

Mrs Mary Samuel

# Sankey Street Dental Practice

## Inspection Report

61A Sankey Street  
Warrington  
WA1 1SL

Tel: 01925 631451

Website: [www.sankeystreetdentalpractice.co.uk](http://www.sankeystreetdentalpractice.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 15 December 2016

Date of publication: 30/01/2017

### Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 15 December 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

##### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services caring?**

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services responsive?**

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services well-led?**

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### **Background**

Sankey Street Dental Practice is located in the centre of Warrington. The practice is located on the two upper

floors of the premises accessed by a flight of stairs. The practice reception, a waiting room and two treatment rooms are located on the first floor and a further waiting room and a treatment room are located on the second floor. Parking is available in nearby car parks. The practice is accessible to patients with disabilities and limited mobility, but access is difficult for wheelchair users. The provider has been providing a dental service at these premises since 2014.

The practice provides general dental treatment to patients on an NHS or privately funded basis. The opening times are Monday to Thursday 8.50am to 5.30pm and Friday 8.50am to 4.30pm. The practice is staffed by a principal dentist, who is the provider, a practice manager, an associate dentist, a Foundation dentist, a dental hygienist, four dental nurses, three of whom are trainees, and a receptionist.

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission as an individual. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

We received feedback from 47 people during the inspection about the services provided. Patients commented that they found the practice well-run and efficient, and that staff were professional, friendly, and

# Summary of findings

caring. They said that they were always given good and helpful explanations about dental treatment, and that the dentists listened to them. Patients commented that the practice was clean and comfortable.

## **Our key findings were:**

- The practice had procedures in place to record and analyse significant events and incidents.
- Staff had received safeguarding training, and knew the processes to follow to raise concerns.
- There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified and skilled staff to meet the needs of patients.
- Staff had been trained to deal with medical emergencies. Most items of emergency medicines and equipment were available. The provider immediately ordered the missing items.
- The premises and equipment were clean, secure and well maintained.
- Staff followed current infection control guidelines for decontaminating and sterilising equipment.
- Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment were delivered, in accordance with current legislation, standards, and guidance.
- Patients received information about their care, proposed treatment, costs, benefits, and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.
- Staff were supported to deliver effective care, and opportunities for training and learning were readily available.
- Patients were treated with kindness, dignity, and respect, and their confidentiality was maintained.
- The appointment system met the needs of patients, and emergency appointments were available.
- Services were planned and delivered to meet the needs of patients, and reasonable adjustments were made to enable patients to receive their care and treatment.
- The practice gathered the views of patients and took their views into account.
- Staff were supervised, felt involved, and worked as a team.
- Governance arrangements were in place for the smooth running of the practice, and for the delivery of high quality person centred care.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the practice's recruitment policy and procedures to ensure references for new staff are requested and recorded suitably.
- Introduce a procedure to ensure all the recommended equipment to manage medical emergencies is available in the practice having due regard to guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council UK, and the General Dental Council standards for the dental team.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The provider had systems and processes in place to ensure care and treatment were carried out safely, for example, there were systems in place for infection prevention and control, dental radiography, and for investigating and learning from incidents and complaints.

We found the equipment used in the practice, including medical emergency and radiography equipment, was well maintained and tested at regular intervals.

The premises were secure and properly maintained. The practice was cleaned regularly and there was a cleaning schedule in place identifying tasks to be completed.

There was guidance for staff on the decontamination of dental instruments which they were following.

The practice was following current legislation and guidance in relation to X-rays, to protect patients and staff from unnecessary exposure to radiation.

The practice had most emergency medicines and equipment available, including an automated external defibrillator. The provider immediately ordered three items of equipment which were not available on the day of the inspection. Staff were trained in responding to medical emergencies.

Staff were appropriately recruited, suitably trained and skilled but the provider was not obtaining references prior to employing new staff. The provider assured us this would be implemented.

No action



### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice followed current guidelines when delivering dental care and treatment to patients.

Patients' medical history was recorded at their initial visit and updated at subsequent visits. Dentists carried out an assessment of the patient's dental health. Patients were given a written treatment plan which detailed the treatments considered and agreed, together with the fees involved. Patients' consent was obtained before treatment was provided; and treatment focused on the patients' individual needs.

Staff provided oral health advice to patients and monitored changes in their oral health. Patients were referred to other services, where necessary, in a timely manner.

