

Mr Jean Jacques Dubois & Mrs Adele Melody Dubois Whitegates Care Home

Inspection report

Gravel Lane Ringwood Hampshire BH24 1LL Date of inspection visit: 14 October 2019

Date of publication: 05 November 2019

Tel: 01425472302

Ratings

Overall rating for this service

Good

Is the service safe?	Good 🔍
Is the service effective?	Good 🔍
Is the service caring?	Good 🔍
Is the service responsive?	Good 🔍
Is the service well-led?	Good •

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Whitegates Care Home is registered to accommodate up to 20 people and provides care and support for older people. The service is split over two floors which were all accessible by stairs or a stair lift. There were 11 people using the service at time of inspection.

People's experience of using this service and what we found

People told us they felt safe living at Whitegates Care Home. The staff demonstrated a good understanding of how to meet people's individual needs. People's outcomes were known, and staff worked with people to help achieve these. People were supported and encouraged to maintain their independence and live their lives as fully as possible.

People's care plans were personalised, detailed and centred around them. People had access to a limited number of planned activities within the home. The registered manager saw this as an area for development.

We made a recommendation about activities for people living within the home.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the home supported this practice.

People were supported to maintain contact with those important to them including family and friends. Staff understood the importance of these contacts for people's health and well-being. Staff knew people well and what made them individuals.

The management of the home were respected. Staff had a good understanding of their roles and responsibilities and were supported to reflect on their practice and pursue learning opportunities. The staff team worked and got on well together demonstrating team work.

Quality and safety checks helped ensure people were safe and protected from harm. This meant the home could continually improve. Audits helped identify areas for improvement and this learning was shared with staff.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk Rating at last inspection The last rating for this service was good (published 13 April 2017).

Why we inspected This was a planned inspection based on the previous rating.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service until we return to visit as per our reinspection programme. If we receive any concerning information we may inspect sooner.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Good ●
The service was safe.	
Details are in our safe findings below.	
Is the service effective?	Good •
The service was effective.	
Details are in our effective findings below.	
Is the service caring?	Good 🔍
The service was caring.	
Details are in our caring findings below.	
Is the service responsive?	Good •
The service was responsive.	
Details are in our responsive findings below.	
Is the service well-led?	Good 🔍
The service was well-led.	
Details are in our well-Led findings below.	



Whitegates Care Home Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Inspection team

The inspection was carried out by one inspector and an Expert by Experience. An Expert by Experience is a person who has personal experience of using or caring for someone who uses this type of care service.

Service and service type

Whitegates is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing or personal care as single package under one contractual agreement. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

Notice of inspection This inspection was unannounced.

What we did before inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We sought feedback from the local authority and professionals who work with the service. We used the information the provider sent us in the provider information return. This is information providers are required to send us with key information about their service, what they do well, and improvements they plan to make. This information helps support our inspections. We used all of this information to plan our inspection.

During the inspection

We spoke with five people who used the service and two relatives about their experience of the care

provided. We spoke with eight members of staff including the provider, registered manager, deputy manager, head of care, senior care workers, care workers and the catering assistant. We made observations of care and interactions between people and staff.

We reviewed a range of records. This included two people's care records and multiple medication records. We looked at two staff files in relation to recruitment, staff supervision and appraisal. A variety of records relating to the management of the service, including policies and procedures were reviewed.

After the inspection

We continued to seek clarification from the provider to validate evidence found. We looked at training data and quality assurance records. We spoke with two professionals who regularly visit the service.

Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- Staff had received training in safeguarding people. Staff told us how they would recognise signs and symptoms of abuse and who they would report them to both internally and externally.
- The home had a safeguarding policy in place and a process for dealing with any safeguarding concerns.
- The registered manager told us that they had recently introduced advanced safeguarding training. This training included information to safeguard children as well as adults. This meant that staff would have any awareness of how to raise concerns about children who may visit the home.
- People and their relatives told us they felt safe living at the home. Staff told us that they knew people well and thought that this helped to keep them safe. A person told us, "I am well looked after". Another person said, "I feel safe, it's a fairly secure building".

