Bupa Dental Services Limited

Pentangle Dental Transformations (part of Bupa)

Inspection Report

3 Park Street
Newbury
Berkshire
RG14 1EA
Tel: 01635 550353
Website: www.pentangledental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 06/03/2017
Date of publication: 20/04/2017

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 6th March 2017 to ask the practice the following key questions;

Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Pentangle Dental Transformations is a dental practice owned by the corporate dental provider BUPA providing specialised private dental treatment for both adults and children on referral only. The practice is based in purpose built premises in Newbury, Berkshire.

The practice has 4 dental treatment rooms, one of which is based on the ground floor with a further three on the first floor. There are dedicated decontamination areas on both floors which are used for cleaning, sterilising and packing dental instruments. The ground floor is accessible to wheelchair users, prams and patients with limited mobility.

The practice employs 6 dentists, 1 dental hygienist, 5 dental nurses, 4 receptionist staff and a practice manager.

The practice's opening hours are between 8:30am and 5pm from Monday to Friday.

Summary of findings

There are arrangements in place to ensure patients receive urgent medical assistance when the practice is closed. This is provided by three of the dentists on a rota basis. Their mobile telephone numbers are set up daily on the Practice answerphone.

The practice manager is the registered manager A registered manager is a person who is registered with the CQC to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Before the inspection, we sent CQC comment cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We received feedback from eighteen patients which included sixteen CQC feedback cards. These provided a positive view of the services the practice provides.

Patients commented on the high quality of care, the caring nature of all staff, the cleanliness of the practice and the overall high quality of customer care.

We obtained the views of two patients on the day of our inspection.

Our key findings were:

- We found that the practice ethos was to provide patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment.
- Effective leadership was provided by senior clinicians and an empowered practice manager.
- Staff had been trained to handle emergencies, and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were readily available in accordance with current guidelines.
- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- There was appropriate equipment for staff to undertake their duties, and equipment was well maintained.
- Infection control procedures were effective and the practice followed published guidance.
- The practice had a safeguarding lead with effective processes in place for safeguarding adults and children living in vulnerable circumstances.
- There was a process in place for the reporting and shared learning when untoward incidents occurred in the practice.
- Dentists provided dental care in accordance with current professional and National Institute for Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took these into account in how the practice was run.
- Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required.
- Staff received training appropriate to their roles and were supported in their continued professional development (CPD) by the company.
- Staff we spoke with felt well supported by the senior clinicians and practice manager and were committed to providing a quality service to their patients.
- Patient feedback before and during our inspection gave us a positive picture of a friendly, caring, professional and high quality service.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the security of the ground floor local decontamination unit (LDU).
- Review storage of cleaning equipment in line with HTM01-05
- Review appropriate storage of local anaesthetic cartridges in surgery drawers.
- Consider installing a hearing induction loop at the main reception desk.
- Implement an ongoing audit of radiographs as required by the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had robust arrangements for essential areas such as infection control, clinical waste control, management of medical emergencies and dental radiography (X-rays). We found that all the equipment used in the dental practice was well maintained.

The practice took its responsibilities for patient safety seriously and staff were aware of the importance of identifying, investigating and learning from patient safety incidents.

Staff had received safeguarding training and were aware of their responsibilities regarding safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.

No action ✓

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dental care provided was evidence based and focussed on the needs of the patients. The practice used current national professional guidance including that from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to guide their practice.

We saw examples of positive teamwork within the practice and evidence of good communication with other dental professionals. The staff received professional training and development appropriate to their roles and learning needs.

No action ✓

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We obtained the views of two patients on the day of our visit. These provided a positive view of the service the practice provided.

All the patients commented that the quality of care was very good. Patients commented on friendliness and helpfulness of the staff and dentists were good at explaining the treatment that was proposed.

No action ✓

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took these into account in how the practice was run.

Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required. The practice provided patients with access to telephone interpreter services when required.

No action ✓

Summary of findings

The practice had one ground floor treatment room and level access into the building for patients with mobility difficulties and families with prams and pushchairs. It also had three first floor treatment rooms.

Are services well-led?

No action 

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective leadership was provided by senior clinicians and an empowered practice manager. The clinicians and practice manager had an open approach to their work and shared a commitment to continually improving the service they provided.