Qualified staff were registered with their professional body, the General Dental Council, and were supported in meeting the requirements of their professional regulator. There was a strong focus on training and staff received on-going training to assist them in carrying out their roles

No action



# Summary of findings

## Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients commented that staff were caring and friendly. They told us they were treated with respect, and that they were happy with the care and treatment given.

Staff understood the importance of emotional support when delivering care to patients who were nervous of dental treatment. Patient feedback on CQC comment cards confirmed that staff were understanding and made them feel at ease.

The practice had separate rooms available if patients wished to speak in private.

We found that treatment was clearly explained, and patients were given time to decide before treatment was commenced. Patients commented that information given to them about options for treatment was helpful.

No action



## Are services responsive to people's needs?

### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients had access to appointments to suit their preferences, and emergency appointments were available on the same day. The practice opening hours and the 'out of hours' appointment information was provided at the entrance to the practice.

The practice captured social and lifestyle information on the medical history forms completed by patients which helped the dentists to identify patients' specific needs and direct treatment to ensure the best outcome was achieved for the patient.

The provider had taken into account the needs of different groups of people and put adjustments in place, for example, for people with disabilities and patients whose first language was not English. Staff were prompted to be aware of patients' specific needs or medical conditions via the use of a flagging system on the dental care records.

The practice had a complaints policy in place which was displayed in the waiting room, outlined in the practice leaflet, and on the practice website. Complaints were thoroughly investigated and responded to appropriately.

No action



## Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The provider had effective systems and processes in place for monitoring and improving services.

The practice was managed by the provider and practice manager, and some of the staff had lead roles. Staff reported that the provider and manager were approachable and helpful, and took account of their views.

The culture of the practice encouraged teamwork, openness and honesty. Staff told us they were encouraged to raise any issues or concerns.

No action



# Summary of findings

The provider had put in place a range of policies, procedures and protocols to guide staff in undertaking tasks and to ensure that the service was delivered safely. We saw that these were regularly reviewed.

The provider used a variety of means to monitor quality and safety at the practice and to ensure continuous improvement in the practice, for example, learning from complaints, audits, and patient feedback.

Staff were aware of the importance of confidentiality and understood their roles in this. Dental care records were complete, accurate, and securely stored. Patient information was handled confidentially.

The practice held regular staff meetings, and these gave everybody an opportunity to openly share information and discuss any concerns or issues.

# Sankey Street Dental Practice

## Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection took place on 15 December 2016 and was led by a CQC Inspector with remote access to a dental specialist adviser.

Prior to the inspection we asked the practice to send us some information which we reviewed. This included details of complaints they had received in the last 12 months, their latest statement of purpose, and staff details, including their qualifications and professional body registration number where appropriate. We also reviewed information we held about the practice.

We informed NHS England Cheshire and Merseyside area team that we were inspecting the practice. We did not receive any information of concern from them.

During the inspection we spoke to the dentists, the practice manager, dental nurses and the receptionist. We reviewed policies, protocols and other documents and observed procedures. We also reviewed CQC comment cards which we had sent prior to the inspection for patients to complete about the services provided at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The provider had procedures in place to report, record, analyse, and learn from significant events and incidents. Staff described examples of significant events which had occurred. We saw these had been reported and analysed in order to learn from them, and improvements had been put in place to prevent re-occurrence.

Staff had a good understanding of the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases, and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 and were aware of how and what to report. The provider had procedures in place to record and investigate accidents, and we saw examples of these in the accident book.

Staff understood their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. Duty of Candour means relevant people are told when a notifiable safety incident occurs, and in accordance with the statutory duty, are given an apology and informed of any actions taken as a result. The provider knew when and how to notify CQC of incidents which could cause harm.

The provider received safety alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency and Department of Health. These alerts identify problems or concerns relating to medicines or equipment, or detail protocols to follow, for example, in the event of an outbreak of pandemic influenza. The practice manager brought relevant alerts to the attention of the staff. The dentists were able to discuss examples of recent alerts with us. We saw that copies of alerts were retained and actions taken in response to them were recorded.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

We saw that the practice had systems, processes and practices in place to keep people safe from abuse.

The provider had a whistleblowing policy in place with an associated procedure to enable staff to raise issues and concerns.

