

Dr Amos Ramon

Inspection report

20 Silver Street
Coningsby
Lincoln
Lincolnshire
LN4 4SG
Tel: 01526344544
www.newconingsbysurgery.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 16 May 2018 Date of publication: 13/07/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous inspection 23 November 2017- Requires improvement)

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

This inspection was an a comprehensive announced inspection carried out on 16 May 2018 to confirm that the practice had carried out their plan to meet the legal requirements in relation to the breaches in regulations that we identified in our previous inspection on 23 November 2017. This report covers our findings in relation to those requirements and also additional improvements made since our last inspection.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The was a process in place to help protect vulnerable patients from abuse.

- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Some patients reported that they experienced difficulty accessing the surgery by telephone to make appointments and that routine pre-bookable appointments were sometimes difficult to get.
- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.
- There was a strong and dedicated practice team.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements are:

- Review the process of accessing the practice by telephone and continue to assess appointment availability.
- · Review access arrangements for patients using wheelchairs by consideration of fitting an audible a signal to alert reception staff that a patient needed assistance is negotiating the entrance.
- Ensure that the private telephone numbers of staff are not visible to members of the public.

Professor Steve Field CBF FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

Population group ratings

Older people	Good
People with long-term conditions	Good
Families, children and young people	Good
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a CQC lead inspector. The team included an additional CQC inspector, a GP specialist adviser, a practice nurse specialist adviser and a practice manager adviser.

Background to Dr Amos Ramon

Dr Amos Ramon- The New Coningsby Surgery, provides primary medical care for approximately 8,037 patients living in Coningsby and the neighbouring villages from a single surgery at 20 Silver Street, Coningsby, LN4 4SG

The service is provided under a General Medical Services contract with Lincolnshire East Clinical Commissioning Group.

Dr Ramon is registered to provide the regulated activities of; diagnostic and screening procedures; family planning services; surgical procedures; treatment of disease, disorder or injury.

The practice was able to offer dispensing services to those patients on the practice list who lived more than one mile (1.6km) from their nearest pharmacy. On the day of our inspection that number was 2,788.

The area is less deprived than the national average, but there are isolated pockets of deprivation particularly in some of the outlying rural communities.

The village has a large Royal Air Force base, RAF Coningsby. Many of the service personnel's dependents, particularly mothers and children are patients of the practice. The practice serves a community with a higher than national average of patients over the age of 65.

The practice is owned by a male GP and is additionally staffed by three salaried GPs of whom two are female. The whole time equivalent of GPs is 3.0 There is one nurse practitioner, three nurses and one health care assistant. They are supported by a phlebotomist, dispensers, receptionists and administration staff. A clinical pharmacist is employed for two days a week.

The practice is open between 8am and 6.30pm Monday to Friday, excepting Thursday when the surgery is open until 8pm. Appointments are from 8.30am to 1pm and 1.30pm to 6.30pm daily, excepting Thursday when appointments are available until 8pm. The practice is part of an extended access hub that enables patients to access GP services every weekday evening form 6.30 to 8pm and 8am to 7.30pm on Saturday and Sunday.

When the surgery is closed GP out-of- hours services are provided by Lincolnshire Community Health Services NHS Trust which is accessed via NHS111.



Are services safe?

We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

At our previous inspection on 23 November 2017, we rated the practice as requires improvement for providing safe services as the arrangements in respect safeguarding people from abuse.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Reports and learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a DBS check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.

- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff. There was a documented approach to managing test results.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.
- Arrangements for dispensing medicines at the practice kept patients safe.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

• There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.



Are services safe?

• The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture of safety that led to safety improvements.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

• Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.

- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services effective?

We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services

At our previous inspection on 23 November 2017, we rated the practice as requires improvement for providing safe services as had concerns that patients diagnosed with

long term health conditions were not receiving the appropriate levels of care.

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes (QOF) data relates to 2016/17. QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- Patients aged over 75 were invited for a health check. If necessary they were referred to other services such as voluntary services and supported by an appropriate care plan.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension)
- The data provided by the 2016/17 Quality Outcomes Framework (QoF) indicted that the percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months,41% was significantly lower than the CCG and national averages of 77% and 76% respectively. The unpublished QoF Data for 2017/18 showed that the situation had improved and stood at 68%.
- The 2016/17 QoF data indicated the percentage of patients with COPD who had a review undertaken including an assessment of breathlessness preceding 12 months was 50% compared to the CCG and national averages of 88% and 90% respectively. The unpublished QoF Data for 2017/18 showed that the situation had significantly improved and stood at 91%.
- The 2016/17 QoF data indicated the percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) was 150/90 mmHg or less was 65% compared to the CCG and national averages of 84% and 83% respectively. The unpublished QoF Data for 2017/18 showed that the situation had significantly improved and stood at 91%.

