

Dr. Ian Raymond Massie

Regent Street Dental Practice

Inspection Report

4 Regent Street
Pocklington
York
North Yorkshire
YO42 2QL
Tel: 01759 304519

Website: www.regentstreetdental.com

Date of inspection visit: 18 August 2016 Date of publication: 21/09/2016

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 18 August 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Regent Street Dental Practice provides general dental treatment in Pocklington near York, North Yorkshire. The practice offers private treatment options for patients and has private dental plans available.

The practice has six surgeries, one on the ground floor and five on the first floor, a sterilisation room, a decontamination room, two waiting areas, a reception area and patient toilets. There are staff facilities on the first floor of the premises.

There are eight dentists, a specialist orthodontist, three dental hygienists, a dental hygiene therapist, 19 dental nurses (three of which are trainees, one is the practice manager and one also works as a receptionist) and two receptionists.

The opening hours are:

Monday, Wednesday, Thursday & Friday 08:30 – 17:30

Tuesday 08:30 - 19:30

Saturday 08:30 – 12:30 (by appointment only)

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as an individual registered person. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Summary of findings

During the inspection we received feedback from 14 patients. The patients were positive about the care and treatment they received at the practice and they told us they were involved in all aspects of their care and found the staff to be very attentive, considerate, caring and they provide a high standard of care and were always treated with dignity and respect. Comments also included that patients were unaware they could access a ground floor surgery if they had difficulty using the stairs and also were not aware of how to access emergency out of hours care.

Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and hygienic.
- Staff had received safeguarding training, knew how to recognise signs of abuse and how to report it. They had very good systems in place to work closely and share information with the local safeguarding team.
- There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff to meet the needs of patients.
- Staff had been trained to manage medical emergencies.
- Patient care and treatment was planned and delivered in line with evidence based guidelines, best practice and current regulations.
- Patients received clear explanations about their proposed treatment, costs, benefits and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.

- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- There was a complaints system in place. Staff recorded complaints and cascaded learning to staff.
- The governance systems were effective.
- The practice sought feedback from staff and patients about the services. There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the practice's recruitment policy and procedures are suitable and the recruitment arrangements are in line with Schedule 3 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities)
 Regulations 2014 to ensure necessary employment checks are in place for all staff and the required specified information in respect of persons employed by the practice is held.
- Review the practice's protocols for domiciliary visits; taking into account the 2009 guidelines published by British Society for Disability and Oral Health in the document "Guidelines for the Delivery of a Domiciliary Oral Healthcare Service".

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There was evidence of new staff being appropriately recruited and suitably trained and skilled to meet patients' needs. Historically three members of staff did not hold a DBS check and over half the staff recruitment files did not hold any evidence to show their immunity status.

There were sufficient numbers of staff available at all times. Staff induction processes were in place and had been completed by all new staff.

The practice had effective systems and processes in place to ensure all care and treatment was carried out safely. For example, there were systems in place for infection prevention and control, clinical waste control, dental radiography and management of medical emergencies. All emergency medicines were in date and in accordance with the British National Formulary (BNF) and Resuscitation Council UK guidelines.

Staff told us they felt confident about reporting incidents, accidents and Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR).

Staff had received training in safeguarding patients and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and who to report them to including external agencies such as the local authority safeguarding team.

The decontamination procedures were effective and the equipment involved in the decontamination process was regularly serviced, validated and checked to ensure it was safe to use.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients' dental care records provided comprehensive information about their current dental needs and past treatment. The practice monitored any changes to the patient's oral health and made in house referrals for specialist orthodontic treatment or investigations where indicated.

The practice followed best practice guidelines when delivering dental care. These included Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), guidance from the British Orthodontic Society (BOS) and guidance from the British Society of Periodontology (BSP).

Staff were encouraged and supported to complete training relevant to their roles and this was monitored by the practice manager. The clinical staff were up to date with their continuing professional development (CPD).

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action



No action



Summary of findings

Comments from the 14 patients we spoke with were very positive about the care and treatment they received at the practice. Comments included that the staff were friendly, caring and professional and they were treated with dignity and respect.

We observed patients being treated with respect and dignity during interactions at the reception desk, over the telephone and as they were escorted through the practice. Privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients using the service on the day of the inspection. We also observed staff to be welcoming and caring towards the patients.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients could access routine treatment and urgent care when required. The practice offered daily access for patients experiencing dental pain which enabled them to receive treatment quickly.

The practice was had a ground floor surgery accessible to all patients and reasonable adjustments had been made to the practice where possible. The practice had a mobile ramp that could be placed at the front of the building for wheelchair users and pushchairs.