The provider had a policy for safeguarding children and vulnerable adults. One of the staff had a lead role for safeguarding and provided advice and support to staff where required. Local safeguarding authority's contact

details for reporting concerns and suspected abuse to were displayed for staff to refer to. Staff were trained to the appropriate level in safeguarding, and were aware of how to identify abuse and follow up on concerns. We saw that the practice had follow-up arrangements in place should children and vulnerable adults fail to attend their dental appointments, and had developed good working relationships with schools and specialist secondary care services in the area. The clinicians were assisted at all times by a dental nurse.

We observed that the dental care and treatment of patients was planned and delivered in a way that ensured patients' safety and welfare. Patients completed a medical history form at their first visit and this was reviewed by the clinician at subsequent visits. The dental care records we looked at were well structured and contained sufficient detail to demonstrate what treatment had been prescribed and completed, and what was due to be carried out. The records were stored securely.

We saw that staff followed recognised guidance and current practice to keep patients safe, for example, we checked whether the dentist used dental dam routinely to protect the patient's airway during root canal treatment. A dental dam is a thin, rectangular sheet used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth. The dentists told us that a dental dam was routinely used in root canal treatments. This was documented in the dental records we reviewed.

### Medical emergencies

The provider had procedures in place for staff to follow in the event of a medical emergency. Staff had received training in medical emergencies and life support as a team and this was updated annually. The provider did not have arrangements in place for staff to practice together regularly as a team in simulated emergency situations but staff described to us how they would respond to a variety of medical emergencies. One of the staff was also trained in the provision of first aid.

The practice had emergency medicines and equipment available in accordance with the Resuscitation Council UK and British National Formulary guidelines; however three items were not available, namely, oropharyngeal airways, portable suction equipment and self-inflating bags. The provider ordered these immediately. Staff had access to an automated external defibrillator (AED) on the premises, in

# Are services safe?

accordance with Resuscitation Council UK guidance and the General Dental Council standards for the dental team. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm). We saw records to show that the medicines and equipment were checked regularly.

The practice stored emergency medicines and equipment centrally and staff were able to tell us where they were located.

## **Staff recruitment**

The provider used the skill mix of staff in a variety of clinical roles, for example, dentists, a dental hygienist and dental nurses, to deliver care in the best possible way for patients.

The practice had a recruitment policy and associated procedures in place which reflected the requirements of current legislation. The provider maintained recruitment records for each member of staff. We reviewed the record for the newest member of staff and saw all the required information was present, with the exception of references. We also reviewed a number of records for longer term staff and saw these contained, where relevant, evidence of the following: qualifications, registration with their professional body, the General Dental Council, indemnity insurance, and evidence that Disclosure and Barring checks had been carried out. The provider did not obtain references prior to recruiting staff but assured us this would be put in place.

Staff recruitment and employment records were stored securely to prevent unauthorised access. We saw that pre-employment checks were also carried out for locum staff.

The practice had a comprehensive induction programme in place for new staff to familiarise them with practice policies and procedures, for example health and safety and patient confidentiality requirements. The most recently recruited member of staff confirmed an induction had taken place and described what was included in it.

## **Monitoring health and safety and responding to risks**

The provider had systems in place to assess, monitor, and mitigate risks, with a view to keeping patients and staff safe.

The practice had an overarching health and safety policy in place, underpinned by several specific policies and risk

assessments. A range of other policies, procedures, protocols and risk assessments were in place to inform and guide staff in the performance of their duties, and to manage risks at the practice. Policies, procedures and risk assessments were regularly reviewed.

We reviewed the practice's control of substances hazardous to health risk assessment. Staff maintained records of products used at the practice, for example dental materials and cleaning products, and retained manufacturer's product safety details to inform staff what action to take in the event of, for example, spillage, accidental swallowing, or contact with the skin. Measures were identified to reduce risks associated with these products, for example, the use of personal protective equipment for staff and patients, the secure storage of chemicals, and the display of safety signs.

We saw that the provider had carried out a sharps risk assessment and implemented measures to mitigate the risks associated with the use of sharps, for example, a sharps policy was in place. The policy identified responsibility for the dismantling and disposal of sharps. The provider had implemented a safer sharps system for the control of used needles. Sharps bins were suitably located in the clinical areas to allow appropriate disposal.