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

- Risk assessments were in place for each person for all aspects of their care and support. There were general risk assessments for the home. Risk assessments were reviewed monthly or if there was a change in people's needs.
- Staff had a good knowledge of people's risks. The head of care had a system of reviewing risk assessments and this information was then communicated with staff.
- Assessments included clear instructions for staff on how to minimise the risks for people. Each assessment was arranged to show the care the person needed and what the risks were. The assessment then gave instructions to the staff of safe ways to work to reduce or eliminate risks.

Staffing and recruitment

- There were enough staff on duty. The registered manager used a dependency tool which calculated the number of staff required to meet the needs of people they told us they kept under constant review.
- People told us staff were available to them in an emergency or as needed. A person told us that when they pressed their call bell, "they [staff] were here very quickly".
- The home had a recruitment process and checks were in place. These demonstrated that staff had the skills and knowledge needed to care for people.
- Staff files contained appropriate checks, such as references, health screening and a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. The DBS checks people's criminal record history and their suitability to work with people in a care setting.

Using medicines safely

• The home managed their medicines safely. The home had arrangements for the ordering, storage and

disposal of medicines. Staff responsible for the administration of medicines had their competency assessed annually or as required.

- Medicine Administration Records (MAR) had information about when a person took their medicines. Prescribed creams had details of where to apply and how often.
- Some people needed to take their medicines at the same time each day to manage their condition. We checked these medicines and the home was following these correct instructions. A person told us, "Any medication I require is given to me on time".
- Staff told us they checked people's medicines with their MAR to ensure the correct medicine was given to the correct person at the right time. MAR were completed correctly and audited.
- Medicines that required stricter controls by law were stored correctly in a separate cupboard and a stock record book was completed accurately.
- Where people were prescribed medicines that they only needed to take occasionally, guidance was in place for staff to follow to ensure those medicines were administered in a consistent way.

Preventing and controlling infection

- Staff were clear on their responsibilities with regards to infection prevention and control and this contributed to keeping people safe.
- All areas of the home were tidy and visibly clean. People and relatives told us they thought the home was clean and tidy. Staff had received training in infection control.
- There were gloves, aprons and hand soaps and sanitisers in various places throughout the home. We observed staff changing gloves, aprons and handwashing throughout the day.
- The service had received a Food Standards Agency rating of five which meant that conditions and practices relating to food hygiene were 'very good'.

Learning lessons when things go wrong

- Accidents and incidents were recorded and analysed monthly by the registered manager. This meant that they could identify trends and make changes.
- Learning was shared through staff meetings and daily handovers. Staff told us they felt they were kept up to date and communicated well together.

Is the service effective?

Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has now remained the same. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and people's feedback confirmed this.

Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law

• People had their needs assessed before they moved into the home. These assessments formed the basis of their care plans. A member of the senior team went to see each person before they moved into the home.

• People's outcomes were identified and guidance on how staff met them was recorded. Staff knowledge and records demonstrated plans had been created using evidence-based practices. This was in relation to medicines, healthcare and nutritional needs.

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

- The home had an induction for all new staff to follow, which included external training, shadow shifts and practical competency checks in line with the Care Certificate. The Care Certificate is a national induction for people working in health and social care who have not already had relevant training. Some of the staff held a national diploma in health and social care.
- Staff received the training and support needed to carry out their role effectively. They told us they felt confident.
- Staff received training on subjects such as infection control, fire safety, dementia and medicines. The registered manager told us they were always trying to add to the training for staff.
- Staff told us they had regular supervisions and contact with the deputy manager and head of care. The home was supported by a small staff team and they communicated effectively together each day through handovers.
- Staff told us they felt supported, they could ask for help if needed and felt confident to speak with the management team when required.

Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

- People were supported to have enough to eat and drink. We received positive comments about the food including; "I am happy with the food here. If you feel hungry in the middle of the night, they organise something for you". "They always ask about drinks". "They ask me what I want, and I make my choices".
- People could choose an alternative if they didn't want what was on the menu. The home used an external meal delivery system. People chose their meals ahead of time and then the catering assistant visited people each day to ask them what they wanted to eat for the day.
- Records showed input from dieticians and speech and language therapists (SALT) where required.
- We observed the meal time to be a calm and relaxed social occasion with people having various discussions between themselves and with staff.

