

Parkhouse Dental Practice and Cosmetic Centre

Park House Dental Practice

Inspection Report

80 Manchester Road, Werneth, Oldham, OL9 7AP Tel: 0161 6204213 Website: none

Date of inspection visit: 22 February 2018 Date of publication: 23/04/2019

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 22 February 2018 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Park House Dental Practice is in Oldham and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children. The practice also has an NHS contract for minor oral surgery.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. On street parking is available near the practice.

The dental team includes ten dentists, (two of whom attend to provide minor oral surgery as required), six dental nurses, (one of whom has additional skills and three of whom are trainees), a decontamination nurse, a practice manager and three receptionists. The practice has six treatment rooms.

Summary of findings

The practice is owned by a company and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at Park House Dental Practice is the principal dentist.

On the day of inspection, we collected 33 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided.

During the inspection we spoke with three dentists (including a specialist dentist), dental nurses, a receptionist, the practice manager and another manager from the organisation. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday 9am to 5.30pm

Friday 9am to 5pm

Our key findings were:

- The premises were clean and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff were providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- Systems to refer patients to other providers were not effective. The provider took immediate action to address this.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the systems to track and monitor the use of NHS prescription pads in the practice.
- Review the practice's arrangements for receiving and responding to patient safety alerts, recalls and rapid response reports issued by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, the Central Alerting System and other relevant bodies, such as Public Health England.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding people and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns. Information was provided for patients about local organisations, charities and helplines for a wide range of safeguarding issues.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.

The process to document the use of prescription pads should be reviewed.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients commented that they were treated well when receiving treatment. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals. Immediate action was taken to address a failure to review and follow up rejected routine referrals.

The practice carried out conscious sedation for patients. There were systems to help them do this safely.

The provider supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 33 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were caring, friendly and helpful.

They said that they were given helpful, honest explanations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

No action



No action



No action



Summary of findings

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system took account of patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for patients with a disability and families with children. The practice had access to telephone and face to face interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The provider monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



No action



Are services safe?

Our findings

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. They provided safeguarding guides and resources throughout the practice for staff to refer to as necessary. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training, and those in lead roles undertook additional training to a higher level. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The practice had a system to highlight vulnerable patients on records e.g. children with child protection plans, adults where there were safeguarding concerns, people with a learning disability or a mental health condition, or who require other support such as with mobility or communication. A system had been put in place to identify where children or vulnerable people were not brought to appointments to ensure they were followed up promptly.

The practice also had a system to identify adults that were in other vulnerable situations e.g. those who were known to have experienced modern-day slavery or female genital mutilation. Information was displayed in the patient toilet about local organisations, charities and helplines for a wide range of safeguarding issues.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, this was documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The provider had a business continuity plan describing how they would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at staff recruitment records. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

An external company had completed a fire risk assessment on 14 February 2019. The practice had an action plan to implement the recommendations which included fitting fire doors and additional electrical sockets to reduce the number of electrical extension leads in use. The practice manager was clear on the deadline for the completion of these and had acted to engage a local company to provide regular servicing of the fire detection equipment, such as smoke detectors and emergency lighting. Additional fire extinguishers had been obtained and fire safety training was booked for staff. After the inspection, the practice implemented regular visual inspection of all electrical extensions in use and sent evidence of this.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and had the required information in their radiation protection file. Clear instructions were displayed for operators to notify them of any settings or positioning that were particular to each machine.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

Are services safe?

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The practice had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken with separate risk assessments in place to further protect trainee dental nurses. Staff confirmed that only the dentists were permitted to assemble, re-sheath and dispose of needles where necessary to minimise the risk of inoculation injuries to staff. Protocols were in place to ensure staff accessed appropriate care and advice in the event of a sharps injury and staff were aware of the importance of reporting inoculation injuries.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus. The last infection prevention and control audit had highlighted that the results of the vaccination were not checked for three members of staff. Action had been taken to obtain this from individuals and we saw evidence that this was in progress and staff had appointments with their GP or occupational health services where necessary.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year. Immediate Life Support training for staff involved in the delivery of sedation was also completed.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. Staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had obtained safety data sheets for hazardous substances in use at the practice, but individual risk assessments had not been completed to ensure that the manufacturer's instructions for storage, use and disposal were followed. We discussed this with the practice manager and evidence was sent after the inspection that this process was underway and all staff made aware.

The practice occasionally used staff from other practices in the organisation, or agency staff. These staff received an induction to ensure that they were familiar with the practice's procedures.

The practice had an infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required. Staff identified as IPC leads completed additional training to support them in their role.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance. A new steriliser had been installed two days before the inspection, staff had received training in the correct operation and validation testing of this device.

The practice had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place. A system of water quality testing was in the process of being implemented.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected. Staff had discussed cleaning methods and standards at a recent practice meeting.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Are services safe?

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The provider had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines were held securely and did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

The practice stored NHS prescriptions securely. The prescription logging process would not identify if a prescription form was missing. The practice manager confirmed the logging system would be reviewed.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines. Waste midazolam (which is a medicine used for sedation) was denatured and disposed of appropriately.

Track record on safety and Lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. The practice monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

Notices were displayed in the practice reminding staff to report any issues or concerns and staff were clear on the importance of reporting these.