There was a no blame culture in the practice. The practice had robust clinical governance and risk management structures in place.

We saw evidence of systems to identify staff learning needs which were underpinned by an appraisal system and a programme of clinical audit. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development as required by the General Dental Council.

Staff told us that they felt well supported and could raise any concerns with the senior clinicians and practice manager. All the staff we met said that they were happy in their work and the practice was a good place to work.

Pentangle Dental Transformations (part of Bupa)

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

Background to this inspection

We carried out an announced, comprehensive inspection on 6th March 2017. Our inspection was carried out by a lead inspector and a dental specialist adviser.

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

Prior to the inspection, we asked the practice to send us some information that we reviewed. This included the complaints, if any, that they had received in the last 12 months, their latest statement of purpose, and the details of their staff members including proof of registration with their professional bodies.

During our inspection visit, we reviewed policy documents and staff training and recruitment records. We obtained the views of six members of staff.

We conducted a tour of the practice and looked at the storage arrangements for emergency medicines and equipment. We were shown the decontamination procedures for dental instruments and the systems that supported the patient dental care records.

Patients gave positive feedback about their experience at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice manager demonstrated a good awareness of RIDDOR 2013 (reporting of injuries, diseases and dangerous occurrences regulations). The practice had an incident reporting system in place when something went wrong; this system also included the reporting of minor injuries to patients and staff.

Records showed that no accidents occurred during the last 12 months but staff were aware of how to deal with, and report, accidents in accordance with the practice's accident reporting policy should they occur.

We discussed with the practice manager the action they would take if a significant incident occurred, and they detailed a process that involved a discussion and feedback with any patient that might be involved. This indicated an understanding of their duty of candour. Duty of Candour is a legislative requirement for providers of health and social care services to set out some specific requirements that must be followed when things go wrong with care and treatment, including informing people about the incident, providing reasonable support, providing truthful information and an apology when things go wrong.

The practice received national patient safety alerts such as those issued by the Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Authority (MHRA). Where relevant, these alerts were shared with all members of staff by the practice manager and discussed at the regular minuted staff meetings.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

We spoke with two dental nurse about the prevention of needle stick injuries. They explained that the treatment of sharps and sharps waste was in accordance with the current EU directive with respect to safe sharp guidelines, thus helping to protect staff from blood borne diseases. The practice used a system whereby needles were not manually re-sheathed following administration of a local anaesthetic to a patient thus complying with The Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013 The practice used a special safety syringe for the administration of dental local anaesthetics to prevent needle stick injuries from occurring. Dentists were also responsible for the disposal of used sharps and needles. A practice protocol was in place should a needle stick injury occur. The systems and processes we observed were in line with the current EU Directive on the use of safer sharps.

We asked the clinical staff how they treated the use of instruments used during root canal treatment. They explained that these instruments were single patient use only.

The practice followed appropriate guidance issued by the British Endodontic Society in relation to the use of the rubber dam. They explained that root canal treatment (endodontic treatment) was carried out where possible using a rubber dam. A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. The Provider used latex free rubber dams to avoid the possibility of any allergic reaction by a patient to the use of rubber. When it is not possible to use a rubber dam, the dentists explained alternative methods were employed to protect the patient's airway and isolate the tooth.

The practice had a safeguarding lead who had been appropriately trained and who was the point of referral should members of staff encounter a child or adult safeguarding issue. A policy and protocol was in place for staff to refer to in relation to children and adults who may be the victim of abuse or neglect. Training records showed that staff had received appropriate safeguarding training for both vulnerable adults and children. Information was available in the practice that contained telephone numbers of whom to contact outside of the practice if there was a need, such as the local authority responsible for investigations. The practice reported that there had been no safeguarding incidents that required further investigation by appropriate authorities.

Medical emergencies

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with medical emergencies at the practice. The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED), a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Staff had received training in how to use this equipment.

The practice had in place emergency medicines as set out in the British National Formulary guidance for dealing with common medical emergencies in a dental practice. The

Are services safe?

practice had access to medical oxygen along with other related items such as manual breathing aids and portable suction in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. The emergency medicines we saw were all in date and stored in a central location known to all staff. Medical oxygen was available on both floors of the practice and staff were aware of their location.

