

Addaction North Lincolnshire

Quality Report

189-195 High Street Scunthorpe North Lincolnshire DN15 6EA Tel: 08081 430640

Website: www.addaction.org.uk

Date of inspection visit: 29 & 30 January 2020 Date of publication: 17/03/2020

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this location. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected and a review of all information available to CQC including information given to us from patients, the public and other organisations

Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Mental Health Act responsibilities and Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards

We include our assessment of the provider's compliance with the Mental Capacity Act and, where relevant, Mental Health Act in our overall inspection of the service.

We do not give a rating for Mental Capacity Act or Mental Health Act, however we do use our findings to determine the overall rating for the service.

Further information about findings in relation to the Mental Capacity Act and Mental Health Act can be found later in this report.

Summary of findings

Overall summary

We rated Addaction North Lincolnshire as good because:

- The service provided safe care. The premises where clients were seen were safe and clean. The number of clients on the caseload of the team, and of the individual members of staff, was not too high to prevent staff from giving each client the time they needed. Staff assessed and managed risk well. They followed good practice with respect to medicines management, safeguarding and appropriately investigated incidents to ensure lessons were learnt and shared.
- Staff provided a range of treatments suitable to the needs of the clients and in line with national guidance about best practice. They engaged in audits and benchmarking to evaluate the quality of the care they provided.
- The team included all specialists required to meet the needs of clients under their care. Managers ensured these staff received training, supervision and appraisal. Staff worked well together as a multidisciplinary team and with relevant services outside the organisation.

- Staff treated clients with compassion and kindness. They understood the individual needs of the clients and actively involved them in their care.
- The service was easy for clients to enter into treatment. Staff planned and managed transfers and discharges well and encouraged clients to engage with the wider community. They met the needs of clients with complex needs and in vulnerable circumstances who often found engagement with services difficult. They listended to, investigated and learnt from concerns and complaints.
- The service was well led and the governance processes ensured that its procedures ran smoothly.

However:

- Recovery plans and case notes did not reflective of the holistic conversations which had taken place. They did not contain goals which were specific, measurable or timely.
- Client's privacy could be compromised due to rooms not being soundproof.

Summary of findings

Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Background to Addaction North Lincolnshire	5
Our inspection team	5
Why we carried out this inspection	5
How we carried out this inspection	5
What people who use the service say	6
The five questions we ask about services and what we found	7
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards	10
Outstanding practice	19
Areas for improvement	19



Good

Addaction North Lincolnshire

Services we looked at

Community-based substance misuse services

Background to Addaction North Lincolnshire

Addaction North Lincolnshire is a community substance misuse service located in Scunthorpe. It is provided by the national drug, alcohol and mental health charity Addaction. The service is commissioned by the local authority to provide community services for adults experiencing problems with substance and alcohol use. The service delivers both pharmacological and psychosocial interventions to address harm reduction through to recovery and rehabilitation. At the time of our inspection, they were working with approximately 700 clients.

The service has been registered with the Care Quality Commission since September 2018 to provide the following regulated activity:

• Treatment of disease, disorder or injury.

This service has not been previously inspected.

The service has a registered manager.

Our inspection team

The team that inspected the service comprised two CQC inspectors and one specialists advisor with a substance misuse background..

Why we carried out this inspection

We inspected this service as part of our ongoing comprehensive mental health inspection programme.

How we carried out this inspection

To fully understand the experience of people who use services, we always ask the following five questions of every service and provider:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

Before the inspection visit, we reviewed information that we held about the location and asked a range of other organisations for information.

During the inspection visit, the inspection team:

 visited the service, looked at the quality of the environment and observed how staff were caring for clients;

- spoke with nine clients who were using the service;
- spoke with the registered manager for the service;
- spoke with 13 other staff members including the clinical lead, non-medical prescribers, recovery workers, agency staff and volunteers;
- spoke with three family members;
- attended and observed one client review;
- attended and observed one staff meeting;
- attended and observed three client groupwork sessions:
- looked at the care and treatment records of 10 clients:
- looked at the prescribing records for eight clients;
- carried out a specific check of the medication management and
- looked at a range of policies, procedures and other documents relating to the running of the service.

What people who use the service say

We spoke with nine clients who were using the service during our inspection and also looked at the client satisfaction survey. Clients were positive about the care and treatment they received.

