

Able Homecare Ltd

Able Homecare Marylebone

Inspection report

45 Welbeck Street
Marylebone
London
W1G 8DZ

Tel: 02079355841
Website: www.ablehomecare.co.uk

Date of inspection visit:
24 April 2017

Date of publication:
06 June 2017

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good ●
Is the service safe?	Good ●
Is the service effective?	Good ●
Is the service caring?	Good ●
Is the service responsive?	Good ●
Is the service well-led?	Good ●

Summary of findings

Overall summary

We conducted an announced inspection of Able Homecare Marylebone on 24 April 2017. We gave the provider 48 hours' notice to ensure the key people we needed to speak with were available. At our last comprehensive inspection on 30 January 2015 the provider was meeting all regulations inspected.

Able Homecare Marylebone provides care and support to people living in their own homes. There were three people using the service when we visited.

There was a registered manager at the service. A registered manager is a person who has registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the service is run.

Risk assessments and care plans contained enough information for care staff. All records were reviewed within six months or sooner if people's needs changed.

Care staff assisted people to take their medicines safely. Care workers told us they had completed medicines administration training and understood how to safely administer medicines.

Safeguarding adults from abuse procedures were robust and staff understood how to safeguard people they supported. Staff had received safeguarding adults training and were able to explain the possible signs of abuse as well as the correct procedure to follow if they had concerns.

Staff demonstrated a good level of knowledge about their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005. People signed their care records to indicate that they consented to their care.

Staff demonstrated an understanding of people's life histories and current circumstances and supported people to meet their individual needs in a caring way. Care records contained enough information about people's needs and preferences.

Recruitment procedures ensured that only staff who were suitable, worked within the service. There was an induction programme which included shadowing for new staff, which prepared them for their role.

Care workers were provided with appropriate training to help them carry out their duties. Care workers received regular supervision of their performance. There were enough staff employed to meet people's needs and visits were appropriately arranged to ensure people's needs were met.

Care workers supported people to maintain a balanced nutritious diet where this formed part of the package of care being provided to them. People were supported effectively with their health needs, when needed and were supported to access a range of healthcare professionals.

Relatives and staff gave positive feedback about the registered manager and told us they provided feedback about the service. They knew how to make complaints and told us they felt listened to. There was a complaints policy and procedure in place.

The organisation had effective systems in place to monitor the quality of the service. The registered manager reviewed various areas of the service on a regular basis. Information was reported to the CQC as required.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?

Good ●

The service was safe.

Medicines were administered safely and records were kept of this.

Risks to people who use the service were identified and appropriate action was taken to manage these and keep people safe.

Procedures were in place to protect people from abuse. Staff knew how to identify abuse and knew the correct procedures to follow if they suspected abuse had occurred.

There were enough staff available to meet people's needs and we found that recruitment processes helped to ensure that staff were suitable to work at the service.

Is the service effective?

Good ●

The service was effective.

Care workers demonstrated a good level of knowledge about the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005.

Staff received an induction, training and regular supervisions of their performance.

People were supported to maintain a healthy diet.

People were supported to maintain good health and were supported to access healthcare services.

Is the service caring?

Good ●

The service was caring.

Relatives made positive comments about the care provided by staff.

Relatives told us that care workers spoke with their family members regularly and got to know them well. They said people's privacy and dignity was respected and care workers gave us practical examples of how they did this.

Care workers considered people's cultural needs and dealt with these in a sensitive way.

Is the service responsive?

The service was responsive.

People's needs were assessed before they began using the service and care was planned in response to these. Care records contained information about people's preferences in relation to how they wanted their care to be delivered.

Care records were updated when people's needs changed and care workers confirmed that they reported any changes to senior staff.

Care staff encouraged people to maintain their independence. Care records contained information about people's social interests and hobbies and how care staff should support people to access these.

Relatives told us they knew who to complain to and felt they would be listened to.

Good ●

Is the service well-led?

The service was well-led.

Relatives told us senior staff were approachable.

Quality assurance systems were adequate and information was reported to the Care Quality Commission as required.

Good ●

Able Homecare Marylebone

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

The inspection took place on 24 April 2017 and was conducted by one inspector. The inspection was announced. We gave the provider 48 hours' notice of our inspection because the location provides a domiciliary care service; we wanted to be sure that someone would be available.

Prior to the inspection we reviewed the information we held about the service.

We spoke with two relatives of people using the service and two people using the service. During our visit we spoke with the registered manager, another senior member of staff and spoke with two care workers after our visit over the telephone. We also looked at a sample of two people's care records, two staff records and records related to the management of the service.