The practice had a complaints process which was accessible to patients who wished to make a complaint. The practice manager recorded complaints and cascaded learning to staff. The practice also had patients' advice leaflets and practice information leaflets available on reception.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There was a clearly defined management structure in place and all staff felt supported and appreciated in their own particular roles. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the practice.

The practice held quarterly staff meetings, nurses meetings, reception meeting and dentists meeting which were minuted and gave everybody an opportunity to openly share information and discuss any concerns or issues which had not already been addressed during their daily interactions

The practice undertook various audits to monitor their performance and help improve the services offered. The audits included infection prevention and control and X-rays. The X-ray audit findings were in line with the guidelines of the National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB).

The practice conducted patient satisfaction surveys through an external organisation that randomly contact patients for details feedback and produce a report to the practice with comments.

No action



No action





Regent Street Dental Practice

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection was carried out on 18 August 2016 and was led by a CQC Inspector and a specialist advisor.

The methods that were used to collect information at the inspection included interviewing staff, observations and reviewing documents.

During the inspection we spoke with the four dentists including the principal dentist, six dental nurses, the practice manager and two receptionists. We also spoke with 14 patients.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures in place to investigate, respond to and learn from significant events. Staff were aware of the reporting procedures in place and encouraged to raise safety issues to the attention of colleagues and the practice manager.

Staff had an understanding of the process for accident and incident reporting including their responsibilities under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). Staff told us any accident or incidents would be discussed at practice meetings or whenever they arose. We saw the practice had an accident book and we were told four accidents had occurred in the last 12 months. We saw evidence that the events had been processed in accordance with the practice policy. The practice also had a process for recording significant events when they occurred; we were told of one occurrence and this had not been recorded. This was raised with the practice manager and they said they would address this as soon as possible.

The practice manager told us they received alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). (The MHRA is the UK's regulator of medicines, medical devices and blood components for transfusion, responsible for ensuring their safety, quality and effectiveness). All alerts were shared throughout the practice and actioned accordingly.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

We reviewed the practice's safeguarding policy and procedures in place for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children using the service. They included the contact details for the local authority safeguarding team, social services and other relevant agencies. There were allocated leads for safeguarding and staff told us they would work as a team to resolve any concerns. The lead role includes providing support and advice to staff and overseeing the safeguarding procedures within the practice.

Staff demonstrated their awareness of the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect. They were also aware of the procedures they needed to follow to address safeguarding concerns.

The dentists told us they routinely used a rubber dam when providing root canal treatment to patients in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society. (A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reasons should be recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured).

The practice had a whistleblowing policy which all staff were aware of. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns with the practice manager, the principal dentist or external agencies without fear of recriminations.

Medical emergencies

The practice had procedures in place for staff to follow in the event of a medical emergency and all staff had received training in basic life support including the use of an Automated External Defibrillator (AED). An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm.

The practice kept medicines and equipment for use in a medical emergency. These were in line with the 'Resuscitation Council UK' and British National Formulary guidelines. All staff knew where these items were kept.

We saw the practice kept logs which indicated that the emergency equipment, emergency medical oxygen cylinder, emergency drugs and AED were checked monthly and this should be weekly. This helps to ensure the equipment was fit for use and the medication was within the manufacturer's expiry dates. We checked the emergency medicines and found they were of the recommended type and were all in date.

Staff recruitment

The practice did not have a recruitment policy in place but a process had been followed when employing new staff. This included obtaining proof of their identity, checking their skills and qualifications, registration with relevant professional bodies and seeking references. We reviewed the newest member of staff's recruitment file which confirmed the processes had been followed. All personal information was stored securely in the office.

Are services safe?

We saw all staff had been checked by the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS). The DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. Three members of staff did not have checks relevant to the practice; the practice manager told us they would apply for new checks immediately.

We recorded all relevant staff had personal indemnity insurance (insurance professionals are required to have in place to cover their working practice). In addition, there was employer's liability insurance which covered employees working at the practice.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had undertaken a number of risk assessments to cover the health and safety concerns that arise in providing dental services generally and those that were particular to the practice. The practice had a Health and Safety policy which included guidance on fire safety, manual handling and dealing with clinical waste and the practice had an external annual health and safety risk assessment completed to ensure they were following new guidelines.

The practice had maintained a Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) folder. COSHH was implemented to protect workers against ill health and injury caused by exposure to hazardous substances - from mild eye irritation through to chronic lung disease. COSHH requires employers to eliminate or reduce exposure to known hazardous substances in a practical way. If any new materials were introduced a new risk assessment was put in place.

