

# Mr Timothy Thackrah

# Elmsleigh House Dental Clinic

## **Inspection Report**

6 Station Hill Farnham Surrey GU9 8AA Tel: 01252 713797

Website: www.elmsleighhousedental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 21 December 2016 Date of publication: 25/04/2017

### Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 21 December 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### **Background**

Elmsleigh House Dental Clinic is located in the town of Farnham. The practice is accessed via steps from the main road or a wheelchair accessible and level entrance at the rear of the practice. This large practice provides private dental treatment including oral surgery, implants periodontics, orthodontics and endodontic treatment to both adults and children. The practice also offers sedation services (Sedation/Conscious sedation is a process in which a combination of medicines is used to help a patient to relax (a sedative) and to block pain (an anaesthetic) during a medical or dental procedure. The patient remains awake during the whole procedure).

The premises are on the ground and first floor. The practice consists of six treatment rooms, an X-ray room, a laboratory, a reception area, a waiting area, and two decontamination rooms. The practice is open on Monday to Friday from 8am to 6pm.

The staff consists of the principal dentist, a managing director/practice manager, seven associate dentists, an orthodontist, a clinical dental technician, three dental hygienists, seven dental nurses, two receptionists, an administrator, a marketing executive and a cleaner.

## Summary of findings

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as an individual 'registered person'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

We reviewed 14 CQC comment cards and spoke to three patients on the day of the inspection. Patients were extremely positive about the service. They were complimentary about the excellent service provided by friendly and caring staff. Patients commented that the care they received was exceptional.

The inspection took place over one day and was carried out by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor.

#### Our key findings were:

- We found the dentists regularly assessed each patient's gum health and took X-rays at appropriate intervals.
- Patients were involved in their care and treatment planning so they could make informed decisions.
- There were effective processes in place to reduce and minimise the risk and spread of infection.
- The practice had effective safeguarding processes in place and staff understood their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and child protection.
- Equipment, such as the autoclave (steriliser), fire extinguishers, and X-ray equipment had all been checked for effectiveness and had been regularly serviced.

- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- The practice had implemented clear procedures for managing comments, concerns or complaints.
- Patients indicated that they found the team to be efficient, professional, caring and reassuring.
- Patients had good access to appointments, including emergency appointments, which were available on the same day.
- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned in line with current guidance such as from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).
- The practice had developed and copyrighted a Patient Dental Health Scorecard which was used as a tool to measure outcomes and assess a patient's own view of their dental health.
- Leadership structures were clear and there were processes in place for dissemination of information and feedback to staff.
- The practice provided the option of sedation to patients and carried this out in line with guidelines from the Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD).
- The practice had a written sedation and discharge protocol which was followed by staff.
- There were appropriate equipment and access to emergency drugs to enable the practice to respond to medical emergencies. Staff knew where equipment was stored.

## Summary of findings

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members. There were policies and procedures in place for the management of infection control, clinical waste segregation and disposal, management of medical emergencies and dental radiography.

We found the equipment used in the practice was maintained and in line with current guidelines. Dental instruments were decontaminated suitably. Medicines and equipment were available in the event of an emergency and stored safely. X-rays were taken in accordance with relevant regulations. The practice had a whistleblowing policy and staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged honesty.

Patients were offered the option of sedation during their treatment and the practice had arrangements for this to be carried out safely.

#### Are services effective? No action

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice provided evidence-based care in accordance with relevant, published guidance, for example, from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Department of Health (DH) and the General Dental Council (GDC).

The practice monitored patients' oral health and gave appropriate health promotion advice. Staff had completed continuing professional development to maintain their registration in line with requirements of the General Dental Council. Staff explained treatment options to patients to ensure they could make informed decisions about any treatment. The practice followed up on the outcomes of specialist referrals made within the practice. We saw examples of effective collaborative team working.

Arrangements for providing sedation for patients who chose this met recognised guidelines from the Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD).

The practice had developed and copyrighted a Patient Dental Health Scorecard which was used as a tool to measure outcomes and assess a patient's view of their own dental health.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action

# Summary of findings

We reviewed 14 CQC comment cards. Patients were very positive about the care they received from the practice. Patients commented they felt fully involved in making decisions about their treatment, they were listened to, were made comfortable and reassured. Patients told us they were treated in a professional manner, staff were very helpful and the care they received was excellent.