- The dining room had tables laid with drinks and condiments. Most people used the communal area to have their meal. Food looked appetising and plentiful.
- A snack menu was displayed, and these were available to people at all times. The home had cold drinks in various parts of the home for people to help themselves throughout the day.
- People were encouraged by staff to eat their meals and have plenty of drinks. Where support was given by staff this was observed to be respectful.

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care

- The deputy manager told us they worked closely with other agencies. Records showed this had promoted effective care and had a positive effect on people's wellbeing.
- Staff were knowledgeable about people's needs and the importance of working with others. A professional told us, "Input is requested in a timely manner".

Adapting service, design, decoration to meet people's needs

- The home was accessible for people. It had been adapted to ensure people could use different areas of the home safely and as independently as possible.
- The home had a large lounge, a smaller television lounge and dining area. There were outside areas with a garden for people to enjoy.
- There were signs on the doors to assist people to access certain rooms such as the bathroom. People were encouraged to bring their own belongings into the home.
- Notice boards displayed the date, weather, some activities and other matters of interest.

Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support

- People were supported to receive health care services when they needed them. Records showed referrals made from the home to a variety of professionals, such as doctors, nurses, physiotherapists and mental health services. A person told us, "Different people from the medical world visit us frequently".
- The deputy manager and head of care said they worked well with all professionals and were comfortable seeking their input when needed.
- Records showed that instructions from health professionals were carried out. A health professional told us, "The staff are always responsive to any queries we have and will find the information for us. They make timely referrals to our service".
- Instructions from medical professionals were recorded in people's care plans and they communicated to staff during handover. This meant that people were receiving the most up to date support to meet their health needs.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The Act requires that, as far as possible, people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA. In care homes, and some hospitals, this is usually through MCA application procedures called the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS).

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, and whether any conditions on authorisations to deprive a person of their liberty had the appropriate legal authority and were being

met.

• The home met the requirements of the MCA. MCA assessments had been carried out for some people in relation to their care needs and consent for photographs. This meant that people's rights were protected.

• Consent was given by people for the care they received. The home had a record of family members who had the legal authority to make or support decisions for their relative. Where this was in place they had been consulted.

• Where MCA assessments had been carried out the home held best interests' meetings. Records showed involvement of the person, family members, professionals and the GP.

• People and their relatives told us staff asked their consent before providing them with care. We overheard staff asking for people's consent throughout the inspection particularly in relation to medicines and food.

• Staff had received MCA and DoLS training and were able to tell us the key principles.

Is the service caring?

Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has now remained the same. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity

- People and their relatives told us staff were kind and caring. Comments included; "My experience of them is positive". "I feel totally relaxed with them. They are all skilled, they know what they are doing". "They [staff] are very kind". "They are very kind and helpful".
- People's cultural and spiritual needs were respected. People were asked about their beliefs and practices during their assessment. These were recorded in their care plans. The home had a worship service every month for people to enjoy.
- Staff received training in equality and diversity. Staff told us they would care for anyone regardless of their background or beliefs.

Supporting people to express their views and be involved in making decisions about their care

- People and their relatives were involved in their care. Staff told us that as they were a small home it was more like a family.
- People were encouraged to make suggestions about how they wanted their home to be, there was a suggestion and collection box in the main reception area.
- People told us their voices were heard within the service. One person said, "Very much so".
- Staff told us it was important for them to support people with choices. We observed staff supporting people with choices for different aspects of the day and their care. For example, with food and comfort choices.

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

- Staff treated people with dignity and respect. Staff received training in dignity.
- People were supported to be as independent as they could be. Staff told us that it was important that people kept their independence. A relative told us, "They try to engage our loved one".
- Records showed that the home had involved the person and family members in learning about their earlier life. We observed staff supporting people to keep independent by assisting them to different parts of the home.

Is the service responsive?

Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has now remained the same. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities that are socially and culturally relevant to them

• People had access to some group activities within the home. This included visiting professionals. We observed the home to be quiet with many people occupying themselves either in the lounge or in their rooms.