We noted there had been a fire risk assessment completed for the premises although this was not available on the day of the inspection, the practice manager organised a new assessment to be completed and evidence was shown to the inspector on the day of the inspection to support this. We saw as part of the checks by the team the smoke alarms were tested and the fire extinguishers were regularly serviced. There was evidence that a fire drill had been undertaken with staff and discussion about the process reviewed at practice meetings.

Infection control

There was an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. These included hand hygiene, safe handling of instruments, managing waste products and decontamination guidance. The practice followed the guidance about decontamination and infection prevention and control issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 -Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05)'.

In line with current guidance the decontamination room had three sinks for decontamination of used dental instruments and a separate sink for washing hands. The sterilisation room also had a separate hand washing sink.

All clinical staff were aware of the work flow in the decontamination room from the 'dirty' to the 'clean' zones. The procedure for cleaning, disinfecting and sterilising the instruments was clearly displayed on the wall to guide staff. We observed staff wearing appropriate personal protective equipment when working in the decontamination area this included heavy duty gloves, aprons and protective eye wear.

We found that used dental instruments were being cleaned and sterilised in line with published guidance (HTM01-05). The dental nurses were knowledgeable about the decontamination process and demonstrated they followed the correct procedures. For example, instruments were placed in an ultrasonic bath, examined under illuminated magnification and sterilised in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). Sterilised instruments were correctly packaged, sealed, stored and dated with an expiry date. For safety, instruments were transported between the surgeries and the decontamination area in lockable boxes.

We saw records which showed the equipment used for cleaning and sterilising had been maintained and serviced in line with the manufacturer's instructions. Appropriate records were kept of the decontamination cycles of the autoclaves to ensure they were functioning properly.

We saw from staff records they had received infection prevention and control training at different intervals over the last year covering a range of topics including hand washing techniques.

Are services safe?

There were adequate supplies of soap and hand sanitiser in the decontamination area and surgeries, paper towels and a poster describing proper hand washing techniques was displayed above all the hand washing sinks. Paper hand towels and liquid soap was also available in the toilet.

We saw all sharps bins were being used correctly and located appropriately in all surgeries. Clinical waste was stored securely.

The staff records we reviewed with the practice manager did not provide any evidence to support over half of the relevant staff had ever received inoculations against Hepatitis B. It is recommended that people who are likely to come into contact with blood products or are at increased risk of needle-stick injuries should receive these vaccinations to minimise risks of acquiring blood borne infections. Members of staff new to healthcare had received the required checks as stated in the Green book, chapter 12, Immunisation for healthcare and laboratory staff (The Green Book is a document published by the government that has the latest information on vaccines and vaccination procedures, for vaccine preventable infectious diseases in the UK). This was brought to the attention of the practice manager and all staff were asked to contact occupational health to provide evidence they had completed the required immunisation checks.

The practice had a Legionella risk assessment completed in July 2013. Hot and cold water temperature checks were in place and dental unit water line management. Staff had received Legionella training to raise their awareness. Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings.

Equipment and medicines

The practice had maintenance contracts for essential equipment such as X-ray sets, the autoclaves and the compressors.

Portable appliance testing (PAT) had been completed in February 2016 (PAT confirms that portable electrical appliances are routinely checked for safety).

Local anaesthetics were stored appropriately and a log of batch numbers and expiry dates was in place. The practice dispensed antibiotics and painkillers for patients where indicated. These were stored securely in a locked room.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had a radiation protection file and a record of all X-ray equipment including service and maintenance history. A Radiation Protection Advisor (RPA) and a Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS) had been appointed to ensure the equipment was operated safely and by qualified staff only.

We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. Local rules were available in all surgeries and within the radiation protection folder for staff to reference if needed. We saw that a justification, a grade and a report was documented in the dental care records for all X-rays which had been taken.

Intra-oral X-ray audits were carried out by the practice annually. The audit and the results were in line with the National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB) guidance.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept up to date detailed electronic dental care records. They contained information about the patient's current dental needs and past treatment. The dentists carried out assessments in line with recognised guidance from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), and guidance from the British Society of Periodontology (BSP). This was repeated at each examination if required in order to monitor any changes in the patient's oral health.

The dentists used NICE guidance to determine a suitable recall interval for the patients. This takes into account the likelihood of the patient experiencing dental disease. The practice also recorded the medical history information within the patients' dental care records for future reference. In addition, the dentists told us they discussed patients' lifestyle and behaviour such as smoking and alcohol consumption and where appropriate offered them health promotion advice, this was recorded in the patients' dental care records.

