assess and manage risks to patients and staff including infection prevention and control, health and safety and the management of medical emergencies.
- The practice appeared clean and hygienic.
- There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff to meet the needs of patients.
- Infection control procedures were in accordance with the published guidelines.
- Treatment was well planned and provided in line with current best practice guidelines.
- Patients received clear explanations about their proposed treatment, costs, benefits and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.

- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice sought feedback from staff and patients about the services they provided.
- There were clearly defined leadership roles within the practice.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the storage of products identified under Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) 2002 Regulations to ensure they are stored securely.
- Review the safe storage of clinical waste to ensure it is locked, secured and not accessible to others who use the attached premises.

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective systems and processes in place to ensure all care and treatment was carried out safely. There were systems in place for infection prevention and control, clinical waste control, dental radiography and management of medical emergencies. All emergency medicines were in date and in accordance with the British National Formulary (BNF) and Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. Staff told us they felt confident about reporting incidents, accidents and Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). The practice manager had posters displayed in staff areas of the practice to reinforce guidelines and staff responsibilities. Staff had received training in safeguarding patients and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and who to report them to including external agencies such as the local authority safeguarding team. Staff were suitably qualified for their roles and the practice had undertaken the relevant recruitment checks to ensure patient safety. Infection prevention and control procedures followed recommended guidance from the Department of Health: Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 (HTM 01-05): Decontamination in primary care dental practices. We reviewed the legionella risk assessment dated August 2015. Evidence of regular water testing was being carried out six monthly and no evidence was available to show the practice did monthly water testing on the sentinel taps as recommended by the assessment. Are services effective? No action We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations. Patients' dental care records had comprehensive information about patients' current dental needs and past treatment. We found the dentist we spoke with was aware of the guidelines when delivering dental care. These included Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and guidance from the British Society of Periodontology (BSP). Staff were encouraged and supported to complete training relevant to their roles and this was monitored by the practice manager. The clinical staff were up to date with their continuing professional development (CPD). Are services caring? No action We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action

Patients were very positive about the staff, practice and treatment received. We left CQC comment cards for patients to complete two weeks prior to the inspection. There were 12 responses all of which were very positive, with patients stating they felt relaxed and treated in a calm environment and received a high standard of care at the practice. Dental care records were kept securely on computers and were password protected. We observed patients being treated with respect and dignity during interactions at the reception desk, over the telephone and as they were escorted through the practice. Privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients using the service on the day of the inspection. We also observed staff to be welcoming and caring towards the patients. Are services responsive to people's needs? No action We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations. The practice had dedicated slots each day for emergency dental care and every effort was made to see all emergency patients on the day they contacted the practice. Any dental implant patients were given a direct contact number to use for any questions or concerns after treatment. Patients commented they could access treatment for urgent and emergency care when required. There were clear instructions for patients requiring urgent care when the practice was closed There was a procedure in place for responding to patients' complaints. This involved acknowledging, investigating and responding to individual complaints or concerns. Staff were familiar with the complaints procedure. Patients had access to telephone interpreter services when required and the practice provided a range of aids for different disabilities such as a hearing loop, hand rails and large print leaflets. The practice was not accessible to wheelchair users or patients with limited mobility due to stairs throughout the practice. Patients could be seen at the sister practice in Haxby which was accessible to all patients. Are services well-led? No action We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations. There was a clearly defined management structure in place and all staff felt supported and appreciated in their own particular roles. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the practice. The practice regularly audited clinical and non-clinical areas as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. The practice conducted extensive monthly patient satisfaction surveys; There was also a comments box in the waiting room for patients to make suggestions to the practice. Evidence of patients' suggestion was available to show the practice had responded where possible to

patient requests.

### Summary of findings

Staff were encouraged to share ideas and feedback as part of their appraisals and personal development plans. All staff were supported and encouraged to improve their skills through learning and development.

The practice held monthly staff meetings which were minuted and gave everybody an opportunity to openly share information and discuss any concerns or issues.



