

Dr. Ramin Kardel-Tabar

# Sidcup Hill Dental Practice

## Inspection Report

**Sidcup Hill Dental Practice**  
**10A Sidcup Hill**  
**Sidcup**  
**DA14 6HH**

Tel: (020) 8300 2689

Website: <http://www.sidcuphilldentalcare.co.uk/>

Date of inspection visit: 29/09/2015

Date of publication: 03/12/2015

### Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 29 September 2015 to ask the practice the following key questions: Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

##### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services caring?**

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services responsive?**

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services well-led?**

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### **Background**

Sidcup Hill Dental Practice is located in the London Borough of Bexley and provides NHS and private dental services. The demographics of the practice is mixture of working professionals, families and older people.

The staff structure of the practice comprised of a principal dentist (who is also the owner), two dentists, a receptionist, two dental nurses and a practice manager. The practice's opening hours are .9.00am to 6.00pm five days a week.

Facilities within the practice include treatment rooms, a dedicated decontamination room, and a reception area.

The principal dentist is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as an individual. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Before the inspection we sent Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We received 39 completed cards . These provided a mostly positive view of the services the practice provides. All of the patients commented that the quality of care was good.

# Summary of findings

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 29 September 2015 as part of our planned inspection of all dental practices. The inspection took place over one day and was carried out by a lead inspector and a specialist adviser.

## **Our key findings were:**

- There were effective processes in place to reduce and minimise the risk and spread of infection.
- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned in line with best practice guidance such as from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).
- Patients were involved in their care and treatment planning.
- There was appropriate equipment for staff to undertake their duties and equipment was well maintained.
- Patients told us that staff were caring and treated them with dignity and respect.
- There were processes in place for patients to give their comments and feedback about the service including making complaints and compliments.
- Governance arrangements were in place and there was a clear vision for the smooth running of the practice.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review recruitment procedures to ensure accurate, complete and detailed records including appropriate records of references are maintained for all staff.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There were systems in place to help ensure the safety of staff and patients. These included policies for safeguarding children and vulnerable adults from abuse, maintaining the required standards of infection prevention and control and maintenance of equipment used at the practice. The practice assessed risks to patients and managed these well. We found that staff were trained and there was appropriate equipment to respond to medical emergencies. In the event of an incident or accident occurring, the practice had a system in place to document, investigate and learn from it. The practice followed procedures for the safe recruitment of staff which included carrying out criminal record checks and obtaining two references. However, improvements could be made in the process of recording references.

### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice followed guidance, such as that issued by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) for example, in regards to prescribing antibiotics and dental recall intervals. Patients were given appropriate information to support them to make decisions about the treatment they received. The practice kept detailed dental care records of treatments carried out and monitored any changes in the patient's medical and oral health. Records showed patients were given health promotion advice appropriate to their individual oral health needs such as smoking cessation and dietary advice.

Staff were supported by the practice in maintaining their continuing professional development (CPD) and were meeting the requirements of their professional registration.

### **Are services caring?**

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The CQC comment cards we received were very positive about the service provided by the practice. We observed that staff treated patients with dignity and respect. We found that dental care records were stored securely, and patient confidentiality was well maintained.

### **Are services responsive to people's needs?**

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients had good access to routine and emergency appointments at the practice. There was sufficient well maintained equipment to meet the dental needs of their patient population. There was a complaints policy clearly publicised in the reception area. We saw that the practice responded to complaints in line with the complaints policy. Patients were given the opportunity to give feedback through the practice website and regular surveys of patients. There were arrangements to meet the needs of people with disabilities.

### **Are services well-led?**

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

# Summary of findings

There was a clear vision for the practice that was shared with the staff. There were good governance arrangements and an effective management structure. There were regular meetings where staff were given the opportunity to give their views of the service. Appropriate policies and procedures were in place, and there was effective monitoring of various aspects of care delivery. Patients were given the opportunity to provide feedback about the practice.

# Sidcup Hill Dental Practice

## Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 29 September 2015. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector. They were accompanied by a specialist advisor.

The practice sent us their statement of purpose and a summary of complaints they had received in the last 12 months. We also reviewed further information on the day of the inspection.

We informed the local NHS England local area team; however we did not receive any information of concern from them.

We received 39 CQC comment cards completed by patients. We also spoke with four members of staff including the

dentists, dental nurse, practice manager and the receptionist. We reviewed the policies, toured the premises and examined the cleaning and decontamination of dental equipment.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had suitable processes around reporting and discussion of incidents. We saw there was a system in place for learning from incidents. Staff told us this would mainly be through discussion at team meetings. Staff were able to describe the type of incidents that would be recorded and the incident logging process. There had been eight incidents over the past 12 months and they had been dealt with appropriately and the practice had learnt from incidents.