There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. Incidents were investigated, documented and discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to prevent such occurrences happening again in the future. We highlighted where action taken to follow up staff members after sharps incidents could be documented more clearly.

There was a system for receiving and acting on patient safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. The practice did not retain the safety alerts they received and acted upon for reference. We highlighted the benefit of this to demonstrate that appropriate action had been taken in response to any alerts received.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children and adults based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay. Fluoride varnish application rates were in line with Greater Manchester rates. Staff made every effort to engage with the local community to encourage attendance at the dentist to receive ongoing and preventative care. For example, letters and information sheets had been developed to explain the benefits of receiving regular dental care. These were distributed locally and to patients who failed to attend their appointment.

The dentists where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

The practice was aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They created oral health promotion displays in the practice and directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentists described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition.

Patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. The staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

The practice carried out conscious sedation for patients. This included people who were very nervous of dental treatment and those who needed complex or lengthy treatment. The practice had systems to help them do this safely. These were in accordance with guidelines published by the Royal College of Surgeons and Royal College of Anaesthetists in 2015.

The practice's systems included checks before and after treatment, emergency equipment requirements, medicines management, sedation equipment checks, and staff availability and training. They also included patient checks and information such as consent, monitoring during treatment, discharge and post-operative instructions.

The staff assessed patients appropriately for sedation. The dental care records showed that patients having sedation

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

had important checks carried out first. These included a detailed medical history, blood pressure checks and an assessment of health using the American Society of Anaesthesiologists classification system in accordance with current guidelines.

The records showed that staff recorded important checks at regular intervals. These included pulse, blood pressure, breathing rates and the oxygen saturation of the blood.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles. For example, a dental nurse had received additional training in sedation.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured programme. The practice had a training matrix to support clinical staff to complete their continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council and supported staff to complete their personal development plans. The practice monitored the progress of trainee dental nurses and met regularly with assessors from the education provider to support their learning.

Staff discussed their training needs informally and at annual appraisals and meetings. We saw evidence of completed appraisals and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff. For example, by using staff meetings to deliver regular in-house training and providing access to online training providers.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice had systems to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections. Staff had discussed sepsis and were aware of the importance of early recognition and treatment.

The practice also had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

The practice used the Greater Manchester online referral system. The dentists were responsible for monitoring their own referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly. We noted a large number of routine referrals that had been rejected and brought these to the attention of the principal dentist. They confirmed after reviewing several cases that there were a number of non-urgent referrals that had not been followed up. For example, where the dentists responsible for following these up had since left the practice. Immediate action was taken to report this as a significant event and investigate each referral, following up where required. The practice implemented a system to audit all referrals to prevent further reoccurrence and discussed this with staff. Evidence of this was provided after the inspection.

The practice was a referral clinic for minor oral surgery under sedation. Incoming referrals were monitored and acted on appropriately.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were caring, friendly and helpful. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients who had attended for minor oral surgery were positive about the care and treatment they had received.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders, patient survey results and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. If a patient asked for more privacy, staff would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the

Principles of the Accessible Information Standards and the requirements under the Equality Act. The Accessible Information Standard is a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given. To support this:

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not understand or speak English. Patients were also told about multi-lingual staff that might be able to support them.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand and communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example photographs, models, and X-ray images to help them better understand the diagnosis and treatment.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice. Some comments cited difficulties accessing the practice by telephone but this had not detracted from their overall positive experience of the practice.

The practice had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment. For example, notes were flagged if a patient was unable to access the first-floor surgery or if they required a translator.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities in line with a disability access audit. These included step-free access, a hearing loop, a magnifying glass and accessible toilet with hand rails and a call bell. They were reviewing the Greater Manchester Dementia-friendly Dentistry toolkit to improve the experience of attending the dental practice for those living with dementia and their carers.

The practice asked patients about their communication preferences. Patients could choose to receive text message reminders for forthcoming appointments. Staff also telephoned patients after complex treatment to check on their well-being and recovery.

Staff telephoned some patients before their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice.

Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, and included it in their information leaflet and on the NHS Choices website.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The practice's information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The practice had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The practice manager aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the last 12 months.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service. Positive feedback was celebrated and displayed in the practice for staff and patients to read.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Leadership capacity and capability

We found the principal dentist had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care. They worked closely with the practice manager, and other managers in the organisation. They had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

There was a clear vision and set of values.

The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population. They were familiar with the needs of the local community and staff made every effort to encourage attendance at the dentist to receive ongoing and preventative care.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were keen to participate in discussion during the inspection and were proud to work in the practice.

The practice focused on the needs of patients. We saw the provider had systems to deal with poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints, for example, where we highlighted that referrals had not been followed up appropriately. Immediate action was taken to investigate this, contact the patients affected and take action to prevent this reoccurring. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The practice used patient surveys and verbal comments to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used. The latest results showed that 93% of patients would recommend the practice to friends and family. The practice celebrated positive feedback about the service.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through regular meetings and informal discussions. Meetings were also

Are services well-led?

used to discuss policies and deliver staff training. There was evidence of clear communication between staff. We were sent evidence of a staff meeting held immediately after the inspection to discuss the findings and resulting actions with staff. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, oral cancer and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

Staff had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.