They told us they felt safe at the service and the premises were clean and well maintained. They had good relationships with their recovery workers, describing them as fabulous, down to earth and sometimes, brutally honest with their best interests at heart.

Clients felt fully involved in their treatment and setting goals in their recovery plans.

We spoke with three family members of clients. They all provided complimentary comments about the service their family member was receiving. One family member felt the large room was sometimes cold and was concerned that some client rooms had glass door panels that could be seen through.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We rated safe as good because:

- The premises where clients received care and treatment were safe, clean, well equipped, well furnished, well maintained and fit for purpose.
- The service had enough staff who knew the clients and received basic training to keep them safe from avoidable harm. The number of clients on the caseload of the team, and of individual members of staff, was not too high to prevent staff from giving each client the time they needed.
- Staff assessed and managed risks to clients well. They responded promptly to sudden deterioration in client's physical and mental health. Staff made clients aware of harm minimisation and the risks of continued substance misuse. Safety planning was an integral part of recovery plans.
- Staff understood how to protect clients from abuse and the service worked well with other agencies to do so. Staff had training on how to recognise and report abuse and they knew how to apply it.
- The service used systems and processes to safely prescribe, administer, record and store medicines. Staff regularly reviewed the effects of medications on each client's physical health.

Are services effective?

We rated effective as good because:

- Staff completed comprehensive assessments with clients on accessing the service in a timely manner. They considered the client's holistic needs in the assessment and in discussions with clients whilst in treatment
- Staff provided a range of care and treatment interventions suitable for the client group and consistent with national guidance on best practice. They used recognised rating scales to assess and record severity and outcomes. They also participated in audits and benchmarking to improve quality.
- The team included the full range of specialists required to meet the needs of the client group. Managers made sure that staff had the range of skills to provide high quality care. They supported staff with appraisals, supervision and opportunities to update and further develop their skills. Managers provided an induction programme for new staff.

Good



Good



- Staff from different disciplines worked together as a team to benefit clients. They supported each other to make sure clients had no gaps in their care. The team had effective working relationships with other relevant teams outside the organisation.
- Staff supported clients to make decisions on their care for themselves. They understood the provider's policy on the Mental Capacity Act 2015 and knew what to do if a client's capacity might be impaired.

However:

 Recovery plans and case notes did not reflect the holistic conversations which took place between clients and staff in their appointments. The goals which were detailed in the recovery plans were mainly focussed on drug and alcohol use and were not specific, measurable, attainable or timely.

Are services caring?

We rated caring as good because:

- Staff treated clients with compassion and kindness. They understood the individual needs of the clients and supported them to understand and manage their care and treatment.
- Staff involved clients in care planning and risk assessment and actively sought their feedback on the quality of care provided. They ensured that clients had easy access to additional support.
- Staff informed and involved family members appropriately.

However:

 Rooms used by clients for appointments were not soundproof and some had visible door panels which may compromise a client's privacy.

Are services responsive?

We rated responsive as good because:

- The service was easy to access. Staff ensured transfers into the service were managed so clients received continuity in their care. They planned and managed discharge well. They took appropriate steps to engage clients who had missed appointments or dropped out of treatment.
- The design and layout of the premises met the needs of the service. There were enough rooms to see clients for groups, one to one appointments and clinical reviews. Staff offered a range of groups to meet the individual client's needs.

Good

Good



- The service met the needs of clients including those with a protected characteristic or in vulnerable circumstances.
- The service treated concerns and complaints seriously. They investigated them and learnt lessons from the results which were shared with the whole team.

Are services well-led?

We rated well-led as good because:

- Leaders had the skills, knowledge and experience to perform their roles, had a good understanding of the service they managed, and were visible and approachable for clients and staff.
- Staff knew and understood the provider's vision and values and how they were applied in the work of their team.
- Staff felt respected, supported and valued. They worked well as a team to maximise the client's outcomes. The organisation promoted equality and diversity in its day to day work and provided staff with support to upheld positive wellbeing. Staff felt able to raise concerns without fear of victimisation.
- Our findings from other key questions demonstrated that governance processes operated effectively and that performance and risk were well managed.
- The team had access to the information they needed to provide safe and effective care and used that information to good effect.

Good



Detailed findings from this inspection

Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards

The organisation had a policy on the Mental Capacity Act which staff were aware of and could refer to. They also had a good relationship with the local mental health provider who they could contact for advice.

Staff supported clients to make decisions where appropriate and when they lacked capacity, decisions were made in their best interests, recognising the importance of the person's wishes and history.