Staff we spoke with understood the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). Staff were able to describe the type of incidents that would need to be recorded under these requirements. There had been no RIDDOR incidents over the past 12 months. Staff understood the importance of the duty of candour and the need to inform the appropriate bodies and patients effected of any relevant incidents.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The principal dentist was the safeguarding lead and staff knew who they should go to if they had a safeguarding concern. The practice had a safeguarding policy. The policy included details of how to spot signs of abuse and included contact information for the local authority's safeguarding teams. The policy had last been reviewed in January 2015 and was scheduled to be reviewed again in January 2016. Staff had completed safeguarding training that was refreshed on a regular basis. They were able to explain their understanding of safeguarding issues, which was in line with what we saw in the policies. There had been one safeguarding incident that needed to be referred to the local safeguarding teams in the last year and it had been dealt with appropriately by the practice. We saw they had acted in line with their policy and had followed the advice given by the safeguarding team.

The practice had safety systems in place to help ensure the safety of staff and patients. This included for example having infection control protocols, procedures for using equipment safely, health and safety procedures, protocols for the safe use of radiation equipment and risk assessments. Risk assessments had been undertaken for issues affecting the health and safety of staff and patients

using the service. This included for example a Legionella risk assessment and building environment risk assessments. We noted that the practice had acted upon issues that had been identified in the risk assessments.

During our visit we found that the dental care and treatment of patients was planned and delivered in a way that ensured patients' safety and welfare. During the course of our inspection we checked dental care records to confirm the findings. Dental care records contained patient's medical history that was obtained when patients first registered with the practice and was updated regularly. The dental care records we saw were well structured and contained sufficient detail enabling another dentist to know how to safely treat a patient. For example, they contained details of allergies patients had.

The practice followed national guidelines such as use of a rubber dam for root canal treatments. [A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth.]

### Medical emergencies

There were arrangements in place to deal with on-site medical emergencies. Staff had received basic life support training which included cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) training. The practice had a medical emergency kit which included emergency medicines and equipment. The kit contained the recommended medicines. We checked the medicines that were in the kit and we found that all the medicines were within their expiry date. The emergency equipment included oxygen and an automated external defibrillator (AED), in line with Resuscitation Council UK guidance. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a policy for the safe recruitment of staff. In order to reduce the risks of employing unsuitable staff the provider is required to complete a number of checks. They must obtain a full employment history, check the authenticity of qualifications, obtain two references, including one from the most recent employer, and complete an up to date Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks. We saw that the provider had satisfactorily carried out the necessary required checks for staff who

# Are services safe?

worked in the practice. However, we found that the practice did not always maintain accurate, complete and detailed records relating to employment of staff. For example we found references had only been recorded in one of the five staff records we saw. The principal dentist said they had sometimes taken verbal references but not recorded them.

## Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. A Health and Safety Policy was in place. The practice had a risk management process which was regularly updated and reviewed to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments for fire, display screen equipment (DSE), radiation, health and safety, use of sharps and environmental building issues. The assessments included the controls and actions to manage risks. For example a March 2015 fire risk assessment had recommended that the practice put up additional fire exit 'signs in specific areas of the practice. We saw that the practice had acted upon this and put up additional signage.

The practice had a business continuity plan to deal with emergencies that could disrupt the safe and smooth running of the service. The plan covered what to do in the event of issues such as loss of electricity supplies and the computer system breaking down. For example the plan detailed arrangements for using facilities at another practice owned by the same provider in the event of the surgery having to be closed.

## Infection control

The practice had an infection control policy that outlined the procedure for issues relating to minimising the risk and spread of infections. This included details of procedures for hand hygiene, clinical waste management and personal protective equipment. In addition to this there was a copy of the Health Technical Memorandum 01-05:

Decontamination in primary care dental practices, which is a guidance document from the Department of Health, for staff to refer to. The lead dental nurse was the infection control lead.

There was a separate room for the decontamination of instruments. There was a flow from dirty to clean areas to minimise the risks of cross contamination. Staff gave a demonstration of the decontamination process which was in line with HTM 01-05 published guidance. This included carrying used instruments in a lidded box from the surgery,

cleaning instruments suitably and using an illuminated magnifying glass to visually check for any remaining contamination (and re-washed if required); placing in the autoclave and ultra sonic; pouching and then date stamping.