The sharps policy also detailed procedures to follow in the event of an injury from a sharp instrument. These procedures were displayed in the treatment rooms for quick reference. Staff were familiar with the procedures and able to describe the action they would take should they sustain an injury.

The provider also ensured that clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was identified. We observed that no risk assessment was in place in relation to staff working in clinical areas prior to the effectiveness of the vaccination having been identified or where the vaccination hadn't been effective. The provider carried out this risk assessment immediately following the inspection and forwarded it to us. People who are likely to come into contact with blood products, and are at increased risk of injuries from sharp instruments, should receive the Hepatitis B vaccination to minimise the risks of acquiring blood borne infections.

We saw that a fire risk assessment had been carried out. The provider had arrangements in place to mitigate the



# Are services safe?

risks associated with fire, for example, one of the staff undertook a lead role for fire safety and all staff had clearly defined, specific responsibilities in the event of a fire. We saw that safety signage was displayed, fire-fighting equipment was available, and fire drills were carried out regularly. The evacuation procedure to be followed in the event of a fire was displayed and staff were familiar with it.

## Infection control

The practice had an overarching infection prevention and control policy in place, underpinned by policies and procedures which detailed decontamination and cleaning tasks. Procedures were displayed in appropriate areas such as the decontamination room and treatment rooms for staff to refer to.

One member of staff had a lead role for infection prevention and control and provided guidance to staff where required. We saw that training had been provided for this role.

Staff undertook infection prevention and control audits six monthly. Actions were identified in the audits, and we saw that these had been carried out.

We observed that there were adequate hand washing facilities available in the treatment rooms, the decontamination room, and in the toilet facilities. Hand washing protocols were displayed appropriately near hand washing sinks.

We observed the decontamination process and found it to be in accordance with the Department of Health's guidance, Health Technical Memorandum 01- 05 Decontamination in primary care dental practices, (HTM 01-05).

The practice had a dedicated decontamination room. We observed that the door was marked 'staff only' but current security arrangements would not prevent access to unauthorised persons, for example, the room was not permanently staffed, or lockable due to it being a designated fire exit. We recommended the provider review this. The provider submitted evidence immediately after the inspection to demonstrate this had been done.

The decontamination room and treatment rooms had clearly defined dirty and clean zones to reduce the risk of cross contamination. Staff used sealed containers to transfer used instruments from the treatment rooms to the decontamination room. Staff followed a process of

cleaning, inspecting, sterilising, packaging, and storing of instruments to minimise the risk of infection. Staff wore appropriate personal protective equipment during the decontamination process.

We observed that instruments were stored in drawers in the treatment rooms. We looked at the packaged instruments in these drawers and found that the packages were sealed and marked with an expiry date which was within the recommendations of the Department of Health.

Staff showed us the systems in place to ensure the decontamination process was tested, and decontamination equipment was checked, tested, and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and HTM 01-05. We saw records of these checks and tests.

Staff changing facilities were available and staff wore their uniforms inside the practice only.

The provider had had a Legionella risk assessment carried out in August 2016 to determine if there were any risks associated with the premises. (Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings). The provider had a schedule in place to ensure this was regularly reviewed. Actions to reduce the likelihood of Legionella developing were identified in the assessment and these had been carried out by staff, for example, we saw records of checks on water temperatures. Staff described to us the procedures for the cleaning and disinfecting of the dental water lines and suction equipment. This was in accordance with guidance to prevent the growth and spread of Legionella bacteria.

The treatment rooms had sufficient supplies of personal protective equipment for staff and patient use.

The practice had a cleaning policy in place, with an associated cleaning schedule identifying tasks to be completed and timescales for their completion. Cleaning of the non-clinical areas was the responsibility of cleaners and the dental nurses were responsible for cleaning the clinical areas. We observed that the practice was clean, and treatment rooms and the decontamination room were clean and uncluttered. The practice followed current HTM 01 05 guidance on cleaning but we observed that cleaning equipment was not stored appropriately.

The segregation and disposal of dental waste was in accordance with current guidelines laid down by the

# Are services safe?

Department of Health in the Health Technical Memorandum 07-01 Safe management of healthcare waste. The practice had arrangements for all types of dental waste to be removed from the premises by a contractor. Spillage kits were available for contaminated spillages. We observed that clinical waste awaiting collection was stored securely.

## Equipment and medicines

We saw that the provider had systems, processes and practices in place to protect people from the unsafe use of materials, medicines and equipment used in the practice.

