

Family Dental Centre

Family Dental Centre

Inspection report

402-404 Kingstanding Road Great Barr Birmingham B44 8LD Tel: 01213735855

Date of inspection visit: 31 October 2023 Date of publication: 20/11/2023

Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 31 October 2023 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which mostly reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation. We found these were not always implemented consistently.

Summary of findings

- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- There was effective leadership, however there was scope for improvement with audits and recruitment.

Background

Family Dental Centre is in Great Barr, Birmingham and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is step free access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including dedicated parking for people with disabilities, are available near the practice. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 5 dentists, 6 dental nurses, 1 dental hygienist, 1 dental therapist, 1 practice manager and 1 receptionist. The practice has 4 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 3 dentists, 4 dental nurses, the receptionist and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday from 8am to 5pm.

Friday from 8am to 4pm.

Saturday from 9am to 1pm – hygienist appointments only.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Improve the practice's infection control procedures and protocols taking into account the guidelines issued by the Department of Health in the Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices, and having regard to The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance'. In particular, a few minor processes in the decontamination room could be improved.
- Improve the practice's recruitment policy and procedures to ensure accurate, complete and detailed records are maintained for all staff.
- Implement protocols for domiciliary visits taking into account the 2009 guidelines published by British Society for Disability and Oral Health in the document "Guidelines for the Delivery of a Domiciliary Oral Healthcare Service".
- 2 Family Dental Centre Inspection report 20/11/2023

Summary of findings

• Take action to ensure audits of radiography, infection prevention and control and antimicrobial prescribing are undertaken at the recommended intervals to improve the quality of the service and follow the appropriate guidelines. The practice should also ensure that, where appropriate, audits have documented learning points and the resulting improvements can be demonstrated.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	No action	\checkmark
Are services effective?	No action	✓
Are services caring?	No action	✓
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action	✓
Are services well-led?	No action	✓

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. Safeguarding training had been carried out by staff to an appropriate level for their role. Information and guidance regarding safeguarding was available throughout the practice.

The practice had infection control procedures which required strengthening to reflect published guidance. We noted that the recommended soil and protein residue testing for the ultrasonic bath required strengthening. We noted that staff were not taking the temperature of the water when completing manual cleaning processes. Dental burs were not pouched in sterilisation bags. Following our inspection, we were sent evidence to demonstrate that a new thermometer had been purchased and updated logs had been implemented for the ultrasonic bath testing.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff however, we found shortfalls. We viewed 9 staff files and found some documents and information missing, these included references and immunity for vaccine preventable infectious diseases. Following the inspection, the provider submitted evidence that risk assessments for staff who were Hepatitis B non-responders or not fully immunised were now in place.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in February 2022 by an external company in line with the legal requirements. The management of fire safety was effective and staff had carried out fire training. We noted the actions required detailed in the risk assessment had been put in place, however these had not been recorded as completed. Following our inspection, evidence was submitted to show all actions had been documented as carried out.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available. However, the local rules required updating to show operating instructions, contingency plans in case of a fault and names of operators. Following our inspection evidence was submitted to show this had been implemented.

Risks to patients

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

Are services safe?

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health. Safety data information was available for all materials used.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national 2 week wait arrangements.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out however, we identified scope for improvements as action plans were not documented.

Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

The practice provided dental care in domiciliary settings such as care homes. There was no policy and procedure specifically for domiciliary visits available. We found risk assessments were not undertaken and there were no specific consent forms for domiciliary care. Following our inspection, evidence was submitted to show all of the required documentation was put in place.

We saw the provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

The practice had access to digital X-rays to enhance the delivery of care.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Oral health care products were on sale for patients such as dental floss, interdental brushes, disclosing tablets and mouthwash.

Staff were aware of and involved with national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005 and evidence was seen to show training had been carried out.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits. These were not of a sufficient sample size per clinician and not been carried out 6 monthly as stated in current guidance. The results were not analysed and no action plan recorded.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights. Staff were observed to be friendly, caring and helpful to patients when speaking with them in person at the reception and over the telephone.

Staff had undertaken training in autism and learning disability awareness to increase their understanding of patients with these conditions.

Staff described to us some of the ways they enabled nervous patients to undergo their treatments and the additional measures they implemented to support them for example, arranging appointments during quieter times of the day and booking longer appointments.

Privacy and dignity

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. Computer screens were not visible to the public at reception and there was an available area away from reception where patients could have a private discussion if requested.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included photographs, study models and X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments, including a ground floor treatment room and a wheelchair accessible toilet for patients with access requirements. Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients.

Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients were directed to the appropriate out of hours service.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with local organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

The practice demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

The practice partners were committed to safety and improvements for patients and staff. Staff worked together in such a way that where the inspection highlighted any issues or omissions, the practice team took action to address them.

Following our inspection, the practice submitted information addressing the shortfalls we identified, demonstrating their commitment to improving the service for both staff and patients.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

Culture

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

Governance and management

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

Processes for managing risks, issues and performance required strengthening. Shortfalls were identified with, domiciliary documentation, recruitment and radiography. These were rectified following our inspection.

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

Continuous improvement and innovation

Are services well-led?

The practice carried out audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, and infection prevention and control. We found the radiography audits were not carried out in the recommended 6 monthly time scales and the sample size was smaller than recommended in current guidance. We also found audits for infection prevention and control did not reflect processes carried out during our inspection.