We saw that daily, weekly and monthly checks that were carried out on equipment used in the practice including the autoclave, to ensure they were working effectively.

We saw evidence that staff had been vaccinated against Hepatitis B to protect patients from the risks of contracting the infection.

There was a contract in place for the safe disposal of clinical waste and sharps instruments. Clinical waste was stored appropriately and in lockable bins. The bins were collected weekly by a clinical waste contractor.

The surgery was visibly clean and tidy. There were stocks of PPE (personal protective equipment) such as gloves and aprons for both staff and patients. We saw that staff wore appropriate PPE. Hand washing solution was available.

A legionella risk assessment had been completed in 2014 and the results were negative for bacterium [Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings]. The practice used distilled water in all dental lines. The water lines were flushed daily and weekly and alpron tablets were used once a week to purify the water.

There was a cleaning plan, schedule and checklist, which was regularly checked by the practice staff. Cleaning equipment and materials were stored appropriately in line with Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations.

## Equipment and medicines

We found the equipment used in the practice was maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. This included the equipment used to clean and sterilise the instruments and X-ray equipment. Portable appliance testing (PAT) was completed in accordance with good practice guidance. PAT is the name of a process where electrical appliances are routinely checked for safety. All the equipment at the practice had annual maintenance checks.

The practice had clear guidance regarding the prescribing, recording and stock control of the medicines used in the

# Are services safe?

practice. The systems we reviewed were complete, provided an account of medicines prescribed, and demonstrated that patients were given their prescriptions as recorded. The medicines stored at the practice were those found in the medical emergency box.

## **Radiography (X-rays)**

The principal dentist was the Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS). An external organisation covered the role of Radiation Protection Adviser (RPA). The practice kept a radiation protection file in relation to the use and

maintenance of X-ray equipment. There were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. The local rules relating to the equipment were held in the file and displayed in clinical areas where X-rays were used. Evidence was seen of radiation training for staff undertaking X-rays. X-rays were graded and audited as they were taken. A comprehensive radiograph audit had been carried out in September 2015. We saw that the practice had notified the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) that they were carrying out radiation work.



# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

Patients' needs were assessed and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation. This included following the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance, for example in regards to wisdom tooth extraction and dental recalls. The practice also showed awareness of the Delivering Better Oral Health Tool-kit. 'Delivering better oral health' is an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting.

During the course of our inspection we checked 10 dental care records to confirm the findings. We saw evidence of comprehensive detailed assessments that were individualised. This included having an up to date medical history visit, details of the reason for visit, medical alerts, and a full clinical assessment with an extra- and intra-oral examination. An assessment of the periodontal tissue was taken and recorded using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) tool. The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums. Information about the costs of treatment and treatment options available were also given to patients.

### Health promotion & prevention

Patients' medical histories were updated regularly which included questions about smoking and alcohol intake. Appropriate advice was provided by staff to patients based on their medical histories. For example we saw that patients were given details of local smoking cessation clinics when this was appropriate. We saw they provided preventive care advice on tooth brushing and oral health instructions as well as fluoride application, alcohol use, and dietary advice.

### Staffing

Staff told us they had received appropriate professional development and training and the records we saw reflected this. The practice maintained a programme of professional development to ensure that staff were up to date with the latest practices. This was to ensure that patients received high quality care as a result. The practice used a variety of

ways to ensure development and learning was undertaken including both face to face and e-learning. Examples of staff training included core issues such as health and safety, safeguarding, medical emergencies and infection control. We reviewed the system in place for recording training that had been attended by staff working within the practice. We saw that the practice maintained records that detailed training undertaken and highlighted training that staff needed to undertake. We also reviewed information about continuing professional development (CPD) and found that staff had undertaken the required number of CPD hours.

### Working with other services

The practice worked with other professionals in the care of their patients where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made for endodontic and periodontal works. Dental care records we looked at contained details of the referrals made and the outcome from the referrals that were made.

### Consent to care and treatment

Patients who used the service were given appropriate information and support regarding their dental care and treatment. We reviewed 39 CQC comment cards. Patients said they were given clear treatment options which were discussed in an easy to understand language by practice staff. Patients understood and consented to treatment. This was confirmed when we checked dental care records and noted signed consent forms for treatment and details of treatment options patients had been given.