Staff ensured clients consented to their care and treatment during their comprehensive assessment. Capacity was assessed and clearly recorded at each appointment.

Mental Capacity was a mandatory training unit. The service was 97% compliant in completion of the unit. Staff we spoke to demonstrated a good knowledge of the act and their responsibilities under it.

Safe	Good	
Effective	Good	
Caring	Good	
Responsive	Good	
Well-led	Good	

Are community-based substance misuse services safe?

Safe and clean environment

The premises where clients received care and treatment were safe, clean, well equipped, well furnished, well maintained and fit for purpose.

The service had the required health and safety assessments for the environment. These were organised effectively and ensured all appropriate inspections of the premises were carried out. Cleaning records demonstrated that domestic staff cleaned the premises regularly. Staff discussed environmental risks at a daily morning meeting. There were alarms in all client rooms.

The service had a clinic room which was clean, tidy, well ordered and appropriately equipped. Staff maintained the equipment well and staff adhered to infection control principles.

Safe staffing

The service had enough staff who knew the clients and received basic training to keep them safe from avoidable harm. The number of clients on the caseload of the team, and of individual members of staff, was not too high to prevent staff from giving each client the time they needed.

Managers agreed staffing numbers with commissioners at the commencement of their contract. They were able to negotiate additional staff if required on a need's basis. The service had 28 full time equivalent staff which included non-medical prescribers and recovery workers. They had a vacancy rate of 3%. We asked the service prior to our inspection for their sickness rate between 1 October 2018 and 30 September 2019 which was 12%. However, at the time of the inspection they had reduced this to 4%. To ensure client needs were met, the service employed one agency administrator and two agency non-medical prescribers. At the time of the inspection, they were recruiting into the vacant posts. The service also used volunteers and community recovery champions. A community recovery champion is a person already in recovery who is able to mentor and support clients into their own personal recovery journey. Staff used a morning briefing meeting to allocate roles, adjust appointments to cover unplanned absence and to ensure lone working protocols were followed.

There was a clinical lead who covered this service and a neighbouring Addaction provision.

The service ensured staff, including volunteers, received the necessary training to keep clients safe. This included a suite of mandatory training units which included safeguarding, mental capacity, equality and diversity, infection control and keeping information safe. Staff were 97% compliant with all units.

Assessing and managing risk to clients and staff

Staff undertook a risk assessment of clients at the start of treatment. They updated them at least every three months or earlier to respond to changes in circumstances and reviewed risks at every client contact. We looked at the treatment records for 10 clients, all had up to date risk assessments in place.

Staff developed contingency plans with clients at the start of their treatment to agree actions which would help them to return if they fail to attend their appointments.



Staff recorded actions to mitigate or reduce risks in all the records we reviewed. These actions included evidence of harm minimisation advice, liaison with and referrals to other professionals and dual working. Staff used the morning daily meeting to discuss those clients with new risks and to agree immediate actions. This included those who had missed collections of their prescriptions for three days leading them to be out of treatment and, information about clients due to attend who may pose a risk to others. The electronic record system recorded high risk alerts for all staff to see and respond to, for example when two staff were needed to see someone. All clients agreed to a behaviour contract at the start of their treatment.

The service issued out naloxone kits for clients and others they may know with a high risk of overdose from opiates. Naloxone is an injectable medicine that reverses the effects of an opiate induced overdose. All staff were trained on how to administer naloxone and also how to provide training to the client and their relatives for all kits offered. Staff discussed harm minimisation during one to one meetings.

The organisation disseminated national drug alerts for staff to share with clients about drug trends and unusual reactions to substances. They participated in local clinical networks to share and receive specific issues for their locality. Nurse practitioners liaised regularly with the client's GP to share information and safeguard against duplicate prescribing.

Safeguarding

Staff were trained in safeguarding and knew how to make a safeguarding referral. It was mandatory for all staff to attend training at appropriate levels in safeguarding adults and children. Compliance for this training was 97%. Staff were able to describe what constituted a safeguarding concern and how they would escalate this. Managers and team leaders discussed safeguarding with staff in supervision. Staff participated in safeguarding audits as part of a peer audit process. Safeguarding was including in the staff's morning meeting and as part of the agendas throughout the organisation's governance structure. Staff attended regular internal and external multi-disciplinary team safeguarding meetings. The service had a good relationship with the local safeguarding authority and staff

were able to contact them for advice when considering a referral. Staff discussed the safe storage with clients who had children in the house and the client was on a take home medication prescription.

