

Dr Pepper's Care Corporation Limited Vicarage Residential Home

Inspection report

1 Honicknowle Lane

Pennycross

Plymouth

Devon

PL23QR

Tel: 01752779050

Date of inspection visit: 10 May 2022

Date of publication: 27 May 2022

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good •
Is the service safe?	Good
Is the service effective?	Good
Is the service caring?	Good
Is the service responsive?	Good
Is the service well-led?	Good

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Vicarage Residential Home is a residential care home providing accommodation and personal care for up to 35 people. The service supports people who may need support with their physical and mental health and may be living with a dementia. Vicarage Residential Home is an adapted building. At the time of the inspection there were 29 people living at the service.

People's experience of using this service and what we found

People told us they were happy with the care they received, and people said they felt safe living there. Comments from people included; "Oh yes, I feel safe here." Another person said; "I'm spoilt and have lovely staff who look after me well." While a relative commented in a survey returned; "The care is fantastic." While a professional commented on a survey about the service; "Well impressed." People looked relaxed, happy and comfortable with staff supporting them. Staff were caring and spent time chatting with people as they moved around the service.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

People were supported by staff who completed an induction, training and were supervised. Staff were recruited safely in sufficient numbers to ensure people's needs were met. There was time for people to have social interaction and there was a designated activities staff member to assist people. Staff knew how to keep people safe from harm.

Staff received appropriate training and support to enable them to carry out their role safely, including fire safety and mental health training. However, we have recommended that the training matrix reflects the updated staff training completed.

The environment was safe and there was equipment available to support staff in providing safe care and support. Health and safety checks of the environment and equipment were in place.

There were processes in place to prevent and control infection at the service including additional cleaning and safe visiting precautions.

People were supported to access healthcare services, staff recognised changes in people's health, and sought professional advice appropriately.

Records of people's care were individualised and reflected each person's needs and preferences. Risks were identified, and staff had guidance to help them support people to reduce the risk of avoidable harm. People's communication needs were identified, and where they wanted, people had end of life wishes

explored and recorded.

People were involved in menu planning and staff encouraged them to eat a well-balanced diet and make healthy eating choices. Special diets were catered for. One person said; "Always something I can have if I don't like something offered."

People were supported by a service that was well managed. Records were accessible and up to date. The management and staff knew people well and worked together to help ensure people received a good service.

Staff told us the registered manager and management team was available and assisted them daily. They went onto say how they were approachable and listened when any concerns or ideas were raised. One staff member said; "Never been in a place like it! Love it!"

People and their families were provided with information about how to make a complaint and details of the complaint's procedure were displayed at the service.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk Rating at last inspection and update

The last rating for this service was good, published on 3 May 2018.

Why we inspected

We undertook this inspection as part of a random selection of services rated Good and Outstanding. We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the Safe key question. We look at this in all care home inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to COVID-19 and other infection outbreaks effectively.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service, which will help inform when we next inspect.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Good •
The service was safe.	
Details are in our safe findings below.	
Is the service effective?	Good •
The service was effective.	
Details are in our effective findings below.	
Is the service caring?	Good •
The service was caring.	
Details are in our caring findings below.	
Is the service responsive?	Good •
The service was responsive.	
Details are in our responsive findings below.	
Is the service well-led?	Good •
The service was well-led.	
Details are in our well-Led findings below.	



Vicarage Residential Home

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

As part of this inspection we looked at the infection control and prevention measures in place. This was conducted so we can understand the preparedness of the service in preventing or managing an infection outbreak, and to identify good practice we can share with other services.

Inspection team

The inspection was carried out by one inspector.

Service and service type

Vicarage Residential Home is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing and/or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement dependent on their registration with us. Vicarage Residential Home is a care home without nursing care. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

Registered Manager

This service is required to have a registered manager. A registered manager is a person who has registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

At the time of our inspection there was a registered manager in post.

Notice of inspection

This inspection was unannounced.

What we did before the inspection

The provider was not asked to complete a Provider Information Return (PIR) prior to this inspection. A PIR is information providers send us to give some key information about the service, what the service does well and improvements they plan to make.

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We sought feedback from the local authority and professionals who work with the service. We used all this information to plan our inspection.

During the inspection

We spoke with 13 people who used the service about their experience of the care provided. We spoke with seven members of staff including the registered manager, care manager, care workers and auxiliary workers.

We reviewed a range of records. This included three people's care records and four medicines records. We looked at three staff files in relation to recruitment and staff supervision. A variety of records relating to the management of the service, including policies and procedures were reviewed.



Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. The rating for this key question has remained good. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- The service had effective systems in place to protect people from abuse.
- People told us they felt safe. Comments included; "Oh yes, I feel safe here!" A relative commented on a thank you card; "I now know that once in the home he was safe."
- Staff received training and were able to tell us what safeguarding, and whistleblowing was. Staff said; "I think they are safe because we have enough staff around to keep them safe." Staff were able to describe the signs and types of abuse. Staff understood to report to the management team any concerns they had.
- •The registered manager was fully aware of their responsibilities to raise safeguarding concerns with the local authority to protect people and had notified CQC appropriately of concerns.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

- Staff knew people well and were aware of people's risks and how to keep them safe.
- Risk assessments were detailed and up to date which meant staff had guidance on how to manage people's care safely. They covered areas such as skin integrity and personal care. Risk assessments for weight management and nutrition and dependency levels had also been undertaken.
- Where people experienced periods of distress or anxiety staff knew how to respond effectively. Care plans documented information for staff on people's health needs, so they could respond quickly to prevent situations from escalating.
- Equipment and utilities were regularly checked to ensure they were safe to use.
- Contingency plans were in place and showed how the service supported people during an outbreak of COVID-19.
- Emergency plans were in place outlining the support people would need to evacuate the building in an emergency. Fire safety procedures and appropriate checks and training for staff were in place.

Staffing and recruitment

- There were sufficient numbers of staff employed and on duty to meet people's assessed needs. People and staff told us there were enough staff on duty to meet people's needs. One person said about staffing levels; "Always there when you need them."
- The staff said they worked additional hours, so people had staff they knew and trusted. This was to support appointments or during staff absences.
- Staff confirmed staffing levels enabled them to keep people safe and meet their care needs. For example, staff could spend quality time with people.
- Recruitment practices were thorough and included pre-employment checks from the Disclosure and Barring Service before new staff started work.

Using medicines safely

- People received their medicines in a safe way, as prescribed for them.
- People's medicine support needs had been assessed and were recorded in care plans. Care plans included additional risks related to medicines. For example, highlighting allergies and reactions to certain medicines.
- Medicines were ordered, stored and disposed of safely and securely. Staff recorded medicines following administration.
- There were no gaps in medicines administration records (MARs) and when people were prescribed 'as required' medicines there were protocols in place detailing the circumstances in which these medicines should be used.
- Medicines were audited regularly with action taken to make ongoing improvements.
- External creams and lotions to maintain people's skin integrity were applied during personal care.

Preventing and controlling infection

- We were assured that the provider was preventing visitors from catching and spreading infections.
- We were assured that the provider was meeting shielding and social distancing rules.
- We were assured that the provider was admitting people safely to the service.
- We were assured that the provider was using PPE effectively and safely.
- We were assured that the provider was accessing testing for people using the service and staff.
- We were assured that the provider was promoting safety through the layout and hygiene practices of the premises.
- We were assured that the provider was making sure infection outbreaks can be effectively prevented or managed.
- We were assured that the provider's infection prevention and control policy was up to date.
- We were assured the provider was facilitating visits for people living in the home in accordance with the current guidance. One person said; "I see my relatives regularly."

Learning lessons when things go wrong

- Accidents and incidents were recorded and analysed so any trends or patterns could be highlighted.
- Appropriate action was taken following any accidents and incidents to minimise the risk of adverse events reoccurring.
- Learning and any improvements from accidents, incidents and safeguarding concerns were shared with staff in team meetings.



Is the service effective?

Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. The rating for this key question has remained good. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and people's feedback confirmed this.

Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law

- The management team and staff worked with external healthcare professionals to deliver care in line with best practice. During the pandemic and an outbreak, the registered manager said the local healthcare team had been supportive and had helped ensure people received the care required.
- People's individual needs had been assessed before they moved in. New admissions had to receive a negative COVID-19 test before admission.
- Assessments of people's individual needs were detailed, expected outcomes were identified and their care and support regularly reviewed. All documents relating to people's care were made available to staff. Staff agreed the information held was comprehensive about each person. Staff were able to access updated information at any time and handovers gave any updates on people.

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

- People received effective care and treatment from competent, knowledgeable and skilled staff who had the relevant qualifications and skills to meet their needs. Staff members new to the service told us; "The team here are all genuine and caring. Really nice staff team and feels like a family."
- Staff were provided with opportunities to discuss their individual work and development needs. Staff received one to one meetings to enable them to raise any issues and share ideas. Staff told us they were well supported by the registered manager. One said; "The management are approachable."
- Staff, new to the care sector, were supported to complete induction training in accordance with current good practice. New staff shadowed experienced staff until they felt confident and their competence was assessed before they started to provide support independently.
- •Staff told us about the updated and recent training they had completed. There was a system in place to monitor training. However, this had not been updated to match what staff told us or the certificates held by staff on recent updates. Training sessions were online with some training in person being arranged.

We recommend the registered manager updates the training matrix to match what staff had completed.

Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

- People were provided with healthy meals which they enjoyed. One person told us; "They always remember what I like to eat and what I don't. Then offer me something else." Another person said; "Food is very good."
- Staff were aware of any specific dietary requirements for people, for example, if people needed a soft diet. People were involved in menu planning.
- Care plans included information about people's dietary needs and their likes and dislikes. People who

needed their nutrition to be monitored had records in place which were used to help identify any concerns.

• Drinks were served regularly throughout the day to prevent dehydration. People who stayed in their rooms, through personal choice, had drinks provided and these were refreshed throughout the day.

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care

- People were supported to maintain good health and were referred to appropriate health professionals as required.
- Staff supported people to see external healthcare professionals regularly, such as GPs and district nurses. Home visits by some healthcare professionals continued during the pandemic and the service was able to contact other professionals via phone calls or video calls in an emergency. People's care plans were updated to provide staff with clear instructions about how to follow advice given by external professionals.
- People's care records highlighted where risks had been identified. For example, where peoples skin integrity was at risk people where referred to the district nurse team for advice.

Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support

- People's health conditions were well managed, and staff engaged with external healthcare professionals including physiotherapists.
- There were clear records to show staff were monitoring specific health needs such as people's weight, nutrition and hydration and skin care.
- Staff supported people to continue to mobilise independently. We observed staff offering support to people who used mobility aids.
- Staff were proactive in making timely referrals to health professionals when they had concerns around people's health and well-being. Care records were updated to reflect any professional advice given and guidance was available for staff through shift handovers. One healthcare professional recorded on a survey while visiting the home; "Came to review people's charts. They were the best I've seen in a care home in a very long time."

Adapting service, design, decoration to meet people's needs

- The physical environment was continuously being reviewed, updated and improved.
- People's rooms were decorated with personal belongings to ensure people felt comfortable with familiar items around them.
- There was a suitable range of equipment and adaptations to support the needs of people using the service.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA.

In care homes, and some hospitals, this is usually through MCA application procedures called the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS).

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, whether appropriate legal

authorisations were in place when needed to deprive a person of their liberty, and whether any conditions relating to those authorisations were being met.

- Mental capacity assessments had been completed for people and, where required, appropriate applications had been made and received to deprive people of the liberty within the law.
- People were asked for their consent before any care was delivered. People, who were able to, had signed their care plans to indicate they agreed with their planned delivery of care.



Is the service caring?

Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. The rating for this key question has remained good. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity

- There was a relaxed and happy atmosphere in the service and staff were friendly and supportive. People were positive about staff and their caring attitude and told us they were treated with kindness and compassion. People said; "I'm happy here" and "They are the best." A relative said in a correspondence returned to the service; "We would like to thank you for the loving, caring, dignity and respect with which you looked after (named relative)."
- Staff enjoyed spending time with the people they supported and took time to sit and chat with people throughout the day. Staff knew what was important to people and how to offer people comfort and reassurance. We observed plenty of good interaction and humour between staff and people.
- •Where people were unable to express their needs and choices, care plans detailed their ways of communicating.
- Care plans also contained background information about people's personal history. This meant staff were able to gain an understanding of people and engage in meaningful conversations with them.

Supporting people to express their views and be involved in making decisions about their care

- People were supported to make as many decisions as possible about their daily living. People said they could speak with staff about anything they wished to discuss. One person said; "They will always come to explain things if I don't understand something."
- People were able to choose how they spent their time. Some people chose to spend time in their own rooms, while others preferred one of the several communal areas.
- Staff put people at the centre of the service and reflected the provider's values. Staff valued people's views and encouraged us to talk with as many people as we could during our visit
- Care records included instructions for staff about how to help people make as many decisions for themselves as possible. For example, about which aspects of personal care people could manage for themselves and what they needed help with.
- People were able to decline aspects of planned care and staff respected people's decisions and choices in relation to how their support was provided.

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

• People's right to privacy and confidentiality was respected. Staff clearly understood the importance of protecting people's privacy, dignity and independence. We observed staff respecting people's privacy, dignity and independence throughout the inspection. For example, ensuring that doors were closed when providing personal care and asking people if they could assist them.

 People were supported to maintain and develop relationships with those close to them. Records showed family members had been updated when changes in people's needs were identified. 		



Is the service responsive?

Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. The rating for this key question has remained good. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

- There was comprehensive information held which showed people's needs, routines and preferences.
- Care plans were person centred and detailed people's likes and dislikes and how best to deliver care and support. For example, how to manage if a person's health deteriorates. Staff said they get regular updates on people's health.
- Care records detailed information about people's backgrounds, history, social, physical and health needs. Care plans provided information for staff on how to meet people's identified needs including, support people needed to maintain their physical health and well-being, nutrition and personal hygiene.
- Where people had a specific health condition, such as diabetes or a long-term illness guidance was in place for staff on how to manage those conditions.