Staff showed us the recording system for the prescribing, storage, and stock control of medicines. The provider operated a system for checking deliveries of blank NHS prescription pads. We saw that the practice was storing these prescription pads out of sight and in a staff only area but they were not stored securely in accordance with current guidance. The manager put in place locked storage for these immediately.

We saw contracts for the maintenance of equipment, and recent test certificates for the decontamination equipment, the air compressor and the X-ray machines. The practice carried out regular portable appliance testing, (PAT). PAT is the name of a process under which electrical appliances are routinely checked for safety.

We saw records to demonstrate that fire detection and fire-fighting equipment, for example, the fire alarm and extinguishers were regularly tested.

## Radiography (X-rays)

We saw that the provider was acting in compliance with the Ionising Radiations (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000, (IRMER), current guidelines from the Faculty of General Dental Practice of the Royal College of Surgeons of England and national radiological guidelines.

The practice maintained a radiation protection file which contained the required information.

The provider had appointed a Radiation Protection Advisor and a Radiation Protection Supervisor.

We did not see evidence that the Health and Safety Executive had been notified of the use of X-ray equipment on the premises; however the provider notified them immediately after the inspection.

We saw a critical examination pack for the X-ray machines. Routine testing and servicing of the X-ray machines had been carried out in accordance with the current recommended maximum interval of three years.

The practice used digital radiography which assists in reducing patient exposure to X-rays.

We observed that local rules were displayed in areas where X-rays were carried out. These included specific working instructions for staff using the X-ray equipment.

Records confirmed that X-rays were justified, graded and reported on. We saw evidence of regular auditing of the quality of the X-ray images.

We saw evidence of recent radiology training for relevant staff in accordance with IR(ME)R requirements.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The dentists carried out consultations, assessments, and treatment in line with current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines, Faculty of General Dental Practice, (FGDP), guidelines, the Department of Health publication 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention', and General Dental Council guidelines. The dentists described to us how examinations and assessments were carried out. Patients completed a medical history form with details of their health conditions, medicines being taken, and allergies, as well as details of their dental and social history. Patients were made aware of the condition of their oral health and whether it had changed since the last appointment. Following the examination the diagnosis was discussed with the patient and treatment options and costs explained. Follow-up appointments were scheduled to individual requirements.

We checked dental care records to confirm what was described to us and found the records were complete, clear, and contained sufficient detail about dental treatment provided. Details of medicines used in the dental treatments were recorded which would enable a specific batch of a medicine to be traced to the patient in the event of a safety recall or alert in relation to a medicine.

We saw patients' signed treatment plans containing details of treatment and associated costs.

We saw the dentists used current guidelines issued by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Dental checks: intervals between oral health reviews to assess each patient's risks and needs, and to determine how frequently to recall them.

### Health promotion and prevention

We saw the dentists adhered closely to guidance issued in the Department of Health publication 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention'. Tailored preventive dental advice, and information on diet, and lifestyle was given to patients in order to improve their health outcomes. Where appropriate, fluoride treatments were prescribed. Information in leaflet form was available in the waiting room in relation to improving oral health and lifestyles, for example, smoking cessation.

### Staffing

We observed staff had the skills, knowledge, and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

New staff and trainees undertook a programme of training and supervision before being allowed to carry out any duties at the practice unsupervised.

The provider carried out staff appraisals regularly. We noted the appraisals were a two way process. Staff confirmed appraisals were used to identify training needs.

All qualified dental professionals are required to be registered with the General Dental Council, (GDC), in order to practice dentistry. Registration requires dental professionals to be appropriately qualified and to meet the requirements relating to continuing professional development, (CPD). We saw that the qualified dental professionals were registered with the GDC.

We saw staff were supported to meet the requirements of their professional registration. The GDC highly recommends certain core subjects for CPD, such as medical emergencies and life support, safeguarding, infection prevention and control, and radiology. The provider used a variety of training methods to deliver training to staff, for example, lunch and learn sessions, external courses, and online learning. The practice had a training plan in place which outlined details of training for staff. This included the mandatory General Dental Council core topics and a variety of generic and role specific topics. Checks to ensure dental professionals were up to date with their CPD were carried out by the provider. We reviewed a number of staff records and found these contained a variety of CPD, including the core GDC subjects.

