

## Teckkam Dental Care Limited

# Teckkam Dental Care Limited

### Inspection report

20 Hartington Street  
Barrow In Furness  
LA14 5SL  
Tel: 07460855787

Date of inspection visit: 3 August 2022  
Date of publication: 19/08/2022

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced focused inspection on 3 August 2022 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission, (CQC), inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we usually ask five key questions, however due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and to reduce time spent on site, only the following three questions were asked:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared to be visibly clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. We spoke with staff about how this could be improved.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available, with the exception of some sizes of clear face masks. These were ordered immediately by the provider, on the day of inspection.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.

# Summary of findings

- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and staff took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement. We reviewed and discussed with the practice how audits could be improved and strengthened.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The dental clinic had information governance arrangements.

## Background

Teckkam Dental Care Limited is in Barrow-in-Furness, Cumbria and provides private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is level access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available near the practice. The practice has made a couple of adjustments to support patients with additional needs, by having a portable ramp to assist entry to the practice and by widening the doorway to the ground floor treatment room, to aid access for wheelchair users.

The dental team includes two dentists, four dental nurses, two dental hygienists, one dental therapist and a practice manager. The practice has three treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with the principal dentist, two dental nurses and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday from 8.30am to 5pm; and on Thursday from 9am to 5pm.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We asked the following question(s).

Are services safe?	No action	✓
Are services effective?	No action	✓
Are services well-led?	No action	✓

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)**

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

The practice had infection control procedures, most of which reflected published guidance. When we reviewed processes in the decontamination room, we discussed how the cycle of instrument cleaning could be improved. For example, we saw staff were manually cleaning dental instruments, before putting them in the washer disinfectant. We explained that this was not necessary and that all instruments, if kept moist, will be sufficiently cleaned by the washer disinfectant.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella or other bacteria developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

We saw the practice was visibly clean and there was an effective cleaning schedule to ensure the practice was kept clean.

The practice had introduced additional procedures in relation to COVID-19 in accordance with published guidance.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use and maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required radiation protection information was available.

### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance. We found that the emergency kit did not contain all sizes of clear face masks and airways for use in an emergency. We brought this to the attention of the provider on the inspection day. Following our inspection, the practice contacted us to confirm they had ordered and received these missing items.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

### **Information to deliver safe care and treatment**

Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

# Are services safe?

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

## **Safe and appropriate use of medicines**

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out. We reviewed all audits and discussed how these could be improved, by use of a recognised audit tool, which has all areas listed that should be covered by audit.

## **Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements**

The practice had implemented systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Effective needs assessment, care and treatment**

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

### **Helping patients to live healthier lives**

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Staff were aware of and involved with national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

Staff understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA).

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice kept detailed dental care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients with dementia, and adults and children with a learning difficulty.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance and legislation.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide. When we reviewed referrals, we saw there was a failsafe system in place for patients referred with suspicious lesions that may be cancerous. However, other referrals, for example, of children who need orthodontic treatment, were not automatically tracked and followed up to ensure these had been received. Acting on this feedback immediately after our inspection, the provider confirmed that a record log of all referrals had been created, and that a tracking system had been implemented.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant Regulations.

### **Leadership capacity and capability**

The practice demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership and emphasis on continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were embedded, and staff team worked together to provide the best possible care and treatment to all patients of the practice.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented. We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

### **Culture**

The practice could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during staff meetings. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information, for example, in line with updated treatment guidelines.

.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

### **Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners**

Staff gathered feedback from patients and a demonstrated commitment to acting on feedback.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through practice meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

The practice had systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, disability access, radiographs and infection prevention and control.

# Are services well-led?

Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.