Staff access to essential information

All information needed to deliver care was electronically stored securely and available to staff when needed and in an accessible form.

Medicines management

The service used systems and processes to safely prescribe, administer, record and store medicines. Staff regularly reviewed the effects of medications on each client's physical health.

The service stored blood borne virus vaccinations and naloxone kits. There were no controlled drugs stored or dispensed from the service location; these were dispensed by community pharmacies. The service stored and managed prescription paperwork on the premises and for this purpose implemented the organisation's Controlled Drug Policy Standard Operating Procedures and completed an annual medicines management audit. The service used effective templates and processes to ensure a safe system and that a client's physical health was assessed at regular appointments with the non-medical prescribers.

Track record on safety

There were no serious incidents requiring investigation that occurred 12 months prior to our inspection.

Reporting incidents and learning from when things go wrong

The service effectively reported incidents, investigated appropriately, learnt lessons from their findings which they shared and supported those affected.

Staff knew what constituted an incident and how to report it. They told us the reporting system was easy to use.

The organisation had trained root cause analysis staff to investigate incidents meeting a set criteria. There was a clear governance structure to escalate incidents from service level up to board level depending on analysis of the risk. This included a monthly incident review group which reviewed all incidents and a clinical governance meeting at regional level.



Staff received debriefs, reflective practice and lessons learned sessions as well as incidents and lessons learnt being discussed in monthly team meetings and supervisions.

The manager and most staff had an understanding around their duty of candour.

Are community-based substance misuse services effective?
(for example, treatment is effective)

Assessment of needs and planning of care

Staff completed comprehensive assessments with clients on accessing the service. The assessment looked at a client's drug and alcohol use, physical health, mental health, social factors, criminal involvement, previous treatment experiences, children and families. Staff and clients used the information gathered in the assessment to develop a recovery plan. The organisation expected the recovery plans to be updated at least every 12 weeks. We looked at 10 treatment records. All clients had a comprehensive assessment and an up to date recovery plan. The recovery plans we observed were personalised. However, the goals set were not holistic and focused mainly on drug and alcohol use, accommodation and some health considerations. We did not see any goals around social circumstances such as family engagement, recreational activities or reducing offending. Goals which were set were mostly not specific, measurable, attainable or timely. We did observe an holistic approach in client appointments, case notes and in communication to other professionals, however, this was not reflected in the goals set in the clients' recovery plans.

Best practice in treatment and care

Staff provided a range of treatment interventions suitable for the patient group. They provided the right interventions at the right time. The organisation's clinical governance directorate oversaw effectiveness and ensured interventions were those recommended by, and were

delivered in line with guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence and from the Department of Health's publication Drug misuse and dependence UK guidelines on clinical management.

The service was working towards optimised dosage. This is where clinical research has shown that whilst a lower dose may extinguish withdrawal symptoms, a higher dose may be needed to minimise episodes of craving. Non-medical prescribers were also reducing the number of clients with daily supervised consumption regimes which had been instigated from the previous provider. This is following Medications in Recovery 2012 (National Treatment Agency 2012) guidance that cites that the relaxation of supervised consumption regimes provides positive reinforcement for clients regarding their progress in treatment and is a form of contingency management. This was evidenced in the seven client prescribing records we looked at whilst staff also considered individual risks.

The Department of Health's guidance states that treatment for drug misuse should always involve a psychosocial component. Additionally, Medications in Recovery 2012 (National Treatment Agency 2012) details that structuring packages of care by phasing and layering as a concept ensures an individual approach to treatment. The service had implemented caseload segmentation for the cohort of service users who had been in treatment for over six years and at the time of our inspection it was also being applied to all active opiate service users in the service. This helped staff determine which psychosocial intervention to utilse. Staff provided groups and key work sessions underpinned by recommended interventions including cognitive behavioural therapy, motivational interviewing and solution-focused brief therapy. However, case notes tended to be more factual and it was not always clear from the electronic notes alone what interventions had been applied.

The service considered healthcare needs including testing, vaccinating and treatment for blood borne viruses. Staff routinely tested clients for their blood borne virus status and vaccinated as needed. All staff were trained to obtain tests using dry spot blood testing and kits were in all client rooms to maximise opportunities to test.