We noted that staff with lead roles were proactive about ensuring their knowledge in their subject area was continuously updated and improved, for example, the lead nurse for infection control attended the NHS quarterly area meetings and we saw examples of improvements to the practice's procedures were put in place following these meetings.

### Working with other services

We reviewed the practice's arrangements for referrals. The dentists were aware of their own competencies and knew when to refer patients requiring treatment outwith their competencies. Dentists referred patients to a variety of secondary care and specialist options as appropriate.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Information was shared appropriately when patients were referred to other health care providers. Urgent referrals were made in line with current guidelines. Referral outcome letters were first seen by the dentists to see if any action was required and then stored in the patient's dental care records.

We saw examples of internal referrals, for example, to the dental hygienist, and these followed recognised guidelines.

Referrals were made to secondary care services if the treatment required was not provided by the practice or in response to patient preference.

## **Consent to care and treatment**

The dentists described how they obtained valid, informed, consent from patients by explaining their findings to them and keeping records of the discussions. Patients were given a treatment plan after consultations and assessments, and prior to commencing dental treatment. The patient's dental care records were updated with the proposed treatment once this was finalised and agreed with the patient. The signed treatment plan and consent form were retained in the patients' dental care records. The plan and discussions with the dentists made it clear that a patient could withdraw consent at any time, and that they had received an explanation of the type of treatment, including the alternative options, risks, benefits, and costs.

The dentists described to us how they obtained verbal consent at each subsequent treatment appointment. We saw this confirmed this in the dental care records we looked at.

NHS treatment costs were displayed in the waiting room.

The dentists explained that they would not normally provide treatment to patients on their examination appointment unless they were in pain, or their presenting condition dictated otherwise. We saw the dentists allowed patients time to think about the treatment options presented to them.

The dentists told us they would generally only see children under 16 who were accompanied by a parent or guardian to ensure consent was obtained before treatment was undertaken. The dentists demonstrated a good understanding of Gillick competency. (Gillick competency is a term used in medical law to decide whether a child of 16 years or under is able to consent to their own treatment).

The Mental Capacity Act 2005, (MCA), provides a legal framework for acting and making decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make decisions for themselves. The dentists had a good understanding of the principles and application of the MCA.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy**

Feedback given by patients on CQC comment cards demonstrated that patients felt they were always treated with kindness and respect, and staff were friendly, caring, and helpful. The practice had a separate room available should patients wish to speak in private. Treatment rooms were situated away from the main waiting area, and we saw that the doors were closed at all times when patients were with the dentists. Staff understood the importance of emotional support when delivering care to patients who were nervous of dental treatment. Several patients confirmed in CQC comment cards that staff put them at ease.

We observed staff to be friendly and respectful towards patients during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

The dentists discussed treatment options with patients and allowed time for patients to decide before treatment was commenced. We saw this documented in the dental care records. Patients commented in CQC comment cards that they were listened to and any concerns they had were addressed.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We saw evidence that services were planned and delivered to meet the needs of people.

The practice was well maintained and provided a comfortable environment. The provider had refurbished the practice to a high standard.

We saw that the dentists tailored appointment lengths to patients' individual needs and patients could choose from morning and afternoon appointments.

The practice captured social and lifestyle information on the medical history forms completed by patients. This enabled the dentists to identify any specific needs and direct treatment to ensure the best outcome was achieved for the patient. Staff were prompted to be aware of patients' specific needs or medical conditions via the use of a flagging system on the dental care records which helped them treat patients individually.

We saw that the provider gathered the views of patients when planning and delivering the service via regular patient surveys, for example, the provider had consulted patients in relation to the practice opening times.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The provider had not carried out a Disability Discrimination Act audit, but had taken into account the needs of different groups of people, for example, people with disabilities and people whose first language was not English.

The practice was accessible to people with disabilities and limited mobility but access for wheelchair users was difficult, mainly due to the practice being accessed by a flight of stairs. Staff provided information on nearby practices which were accessible to wheelchair users. Parking was available in car parks near the premises.

Hand rails were available alongside the stairs to assist patients with mobility difficulties.

Toilet facilities were situated on the first floor.

The practice offered interpretation services to patients whose first language was not English and to patients with hearing difficulties.

