

Seaham Smiles

Brunswick Dental Practice

Inspection report

Darrell Street Brunswick Village Newcastle Upon Tyne NE13 7DS Tel: 01912368191

Date of inspection visit: 12/03/2024 Date of publication: 15/04/2024

Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 12 March 2024 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises. We highlighted some additional risks in relation to the servicing of equipment.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children

Summary of findings

- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation Systems should be implemented to risk assess staff immunity.
- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines. Improvements could be made to the audit systems.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

Background

Brunswick Dental Practice is in Newcastle Upon Tyne and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is ramp access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. On street parking is available near the practice. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 5 dentists, 7 dental nurses (3 of whom were trainees), a dental therapist, a practice manager, and a receptionist. The practice has 3 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 2 dentists, 2 dental nurses, a receptionist and 2 practice managers (1 of whom was from their sister practice). We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Friday from 9.am to 5.30pm

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Take action to ensure that all clinical staff have adequate immunity for vaccine preventable infectious diseases.
- Improve the practice's systems for checking and monitoring equipment taking into account relevant guidance and ensure that all equipment is well maintained.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

| Are services safe? | No action | ✓ |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Are services effective? | No action | ✓ |
| Are services caring? | No action | ✓ |
| Are services responsive to people's needs? | No action | ✓ |
| Are services well-led? | No action | ✓ |

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. The practice had appointed a safeguarding lead to oversee safeguarding awareness and training.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. The practice had appointed an infection prevention and control lead to oversee and maintain standards. We highlighted to staff on the day of inspection that the extractor fan in the decontamination room was not working.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment. Monthly hot and cold-water temperature checks were completed and logged. Those seen were within the required temperature ranges.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff, including for agency or locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

The practice did not have effective systems to ensure all clinical staff had adequate immunity for vaccine preventable infectious diseases. In the records we were shown, there was no evidence to show whether the staff member had immunity to the Hepatitis B virus. Risk assessments were not in place for staff who had no evidence of immunity or who were non/low responders.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. The management of fire safety was effective. However, the practice could not demonstrate the necessary compliance with emergency lighting. On the day of the inspection, we noted they did not hold current servicing certificates. The provider has since confirmed these have been booked in to be completed.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available.

Risks to patients

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year. Immediate life support training was also completed by staff providing treatment to patients under sedation.

Are services safe?

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national 2-week wait arrangements.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out

Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

The practice offered conscious sedation for patients. A visiting seditionist undertook all sedation. The practice's systems included checks before and after treatment, emergency equipment requirements, medicines management, and staff availability and training. We saw no evidence on the day of the inspection that sedation equipment was serviced in line with current guidance. There was no risk assessment in place in the event of an emergency. We suggested the provider completed an audit on access to the premises in the event of an emergency.

The practice undertook suitable risk assessments before providing dental care in domiciliary settings such as care homes or in people's residence.

We saw the provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

However, the practice could not demonstrate the necessary compliance with servicing of the implant motor.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health. Oral health care products were on sale. Information leaflets were available to patients as recommended by the dentist or upon request.

We signposted the clinicians to updated guidance from the British Society of Periodontology to support them to ensure patients assessments are carried out and documented in line with this.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability. Staff had undertaken training in autism and learning disability awareness to equip them to meet the needs of people using the service.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. However, the practice was not carrying out radiography audits six-monthly in line with current guidance and were undertaking these annually.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council. Staff told us they had enough time for their role and did not feel rushed in their work.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patient feedback said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Privacy and dignity

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website/information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included for example photographs, study models, and X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with limited mobility including having step free access via a portable ramp and a ground floor surgery. Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients. The practice had a hearing loop available for patients who were hard of hearing.

Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website, patient information leaflet and social media page.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with partner organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

The provider demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

The inspection highlighted some areas where improvements were needed, for example, servicing of equipment and risk assessment for Hepatitis B status.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

Culture

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals and one-to-one meetings. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

Governance and management

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management. The practice used an online dental compliance tool to assist in the management of the service.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance. The inspection highlighted some additional minor areas of risk which the practice acted on and sent us evidence ...

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

Continuous improvement and innovation

Are services well-led?

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance and continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, and infection prevention and control. However, improvements were required to the radiograph audit and record card audit as some audits did not have action plans.