The practice held training sessions each year for the whole team so that they could maintain their competence in dealing with medical emergencies. Staff we spoke with demonstrated they knew how to respond if a person suddenly became unwell.

Staff recruitment

All of the dentists, dental hygienist and dental nurses had current registration with the General Dental Council (GDC), the dental professionals' regulatory body. The practice had a recruitment policy that detailed the checks required to be undertaken before a person started work. For example, proof of identity, a full employment history, evidence of relevant qualifications, adequate medical indemnity cover, immunisation status and references.

We looked at 4 staff recruitment files and records and confirmed that the staff had been recruited in accordance with the practice's recruitment policy.

The systems and processes we saw were in line with the information required by regulations. Staff recruitment records were stored securely to protect the confidentiality of staff personal information.

We saw that all staff had received appropriate checks from the Disclosure and Baring Service (DBS). These are checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had arrangements in place to monitor health and safety and deal with foreseeable emergencies. The practice maintained a comprehensive system of policies and risk assessments which included radiation, fire safety, general health and safety and those pertaining to all the equipment used in the practice.

The practice had in place a well-maintained Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) file. This file contained details of the way substances and materials used in dentistry should be handled and the precautions taken to prevent harm to staff and patients. We were advised training relating to COSHH was carried out annually.

Infection control

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection within the practice. The practice had in place a robust infection control policy that was regularly reviewed. It was demonstrated through direct observation of the cleaning process and a review of practice protocols that HTM 01 05 (national guidance for infection prevention and control in dental practices) Essential Quality Requirements for infection control was being met. It was observed that audit of infection control processes were being carried out every six months confirming compliance with HTM 01 05 guidelines.

We saw that the four dental treatment rooms, waiting area, reception and toilet were visibly clean, tidy and clutter free. Clear zoning, demarking clean from dirty areas, was apparent in all treatment rooms. Hand washing facilities were available including liquid soap and paper towel dispensers in each of the treatment rooms.

Hand washing protocols were also displayed appropriately in various areas of the practice and bare below the elbow working was observed.

The instrument drawers of two treatment rooms were inspected and these were clean, ordered and free from clutter. At the time of inspection, it was seen that the local anaesthetic cartridges were not stored in blister packs. The nurse advised this issue would be addressed immediately. Each treatment room had the appropriate personal protective equipment available for staff use, including protective gloves and visors.

The three nurses we spoke with described to us the end-to-end process of infection control procedures at the practice. They explained the decontamination of the general treatment room environment following the treatment of a patient. They demonstrated how the working surfaces, dental unit and dental chair were decontaminated. This included the treatment of the dental water lines.

The practice had a decontamination room for instrument cleaning, sterilisation and the packaging of processed instruments. The dental nurse we spoke with demonstrated the process from taking the dirty

instruments through to clean and ready for use again. The process of cleaning, inspection, sterilisation, packaging and storage of instruments followed a well-defined system of zoning from dirty through to clean. We noted that the decontamination room was not secure and access could be gained by patients.

The practice used manual cleaning for the initial cleaning process, following inspection with an illuminated magnifier; the instruments were placed in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). When the instruments had been sterilised, they were pouched and stored until required. All pouches were dated with an expiry date in accordance with current guidelines.

We were shown the systems in place to ensure that the autoclaves used in the decontamination process were validated daily to ensure they were working effectively. We saw data sheets used to record the essential daily and weekly validation checks of the sterilisation cycles were complete and up to date. All recommended tests utilised as part of the validation of the autoclaves were carried out in accordance with current guidelines, the results of which were recorded in an appropriate log file.

The dental unit water lines (DUWL's) were maintained to prevent the growth and spread of Legionella bacteria by daily purging of the DUWL's with a proprietary chemical and flushing between patients. Legionella is a term for a bacterium which is present in all potable water. It is a legal requirement for duty holders to manage and control the presence of Legionella in water systems and follow published HSE guidance ACoP L8 and HSG274.

We saw that a Legionella risk assessment had been carried out at the practice by a competent person in August 2016. The recommended procedures contained in the report were carried out and logged appropriately. These measures ensured that patients and staff were protected from the risk of infection due to Legionella.