Meeting people's communication needs

Since 2016 all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard. The Accessible Information Standard tells organisations what they have to do to help ensure people with a disability or sensory loss, and in some circumstances, their carers, get information in a way they can understand it. It also says that people should get the support they need in relation to communication.

• People's communication needs, and preferences were identified, recorded and highlighted in care plans. This included reference to the type of communication the person may find difficult and how to support them. We observed people and staff communicating effectively together throughout the inspection.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities that are socially and culturally relevant to them

- People were supported to maintain relationships that were important to them. Visitors were made welcome at the service and were supported by staff to go through procedures to ensure visiting was safe during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- There had been some disruption to the activity programme during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, the service had a range of activities and these were arranged and carried out by the designated activities coordinator. The service had outside area's for people to enjoy.

Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns

- There was a complaints policy in place which outlined how a complaint would be responded to and the timescale. There were no open complaints currently being investigated.
- People told us they would be confident to speak to the management or a member of staff if they were

unhappy. One person said; "I will speak to (named registered manager) and staff if I need to."

End of life care and support

- The service provided end of life care to people, supporting them at the end of their life while comforting family members and friends.
- During the COVID-19 pandemic, relatives were supported to safely visit people where they were receiving end of life care.
- Care plans identified people's preferences at the end of their life and the service co-ordinated palliative care with other professionals.
- Staff worked with the appropriate health and medical professionals to ensure the appropriate equipment and medicines were in place for people at the end of their lives.



Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At our last inspection we rated this key question good. The rating for this key question has remained good. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

- We received positive feedback in relation to how the service was run, and our own observations supported this. This was the registered managers first inspection since registering.
- People and staff, and relatives completed surveys, all were very complimentary of the service, the registered manager and the management team. One relative comment recorded; "Words can't express how much your devotion has meant to us during such a difficult time." One person said; "They are the best! They go above and beyond the call of duty."
- There was a warm, friendly and family atmosphere in the service.
- There was a person-centred culture which kept people at the heart of the service. One person said; "Feel lucky with this home."
- The provider's systems ensured people received person-centred care which met their needs and reflected their preferences.
- Staff told us they enjoyed their roles. Comments included; "Amazing! Can't fault it" and "Always there when you need them."

How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong

- The registered manager demonstrated an open and transparent approach to their role. There were processes in place to help ensure that if people came to harm, relevant people would be informed, in line with the duty of candour requirements.
- Staff confirmed they worked in an environment where learning from incidents and feedback took place to make improvements where possible.
- CQC were notified of all significant events.
- Audits were carried out to monitor the quality of the service provided.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- The registered manager and providers had oversight of what was happening in the service and were very visible and took an active role in the running of the service. They had worked to improve the service. This included the introduction of new systems.
- There were clear lines of responsibility across the staff team. Staff understood their roles and

responsibilities and received training to deliver the level of care and support to meet people's individual needs. However, we have recommended that the training matrix requires updating.

- The management team understood their role in terms of regulatory requirements. For example, notifications were sent to CQC when required to report incidents that had occurred and required attention. Regular audits took place, and these were completed by the management team.
- Staff felt respected, valued and supported and said they were fairly treated. There was a positive attitude in the staff team with the aim of trying to provide the best care possible for the people living at the service. One professional recorded on a survey returned to the service; "All the staff have worked and continue to work hard throughout the pandemic and have people's best interests in mind."
- There was good communication between all the staff. Important information about changes in people's care needs was communicated to staff effectively.
- The management and staff worked to drive improvements across the service. They engaged with external agencies to develop effective systems to ensure care was delivered safely.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

- Systems were in place to enable people, staff and relatives to give feedback.
- Communication between people, staff and families was good.
- Staff and people told us the service was well managed and they felt valued. Staff told us the registered manager and providers were very approachable and always available for advice and support.

Continuous learning and improving care

- The service had a strong emphasis on teamwork and communication sharing. The registered manager and staff said this had been particularly important during the pandemic and the lockdowns. All staff spoken with confirmed they and the management covered extra shifts and one staff said; "Any issues-100% can go to them."
- Organisational audits were in place and used to develop the service by reflecting good practice.
- Policies and procedures were designed to support staff in their practice.
- The service used feedback and analysis of accidents, incidents and safeguarding to promote learning and improve care. They also worked in close association with the local surgery and district nurse team during COVID-19.
- The registered manager kept up to date with developments in practice through working with local health and social care professionals.

Working in partnership with others

- The registered manager told us how they had worked alongside the local GP surgery and the local authority during the pandemic, the lockdowns and during an outbreak. The registered manager worked collaboratively with professionals and commissioners to ensure people's needs were met and people had the relevant support and equipment was made available.
- Where changes in people's needs were identified, prompt and appropriate referrals for external professional support were made. These included GPs to provide joined-up care and support.