# Crystal Clear Dental Spa Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

During the inspection we spoke with one dentist, one dental nurse, one receptionist and the practice manager. To assess the quality of care provided we looked at practice policies and protocols and other records relating to the management of the service. To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

### Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

Staff told us they were aware of the need to be open, honest and apologetic to patients if anything was to go wrong; this is in accordance with the Duty of Candour principle which states the same.

Staff understood the Reporting of Injuries, Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR) and provided guidance to staff within the practice's health and safety policy. The practice manager was aware of the notifications which should be reported to the CQC.

The practice manager received national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA) that affected the dental profession. Relevant alerts were discussed with staff, actioned and stored for future reference.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had child and adult safeguarding policies and procedures in place. These provided staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. They included the contact details for the local authority safeguarding team, social services and other relevant agencies. The policies were readily available to staff. The practice manager was the lead for safeguarding. This role included providing support and advice to staff and overseeing the safeguarding procedures within the practice.

We saw evidence all staff had received appropriate training in safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. Staff could easily access the safeguarding policy kept within the staff room. Staff demonstrated their awareness of the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect. They were also aware of the procedures they needed to follow to address safeguarding concerns.

We spoke with staff about the use of safer sharps in dentistry as per the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013. The practice had carried out a thorough sharps risk assessment which was reviewed in June 2016. Safety needles and syringes were implemented for use in each surgery. This risk assessment was updated annually to ensure any new updates or equipment was added. The dentist and dental nurse told us they routinely used a rubber dam when providing root canal treatment to patients in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society. A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reasons is recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy which staff were aware of. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns about colleagues without fear of recriminations. The staff told us they felt they all had an open and transparent relationship and they felt all staff would have someone to go to if they had any concerns at all.

### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had procedures in place which provided staff with clear guidance about how to deal with medical emergencies. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). Staff were knowledgeable about what to do in a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation, immediate life support and basic life support within the last 12 months.

The emergency medicines, emergency resuscitation kits and medical oxygen were stored within the staff area of the practice half way between each surgery.

Staff knew where the emergency kits were kept. The practice had an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) to support staff in a medical emergency. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

Records showed daily and weekly checks were carried out on the emergency medicines, on the medical oxygen cylinders and the AED. These checks ensured the oxygen cylinders were sufficiently full, the AED was fully charged and the emergency medicines were in date. We saw the oxygen cylinders were serviced on an annual basis.

### Staff recruitment

### Are services safe?

The practice had a recruitment policy in place and this process had been followed when employing new staff. This policy included obtaining proof of their identity, checking their skills and qualifications, registration with relevant professional bodies and seeking references.

All staff had a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check in place. The DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

All personal information was stored securely in the office.

We recorded all relevant staff had personal indemnity insurance (this is an insurance which professionals are required to have in place to cover their working practice). In addition, there was employer's liability insurance which covered employees working at the practice.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had undertaken a number of risk assessments to cover the health and safety concerns that arise in providing dental services generally and those that were particular to the practice. The practice had a Health and Safety policy which was last reviewed in June 2016.

The practice had maintained a detailed Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) folder. COSHH was implemented to protect workers against ill health and injury caused by exposure to hazardous substances - from mild eye irritation through to chronic lung disease. COSHH requires employers to eliminate or reduce exposure to known hazardous substances in a practical way. If any new materials were implemented into the practice a new risk assessment was put in place.

We noted there had been a fire risk assessment completed for the premises in 2007. The business next door took responsibility for testing the building alarm systems and the practice ensured the fire extinguishers were regularly serviced. There was no evidence that a fire drill had been undertaken with staff. We were told an informal discussion about the process had taken place and this had not been recorded. These and other measures should be taken to reduce the likelihood of risks of harm to staff and patients.

We saw the business continuity plan had details of all staff, contractors and emergency numbers should an unforeseen emergency occur.

### Infection control

There was an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. These included hand hygiene, safe handling of instruments, managing waste products and decontamination guidance. The practice followed the guidance about decontamination and infection prevention and control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 -Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'.

We spoke with a dental nurse about decontamination and infection prevention and control; the process of instrument collection, processing, inspecting using a magnifying light, sterilising and storage was clearly described and shown. We also saw the daily and weekly tests were being carried out by the dental nurses to ensure the sterilisers were in working order.

