

# **Bowery Medical Centre**

### **Inspection report**

Elephant Lane St Helens Merseyside WA9 5PR Tel: 01744 816837 www.ssphealth.com

Date of inspection visit: 12 October 2018 Date of publication: 02/11/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

## Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall. The practice was previously inspected on 22 September 2015 and rated good

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? – Good

Are services responsive? -Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at the Bowery Medical Centre on 12 October 2018 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The provider's central team of support staff at their head office carried out most of the administration work, which freed up staff at the practice to concentrate on providing clinical services.
- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect. The practice worked towards reducing social isolation by having regular charity events, such as coffee mornings. Staff also participated in charitable events.

- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they could access care when they needed
- Information about services and how to complain was available. The practice sought patient views about improvements that could be made to the service, including having a well- established patient participation group (PPG) and acted on feedback.
- Staff worked well together as a team and all felt supported to carry out their roles.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the practice.
- The practice complied with the Duty of Candour.

We saw areas of outstanding practice:

- The practice proactively managed patients who might require additional support by having staff who were responsible for ensuring patients' ongoing needs were met. For example, there was a Bereavement Champion, a Patient Liaison Officer; and a Cancer Care Champion who telephoned all newly diagnosed cancer patients to ensure they were receiving appointments and the relevant support required. In addition, the practice had an 'important patient register', which was regularly reviewed to ensure patients who were more vulnerable for a variety of reasons (for example military veterans and those at increased risk of self-harm), were given prompt access to a GP should the need arise.
- Lead clinicians wrote reports on medicines safety alerts which included a synopsis about the advice to give to patients; details of the numbers of patients affected by the alert and how the practice had responded, and whether a re-audit was necessary. These reports were discussed and available to all clinicians.

### Population group ratings

Older people	Good
People with long-term conditions	Good
Families, children and young people	Good
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good

### Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser and a practice manager specialist adviser.

### Background to Bowery Medical Centre

Bowery Medical Centre is a family surgery situated in a deprived area of Merseyside. There were 3,905 patients on the practice list at the time of our inspection and most patients were of white British background.

The practice is one of 20 practices managed by SSP Health GPMS Ltd. There are four part-time permanent GPs. There is an advanced nurse clinician, a practice nurse and an assistant nurse practitioner. Members of clinical staff are supported by SSP Health GPMS Ltd.'s head office team for administrative support, along with the practice manager, reception and administration staff from the practice.

The practice is open 8am to 6.30pm every weekday. Patients requiring a GP outside of normal working hours are advised to contact the GP out of hours service provided by St Helen's Rota.

Bowery Medical Centre is registered with the Care Quality Commission to carry out the following regulated activities:

Diagnostic and screening procedures,

Surgical procedures

Treatment of disease, disorder or injury.

The practice has a personal medical services contract (PMS) contract and had enhanced services contracts for example, childhood vaccinations.



### Are services safe?

## We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Learning from safeguarding incidents was shared with staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had undergone a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

### **Risks to patients**

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness and busy periods.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and had taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.
- The practice monitored patients on high risk medications.

### Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.

#### Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and acted to improve safety.



# Are services safe?

- All new cancer diagnoses were discussed at meetings with other healthcare professionals, to ensure lessons were learnt if any delays in diagnosis were identified.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



### Are services effective?

# We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services overall.

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- The practice used Telehealth (a system whereby patients could submit their own monitoring results from home) to help to improve treatment and to support patients' independence.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

#### Older people:

- All patients over 75 were offered a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.

### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins for secondary prevention. People

- with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice's performance on quality indicators (2016-2017) for long term conditions was in line with local and national averages.

### Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were in line with the target percentage of 90%.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.
- There was a named clinical lead in relation to Asthma in young children.
- The practice proactively recalled and gave extended appointments to demonstrate inhaler technique to patients.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 72% (Public Health England), which was below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme but above local and national averages. The practice monitored its performance and sent reminders or followed up opportunistically.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable. There were monthly meetings at the practice attended by other health care professionals to help support patients.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability.



### Are services effective?

 The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for review linked to the administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
   When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.
- The practices performance on quality indicators for mental health (2016-2017) was in line with local and national averages.

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives. The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.

- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- There was an induction programme for new staff. The practice provided staff with ongoing support. This included one to one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring and clinical supervision.

### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care.
   This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity. The practice had an in-house weight management service and smoking cessation service.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.



# Are services effective?

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



# Are services caring?

### We rated the practice as good for caring.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated them.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to kindness, respect and compassion.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

• Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.

- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to involvement in decisions about care and treatment.

### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues, or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

# We rated the practice, and all the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Telephone GP consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- There was a named member of staff who was the 'Cancer Care Champion' who telephoned all newly diagnosed cancer patients to ensure they were receiving the support required.

### Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- The practice had taken part in a pilot scheme with the local fall/frailty team so that all patients over 75 were reviewed at home, and any referrals to other services were completed from this review to save the patient attending the practice.
- The practice held regular charity events such as coffee mornings to bring patients together to help reduce social isolation.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment which could be extended up to an hour to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Patients on blood thinning medicines had their blood (INR) monitoring performed in house allowing the results to be dealt with in a timely manner and reducing the need for the patient to go to hospital.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 12 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- There was a dedicated important patient register for patients with childhood asthma so that this could be regularly reviewed and updated, allowing patients to be closely monitored.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, online booking of appointments, late evening or Saturday morning flu vaccination clinics.
- The practice also offered online video consultation appointments funded by SSP Health.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a review process for all new cancer patients. Patients were contacted within the first two to three weeks of diagnosis by the practice's cancer care



## Are services responsive to people's needs?

champion to ensure the patient had all follow up appointments, that they had been referred to the MacMillan services and other services and that they were given priority for GP consultations if required.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

• Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia and a member of staff had been designated as a 'Dementia Champion'.

### Timely access to care and treatment

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.
- The practice used a text to cancel and text reminder service to reduce the amount of failed to attend appointments.

- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to access to care and treatment.
- The practice made use of inhouse 24-hour blood pressure monitoring, telemedicine and ECGs to reduce the need for referrals.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

Patient information leaflets about how to make a complaint or raise concerns were available.

- The complaint policy was in line with recognised guidance.
- Formal written complaints were discussed at regular staff meetings.
- The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



### Are services well-led?

# We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

### Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills.

### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

#### **Culture**

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance that was consistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff had undergone an appraisal in the last year.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control.
- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action taken to change practice and improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.

#### **Appropriate and accurate information**

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.



### Are services well-led?

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

# Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

 A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and the culture. There was an active patient participation group.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.