We noted that patients were treated with respect and dignity during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone. We observed that patient confidentiality was maintained.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There were systems in place for patients to make a complaint about the service if required. The practice completed annual patient satisfaction surveys and maintained a patient comments/ compliments log. This log was reviewed and patients' comments acted on where necessary. Patients had access to information about the service.

The practice provided friendly and personalised dental care. Patients had good access to appointments, including emergency appointments, which were available on the same day. In the event of a dental emergency outside of normal opening hours the dentists' worked on a rota system. The contact details of the dentist on call was available on the practice answerphone when the practice was closed.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged candour. Staff said that they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the practice manager and principal dentist. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did so. Staff commented that the management were open to feedback regarding the quality of the care. Leadership structures were clear and there were processes in place for dissemination of information and feedback to staff.

The practice had suitable clinical governance and risk management structures in place. Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt part of a team. Opportunities existed for staff for their professional development. Staff we spoke with were confident in their work and felt well-supported.

No action



No action  $\checkmark$ 





# Elmsleigh House Dental Clinic

**Detailed findings** 

## Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

We carried out an announced, comprehensive inspection on 21 December 2016. The inspection was carried out by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor. Prior to the inspection we reviewed information submitted by the provider.

During our inspection visit, we reviewed policy documents and staff records.

We spoke with six members of staff, which included the managing director/practice manager, a dentist, a dental

nurse, a dental hygienist and two receptionists. We conducted a tour of the practice and looked at the storage arrangements for emergency medicines and equipment. We reviewed the practice's decontamination procedures of dental instruments and also observed staff interacting with patients in the waiting area.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

## Are services safe?

## **Our findings**

#### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had an incidents and accident reporting procedure. The policy described the process for managing and investigating incidents. All staff we spoke with were aware of reporting procedures including recording them in the accident book. There were no reported incidents within the last 12 months.

Staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. [Duty of candour is a requirement under The Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014 on a registered person who must act in an open and transparent way with relevant persons in relation to care and treatment provided to service users in carrying on a regulated activity].

The practice had a procedure in place for Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). All staff we spoke with understood the requirements of RIDDOR. The practice had carried out a risk assessment around the safe use, handling and Control of Substances Hazardous to Health, 2002 Regulations (COSHH). The practice had a COSHH policy and folder which had been updated within the last 12 months.

The practice shared with us an example of a patient reacting to a product used to treat periodontal issues and had reported this information to the British National Formulary in an appropriate manner.

# Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had a comprehensive set of policies and procedures in place for safeguarding adults and child protection which was updated in November 2016. The policy contained details of the local authority safeguarding teams, whom to contact in the event of any concerns and the team's contact details. The policy also contained guidance from the Department of Health. A dentist was the safeguarding lead. All members of staff we spoke with were able to give us examples of the type of incidents and concerns that would be reported and outlined the protocol that would be followed in the practice. There were no reported safeguarding incidents in the last 12 months;

however, the practice maintained a system of discussing potential concerns at practice meetings to rehearse the procedures that staff would follow in the event of a safeguarding issue coming to light.

We saw evidence that all staff had completed child protection and safeguarding adults training to an appropriate level.

The practice had a health and safety policy and had undertaken a range of risk assessments in April 2016. Policies and protocols were implemented with a view to keeping staff and patients safe. For example, we saw records of risk assessment for fire, sharp injuries, eye injuries, manual handling, electrical faults and slips, trips and falls.

Staff told us that a rubber dam was routinely used for root canal treatment in line with guidelines issued by the British Endodontic Society (A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reasons should be recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured).

#### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had suitable emergency resuscitation equipment in accordance with guidance issued by the Resuscitation Council UK. Oxygen and manual breathing aids were available in line with the guidance. The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED). (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

All emergency drugs and equipment were within the expiry date ensuring they were fit for use. We saw records which showed that regular checks had been carried out to the emergency medicines and equipment to ensure they were not past their expiry and in working order in the event of needing to use them.

All staff were aware of where medical equipment was kept and knew how to respond if a person suddenly became unwell. Staff told us they were confident in managing a medical emergency.

## Are services safe?

We saw evidence that all staff completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support. Necessary staff were trained to an advanced level in basic life support which is a requirement of staff involved with sedation practices.

The practice also had a whistle in each treatment room to alert other members of staff immediately should this be required.