The segregation and storage of clinical waste was in line with current guidelines laid down by the Department of Health. We observed that sharps containers, clinical waste bags and municipal waste were properly maintained in accordance with current guidelines. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove clinical waste from the practice. This was stored in a separate secure and locked containers location adjacent to the practice prior to collection by the waste contractor. Waste consignment notices were available for inspection.

We saw that general environmental cleaning was carried out according to a cleaning plan developed by the practice. Cleaning materials and equipment were not stored in accordance with current national guidelines as the mop heads were touching, but the practice manager assured us that this would be immediately rectified.

Equipment and medicines

Equipment checks were regularly carried out in line with the manufacturer's recommendations. For example, the autoclaves had been serviced and calibrated in 2016 and other equipment used in the decontamination processes had been serviced in line with manufactures recommendations. BUPA has a policy of carrying out an engineer's site visit every two months to ensure all equipment is fit for purpose.

Portable appliance testing (PAT) had been carried out in April 2016 and had been booked to be carried out again in March 2017.

The batch numbers and expiry dates for local anaesthetics were recorded in patient dental care records. All dental materials were stored appropriately. The practice had in place a prescription logging system to account for the prescriptions issued to prevent inappropriate prescribing or loss of prescriptions. The practice manager also advised they would be implementing an antibiotic prescribing audit as part of their clinical quality assurance process.

We observed that the practice had equipment to deal with minor first aid problems such as minor eye problems and body fluid and mercury spillage.

Radiography (X-rays)

We were shown a well-maintained radiation protection file in line with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 and Ionising Radiation Medical Exposure Regulations 2000 (IR(ME)R). This file contained the names of the Radiation Protection Advisor and the Radiation Protection Supervisor and the necessary documentation pertaining to the maintenance of the X-ray equipment. Included in the file were the three yearly maintenance logs and a copy of the local rules. The local rules for each X-ray set must be posted next to each machine and must contain the name of the appointed Radiation Protection Advisor, the identification

Are services safe?

and description of each controlled area and a summary of the arrangements for restriction access. Additionally, they must summarise the working instructions, any contingency arrangements and the dose investigation level for each piece of X-ray equipment.

We noted that the practice was not carrying out an ongoing audit of radiographs as required by IR(ME)R. The practice manager advised this would be addressed immediately. Dental care records we saw where X-rays had been taken, showed that the dentists justified, reported on and quality assured their X-rays. These findings showed that the practice was acting in accordance with national radiological guidelines whereby patients and staff were protected from unnecessary exposure to radiation.

We saw training records that showed appropriate staff had received training for core radiological knowledge under IR(ME)R 2000 Regulations as required by GDC mandatory verifiable continual professional development (CPD).

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The dentists carried out consultations, assessments and treatment in line with recognised general professional guidelines. One dentist described this is a referral practice for dental implants.

The assessment began with the patient completing a medical history questionnaire disclosing any health conditions, medicines being taken and any allergies suffered. We saw evidence that the medical history was updated at subsequent visits. This was followed by an examination covering the condition of a patient's teeth, gums and soft tissues and the signs of mouth cancer. Patients were then made aware of the condition of their oral health and whether it had changed since the last appointment.

Following the clinical assessment, the diagnosis and treatment options were then discussed with the patient.

Where relevant, preventative dental information was given in order to improve the outcome for the patient. This included dietary advice and general oral hygiene instruction such as tooth brushing techniques or recommended tooth care products.

The patient dental care record was updated with the proposed treatment after discussing options with the patient. A written treatment plan was then given to each patient and this included the cost involved and a detailed report of any potential complications. Patients were monitored through follow-up appointments and these were scheduled in line with their individual requirements.

Dental care records that were shown to us by the dentists demonstrated that the findings of the assessment and details of the treatment carried out were recorded appropriately. We saw details of the condition of the gums using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) scores and soft tissues lining the mouth. The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums. These were carried out where appropriate during a dental health assessment.

Health promotion & prevention

The practice was focused on the prevention of dental disease and the maintenance of good oral health which is essential to good outcomes for example when implants have been inserted. To facilitate this aim, the practice appointed a dental hygienist to work alongside of the dentists in delivering preventative dental care.