We saw that patient dental care records had been audited to ensure they complied with the guidance provided by the Faculty of General Dental Practice. The last audit was undertaken in March 2016 whereby action plans were not in place; this would help address any issues that arise and sets out learning outcomes more easily. The practice manager told us they would action this immediately.

It was evident the skill mix within the practice was conducive to improving the overall outcome for patients.

The practice provided domiciliary care for patients who were unable to access the dental services. The staff were aware of the process and risk assessments required although we found no policies or protocols in place to support this. There was no risk assessments in place to ensure staff and patient safety and no provision of medical emergency drugs and equipment to be taken on visits. This was brought to the attention of the principal dentist and the practice manager to review.

Health promotion & prevention

The practice had a strong focus on preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the 'Delivering Better Oral Health' toolkit (DBOH). DBOH is

an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting. For example, fluoride varnish was applied to the teeth of all children who attended for an examination and high fluoride toothpastes were prescribed for patients at high risk of dental decay. Staff told us that the dentists would always provide oral hygiene advice to patients where appropriate or refer to the hygienist for a more detailed treatment plan and advice.

The practice also provided care and information to children including prevention advice through play and provided sessions to local schools. The practice also provided preventative advice sessions at a local prison. Two dental nurses were trained to provide oral health advice and throughout the year they made a display for the waiting room to raise awareness for patients. The staff told us the last display included a sugar board and found discussions took place in the waiting room between patients as they were unaware how much sugar was in some food and drinks.

The practice had a selection of dental products on sale in the reception area to assist patients with their oral health.

The medical history form patients completed included questions about smoking and alcohol consumption. We were told by the dentists and saw in the dental care records that smoking cessation advice was given to patients who smoked. Patients would also be made aware if their alcohol consumption was above the national recommended limit.

Staffing

New staff to the practice had a period of induction to familiarise themselves with the way the practice ran. The induction process included ensuring the new member of staff was aware of the practice's policies, the location of emergency medicines and arrangements for fire evacuation procedures. They also spent time within the decontamination and sterilisation area.

Staff told us they had good access to on-going training to support their skill level and they were encouraged to maintain a variety of continuous professional development (CPD) required for registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). Records showed professional registration

9 Regent Street Dental Practice Inspection Report 21/09/2016

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

with the GDC was up to date for all staff and we saw evidence of on-going CPD. The practice held sessions twice a year where they closed the practice for the day and tailored a programme of courses to meet staff's needs.

Staff told us they had annual appraisals and training requirements were discussed at these. We saw evidence of completed appraisal documents and training plans for the year for each staff member. Staff also felt they could approach the practice manager at any time to discuss continuing training and development as the need arose.

Working with other services

The practice worked with other professionals in the care of their patients where this was in the best interest of the patient and in line with NICE guidelines where appropriate. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations or specialist treatment including oral surgery.

The practice completed detailed proformas or referral letters to ensure the specialist service had all the relevant information required. A copy of the referral letter was kept in the patient's dental care records. Letters received back relating to the referral were first seen by the referring dentist to see if any action was required and then stored in the patient's dental care records.

The practice had a process for urgent referrals for suspected malignancies and had very good working relationships with local hospitals.

Consent to care and treatment

Patients were given appropriate verbal and written information to support them to make decisions about the treatment they received. Staff were knowledgeable about how to ensure patients had sufficient information and the mental capacity to give informed consent. Staff described to us how valid consent was obtained for all care and treatment and the role family members and carers might have in supporting the patient to understand and make decisions. We reviewed dental care record with the dentists and found consent was not always recorded, this was brought to the attention of the practice manager to address as part of the audit process they undertake in future.

Staff had a good understanding of the principles of the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005 and how it was relevant to ensuring patients had the capacity to consent to their dental treatment. Staff had completed training on the MCA.

Patients undergoing treatment were provided with an individualised treatment plan. This would outline the other options available and also the risks and benefits of each option. Costs were clearly stated on this treatment plan. Patients told us that they were made very aware of what the cost was prior to undertaking any treatment and time was given for patients to review all the information provided.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Feedback from patients was very positive and they commented they were treated with care, respect and dignity. We observed staff were always interacting with patients in a respectful, appropriate and kind manner and to be friendly and respectful towards patients during interactions at the reception desk and over the telephone.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients who used the service on the day of inspection.