#### Staff recruitment

The practice had a recruitment policy. We reviewed the recruitment records for staff members. The records contained all of the evidence required to satisfy the requirements of relevant legislation including immunisation and evidence of professional registration with the General Dental Council (where required). There were records which showed that identity checks and eligibility to work in the United Kingdom, where required, were carried out for all members of staff. The practice had completed risk assessments for all members of staff and carried out Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks for all necessary members of staff. [The Disclosure and Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable].

#### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

There were arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies and the practice had a fire safety policy in place. The practice had undertaken a fire risk assessment in August 2016. Fire safety signs were clearly displayed, and staff were aware of how to respond in the event of a fire. We saw records of a fire evacuation plan. Due to the large staff team the practice carried out emergency fire drills on a three monthly basis in order that all members of staff could take part in a drill.

The practice provided treatment under sedation. The practice had reviewed the 2015 guidelines published by The Intercollegiate Advisory Committee on Sedation in Dentistry in the document 'Standards for Conscious Sedation in the Provision of Dental Care 2015'. For example, we saw records which showed staff had undertaken training in conscious sedation, appropriate patient assessments were carried out and there was adequate monitoring of patients' vital parameters during the procedure.

The practice had a procedure for the safe handling of medicines used in sedation. This included recording the medicines received, batch numbers, when the medicines were used and how they were stored.

We were told the dental hygienists normally worked without chairside support but support was available when requested.

The practice had undertaken a risk assessment of the business and there was a business continuity plan in place. The business continuity plan detailed the practice procedures for unexpected incidents and emergencies including a faulty equipment, electricity or failure of the computer system. It included the name and contact details for another dental practice where patients could be referred for treatment if necessary.

Staff told us that the practice received the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) alerts and alerts from other agencies. The practice manager told us alerts were received and reviewed and disseminated to the staff, where appropriate. The practice had a safety alerts folder and we saw example of alerts for defective products and medicines.

#### **Infection control**

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. There was an infection control policy, which was updated in December 2016, and included minimising the risk of blood-borne virus transmission and the possibility of sharps injuries, decontamination of dental instruments and hand hygiene. The practice had followed the guidance on decontamination and infection control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 - Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'. This document and the practice policy and procedures on infection prevention and control were accessible to staff.

We examined the facilities for cleaning and decontaminating dental instruments. The practice had two dedicated decontamination rooms. The lead nurse for infection control showed us how instruments were decontaminated. They wore appropriate personal protective equipment including heavy duty gloves while instruments were decontaminated. Instruments were cleaned prior to being placed in an autoclave (sterilising machine).

## Are services safe?

We saw instruments were placed in pouches after sterilisation. We found daily and weekly tests were performed to check that the steriliser was working efficiently and a log was kept of the results. We saw evidence that the parameters (temperature and pressure) were regularly checked to ensure equipment was working efficiently in between service checks.

We observed how waste items were disposed of and stored. The practice had an on-going contract with a clinical waste contractor. We saw the differing types of waste were appropriately segregated and stored at the practice. This included clinical waste and safe disposal of sharps. Staff confirmed to us their knowledge and understanding of single use items and how they should be used and disposed of which was in line with guidance.

The treatment rooms where patients were examined and treated and equipment appeared visibly clean. Hand washing posters were displayed next to each dedicated hand wash sink to ensure effective decontamination of hands. Patients were given a protective bib and safety glasses to wear when they were receiving treatment. There were good supplies of protective equipment for patients and staff members.

The practice had undertaken a Legionella risk assessment in April 2016 and we saw evidence that all documented actions had been completed. (Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings).

#### **Equipment and medicines**

The practice had a structured maintenance schedule to ensure that all equipment on the premises was serviced as per the manufacturer's guidelines. There were service contracts in place for the maintenance of equipment such as the autoclaves and pressure vessel which were serviced in August 2016. The practice had portable appliances and

had carried out portable appliance tests (PAT) annually. The next test was booked for January 2017. The fire extinguishers and the oxygen had been checked in in August 2016.

The practice had a policy on prescribing which detailed how medicines should be prescribed, dispensed and stored. The policy had been updated within the last 12 months. We were shown that medicines were stored securely. We saw records which showed that when medicines were dispensed the appropriate information had been recorded. This included the batch number, expiry date and quantity of medicines.

The practice also had a blood glucose monitoring kit and a vital signs unit which measured patients' blood pressure and pulse.