Dental care records we reviewed with the dentists showed that oral health advice was given to patients. The practice also sold a range of dental hygiene products to maintain healthy teeth and gums; these were available in the reception area.

Staffing

We observed a friendly atmosphere at the practice. All clinical staff had current registration with their professional body, the GDC.

We noted that the external name plate which detailed names of the dentists working at the practice did not include their GDC registration number in accordance with GDC guidance from March 2012. The manager explained this would be implemented ASAP.

All of the patients we asked told us they felt there was enough staff working at the practice. Staff told us there were enough staff. Staff we spoke with told us they felt supported by the dentist and practice manager. They told us they felt they had acquired the necessary skills to carry out their role and were encouraged to progress.

There was a structured induction programme in place for new members of staff.

The dental hygienist and dentists always worked with chairside support.

Working with other services

A dentist explained how they worked with their referring dentists. Dentists in the practice were able to offer patients a range of dental services including implants, orthodontic treatments, endodontic treatment and advanced cosmetic dentistry. The records of the patient journey were maintained on the computerised records system along with a patient referral tracking system. We saw examples of referrals that had been received and saw that patients were always given treatment and costing options before informed consent was obtained.

Consent to care and treatment

A dentist we spoke with explained how they implemented the principles of informed consent; they had a very clear understanding of consent issues. The dentist explained how individual treatment options, risks, benefits and costs

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

were discussed with each patient and then documented in a written treatment plan. They stressed the importance of communication skills when explaining care and treatment to patients to help ensure they had an understanding of their treatment options.

The dentist went on to explain how they would obtain consent from a patient who suffered with any mental impairment that may mean that they might be unable to fully understand the implications of their treatment. If there was any doubt about their ability to understand or consent to the treatment, then treatment would be postponed. They added they would involve relatives and carers if appropriate to ensure that the best interests of the patient were served as part of the process. This followed the guidelines of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. Staff were familiar with the concept of Gillick competence in respect of the care and treatment of children under 16. Gillick competence is used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Treatment rooms were situated away from the main waiting areas and we saw that doors were closed at all times when patients were with dentists.

Conversations between patients and dentists could not be heard from outside the treatment rooms and this protected patients' privacy. Patients' clinical records were stored on computers which were password protected and regularly backed up to secure off site storage; with paper records stored in an area of the practice not accessible to unauthorised members of the general public.

Practice computer screens were not overlooked which ensured that patients' confidential information could not be viewed at reception. Staff were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and maintaining confidentiality.

We obtained the views of sixteen patients prior to the day of our visit and two patients on the day of our visit. These provided a very positive view of the service the practice provided and all of these patients commented that the dentists were good at treating them with care and concern. Patients commented that treatment was explained clearly and the staff were caring and put them at ease. They also said that the reception staff were helpful and efficient. During the inspection, we observed staff in the reception area, they were polite and helpful towards patients and the general atmosphere was welcoming and friendly.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided clear treatment plans to their patients that detailed possible treatment options and indicative costs.

The dentist we spoke with paid particular attention to patient involvement when drawing up individual care plans. We saw evidence in the records we looked at that the dentists recorded the information they had provided to patients about their treatment and the options open to them as well as any potential risks.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

During our inspection, we looked at examples of information available to patients.

We saw that the practice waiting area displayed a variety of information. These explained opening hours, emergency 'out of hours' contact details and arrangements and how to make a complaint. The practice website also contained useful information to patients such as how to provide feedback to the practice, details of out of hour's arrangements and the costs of treatment. We observed that the appointment diaries were not overbooked and that this provided capacity each day for patients with dental pain to be fitted into urgent slots for each dentist.

The dentists decided how long a patient's appointment needed to be and took into account any special circumstances such as whether a patient was very nervous, had an impairment and the level of complexity of treatment.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had made reasonable adjustments to help prevent inequity for patients that experienced limited mobility or other barriers that may hamper them from accessing services.

The practice used a translation service, which they arranged if it was clear that a patient had difficulty in understanding information about their treatment. We noted that there was not a hearing loop in place to assist those patients who were hearing aid users.