Is the service safe?

Our findings

People told us they felt safe using the service. People's comments included "I feel safe with the care worker" and "I trust my carer." One relative told us "I was fearful of having carers in the house, but my fears were unfounded. I now know that if she's not with me, she's safe."

The provider had conducted their own assessments prior to providing care. Risk assessments were completed in relation to all known areas of risk involving people's care. These assessments covered people's health care needs, their personal care needs, whether they required domestic support and other areas related to the person's wellbeing. This information was then used to produce a comprehensive care plan around their identified needs.

Risk assessments viewed contained practical guidance for care workers on how to support people to manage risks. Risk assessments were updated at least every six months or sooner if people's needs had changed. Care workers demonstrated that they knew the risks to people well and gave us examples of the types of risks they sought to avoid. One care worker gave us a detailed description of the health issues one person suffered from as well as the actions they took to ensure the person was safe. They said "It's important that we know exactly what our client's needs are and what the risks are. We always read the care plan thoroughly before working with anyone."

Staff told us they received training in safeguarding adults as part of their initial induction and demonstrated a good understanding of how to recognise abuse, and what to do to protect people if they suspected abuse was taking place. The provider had a safeguarding adult's policy and procedure in place.

Staff received first aid training as part of their initial induction and this covered what to do in the event of an accident, incident or medical emergency. Care workers understood the procedure to follow in the case of an incident occurring. They explained they would contact the emergency services or GP first if necessary after conducting an initial assessment of the situation and would then report the matter to the office and other parties afterwards.

People's relatives told us they were seen by the same care workers and this ensured they could develop a relationship and get to know one another well. One relative told us their family member "Gets the same carers. If somebody new comes, they shadow for a while first."

We spoke with the registered manager about how they assessed staffing levels. They explained that the initial needs assessment was used to consider the amount of support each person required. As a result senior staff determined how many care workers were required per person and for how long and this was negotiated with people's families as the service was paid for privately. Care workers also confirmed that they kept the office informed about whether they needed more time to conduct their work.

We looked at the recruitment records for two staff members and saw they contained the necessary information and documentation which was required to recruit staff safely. Files contained photographic

identification, evidence of criminal record checks, references including one from previous employers and application forms detailing their employment history.

Medicines were administered safely to people. Care workers were responsible for administering medicines to some people and filled in medicines administration record (MAR) charts. These were collected by a senior staff member every week who reviewed these records and queried any discrepancies.

Care workers we spoke with told us they had received medicines administration training and records confirmed this. Care workers were clear about the medicines that people should be taking and provided appropriate support that met people's individual needs.

Is the service effective?

Our findings

People's rights were protected as staff understood their responsibilities in relation to consent.

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The Act requires that as far as possible people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA.

We found that the provider was working within the principles of the MCA and was meeting their responsibilities. People signed their care plans and risk assessments which demonstrated that they consented to their care. Where people had representatives to act on their behalf, the necessary documentation was in place to demonstrate that their representatives had the legal right to do so.

We spoke with care workers about their understanding of the issues surrounding consent and the MCA. Care workers explained what they would do if they suspected a person lacked the capacity to make a specific decision. They described possible signs that may indicate that a person lacked the capacity to make a specific decision and told us they would report this to their manager. One care worker told us, "I would report anything that didn't seem right."

People and their relatives told us they were encouraged to eat a healthy and balanced diet where this was part of the package of care they received. People's care records included appropriate information about their dietary requirements, their likes and dislikes and whether they had any cultural or religious needs in relation to their nutrition. Care workers told us they prepared people's meals in accordance with the instructions they were given at each visit and they were aware of people's preferences. Care records included detailed examples of what people usually liked to eat for every meal of the day and daily notes included a record of what people actually ate, so this could be monitored.

Care records contained up to date information about people's health needs. Details about people's health needs were included in their care plan. This included a description of people's known health conditions as well as information about how this manifested itself.

Relatives told us staff had the appropriate skills and knowledge to meet their needs. Relatives told us, "I'm very happy with them, they know what they're doing" and "I'm really impressed with them and have recommended this service to friends."

Staff told us they felt well supported and received regular supervision and spot checks of their competence to carry out their work and we saw evidence of this. A senior member of staff told us formal supervisions took place every six months, but she also met with care workers every week and had informal discussions with them and care workers confirmed this. One care worker told us "I speak with [the senior staff member]

ever week. I'm really well supported."