#### Radiography (X-rays)

We checked the provider's radiation protection records as X-rays were taken and developed at the practice. We also looked at X-ray equipment and talked with staff about its use. We found there were arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment including the local rules. The radiation protection file contained the maintenance history of X-ray equipment along with the critical examination and acceptance test reports. We saw records which showed that the X-ray equipment was serviced in March 2016.

We found procedures and equipment had been assessed by an independent expert within the recommended timescales. The practice had a radiation protection adviser and had appointed a radiation protection supervisor.

We saw training records that showed that staff had completed the necessary radiography training to maintain their knowledge under IRMER 2000 and IRR 1999 regulations. A radiography audit had been carried out within the last year. This demonstrated that staff were justifying, reporting on and quality assuring their X-rays as well as documenting the outcome for the patient.

## Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## **Our findings**

#### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

Patients' needs were assessed and care and treatment was delivered in line with current guidance. This included following the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP). We saw records which showed the dentist gave preventive advice in line with current guidance. The dentist told us they regularly assessed each patient's gum health and took X-rays at appropriate intervals.

During the course of our inspection we checked dental care records to confirm our findings. We saw evidence of assessments to establish individual patient needs. The assessments included completing a medical history, outlining medical conditions and allergies and a social history. An assessment of the periodontal tissue was taken and recorded using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) tool. [The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums]. The dentists also recorded when oral health advice was given.

The practice carried out intra-venous and inhalation sedation for patients who were very nervous of dental treatment. We found that the provider had put into place effective governance systems to underpin the provision of conscious sedation. The systems and processes we observed were in accordance with current guidance.

The governance systems supporting sedation included pre and post sedation treatment checks, emergency equipment requirements, medicines management, sedation equipment checks, personnel present, patient's checks including consent, monitoring of the patient during treatment, discharge and post-operative instructions and staff training.

We found that patients were appropriately assessed for sedation. For example, important checks were made prior to sedation this included a detailed medical history, blood pressure and an assessment of health using the American Society of Anaesthesiologists classification system in accordance with current guidelines. The dentist carrying out intravenous sedation recorded at regular intervals: pulse, blood pressure, breathing rates and the oxygen saturation of the blood. This was carried out using specialised equipment including a pulse oximeter which

measures the patient's heart rate and oxygen saturation of the blood. The dentist carrying out sedation was supported by two appropriately trained nurses on each occasion. All of these criteria were recorded in the dental treatment record. The measures in place ensured that patients were being treated safely and in line with current standards of clinical practise.

The practice had developed and copyrighted a Patient Dental Health Scorecard which was used as a tool to measure outcomes and assess a patient's view of their own dental health. The tool was repeated throughout the treatment plan and at the end of the treatment.

#### **Health promotion & prevention**

The practice worked very hard to encourage the prevention of dental disease and the maintenance of good oral health. The practice appointed dental hygienists to work alongside the dentist to facilitate this. The practice was committed to adopting the protocols of the Department of Health guidelines on prevention known as 'Delivering Better Oral Health'. We saw evidence in patients' dental care records that where relevant, preventative dental information such as general oral hygiene instructions and brushing technique advice was given as well as advice on smoking cessation and alcohol consumption.

#### **Staffing**

There was a comprehensive induction and training programme for staff to follow which ensured they were skilled and competent in delivering safe and effective care and support to patients. All new staff were required to complete the induction programme which included training on health and safety, infection control, medical emergencies, COSHH and confidentiality. Additionally, the practice encouraged staff to spend time shadowing other members and subsequently being observed, particularly for complex treatments such as implants.

We reviewed the training records for all members of staff. We noted that opportunities existed for staff to pursue continuing professional development (CPD). There was evidence to show that all staff members were up to date with CPD and registration requirements issued by the General Dental Council (GDC). Staff had completed training in areas such as complaints handling, consent, information governance, record keeping and legal and ethical issues.

## Are services effective?

## (for example, treatment is effective)

Staff were offered opportunities to develop their skills and undertake training that would give them extended duties. For example, all of the dental nurses had completed the Prevention in Practice course and were qualified to carry out fluoride application as well as impression taking. We saw records which showed that one of the dental nurses had gained additional qualification in radiography. Additionally, dental nurses rotated around the practice working with different dentists in order to increase their capabilities and skill set.

The practice had a policy and procedure for staff appraisals and personal development plans to identify training and development needs. Staff showed us the practice training policy which used appraisals to identify staff's individual training needs. We saw records which showed staff appraisals were completed regularly and objectives of personal development plans reviewed.