Staff considered a client's physical health needs at all medical reviews and as part of a client's one to one



appointments. They also carried out a physical health check for all clients entering treatment. However, there was no formal process in place to ensure reviews had taken place.

Staff used recognised measures and approaches to measure severity and outcomes. These included periodic treatment outcome profiles for the clients. This information reports into the National Drug Treatment Monitoring Service. The National Drug Treatment Monitoring Service collects, collates and analyses information from, and for those involved in the drug treatment sector. Public Health England manages the National Drug Treatment Monitoring Service; producing activity reports for providers to give a full picture of activity nationally. Addaction had expanded the treatment outcome profile tools for their services to also monitor interventions being used and friends and family's tests.

The service used technology to support clients effectively. Clients who are prescribed high levels of substitute prescribing require regular ECG tests. The service used phone technology to monitor a client's electrocardiogram without the need for additional appointments with their GP.

Staff participated in audits to improve quality. This included regular infection control audits, medicine management audits and case management audits. The organisation had a team of internal auditors who visited their services to look at overall quality.

Skilled staff to deliver care

The teams included or had access to the full range of specialists to meet the needs of clients under their care. This included a clinical lead, service manager, non-medical prescribers, recovery workers, engagement workers, health care assistance, administration staff, volunteers and recovery champions who had their own experience of substance misuse.

Staff were experienced, qualified and had the right skills and knowledge to meet the needs of the patient group. They had opportunities to develop their skills and knowledge in training beyond the organisation's mandatory requirements. Additional training included group work skills, domestic abuse, psychosocial interventions, responding to suicide and basic life support.

New staff and volunteers all received an induction period which included completion of the mandatory training units. Staff were complimentary about the induction telling us that it focussed on safety. Volunteers were also able to access the additional training provided by the organisation.

Managers provided staff with supervision (meetings to discuss case management, to reflect on and learn from practice, and for personal support and professional development) and appraisal of their work performance. The service and staff reported that they were 100% compliant in receiving regular supervision. Managers received organisational supervision and attended reflective practice meetings for support.

The appraisal rate was 76%, this was lower due to some staff not requiring appraisals as recently employed with the organisation and still in their probationary periods.

Staff attended monthly team meetings. The agenda included discussions relating to roles, recruitment, safeguarding, incidents, community engagement, performance, training and organisational updates.

Multi-disciplinary and inter-agency team work

Staff worked together as a team to benefit clients. Clients attending appointments with their non-medical prescribers were also supported to the meeting by their recovery worker. This promoted a three-way co-ordinated approach when planning their care and treatment and ensured all information was shared. The service used internet based video and voice calls for appointments where all parties could not attend in person.

All staff attended a daily briefing meeting each morning to share relevant information pertinent to the day. This included risks and activities. They held monthly complex case meetings where staff could present a client's case which would benefit from a wider team discussion.

The team had effective working relationships with other services outside the organisation. They engaged weekly with the homeless service, supported living service, mental health hospital, and the domestic violence team.

Staff had good relationships with the probation service and the integrated offender management team to ensure the care of those in the criminal justice system was co-ordinated effectively.



Client's key workers attended external multi-disciplinary meetings with social services and the hospital to provide support as needed. Managers engaged with the local area's strategy groups to ensure substance misuse was appropriately incorporated into decisions.

Good practice in applying the MCA

The organisation had a policy on the Mental Capacity Act which staff were aware of and could refer to. They also had a good relationship with the local mental health provider who they could contact for advice.

Staff supported clients to make decisions where appropriate and when they lacked capacity, decisions were made in their best interests, recognising the importance of the person's wishes and history.

Staff ensured clients consented to their care and treatment during their comprehensive assessment. Capacity was assessed and clearly recorded at each appointment.

Mental Capacity was a mandatory training unit. The service was 97% compliant in completion of the unit. Staff we spoke to demonstrated a good knowledge of the act and their responsibilities under it.

Are community-based substance misuse services caring?

Good



Staff treated clients with compassion and kindness. They understood their individual needs and supported them to understand and manage their care and treatment. We observed staff attitudes and behaviours when interacting with clients which showed discretion and empathy. Clients were very positive about their recovery worker and the support offered. They told us their workers were sometimes brutally honest with them in order to challenge and with their best interests in mind.

Staff completed information sharing agreements with clients in all the records we reviewed.