We found instruments were being cleaned and sterilised in line with published guidance (HTM01-05). The decontamination staff were knowledgeable about the decontamination process and demonstrated they followed the correct procedures. For example, instruments were manually cleaned, where necessary, placed in an ultrasonic bath, examined under illuminated magnification and sterilised in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). Sterilised instruments were correctly packaged, sealed, stored and dated with an expiry date. For safety, instruments were transported between the surgeries and the decontamination area in lockable boxes.

The practice had carried out an Infection Prevention Society (IPS) self- assessment audit in April 2016 relating to the Department of Health's guidance on decontamination in dental services (HTM01-05).This is designed to assist all registered primary dental care services to meet satisfactory levels of decontamination of equipment. The audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards and had actioned all the points required.

We inspected the decontamination and treatment rooms. The rooms were clean, drawers and cupboards were clutter free with adequate dental materials. There were hand washing facilities, liquid soap and paper towel dispensers in each of the treatment rooms, decontamination room and toilets.

Records showed the practice had completed a Legionella risk assessment in August 2015. The practice undertook

### Are services safe?

processes to reduce the likelihood of Legionella developing which included running the dental unit water lines in the treatment rooms at the beginning and end of each session and between patients and monitoring hot and cold water temperatures. We found the water temperature testing was only being completed six monthly which was not in accordance with the assessment. This was brought to the attention of the practice manager to review. Staff had received Legionella training to raise their awareness. Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings.

The practice stored clinical waste, although on the day of the inspection we found this was not secure and was accessible to another business sharing the building. This was brought to the attention of the practice manager to review. The practice had an appropriate contractor was used to remove it from site. Waste consignment notices were available for the inspection and this confirmed that all types of waste including sharps was collected on a regular basis.

The practice employed a cleaner to carry out environmental cleaning. We observed the cleaner used different coloured cleaning equipment to follow the National Patient Safety Agency guidance. We observed the mop heads had not been replaced recently, COSHH items were accessible to the other business and no cleaning schedules were available.

### **Equipment and medicines**

Equipment checks were regularly carried out in line with the manufacturer's recommendations.

We saw evidence of servicing certificates for sterilisation equipment and X-ray machines in August 2016 and Portable Appliance Testing (PAT) in January 2016. (PAT is the term used to describe the examination of electrical appliances and equipment to ensure they are safe to use).

Local anaesthetics were stored appropriately and a log of batch numbers and expiry dates was in place.

### Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had a radiation protection file and a record of all X-ray equipment including service and maintenance history. A Radiation Protection Advisor (RPA) and a Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS) had been appointed to ensure the equipment was operated safely and by qualified staff only.

We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. Local rules were available in all surgeries and within the radiation protection folder for staff to reference if needed. We saw that a justification, a grade and a report was documented in the dental care records for all X-rays which had been taken.

The practice manager told us they had undertaken an annual quality audit of the X-rays taken in June 2016. All result were in line with the guidelines.

We saw all the staff were up to date with their continuing professional development training in respect of dental radiography.

### Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept up to date detailed electronic dental care records. They contained information about the patient's current dental needs and past treatment. The dentists carried out assessments, although some dentist were not aware of the guidance from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and guidance from the British Society of Periodontology (BSP).

The dentists used NICE guidance to determine a suitable recall interval for patients. This takes into account the likelihood of the patient experiencing dental disease. The practice also recorded the medical history information within the patients' dental care records for future reference. In addition, the dentists told us they discussed patients' lifestyle and behaviour such as smoking and alcohol consumption and where appropriate offered them health promotion advice, this was recorded in the patients' dental care records.

The practice also provided dental implants. The staff explained the process which patients underwent prior to undertaking implant treatment. This included using X-rays to assess the quality and volume of the bone and whether there were any important structures close to where the implant was being placed. We saw evidence these X-rays were analysed to ensure the implant work was undertaken safely and effectively. We also saw that patients gum health was thoroughly assessed prior to any implants being placed. If the patient had any sign of gum disease then they underwent a course of periodontal treatment. After the implant placement the patient would be followed up at regular intervals to ensure the implant was healing and integrating well and a direct contact number for the practice manager was provided if they had any questions or concerns. All of these measures greatly improved the outcome for patients.