The practice made provision for patients to arrange appointments by telephone or in person, and patients could choose to receive appointment reminders by a variety of methods. Where patients failed to attend their dental appointments, staff contacted them to re-arrange the appointment and to establish if the practice could assist by providing adjustments to enable patients to receive their treatment.

### Access to the service

We saw that patients could access treatment and care in a timely way. The practice opening hours, and the 'out of hours' appointment information, were displayed at the entrance to the practice. Emergency appointments were available daily.

### Concerns and complaints

The practice had a complaints policy and procedure which was displayed in the waiting room and outlined in the practice leaflet and website. Details as to further steps people could take should they be dis-satisfied with the practice's response to their complaint were not included; however these could be requested from reception. The provider amended the complaints procedure immediately after the inspection to include these details. We saw that complaints were promptly and thoroughly investigated and responded to. Staff told us they raised any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure responses were made in a timely manner.



# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### Governance arrangements

We reviewed the provider's systems and processes for monitoring and improving the services provided for patients and found these were operating effectively.

The provider had implemented a range of policies and procedures to guide staff in the performance of their duties.

The provider had arrangements in place to ensure risks were identified and managed and had put measures in place to mitigate risks. We saw that risk assessments and policies were regularly reviewed to ensure they were up to date with regulations and guidance.

The provider used a variety of means to monitor quality and performance and improve the service, for example, via the analysis of patient feedback, carrying out a wide range of audits, beyond the mandatory audits for infection control and X-rays, and the analysis of complaints. We saw that these arrangements were working well.

Dental professionals' continuing professional development was monitored by the provider to ensure they were meeting the requirements of their professional registration. Staff were supported to meet these requirements by the provision of training.

Staff were aware of the importance of confidentiality and understood their roles in this. Dental care records were complete and accurate. They were maintained on paper and electronically. Paper records were stored securely in locked filing cabinets. Electronic records were password protected and data was backed up daily.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

We saw systems in place to support communication about the quality and safety of the service for patients and for staff.

We saw that the provider had displayed the results from the NHS Friends and Family Test and from the practice's patient survey in the waiting area and on the practice's website.

The practice held staff meetings every month. We saw recorded minutes of the meetings, and noted that items discussed included clinical and non-clinical issues. The meetings were also used to deliver training updates.

We noted that a number of other meetings were arranged to share information, for example, the practice had a compliance club used to share information between those with specific responsibilities in compliance, and the practice had established a 'water safety group'.

The practice was managed by the provider and a practice manager. Responsibilities were shared between staff, for example, there were lead roles for infection prevention and control, and safeguarding. Staff told us they had access to suitable supervision and support in order to undertake their roles, and there was clarity in relation to roles and responsibilities. We saw that strong emphasis was placed on equipping staff with appropriate skills for their lead roles. Staff were aware of their own competencies, skills, and abilities.

The provider operated an open door policy. Staff said they could speak to the manager or provider if they had any concerns, and that both were approachable and helpful. Staff confirmed their colleagues were supportive and there was a strong emphasis on teamwork.

### Learning and improvement

The provider used quality assurance measures, for example, auditing, to encourage continuous improvement in all aspects of service delivery. We saw that the audit process was functioning well. Audits we reviewed included patient information and involvement, cleaning, X-rays, infection prevention and control, and waiting times. Where appropriate, audits had clearly identified actions, and we saw that these had been carried out and re-auditing used to measure improvement.

The provider gathered information on the quality of care from a range of sources, including patient feedback and surveys and the NHS Friends and Family Test, and used this to evaluate and improve the service. Staff told us that patients were always able to provide verbal feedback, and this was captured and analysed by the practice.

Staff confirmed that learning from complaints, incidents, audits, and feedback was discussed at staff meetings to share learning in order to inform and improve future practice.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

We saw that people who used the service and staff were engaged and involved. The provider had a system in place

## Are services well-led?

to seek the views of patients about all areas of service delivery, and carried out regular patient surveys, and looked at the results to identify areas for improvement. A suggestion box for patient comments was also available in the waiting room.

The provider made the NHS Friends and Family Test forms and the practice's own survey forms available in the waiting room for patients to indicate how likely they were to recommend the practice.

We saw that the provider acted on patient feedback, for example, patients had requested handrails on the stairs and these had been provided in response.

Staff told us they felt valued and involved. They were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on. Staff said they were encouraged to challenge any aspect of practice which caused concern.