As the practice provided sedation for patients who wished to have this we discussed this in detail with one of the dentists. They showed a clear understanding of the importance of assessment and pre-sedation checks and had completed post graduate training. The dental nurses had completed training in this aspect of treatment.

#### **Working with other services**

The practice had a referral policy and appropriate arrangements were in place for working with other health professionals to ensure quality of care for their patients. The practice provided specialist services in orthodontics, oral surgery, implants, endodontics, periodontics and restorative treatments. The dentists referred patients to other practices or specialists if the treatment required was not provided by the practice.

Staff told us where a referral was necessary, the care and treatment required was explained to the patient and they were given a choice of other dentists who were experienced in undertaking the type of treatment required.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice ensured valid consent was obtained for care and treatment. Staff showed us the practice consent policy which detailed the procedures to follow in order to gain

valid consent. Staff confirmed individual treatment options, risks and benefits and costs were discussed with each patient who then received a detailed treatment plan and estimate of costs.

Patients would be given time to consider the information given before making a decision. The practice asked patients to sign treatment plans and a copy was kept in the patient's dental care records. We checked dental care records which showed treatment plans signed by the patient.

Dental implants were provided at the practice. Staff showed us the information given to patients prior to implant treatment. The practice website had information on dental implants. The practice had a consent form for implant treatment and copies were retained in the patient's dental care records. The practice also set itself a key performance indicator for failed implants as it was keen that any failures be analysed and learnt from.

The dental care records we checked showed that options, risks and benefits of the treatment were discussed with patients. We saw that the dentists recorded consent was obtained prior to treatment.

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves. The practice had a policy on the MCA and most staff had received formal training. All staff we spoke with demonstrated an understanding of the principles of the MCA and how this applied in considering whether or not patients had the capacity to consent to dental treatment. This included assessing a patient's capacity to consent and when making decisions in a patient's best interests.

Decisions to use sedation were made in consultation with patients and included in their written treatment plans. Specific consent forms were available and being used for patients choosing to have sedation for their treatment. Patients told us that their dentist discussed and explained the sedation process to them in detail and that staff looked after them very well throughout and after their treatment.

## Are services caring?

# **Our findings**

#### Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

We saw records which showed that the practice sought patients' views and patient satisfaction surveys were completed annually. We reviewed 14 CQC comment cards completed by patients in the two weeks prior to our inspection. Patients were extremely complimentary of the care, treatment and professionalism of the staff and gave a positive view of the service. Patients commented that the team were courteous, friendly and kind. Patients commented that they were listened to and treated with dignity and respect. During the inspection we observed staff in the reception area. They were polite, courteous, welcoming and friendly towards patients.

The practice had a policy on confidentiality which detailed how a patient's information would be used and stored. Staff explained how they ensured information about patients using the service was kept confidential. Patients' dental care records were computerised as well as paper based. The computers were password protected, stored securely and regularly backed up. Staff told us patients were able to have confidential discussions about their care and treatment in the treatment room.

Staff told us that consultations were in private and that staff never interrupted consultations unnecessarily. We observed that this happened with treatment room doors being closed so that the conversations could not be overheard whilst patients were being treated. The environment of the surgeries was conducive to maintaining privacy.

Comment cards completed by patients reflected that the dentists and staff had been very mindful of the patients' anxieties when providing care and treatment. Patients indicated the practice team had been very respectful and responsive to their anxiety which meant they were no longer afraid of attending for dental care and treatment.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The dentist told us they used a number of different methods including tooth models, display charts, pictures, leaflets and X-rays to demonstrate what different treatment options involved so that patients fully understood. A treatment plan was developed following discussion of the options, risk and benefits of the proposed treatment.

Staff told us the dentist took time to explain care and treatment to individual patients clearly and were always happy to answer any questions. Patients told us that treatment was discussed with them in a way that they could understand.

## Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

# **Our findings**

#### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We viewed the appointment book and saw that there was enough time scheduled to assess and undertake patients' care and treatment. Staff told us they did not feel under pressure to complete procedures and always had enough time available to prepare for each patient.

There were effective systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment. These included checks for laboratory work such as crowns and dentures which ensured delays in treatment were avoided. This was facilitated having a laboratory onsite.

#### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had an equality and diversity policy. Staff told us they treated everybody equally and welcomed patients from different backgrounds, cultures and religions.

