

Damira Dental Studios Limited

Bank Buildings Dental Surgery

Inspection Report

5 Bank Buildings Sudbury Suffolk CO10 2SX

Tel:01787881100

Website: https://www.damiradental.co.uk/location/ Date of inspection visit: 23 July 2019 bank-building/

Date of publication: 20/08/2019

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 23 July 2019 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- · Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Summary of findings

Background

Bank Buildings Dental Surgery is in Sudbury, Suffolk and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including for blue badge holders, are available in car parks near the practice.

The dental team includes ten dentists, three dental nurses plus five trainee dental nurses, three dental hygienists, a lead receptionist and three receptionists, a cleaner, a deputy practice manager and a practice manager. Of the ten dentists one dentist has a special interest in endodontics, one in periodontics and one in orthodontics. The practice has eight treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by a company and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at Bank Buildings Dental Surgery is the practice manager.

On the day of inspection, we collected seven CQC comment cards filled in by patients.

During the inspection we spoke with four dentists, three dental nurses, one dental hygienist, two receptionists, the deputy practice manager, the corporate area manager and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open: Monday to Thursday from 7.30am to 6.30pm and Friday from 7.30am to 5pm.

Our key findings were:

 The practice was part of a corporate group which had a support centre located in Pinner, Middlesex, where support teams including human resources, IT, finance, health and safety, learning and development, clinical support and patient support services were based. These teams supported and offered advice and updates to the practice when required.

- Strong and effective leadership was provided by an empowered practice manager who was supported by a deputy practice manager and a lead receptionist.
- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The provider had staff recruitment procedures. Not all staff inductions were recorded.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- The provider asked patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's recruitment policy and procedures to ensure accurate, complete and detailed records are maintained for all staff.
- Review the practice's protocols for ensuring that all clinical staff have adequate immunity for vaccine preventable infectious diseases.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

we always ask the lottowing live questions of services.		
Are services safe? We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓
Are services effective? We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓
Are services caring? We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓
Are services responsive to people's needs? We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓
Are services well-led? We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays).

Staff had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication within dental care records.

The provider had a whistleblowing policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, we saw this was documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The provider had a business continuity plan describing how they would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at 12 staff recruitment records including one file for a recently recruited member of staff. This showed the provider followed their recruitment procedure. We noted other files were missing relevant information such as recent DBS checks. The management team told us that since they had taken over the practice ensuring all staff files contained the relevant information was an on-going project.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured that facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

Records showed that fire detection and firefighting equipment were regularly tested and serviced.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required information was in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The provider carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken and was updated annually.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus. We found that not all the members of the team had the effectiveness of the vaccination recorded on their records. The practice manager told us that further action would be taken to obtain this information and risk assessments would be implemented in the interim.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Are services safe?

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. We found staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order. We noted fridge temperatures were checked weekly and only the maximum temperature was recorded. We discussed this with the practice manager who confirmed checks would in future be undertaken daily with a minimum and maximum reading recorded.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and the dental hygienists when they treated patients in line with General Dental Council (GDC) Standards for the Dental Team.

There were suitable numbers of dental instruments available for the clinical staff and measures were in place to ensure they were decontaminated and sterilised appropriately.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The provider had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

We found staff had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. We noted records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place. There were no records to evidence that all the recommended actions from the legionella risk assessment had been completed. However, the practice was due to renovate the premises and a further annual test had been scheduled imminently before the building work was

started, with a review once all the work had been completed. The practice manager confirmed any recommendations from the new legionella risk assessment would be actioned with the outcomes recorded and audited.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The infection control lead carried out infection prevention and control audits. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards. We noted the practice had not undertaken infection prevention and control audits as frequently as recommended. We discussed this with the management team who confirmed the audit process would be reviewed.

Information to deliver safe care and treatmentStaff had the information they needed to deliver safe care

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The provider had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

We saw staff stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

Are services safe?

Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out annually. The most recent audit indicated the dentists were following current guidelines. We noted Sepsis (a serious complication of an infection) guidance was displayed and staff had a clear understanding of the implications of sepsis and the common signs and symptoms.

Track record on safety and Lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. Staff monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped staff to understand risks, give a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

In the previous 12 months there had been no safety incidents. We saw that complaints and accidents were investigated and documented. However, we noted that

some accidents such as two sharps injuries whilst recorded in the accident book were also significant events and were not documented or discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to prevent such occurrences happening again in the future. There was scope for the practice to review a wider range of incidents as events and to expand this into a more comprehensive educational tool. We discussed this with the management team who confirmed this would be reviewed.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Staff learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. There was scope to ensure these were adequately shared with the team and acted upon if required.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

The dentist with special interests in orthodontics carried out an assessment in line with recognised guidance from the British Orthodontic Society (BOS). An Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need (IOTN) was recorded for each patient which would be used to determine if the patient was eligible for orthodontic treatment through the NHS. The patient's oral hygiene would also be assessed to determine if the patient was suitable for orthodontic treatment.