Families, children and young people:

• Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above except in the case of those two-year-old that had received the Hib/MenC booster. In this case the percentage was marginally lower at 89%. The practice was aware of this, and had reviewed and amended their immunisations policy to improve immunisation uptake through better recall and referral to health visitors.



Are services effective?

- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and postnatal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had effective arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 73%, which was comparable to the local and national uptake.
- The practices' uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was in line the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances,
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- 69% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the previous 12 months. This was comparable to other practices.

- QoF data for 2016/17 showed that 25% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is significantly below the national average. However the latest unpublished QoF data for 2017/18 showed considerable improvement at 61%
- QoF data for 2016/17 showed that 28% of patients experiencing poor mental health had received discussion and advice about alcohol consumption. This was significantly below the national average. However, the latest unpublished QoF data for 2017/18 showed significant improvement at 76%.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
 When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. We saw that the practice used regular clinical audit as a means of measuring and improving patient outcomes. For example, we reviewed five clinical audits that were completed two cycle audits and that were continuing. These concerned gout, quinolone prescribing, gestational diabetes, patients on aspirin/proton pump inhibitor and nitrofurantoin, an anti-biotic used to treat urinary tract infections.
- The practice held and audit schedule that detailed planned and ongoing audits that included end of life, minor surgery, cervical smears and family planning.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

 Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.



Are services effective?

- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. This included an induction process, one-to-one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and support for revalidation. The practice ensured the competence of staff employed in advanced roles by audit of their clinical decision making, including non-medical prescribing.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.
- Dispensary staff were appropriately qualified and their competence was assessed regularly. They could demonstrate how they kept up to date.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. The shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when

- they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice care coordinator worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients in need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to decide.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for providing caring services

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practice supported the local food bank. They provided a collection point which was advertised on the practice website and in the waiting room.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private area to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We rated the practice, and all the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- GP consultations were available at the extended hours hub for patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered although the provider told us that a lack of space limited what additional service could be offered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The surgery access doors were not automated. There
 was no audible signal such as a bell or buzzer to alert
 reception staff to the fact that a patient using a
 wheelchair needed assistance in opening the doors.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- The practice provided dispensary services for people who needed additional support with their medicines, for example a delivery service to a collection point in another village, and weekly medication trays.

Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The care coordinator, a nurse practitioner, visited care homes where patients of the practice resided on two days every week to ensure that healthcare needs of this group of patients were met.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent

appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP and practice nurse also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. The practice was striving to ensure that where ever possible multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment.
- The local district nursing visited the practice daily which provided the opportunity to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice offered free condoms without conditions.
- The practice offered a range of reversible contraception options.
- The practice had large numbers of military wives and families and were able to sign post them to the appropriate support services.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

 The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours on one evening a week and access to the extended hours hub at weekends.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):



Are services responsive to people's needs?

- Staff we spoke with had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- Practice staff and GPs demonstrated that they were aware of the pressures experienced by the partners and dependents of serving forces personnel which could result in varying degrees of depression. Staff were experienced in dealing with these issues. Severe cases were referred to the crisis team.
- The Nurse Practitioner spent two days a week visiting nursing and residential homes, reviewing the mental health needs of all the practices' patients and offering support and education to the staff.

Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

 Some patients reported that they found it difficult to access the surgery by telephone and that it was sometimes difficult to get a pre- bookable routine appointment. However, 74% of patients who took part in a survey stated they would not use the extended hours GP access hub that would enable them to access such appointments in the evenings and at weekends.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services well-led?

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

At our previous inspection on 23 November 2017, we rated the practice as requires improvement for providing well-led services as the practice did not have in place effective systems to monitor performance and improve outcomes for patients.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable.
 They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice
 had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to
 achieve priorities. The practice developed its vision,
 values and strategy jointly with patients, staff and
 external partners.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.

- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- Clinical staff were considered valued members of the practice team. They were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity.
 Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted interactive and co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control.
- Regular meetings were held for all members of staff.
- Practice leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.



Are services well-led?

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions.
 Practice leaders had oversight of national and local safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents. We noted that a copy of the plan was available in the reception area but that it contained the private telephone numbers of staff. The practice manager told us that this was an oversight and would be remedied.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand their impact on the quality of care.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.

- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.