The practice manager had received training on the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005 and had provided in house training to staff on the issue. The MCA Act 2005 provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves. Staff were aware of how they would support a patient who lacked the capacity to consent to dental treatment. They explained how they would involve the patient and carers to ensure that the best interests of the patient were met. This meant where patients did not have the capacity to consent, the dentist acted in accordance with legal requirements and that vulnerable patients were treated with dignity and respect.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy**

We reviewed 39 CQC comment cards. All the feedback we received was positive. Staff were described as caring, polite and helpful. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect during consultations. We observed staff interaction with patients and saw that staff interacted well with patients, speaking to them in a respectful and considerate manner.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

The practice displayed information in the waiting area that gave details of fees. We also saw that the practice had a website that included information about dental care and treatments, costs and opening times.

We spoke with the principal dentist and dental nurses on the day of our visit. There was a culture of promoting patient involvement in treatment planning which meant that all staff ensured patients were given clear explanations about treatment. Staff told us that treatments, risks and benefits were discussed with each patient to ensure that patients understood what treatment was available so they were able to make an informed choice. The dentist told us they would explain the planned procedures to patients using visual aids when necessary. Patients were then able to decide which treatment option they wanted.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### **Responding to and meeting patients' needs**

The practice had a system in place to schedule enough time to assess and meet patients' needs. Staff told us there was enough time to treat patients, and that patients could generally book an appointment in good time to see a dentist. The comment cards we read confirmed that patients felt they could get appointments when they needed them.

There were vacant appointment slots to accommodate urgent or emergency appointments. We observed that appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

### **Tackling inequity and promoting equality**

The practice had recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its services that included access to telephone translation services. The building was not accessible to people in wheel chairs; arrangements however, had been made for patients in wheelchairs who required a service to be signed up at a nearby practice owned by the same provider.

### **Access to the service**

The practice displayed its opening hours on the practice website. Opening times were also displayed at the front of the practice. The practice was open from 9.00am till 6.00pm on five days a week. This gave patients good options for accessing the service. There were clear instructions for patients requiring urgent dental care when the practice was closed. These instructions were on the telephone answering machine.

### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had effective arrangements in place for handling complaints and concerns. There was a complaints policy, and information for patients about how to complain was available in the reception area. The policy had last been reviewed in 2015 and was scheduled to be reviewed in January 2016. There had been six complaints logged in the last year and they had been dealt with in line with the advertised policy. The policy included contact details for two external organisations that patients could contact if they were not happy with the practice's response to a complaint.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### Governance arrangements

The provider had governance arrangements in place for the effective management of the service. This included having a range of policies and procedures in place including health and safety, complaints and infection control. There was a clear management structure in place with identified staff leading on specific roles such as on infection control and safeguarding. Staff told us they felt supported and were clear about their areas of responsibility. Comprehensive risk assessments had been undertaken to cover various aspects of the service delivery.

Staff told us meetings were held monthly to discuss issues in the practice and update on things affecting the practice. We saw that these meetings were used as an opportunity to let staff know about the ongoing business of the practice. For example we saw that the safeguarding policy and significant events were discussed at a June 2015 meeting.

Dental care records we reviewed were stored as hard copies and electronically. Hard copy records were stored in a locked cabinet and electronic records were password protected.

The practice manager undertook quality audits at the practice. This included audits on health and safety, cleaning and dental care records. We found that action plans were drafted following the completion of audits. For example we saw that a June 2015 infection control audit found no issues.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff we spoke with said they felt the owners of the practice were open and created an atmosphere where all staff felt included. Staff told us they were comfortable about raising

concerns with the principal dentists. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did so. They described the culture encouraged candour, openness and honesty.

The practice was also keen to ensure that all of their staff provided highly-skilled care. There was a system of periodic staff appraisals and supervision to support staff.

### Learning and improvement

Staff told us they had good access to training. The practice manager monitored staff training to ensure essential training was completed each year. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development (CPD) as required by the General Dental Council (GDC).

The practice audited areas of their practice as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. This included clinical audits such as on dental care records and X-rays, and audits of complaints and infection control. We looked at a sample of these and found audits were being undertaken regularly. For example a June 2015 audit of patient waiting times had been undertaken and found patients were generally happy with waiting times. The results had been discussed with the staff.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had gathered feedback from patients through NHS Choices, and their own patient feedback surveys. For example patients were asked to give feedback on their treatment and the service as a whole during a June 2015 patient survey. We found that the practice acted upon the feedback they received, for example improvements were made to the process for giving people copies of treatment plans because the survey had found that 3 of the 18 people that completed the survey had not been given a copy of their treatment plan.