It was evident the skill mix within the practice was conducive to improving the overall outcome for patients. The dentists would have informal chats during the day to get each other's opinions about cases.

### Health promotion & prevention

The practice had a focus on preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the 'Delivering Better Oral Health' toolkit (DBOH). DBOH is an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting. For example, fluoride varnish was applied to the teeth of all children who attended for an examination and high fluoride toothpastes were prescribed for patients at high risk of dental decay. Staff told us that the dentists would always provide oral hygiene advice to patients where appropriate.

The practice had a selection of dental products on sale in the reception area to assist patients with their oral health.

The medical history form patients completed included questions about smoking and alcohol consumption. We were told by the dentists and saw in dental care records that smoking cessation advice was given to patients who smoked. Patients would also be made aware if their alcohol consumption was above the national recommended limit. There were health promotion leaflets available in the waiting room to support patients.

### Staffing

New staff to the practice had a period of induction to familiarise themselves with the way the practice ran. The induction process included making the new member of staff aware of the practice's policies, the location of emergency medicines and arrangements for fire evacuation procedures. We saw evidence of completed induction checklists in the induction files.

Staff told us they had good access to on-going training to support and advance their skill level and they were encouraged to maintain the continuous professional development (CPD) required for registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). Records showed professional registration with the GDC was up to date for all staff and we saw evidence of on-going CPD.

Staff told us they had annual appraisals and training requirements were discussed at these. We saw evidence of completed appraisal documents. Staff also felt they could approach the practice manager at any time to discuss continuing training and development as the need arose.

### Working with other services

The dentist we spoke with confirmed they would refer patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary

### Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

care if the treatment required was not provided by the practice. Referral letters were either typed up or proformas were used to send all the relevant information to the specialist.

Details included patient identification, medical history, reason for referral and X-rays if relevant.

The practice also ensured any urgent referrals were dealt with promptly such as referring for suspicious lesions under the two-week rule. The two-week rule was initiated by NICE in 2005 to enable patients with suspected cancer lesions to be seen within two weeks. Referral audits were also carried out to ensure referral processes were of suitable standards.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

We spoke with to staff about how they implemented the principles of informed consent. Informed consent is a patient giving permission to a dental professional for treatment with full understanding of the possible options, risks and benefits. Staff explained how individual treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed with each patient and then documented in a written treatment plan. The patient would sign this and take the original document. A copy would be retained in the patients' dental care record.

Staff were clear on the principles of the Mental Capacity Act 2005(MCA) and the concept of Gillick competence. The MCA is designed to protect and empower individuals who may lack the mental capacity to make their own decisions about their care and treatment. Staff described to us how they involved patients' relatives or carers when required and ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the treatment options. Gillick competence is a term used to decide whether a child (16 years or younger) is able to consent to their own medical or dental treatment, without the need for parental permission or knowledge. The child would have to show sufficient mental maturity to be deemed competent.

# Are services caring?

### Our findings

### Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Feedback from patients was very positive and they commented they were treated with care, respect and dignity. We observed staff were always interacting with patients in a respectful, appropriate and kind manner and to be friendly and respectful towards patients during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients who used the service on the day of inspection.

Patients' electronic care records were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage. Any paper records were securely stored in a locked cabinet in accordance with the Data Protection Act.

We saw that doors of treatment rooms were closed at all times when patients were being seen. Conversations could not be heard from outside the treatment rooms which protected patient privacy.

Music was played throughout the practice treatment rooms for patients, televisions were in the waiting room and a selection of magazines were available. Hot drinks and cool water was available within the practice. New patients to the practice were given an induction to the practice pack with a selection of information and details about the practice. A selection of leaflets for patients to take home was in place.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices. Patients commented they felt involved in their treatment and it was fully explained to them. Staff described to us how they involved patients' relatives or carers when required and ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way patients understood.

The practice provided clear treatment plans to their patients that detailed possible treatment options and costs. Posters showing private treatment costs were displayed in the waiting area. The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments which were available at the practice.