Dental care records were handled securely and not left visible to the public while kept on the reception desk. Patients' electronic care records were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage. Any paper records were securely stored in a locked cabinet.

A selection of magazines and a television was available in the waiting area for patients.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices. Patients commented they felt involved in their treatment and it was fully explained to them. Staff described to us how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way patients understood.

Staff told us how the dentists would provide treatment options including benefits and possible risks of each option.

Patients were also informed of the range of treatments available in information leaflets in the waiting room.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us patients who requested an urgent appointment would be seen the same day. We saw evidence in the appointment book there were dedicated emergency slots available each day. If the emergency slots had already been taken for the day then the patient was invited to sit and wait for an appointment if they wished. If the practice was closed the practice answer machine directed patients to the out of hour's services. Some patient we spoke with were unaware how to access out of hours care and this was feed back to the practice manager.

The patients we spoke with commented they had sufficient time during their appointment and they were not rushed.

We observed the clinics ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting. Patients we spoke with felt the dentists took their time to discuss their treatment needs in depth and explained the treatment options in a way they understood even if this meant they ran late.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

Reasonable adjustments had been made to the premises to accommodate all patients. Wheelchair users had access through the front door where a mobile ramp was available to the practice. One of the surgeries was located on the ground floor and was large enough to accommodate a wheelchair or pushchair; this was available for all clinicians to use on a Thursday and Friday. The registered provider had another practice nearby that was fully accessible with parking at the practice for patients who required easier access.

The practice had an equality and diversity policy and all staff had undertaken training to have an understanding of how to meet the needs of patients. The practice also had access to telephone translation services for those whose first language was not English, information leaflets could be translated or enlarged if required.

Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and on their website.

The opening hours were:

Monday, Wednesday, Thursday & Friday 08:30 – 17:30

Tuesday 08:30 - 19:30

Saturday 08:30 – 12:30 (by appointment only).

Where treatment was urgent staff told us patients would be seen the same day so no patient was turned away. The patients told us when they had required an emergency appointment this had been organised the same day.

The practice had a system in place for patients requiring urgent dental care when the practice was closed. Patients were signposted to the out of hour's service on the telephone answering machine and details were available on the practice website.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy which provided staff with clear guidance about how to handle a complaint. There were details of how patients could make a complaint displayed in the waiting rooms and on the practice website.

The practice manager was responsible for responding to complaints when they arose. Staff told us they would raise any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure responses were made in a timely manner and these would be shared with the registered manager. Staff told us they aimed to resolve complaints in-house initially.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients. We found there was an effective system in place which helped ensure a timely response. This included acknowledging the complaint within two working days and providing a formal response within 10 working days. If the practice was unable to provide a response within 10 working days then the patient would be made aware of this. We were told the practice had received no complaints in the last 12 months.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. There was a range of policies and procedures in use at the practice with exception to the domiciliary policy. We saw they had systems in place to monitor the quality of the service and to make improvements.

The practice had a system in place for identifying where quality or safety was being affected and addressing any issues. Health and safety and risk management policies were in place and we saw a risk management process to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments relating to the use of equipment and waste management.

The practice had various policies and procedures for monitoring and improving the services provided for patients. For example there was a health and safety policy and an infection prevention and control policy. Staff were aware of their roles and responsibilities within the practice.

There was an effective management structure in place to ensure the responsibilities of staff were clear. Staff told us they felt supported and were clear about their roles and responsibilities.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they were encouraged and confident to raise any issues at any time. These were discussed openly at staff meetings and it was evident the practice worked as a team and dealt with any issue in a professional manner.

The practice held quarterly meetings involving all staff members. If there was more urgent information to discuss with staff then an informal meeting would be organised to discuss the matter.

All staff were aware of with whom to raise issues and told us the practice manager was approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. We were told there was a no blame culture at the practice.

Learning and improvement

Quality assurance processes were used at the practice to encourage continuous improvement. The practice audited areas of their practice as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. This included clinical audits such as dental care records, X-rays and infection prevention and control.

Staff told us they had access to training which helped ensure mandatory training was completed each year; this included medical emergencies and basic life support. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuous professional development as required by the General Dental Council.

All staff had annual appraisals at which learning needs, general wellbeing and aspirations were discussed. We saw evidence of completed appraisal forms in the staff folders.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had systems in place to involve, seek and act upon feedback from people using the service including carrying out continuous patient satisfaction. The most recent satisfaction survey included questions about the patients' overall satisfaction, the cleanliness of the premises, accessibility and length of time waiting. The most recent patient survey showed a high level of satisfaction with the quality of the service provided.