However, the service was aware, and we observed that rooms used by clients were not soundproof compromising a client's privacy. Some of the doors for the rooms had

small glass panels that passers-by could look into. This was not in the clinic rooms. Staff told us that they informed clients of this at the start of these appointments and requested they did not raise the volume of their conversations. We also observed that staff were regularly reminded of this in staff team meetings.

Involvement in care

Staff involved clients in care planning and risk assessment and actively sought their feedback on the quality of care provided. They ensured clients had easy access to additional support. Clients received a welcome booklet when they first entered treatment describing what to expect and what recovery could look like. This included information on treatment options. Clients told us they felt involved in their treatment and were given options relating to groups and prescribing choices. Clients were offered a copy of their recovery plans and were clear on the goals detailed.

Client's family members were able to attend their appointments where this was agreed and beneficial to the client's recovery capital. We spoke with three family members of clients. They told us they were able to ring the service for support if needed and had been signposted to the carers support organisation. They held an internal monthly meeting for family and friends to attend. The service invited family members to the client's welcome group if agreed.

Staff also used a local advocacy service to refer clients to if required.

Staff enabled clients to give feedback on the service they used. This was done either through their annual client survey, suggestion boxes or by using the organisation's webchat facility on their website. The service had listened to client feedback when deciding on redecoration of the premises.

Are community-based substance misuse services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

Good



Access and discharge



The service was easy to access. Clients either self-referred or were referred through external agencies such as the criminal courts and probation, youth services and social services. The service offered welcome meetings for where potential clients could find out what treatment involved and the expectations from the service and what the service expects from them. Prior to the meetings being offered, staff gathered essential information to ensure immediate risks were considered and urgent one to one appointments could be offered if needed. Welcome meetings were offered several times a week. Most clients were then seen within five days for their full comprehensive assessment.

Staff took a proactive approach when clients missed appointments or unexpectedly dropped out of treatment. They took actions agreed in client contingency plans and followed an engagement pathway. This included actions such as liaising with pharmacies and other agencies, using text services and letters, outreach, and if deemed safe, the holding of prescriptions with the aim of re-engagement.

Staff discussed planned prison releases and hospital discharges in their morning meeting to ensure the client did not experience any gaps in their treatment.

Staff planned for a client's discharge ensuring they had support mechanisms in place with other support services and informing the client that they could return if they relapsed.

The facilities promote recovery, comfort, dignity and confidentiality

The design and layout of the premises met the needs of the service. There were enough rooms to see clients for groups, one to one appointments and clinical reviews. Clients could access water from all rooms. However, the premises had recently been decorated with the walls remained bare; this gave the service a clinical feel rather than an atmosphere promoting recovery.

Staff delivered a range of groups for clients. These varied depending on the stage of a client's treatment and depending on the client's substance of misuse.

Clients' engagement with the wider community

Staff encouraged clients to develop links with the local community. The service had a community engagement worker who had an agenda slot in team meetings. They arranged recovery walks and took clients to a regional recovery games to participate in sporting activities. The

service linked clients up with an external organisation at Christmas to provide presents to the chidren of service users. Clients were also signposted to the area's mutual aid recovery hubs.

Meeting the needs of all people who use the service

The service met the needs of clients. They opened late two nights a week and one Saturday a month to allow those working or with other restrictions to attend outside normal hours. They worked in partnership with the police and the Crime Commissioner to focus on those with complex needs who where either at risk of becoming involved with the criminal justice service or already involved.

Staff attended satellite sites to ensure those living on the outskirts of the town could access treatment. They held regular clinics at the job centre, YMCA and mental health service to make accessing treatment easier for those individuals who may otherwise not attend the service.

They supported clients with a protected characteristic or with communication support needs. All rooms were at ground floor level with accessibility for those with limited mobility. Staff could use interpreters where necessary and leaflets in other languages could be obtained through the organisation.

The service had lead workers for veterans and those experiencing domestic abuse. They were involved in projects for the homeless and sex workers.

Listening to and learning from concerns and complaints

The service treated concerns and complaints seriously, investigated them and learned lessons from the results which were shared with the whole team.

During the reporting period 1 October 2018 to 30 September 2019, Addaction North Lincolnshire received four complaints. Of these, three were upheld; no complaints had been referred to the Ombudsman.

Clients told us they knew how to complain if needed and felt their complaints would be listened to. The service had a suggestion box and feedback forms in the reception area. Clients were informed how they could complain in their welcome meeting.