The practice had undertaken a disability risk assessment and recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its service. The practice was accessible to wheelchair users and patients with limited mobility. Treatment rooms were situated on the ground floor and the practice had a wheelchair accessible toilet. Following patient feedback the practice had moved the wheelchair accessible toilet door to aid ease of movement in entering/exiting the toilet.

#### Access to the service

We asked staff how patients were able to access care in an emergency. They told us that if patients called the practice in an emergency they were seen on the same day. Emergency appointments were available in the morning and afternoon for patients who required urgent treatment.

In the event of a dental emergency outside of normal opening hours details of the dentist on call would be given to patients. These contact details were given on the practice answer machine message when the practice was closed.

Patients had access to information about the service in the form a welcome pack for new patients and the practice had a range of patient information leaflets. Feedback received from patients indicated that they were happy with the access arrangements. Patients said that it was easy to make an appointment.

#### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a code of practice for patient complaints which described how formal and informal complaints were handled. Information about how to make a complaint was displayed in the waiting area including the contact details of other agencies to contact if a patient was not satisfied with the outcome of the practice investigation into their complaint.

We reviewed the five complaints that the practice received in the last 12 months. They were acted on promptly and managed suitably. We saw evidence that all complaints were viewed as learning opportunities and discussed as a team to show where changes to the service in order to improve the quality of the service may be needed.

# Are services well-led?

## **Our findings**

#### **Governance arrangements**

The practice had good governance arrangements with a clear and effective management structure. There were relevant policies and procedures in place. These were frequently reviewed and updated. Staff were aware of the policies and procedures and acted in line with them.

We saw records which showed that the practice had reviewed the General Dental Council's Standards for the Dental Team and documented how the practice achieved each of these standards.

The practice had implemented suitable arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks through the use of scheduled risk assessments and audits. The practice had undertaken a risk assessment following the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013.

Due to the size of the practice various meetings were held, for example daily nurses meetings, reception meetings, board meetings as well as staff meetings. These were organised to discuss key governance issues and staff training sessions. We saw records of regular staff meetings in the last 12 months documenting discussions of medical emergencies, health and safety, infection control, safeguarding and complaints.

The practice manager had responsibility for the day to day running of the practice and was fully supported by the practice team. There were clear lines of responsibility and accountability; staff knew who to report to if they had any issues or concerns.

Dental care records we checked were complete, legible and accurate and stored securely. The practice had computerised and paper based dental care records. All computers were password protected and records were stored appropriately.

#### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were very proud to work in the service and spoke respectfully about the leadership and support they received from the practice manager, principal dentist as well as other colleagues. Staff we spoke with were confident in approaching the practice manager if they had concerns and displayed appreciation for the leadership.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy and staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Duty of Candour. The staff we spoke with described an open and transparent culture which encouraged honesty. It was evident that the practice strived to continually improve and we found staff to be hard working, caring, a cohesive team and were supported in carrying out their roles.

#### **Learning and improvement**

The practice had a comprehensive rolling programme of audits. Staff showed us audits in infection control, patient access and radiography which had all been completed within the last 12 months. A record keeping audit had been undertaken in April 2016. We saw records which showed that the audits had documented learning points, were analysed and the resulting improvements could be demonstrated.

The practice maintained a training matrix for all mandatory and non-mandatory training so it could be assured that staff were maintaining their CPD in line with the requirements of their registration.

The practice was keen for learning to be shared amongst all staff. Any staff member who had completed training in addition to their CPD were asked to share this at staff meetings. The practice also organised a 'study club' to support local dentists and ran a prosthetics and business course.

# Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had a procedure for monitoring the quality of the service provided to patients. There were systems in place for patients to make a complaint about the service if required. The practice completed annual patient satisfaction surveys. We saw evidence that actions arising from the surveys were completed. For example, patients had reported to the practice that it was difficult to get out of the wheelchair accessible toilet. The toilet door was therefore changed, resolving this problem. The practice also maintained a patient comments/compliments log. This log was reviewed, patients' comments acted on where necessary and we saw that this information was shared with staff at practice meetings.

The practice completed a staff satisfaction survey on an annual basis. We saw that staff satisfaction was high, however, actions were taken as a result of this survey to

## Are services well-led?

further improve satisfaction. Staff also commented that the practice manager and principal dentist were open to feedback regarding the quality of the care. The appraisal system and staff meetings also provided appropriate forums for staff to give their feedback.

The practice maintained a monthly newsletter. This contained updates about the practice and information on the results and actions taken from patient satisfaction surveys.