

# The Slimming Clinic

### **Inspection report**

33 High Street

Gillingham ME7 1BQ Tel: 01634 855224 www.theslimmingclinic.com/weight-loss-clinics

Date of inspection visit: 05/11/2019 Date of publication: 02/01/2020

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

### Overall summary

This service is rated as Good overall (Previous inspection October 2018 – not rated).

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at The Slimming Clinic, Gillingham on 5 November 2019 to rate the service.

The Slimming Clinic, Gillingham provides weight loss services, including prescribed medicines and dietary advice to support weight reduction.

The service manager is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the service is run.

16 people provided feedback about the service via comment cards. All the feedback was positive. Comments covered the staff being professional, helpful, supportive and caring, within a clean, comfortable and tidy environment.

#### Our key findings were:

- Patients were positive about the staff and the service provided by the clinic.
- Learning from other services within parent company was shared and implemented.
- The clinic was in a good state of repair, clean and tidy.
- Consent to or not to contact the patients' GP was not consistently recorded within patient records.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements are:

- Review patent records to ensure they are complete and in line with the service's policy, including the rationale for prescribing outside of the services prescribing guidelines.
- To continually encourage the patient to consent to information sharing between the service and their GP.
- Only supply unlicensed medicines against valid special clinical needs of an individual patient where there is no suitable licensed medicine available.

#### Dr Rosie Benneyworth BM BS BMedSci MRCGP

Chief Inspector of Primary Medical Services and Integrated Care

### Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Pharmacist Specialist. The team included another member of the CQC medicines team.

### Background to The Slimming Clinic

The Slimming Clinic provides weight loss treatment and services, including prescribed medicines, dietary and lifestyle advice, to support weight reduction. The clinic is in Gillingham town centre on the first floor of a shared building. There is toilet access within the clinic. The clinic does not currently offer step free access for patients. The clinic is open Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, (alternate weeks), Friday and Saturday.

#### How we inspected this service

Prior to the inspection we reviewed information about the service, including the previous inspection report and information from the provider. We spoke to the registered manager, clinical staff and reviewed a range of documents.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.



### Are services safe?

#### We rated safe as Good

#### Safety systems and processes

## The service had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The provider conducted safety risk assessments. It had appropriate safety policies, which were regularly reviewed and communicated to staff. They outlined clearly who to go to for further guidance. Staff received safety information from the service as part of their induction and refresher training. The service had systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse.
- The service worked with other agencies to support patients and protect them from neglect and abuse. Staff took steps to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The provider carried out staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis where appropriate. Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken for all staff in line with the service's policy. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Chaperone training was being developed. Once completed by staff who had received a DBS check, a chaperone service would be offered.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control. The service had undertaken a Legionella risk assessment.
- The provider ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions. There were systems for safely managing healthcare waste.
- The provider carried out appropriate environmental risk assessments, which took into account the profile of people using the service and those who may be accompanying them.

#### **Risks to patients**

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- There were arrangements for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention.
- The provider had carried out a risk assessment about the range of emergency medicines and equipment to be kept at the service and how these could be accessed.
  Suitable equipment to deal with medical emergencies as described in the risk assessment was stored appropriately and checked regularly.
- When there were changes to services or staff the service assessed and monitored the impact on safety.
- There were appropriate indemnity arrangements in place including professional indemnity and public liability.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

## Staff did not always have the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- Individual care records were not always written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. The majority of care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to relevant staff in an accessible way. However, we found three examples where the consent to share information with the patients GP had not been completed and a patient treated with a BMI of less than 30 without a documented rationale. The service's policy stated that patients could be treated where they BMI was between 25 and 29.9 provided they had a co-morbidity or other criteria. The service had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- The service had a system in place to retain medical records in line with Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) guidance in the event that they cease trading.

#### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

### The service had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

 The systems and arrangements for managing medicines, controlled drugs, and equipment minimised risks.



### Are services safe?

- The service carried out regular medicines audit to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing.
- The service did prescribe Schedule 3 controlled drugs (medicines that have the additional levels of control due to their risk of misuse and dependence) and had appropriate storage and records.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with legal requirements and current national guidance. Processes were in place for checking medicines and staff kept accurate records of medicines. Where there was a different approach taken from national guidance there was a clear rationale for this that protected patient safety.
- Some of the medicines this service prescribes for weight loss are unlicensed. Treating patients with unlicensed medicines is higher risk than treating patients with licensed medicines, because unlicensed medicines may not have been assessed for safety, quality and efficacy. These medicines are no longer recommended by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) or the Royal College of Physicians for the treatment of obesity. The British National Formulary states that 'Drug treatment should never be used as the sole element of treatment (for obesity) and should be used as part of an overall weight management plan'.