Staff generally tried to resolve complaints informally in the first instance. All formal complaints were investigated and reviewed from locality level to the executive Director of



Operations. They were thematically monitored by the Clinical Governance Directorate with minutes circulated to Board level. The organisation had recently incorporated a complaints module to their incident reporting system to make it easier for complaints to be reported and monitored. The system also captured compliments and informal complaints which were resolved at the time.

Staff received feedback through team meetings and supervisions and lessons learnt were shared with the whole team.

Are community-based substance misuse services well-led?

Good



Leadership

Leaders had the skills, knowledge and experience to perform their roles, had a good understanding of the service they managed, and were visible and approachable for clients and staff. The manager had enough administrative support and authority to carry out the role as needed.

The organisation's training department was developing a talent programme for staff which would lead to development opportunities.

Vision and strategy

Staff knew and understood the organisation's vision and values. Addaction had a set of guiding principles and core values which underpinned all elements of staff recruitment, induction, supervision, performance management and personal development. Their guiding principles were collaborative, ethical, resilient, self-Challenging and inspiring. The values were to be compassionate, determined and professional.

Culture

Staff felt respected, supported and valued. They told us, and we observed, a high level of positive staff morale with an upbeat and confident atmosphere. They felt proud to work for the provider and we saw different staff groups working well together.

The organisation promoted equality and diversity with a group of policies which were implemented into the service and mandatory training for staff.

Staff were supported in positive wellbeing with access to an employee assistance programme to support them and their families.

All staff felt supported by their peers and the managers and felt they could raise concerns if needed without fear of victimisation. They demonstrated dedication and passion in providing support to the client group.

Governance

Addaction had systems and processes in place to monitor and manage their objectives, drive improvements and meet the required standards. The governance structure for the organisation was incorporated into a national framework which aimed to ensure the organisation met regulations, best practice, continually improved and safeguarded those using their services. The structure was underpinned by an audit schedule supported by internal auditors, risk management and training with a programme of meetings from board to local service level.

Staff at Addaction North East Lincolnshire attended regular meetings to enable information from local level and from board level to be disseminated.

Managers from the service attended regional internal governance meetings and periodic meetings with their commissioners to monitor progress against their key performance indicators.

Management of risk, issues and performance

There was a clear quality assurance management and performance framework in place that was integrated across all organisational policies and procedures.

The service had a risk register which was kept up to date. The identified risks for Addaction North Lincolnshire included the agency costs and the costs of alcohol detoxification prescribing. Staff could raise risks or concerns in team meetings for consideration and the manager could escalate as needed.

The service had contingency plans for emergencies, such as adverse weather or temporary loss of access to the service building This ensured the service could continue to be provided to high risk clients.



Information management

Staff had access to the information and equipment required to complete their roles and to provide client care. They used electronic systems to maintain client records. Staff felt confident in using the systems and were able to demonstrate an awareness of information governance.

The organisation used a performance monitoring framework to monitor the health of the organisation and performance. This was used to benchmark against external data and included contracted key performance indicators, financial summaries, staff reports and internal and external audit results.

The service used a case management tool to assist staff to effectively manage their caseloads. The tool imported data from the electronic client reporting system. This allowed staff and their managers to monitor the workers overall compliance. The non-medical prescribers used a system to scrutinise prescribing regimes to address themes and review their practice if required.

The service made notifications to external bodies as needed and had developed good working relationships and arrangements with other services where appropriate to do so

Engagement

Managers at Addaction North Lincolnshire used the monthly staff meetings to engage and inform staff about the service. They aimed to keep clients informed and engaged in service developments through client appointments, the organisation's internet site and social media.

Staff had access to the Addaction's intranet system which enabled them to access key documents, policies and information.

Everyone had opportunities to give feedback about the service. This could be through staff meetings, supervisions, client groups or within key work sessions for clients.

Learning, continuous improvement and innovation

Staff could contribute ideas to drive improvements in the service. They told us that they could do this through their team meetings and supervisions.

The service submitted data to Public Health England. This meant that they received national information and data for comparisons and analysis which they could use for future planning and direction.

Outstanding practice and areas for improvement

Areas for improvement

Action the provider SHOULD take to improve

- The provider should ensure all clients have an up to date recovery plan with goals which are holistic, specific, measurable and timely and that holistic conversation are clearly reflected in case notes.
- The provider should take actions to ensure a client's privacy is not compromised when in appointments.