The practice offered dental implants. These were placed by the one of the dentists at the practice who had undergone appropriate post-graduate training in this speciality. The provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

One of the dentists had a special interest in endodontics, (root canal treatment). The dentist used a specialised intra oral camera to assist with carrying out root canal treatment. The dentist also provided advice and guidance on endodontics to the other dentists in the practice.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists/clinicians where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentist and dental hygienist described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition.

Records showed patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions and we saw this documented in patient records. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. However, not all the staff we spoke with were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly. There was scope to ensure all staff had a full understanding of the processes to establish and confirm parental/legal responsibility when seeking consent for children and young people.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

We saw the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the clinicians recorded the necessary information.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development (CPD) required for their registration with the General Dental Council. However, there was scope to ensure the practice retained information of CPD for all staff.

Staff discussed their training needs at one to one meetings. We saw evidence of completed one to one meetings and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff. The practice manager was relatively new to the practice and was in the process of introducing annual appraisals for all staff.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

Staff had systems to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections.

The provider also had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

There was scope to ensure staff monitored the outcome of all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were understanding, caring and knowledgeable. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information leaflets, patient survey results and thank you comments were available for patients to read. We noted there were 'you said' comments with responses from the practice displayed. These were in response to patient waiting times and difficulties accessing the practice on the telephone.

Privacy and dignity

Staff respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided some privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. If a patient asked for more privacy, staff would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it. Staff described the redevelopment plans for the practice which would ensure a new layout for the reception area and a separate administration area where telephone calls would be dealt with. This would ensure telephones would be answered away from the front desk, we were told this would hopefully improve telephone access, give reception staff the opportunity to focus on patients at the front desk and ensure telephone discussions were dealt with in a less public area.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored any paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the

requirements under the Equality Act and Accessible Information Standards. (A requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not speak or understand English.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand.
- Icons on the practice computer system notified staff if patients had specific requirements or a disability.
- Information about the practice, oral health or treatment was available in other formats and languages if required.

Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options. Patients stated in CQC comment cards that staff had given them clear information and answered all their questions.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentist described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included photographs, models, videos and X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

The waiting rooms contained interesting magazines for patients to read, there were toys and books for children in the first floor waiting room to keep them occupied whilst waiting.

The practice had made adjustments for patients with disabilities. The practice was situated on the ground and first floor with ramp access to the rear door of the building and treatment rooms on the ground floor. There was a bell at the front of the building for patients who needed to use the ramp access, we were told staff would escort patients through this entrance to the main reception area. There was an accessible toilet with handrails and a call bell. There was a hearing loop and staff described how they would support patients with limited or reduced hearing or vision. A disability access audit had been completed and an action plan formulated to continually improve access for patients.

Patients said that staff were kind and caring and made them and their children feel at ease and described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

Patients who had given authorisation were sent a text message reminder of their appointment.

Costs of treatment were on display in the waiting room and were available on the practice website. Staff said that all costs were clearly explained and recorded in patient's treatment plans.

Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and included it in their information leaflet and on their website. The practice offered extended opening hours on Monday to Thursday from 7.30am to 6.30pm.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The staff took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with the NHS 111 out of hour's service.

The practice's website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The provider/registered manager/practice manager took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The provider had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The practice manager aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice manager had dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the previous 12 months.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

We found leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care and demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

The management team were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. Staff told us they worked closely with them and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

We saw the provider had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice aims and objectives were set out in its statement of purpose and included:

- To ensure high quality and effective services and to provide monitored, audited and continually improving dental services.
- To provide dentistry which is available to a whole population, ensuring mutual respect, continuing care, learning and training.
- Accessible dentistry.
- To improve development for all staff and enhance performance for all staff.

The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population. The practice had a business plan to expand, refurbish and develop the facilities to improve services for its patients. The practice was in the process of recruiting additional clinicians to work at the practice.

The practice manager took immediate action the day of our inspection to address some of the minor issues identified during our inspection, demonstrating their commitment to providing a good service.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

The staff focused on the needs of patients.

We saw the provider took effective action to deal with staff poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The practice manager was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice and was responsible for the day to day running of the service. They were supported by a deputy manager and a lead receptionist. The practice was part of a corporate group which had a support centre where teams including human resources, finance, clinical support and patient support services were based. These teams supported and offered expert advice and updates to the practice when required. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

The practice had recently recruited additional staff including trainee dental nurses and was in the process of recruiting an additional full time associate dentist to join the practice team.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Are services well-led?

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The provider had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The provider used patient surveys and verbal comments to obtain patients' views about the service. We noted results of the latest survey were on display in the practice waiting rooms.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The provider gathered feedback from staff through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The practice manager showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. We were given examples of staff who had gone above and beyond, for example to support the practice in their preparations for the inspection.

The practice manager was in the process of undertaking staff appraisals. One to one meetings had been implemented where learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development were discussed.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.