#### Track record on safety and incidents

The service had a good safety record.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The service monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

#### Lessons learned and improvements made

#### The service learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- There was a system for recording and acting on significant events. Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The service learned and shared lessons, identified themes, and took action to improve safety in the service. The registered manager showed us the local service incident log, how learning was shared locally. This also included learning across the provider's other locations shared via a centrally produced newsletter.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the Duty of Candour. The provider encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The service had systems in place for knowing about notifiable safety incidents.
- The service acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. The service had an effective mechanism in place to disseminate alerts to all members of the team.



### Are services effective?

#### We rated effective as Good

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The provider had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence based practice. We saw evidence that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance (relevant to their service)

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. Where appropriate this included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- Clinicians had enough information to make or confirm a diagnosis.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

## The service was actively involved in quality improvement activity.

• The service used information about care and treatment to make improvements. (For example, the service sampled patient records to review levels of weight loss and the quality of patient records). The service made improvements through the use of clinical reviews. Clinical reviews had a positive impact on the quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to resolve concerns and improve quality. (For example where the audit identified insufficient weight loss, these were followed up with the patient at their next appointment and the beginning of the next review cycle).

#### **Effective staffing**

## Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- All staff were appropriately qualified. The provider had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff.
- Relevant professionals (medical) were registered with the General Medical Council were up to date with revalidation.
- The provider understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.

#### **Coordinating patient care and information sharing**

## Staff work together, and worked well with other organisations, to deliver effective care and treatment.

- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. Staff referred to and communicated effectively with other services when appropriate. (For example, the patient's GP where the patient had consented).
- Before providing treatment, doctors at the service ensured they had adequate knowledge of the patient's health, any relevant test results and their medicines history. We saw examples of patients being signposted to more suitable sources of treatment where this information was not available, to ensure safe care and treatment.
- Most patients were asked for consent to share details of their consultation and any medicines prescribed with their registered GP on their first consultation.

#### Supporting patients to live healthier lives

# Staff were consistent and proactive in empowering patients, and supporting them to manage their own health and maximise their independence.

- Where appropriate, staff gave people advice so they could self-care. The registered manager showed us examples of information booklets that were supplied to patients to provide appropriate lifestyle advice including booklets on dietary choices and increasing activity levels to aid weight loss.
- Where patients needs could not be met by the service, staff redirected them to the appropriate service for their needs.

#### Consent to care and treatment

# The service obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance .

- Staff understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Staff supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The service monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

### Are services caring?

#### We rated caring as Good

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

#### Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- The service sought feedback on the quality of clinical care patients received.
- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs. They displayed an understanding and non-judgmental attitude to all patients.
- The service gave patients timely support and information. 16 people provided feedback about the service via comment cards. All the feedback was positive. Comments covered the staff being professional, helpful, supportive and caring, within a clean, comfortable and tidy environment

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

#### Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. Information leaflets were available in easy read formats, to help patients be involved in decisions about their care.
- Patients told us through comment cards, that they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them.

#### **Privacy and Dignity**

#### The service respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect.
- Staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.



### Are services responsive to people's needs?

#### We rated responsive as Good

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The service organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The registered manager showed us feedback from a patient survey that had been conducted and how they had considered this information.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.

#### Timely access to the service

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the service within an appropriate timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.

 Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The service took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The service informed patients of any further action that may be available to them should they not be satisfied with the response to their complaint.
- The service had complaint policy and procedures in place. The service learned lessons from individual concerns, complaints and from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care. (For example, reviewing the dispensing and checking process following a patient receiving the incorrect strength of medicine).

### Are services well-led?

#### We rated well-led as Good

#### Leadership capacity and capability;

## Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The provider had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the service. The providers head office supported the local registered managers deliver the locally delivered services.

#### Vision and strategy

# The service had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The service had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- The service developed its vision, values and strategy jointly with staff.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them
- The service monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

#### **Culture**

### The service had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work for the service.
- The service focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. (For example, learning from incidents fed back to staff individually and at huddles held at the beginning of each clinic session). The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.

- Staff told us they could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary. Clinical staff were considered valued members of the team. They were given protected time for professional time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The service actively promoted equality and diversity. It identified and addressed the causes of any workforce inequality. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

#### **Governance arrangements**

# There were responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities
- Leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

# There were clear and effective clarity around processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The service had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions. Leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.

### Are services well-led?

- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change services to improve quality.
- The provider had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

### The service acted on have appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The service used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The service submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

# The service involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- The service encouraged and heard views and concerns from the public, patients, staff and external partners and acted on them to shape services and culture. (The service undertook patient satisfaction surveys to encourage feedback on the service).
- Staff could describe to us the systems in place to give feedback. The minutes of staff meetings and huddles showed that staff were able to feedback suggestions and showed how these were then followed up.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

## There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- The service made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.
- There were systems to support improvement and innovation work, for example, the introduction of a new medicine to the service's formulary.