• Activity schedules were limited. The registered manager told us they did not employ dedicated staff or hours to provide and organise activities. They told us they were working to develop the programme and source activities for themselves.

• People's records showed they had specific interests that had not always been explored. We raised this with the registered manager and they told us they had identified this was an area that required development and was working on devising a programme of activity and engagement for people. This was to include both group and one to one activities.

We recommend that the provider continues to develop their activities programme for people. Exploring and implementing the benefits of meaningful activities.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

• People received personalised care that was responsive to their needs. The head of care reviewed the care plans each month or in response to changes.

• Plans were personalised and relevant to the person. This meant people were receiving the care that was important to them and met their individual needs. Plans had clear outcomes, were well organised and guidance for staff to be able to meet those outcomes.

• Care plans and information was available to staff. This included people's life history plans which helped staff understand people's backgrounds. Staff told us the information they had about people's needs was of a good standard and that they had all the information they needed to provide good care to people.

Meeting people's communication needs

Since 2016 onwards all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard (AIS). The standard was introduced to make sure people are given information in a way they can understand. The standard applies to all people with a disability, impairment or sensory loss and in some circumstances to their carers.

• People's communication needs were identified, recorded and highlighted in care plans. These needs were shared with others including professionals. People's communication needs were met by staff.

Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns

- People knew how to make a complaint and the home had a policy and procedure in place. Everyone we spoke with felt comfortable to speak to staff or the management team about any concerns. A person told us, "They listen and act quickly".
- The home had not had any formal complaints however records showed the deputy manager dealt with any feedback to people's satisfaction.
- People were confident that their concerns would be dealt with. Comments we received about this from people included:

End of life care and support

- At the time of inspection, the service was not providing end of life care for anyone. The deputy manager told us they worked with the district nurses and GP when a person requires end of life support.
- Each person had an end of life care plan and consideration had been given to whether people wanted to receive certain treatments if they became unwell. These were used in addition to their other care plans.
- The home had received compliments about its standard of care given to people at the end of their life.

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has now remained the same. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

- Staff felt proud to work at Whitegates Care Home. They were complimentary about their colleagues and said they worked well as a team. Some of their comments included: "I am really happy here". "I am proud to work here, it's like a family". "I enjoy coming to work".
- Staff, relatives and people's feedback on the management of the service was positive. Staff felt supported. The comments included: "The management team are supportive". "I speak to all the managers if I need to". "The management staff are approachable, and we work as a team". A health professional told us, "As far as we are concerned the home is well led, we have no concerns".

How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong

• The registered manager understood the requirements of the duty of candour, that is, their duty to be honest and open about any accident or incident that had caused or placed a person at risk of harm. They told us the circumstances in which they would make notifications and referrals to external agencies and showed us records where they had done this.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- The management and staff understood their roles and responsibilities. The registered manager told us they were supported by their team.
- Quality assurance systems were in place to monitor the standard of care provided. Audits reviewed different aspects of care and actions were taken to make any improvements that had been identified.
- Systems were in place to support learning and reflection. The deputy manager and registered manager had completed monthly audits, such as medication, accidents, incidents and care records.
- The registered manager knew about their duty to send notifications to external agencies such as the local authority safeguarding team and CQC where required. This is a legal requirement to allow other professionals to monitor care and keep people safe.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics; Continuous learning and improving care; Working in partnership with others

• The service sought people and relatives' feedback through questionnaires. The results of those were positive. The registered manager told us they send these out to people and their relatives once a year.

• The home held meetings for people and their relatives. Records showed people and their relatives were involved in the home and kept up to date. Relatives were routinely asked for their views and they told us they felt involved in the home.

• The home had regular staff meetings. Minutes showed discussions about people, updates, ideas, training and good practice reminders. Records showed good attendance by staff.

• The home did not have many established links to the local community. However, the registered manager told us they wanted to increase these links in the future. They had linked with a similar home in the area.

• Learning and development was important to the registered manager. They attended regular provider meetings, learning hubs and had used online guidance and publications. The registered manager had the support of the provider, deputy manager and head of care in the home.

• The service had good working partnerships with health and social care professionals. A health professional told us, "We work well with Whitegates".