The registered manager told us ongoing training was available to all care staff and all care workers were required to undertake an extensive period of shadowing before supporting people on their own. One care worker told us, "The induction is really thorough. I've shadowed a few shifts before being allowed to see a client on my own." Records confirmed that staff had completed mandatory training in various topics as part of their induction prior to starting work and on an ongoing basis. These topics included safeguarding adults, first aid and moving and handling.

Is the service caring?

Our findings

People using the service and their relatives gave good feedback about the care workers. One relative told us the care workers were, "very kind and caring" and another told us, "They are lovely." Relatives told us their family members were treated with kindness and compassion by the care workers who supported them and said that positive relationships had developed.

Our discussions with the registered manager and care workers showed they had a good knowledge and understanding of the people they were supporting. Care workers told us they usually worked with the same people so they had got to know each other well. One care worker told us they had developed a close relationship with one person. They said, "We spend a lot of time together and we get on well."

Care workers gave details about the personal preferences of people they were supporting as well as details of their personal histories. They were well acquainted with people's habits and daily routines and the relatives we spoke with confirmed this. One relative told us the care worker "knows [my family member] really well. They have their own relationship now."

People using the service and their relatives confirmed that their privacy was respected. One relative told us "The carers are really respectful" and one person said "Oh yes, they do respect me." Care workers explained how they promoted people's privacy and dignity and gave many practical examples of how they did this. One care worker told us, "I'm really careful around [one person]. There are some things that I can help with, but other things that I let them do for themselves."

Care records gave some details about people's cultural and religious requirements, and the registered manager confirmed that these were identified when people first started using the service. When we spoke with care workers they had a good level of knowledge about people's culture and spiritual beliefs and how this influenced and contributed to the support they provided.

Is the service responsive?

Our findings

People's care was planned in a way that took account of their individual needs and preferences. Care plans provided detailed information about how a person's needs and preferences should be met. This included information about their routines, people important to them and their individual preferences in relation to many aspects of their daily living. For example, we saw details of exactly what type of food people liked to eat, how they liked to spend their time and specifically what sort of help they required from their care worker.

Care records contained information about people's interests and hobbies. The registered manager told us and care workers confirmed they worked with people to keep them active by encouraging them to participate in activities where this formed part of their package of care. One care worker told us one person, "loves to spend time with their family, so I make sure I help to make this happen."

Relatives confirmed they had been involved in the assessment process and had regular discussions with staff about the needs of their family member. One relative told us "They send me a text after every visit so I know how [my family member] got on. They'll also call me if there's anything I need to know about." Relatives also confirmed care staff kept daily records of the care provided and these were available for them to see. These were collected by a senior staff member every week and we saw detailed daily records which demonstrated what care had been provided to people.

Care workers told us they offered people choices as a means of promoting their independence. One care worker told us, "I always offer choices. It's important not to take people's independence away. They need to be making the decisions in their lives."

People's needs were assessed before they began using the service and care was planned in response to these. Assessments included physical health, dietary requirements and mobilising.

The service had a complaints policy which outlined how formal complaints were to be dealt with. People and their relatives confirmed they knew who to complain to where needed. One person told us "I've never needed to complain about anything, but if I did I would just call [the registered manager]."

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

The provider reported concerns to the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as required.

The provider had adequate systems in place to monitor the quality of the care and support people received. The senior member of staff checked MAR charts and care records on a weekly basis.

There was a clear process for reporting and managing accidents and incidents, but to date, not one had occurred. The registered manager told us they intended to review accidents and incidents individually to identify any further actions or learning points if any occurred.

Relatives told us they were asked for their feedback in regular weekly conversations. One relative told us the senior staff member "visits very often and makes sure everything's ok." Feedback was sought during weekly visits when the senior staff member also obtained visit records and MAR charts. The registered manager told us that if issues were identified, these would be dealt with individually. We saw recorded details of this monitoring within the daily notes we viewed. Care workers also sent key relatives a text message after every visit to let them know immediately when they had finished their visit and if their relative family member was well.

Care workers confirmed they maintained a good relationship with the management team and felt comfortable raising concerns with both the registered manager and senior staff member within the service. One care worker told us, "They're really good. I feel comfortable with them."

Staff demonstrated that they were aware of their roles and responsibilities in relation to people using the service and their position within the organisation in general. They explained that their responsibilities were made clear to them when they were first employed. Staff provided us with detailed explanations about what their roles involved and what they were expected to achieve as a result. We saw copies of people's job descriptions and saw that the explanations provided reflected these.

The registered manager worked with members of the multidisciplinary team in providing care to people. This included the local pharmacist and the GP.