Each surgery had a mounted screen for patients to be shown photos or X-rays findings and discuss treatment options.

### Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

### Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment would be seen the same day. We were told the patients were given sufficient time during their appointment so they would not feel rushed. We observed the clinics ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The practice had an information leaflet and a website. The information leaflet included details of the staff, dental treatments which are available and a description of the facilities. The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments which were available at the practice. This included dental implants, treatments for gum disease and crowns.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had equality and diversity, and disability policies to support staff in understanding and meeting the needs of patients. Due to the steep stairs, the practice could not accommodate patients with limited mobility; they had another practice in Haxby which was located on the ground floor and accessible to all patients. Any patient who required to be seen there would be accommodated.

We saw the consent forms could be made available in a large print version and the practice had access to translation services for those whose first language was not English.

### Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, in the practice information leaflet and on the practice website.

The opening hours are:

Monday to Thursday 8:45am and 5:30pm

### Friday 8:45am to 4:30pm

The patients told us they were rarely kept waiting for their appointment. Where treatment was urgent staff told us patients would be seen the same day so that no patient was turned away. The patients told us when they had required an emergency appointment this had been organised the same day. There were clear instructions on the practice's answer machine for patients requiring urgent dental care when the practice was closed.

### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaints policy which provided guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The policy was detailed as recommended by the GDC.

Information for patients was available in the waiting areas. This included how to make a complaint and how complaints would be responded to within the time frames.

The practice manager was responsible for dealing with complaints when they arose. Staff told us they would raise any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure responses were made in a timely manner. Staff told us they aimed to resolve complaints in-house initially.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients. We found there was an effective system in place which helped ensure a timely response. This included acknowledging the complaint within three working days and providing a formal response as soon as reasonably practicable.

The practice had received two complaints in the last 12 months. We reviewed the complaints and saw they had been responded to in line with the practice's policy. This included acknowledging the complaint and providing a formal response and discussing the complaints during staff meeting to learn and prevent future complaints.

# Are services well-led?

### Our findings

### **Governance arrangements**

The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. There was a range of policies and procedures in use at the practice. We saw they had systems in place to monitor the quality of the service and to make improvements.

The practice had an approach for identifying where quality or safety was being affected and addressing any issues. Health and safety and risk management policies were in place and we saw a risk management process to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments relating to the use of equipment and infection prevention and control.

The practice had governance arrangements in place such as various policies and procedures for monitoring and improving the services provided for patients. For example there was a health and safety policy and an infection prevention and control policy. Staff were aware of their roles and responsibilities within the practice.

There was an effective management structure in place to ensure the responsibilities of staff were clear. Staff told us they felt supported and were clear about their roles and responsibilities.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they were encouraged and confident to raise any issues at any time. These were discussed openly at staff meetings and it was evident the practice worked as a team and dealt with any issue in a professional manner.

The practice held monthly staff meetings involving all staff members and also had daily informal morning meetings to ensure everyone had a role for the day and could raise any concerns if required. If there was more urgent information to discuss with staff then an informal staff meeting would be organised to discuss the matter. All staff were aware of whom to raise any issue with and told us the practice manager was approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. We were told there was a no blame culture at the practice.

### Learning and improvement

Quality assurance processes were used at the practice to encourage continuous improvement. The practice audited areas of their practice as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. This included clinical audits such as radiographs and infection prevention and control.

Staff told us they had access to training which helped ensure mandatory training was completed each year; this included medical emergencies and basic life support. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuous professional development as required by the General Dental Council. They were keen to state that the practice supported training which would advance their careers.

All staff had annual appraisals during which learning needs, general wellbeing and aspirations were discussed. We saw evidence of completed appraisal forms in the staff folders.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had systems in place to involve, seek and act upon feedback from people using the service including carrying out annual patient satisfaction surveys and a comment card in the waiting rooms. The satisfaction survey included questions about the patients' overall satisfaction, the cleanliness of the premises, accessibility and length of time waiting. The most recent patient survey showed a high level of satisfaction with the quality of the service provided.

Staff and patients were encouraged to provide feedback on a regular basis either verbally or using the suggestion boxes in the waiting room.