To improve access for patients who found steps a barrier one treatment room was based on the ground floor.

We observed that the ground floor disabled access toilet did not have an emergency cord. The practice manager told us that they had already identified this, that they had obtained a quote and that it was due to be fitted very shortly.

Access to the service

The practice's opening hours were from 8:30am to 5pm on Monday to Friday. They were also open one Saturday a month and occasional evenings for orthodontic appointments.

The patients said that they were satisfied with the hours the surgery was open and that if required could get an emergency appointment in a timely manner. These were provided either over the lunchtime period or the patients could sit and wait for a spare slot. All patients would be seen if their need dictated it.

The practice used an answerphone to give advice in case of a dental emergency when the practice was closed, as well as providing an emergency phone number which enabled access to one of three dentists who covered on a rota basis.

Concerns & complaints

There was a complaints policy which provided staff with information about handling formal complaints from patients. Staff told us the practice team viewed complaints as a learning opportunity and discussed those received in order to improve the quality of service provided.

Information for patients about how to make a complaint was available in the practice's waiting room. This included contact details of other agencies to contact if a patient was not satisfied with the outcome of the practice investigation into their complaint. We asked patients if they knew how to make a complaint if they had an issue or concern and they said yes. We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients and found there was an effective system in place which ensured a timely response. For example, a complaint would be acknowledged within seven working days and a full response would be given in 21 days. We saw evidence of complaints being dealt with appropriately and were told that there were no on-going complaints at present.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The governance arrangements of the practice were developed through a process of continual learning and improvement. The governance arrangements for this location consisted of the practice manager who was responsible for the day to day running of the practice.

The practice maintained a comprehensive system of policies and procedures using a commercially available dental clinical governance system. All the staff we spoke with were aware of the policies and how to access them. We noted management policies and procedures were kept under review by the Practice Manager on a regular basis.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Effective leadership was provided by the practice manager. The practice ethos focused on providing patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment. The comment cards we saw reflected this approach.

The staff we spoke with described a transparent culture which encouraged candour, openness and honesty. Staff said they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the practice owner. There was a no blame culture within the practice. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did raise a concern. We found staff to be hard working, caring and committed to the work they did.

All the staff we spoke with demonstrated a firm understanding of the principles of clinical governance in dentistry and were happy with the practice facilities. Staff reported that the practice manager was proactive and aimed to resolve problems very quickly. As a result, staff were motivated and enjoyed working at the practice and were proud of the service they provided to patients.

Learning and improvement

We saw evidence of systems to identify staff learning needs which were underpinned by an appraisal system and a programme of clinical audit. For example, we observed that all staff received an annual appraisal. There was a system of peer review in place to facilitate the learning and development needs of the dentists and dental nurses which took place on an annual basis.

We found there was a rolling programme of some clinical and non-clinical audits taking place at the practice. These included infection control, clinical record keeping.

The audits demonstrated a comprehensive process where the practice had analysed the results to discuss and identify where improvement actions may be needed.

Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development as required by the GDC. Staff told us that the practice ethos was that all staff should receive appropriate training and development.

The practice manager encouraged staff to carry out professional development wherever possible. The practice used a variety of ways to ensure staff development including internal training and staff meetings as well as attendance at external courses.

The practice ensured that all staff underwent regular mandatory training in cardio pulmonary resuscitation (CPR), infection control, child protection and adult safeguarding and dental radiography (X-rays).

We discussed with the appropriate staff the action they would take if a significant incident occurred, and they detailed a process that involved a discussion and feedback with any patient that might be involved. This indicated an understanding of their duty of candour.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice gathered feedback from patients through surveys, compliments and complaints. We saw that there was a robust complaints procedure in place, with details available for patients in the waiting area.

Results of the most recent practice survey carried out indicated that 100% of patients, who responded, said they would recommend the practice to a family member or friend.

As a result of patient feedback, the practice is in the process or recruiting an orthodontist who can provide two full days per month instead of the current half day.

Staff told us that the dentists were very approachable and they felt they could give their views about how things were done at the practice. Staff told us that they had frequent meetings and described the meetings as good with the opportunity to discuss successes, changes and improvements. For example, changes included the provision in the waiting room of a good supply of magazines.