

# Marden House Dental Practice Partnership Mydentist - St Owen Street -Hereford

### **Inspection Report**

4 St Owen Street Hereford Herefordshire HR1 2PH Tel: 01432 356891 Website: www.mydentist.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 5 June 2017 Date of publication: 11/07/2017

### **Overall summary**

We carried out this announced inspection on 5 June 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Background

Mydentist – St Owen Street is in Hereford and provides NHS and private dental treatment to patients of all ages.

The practice is spilt over two floors, the ground floor has a reception area, two surgeries, two waiting rooms, an assisted toilet and level access for patients who use

# Summary of findings

wheelchairs and pushchairs. The second floor is located in the basement and has two surgeries, a practice manager's office and a decontamination room for the cleaning, sterilising and packing of dental instruments.

The dental team includes three dentists, five dental nurses, one decontamination assistant, a practice manager and four receptionists. The practice has four treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by a corporate company and as a condition of registration they must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at Mydentist – St Owen Street is the practice manager. At the time of the inspection the practice manager was not available and we were assisted by the area development manager and the regulatory officer within the company.

On the day of inspection we collected 21 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. This information gave us a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with two dentists, three dental nurses, two receptionists, a regulatory officer and the area development manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Friday 9am - 5.30pm

### Our key findings were:

- The practice was clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.
- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

• Review monitoring processes and protocols to ensure effective monitoring of incident procedures, legionella procedures, radiography audit, infection control audit, dental material expiry date procedures and the practice's waste handling protocols.

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment.

The practice used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments. We found that there was no visible delineation for clean and dirty areas in the surgeries and decontamination room. Clean / dirty signage was placed in the decontamination and treatment rooms the following day.

A legionella risk assessment had been completed by the practice which had failed to monitor and record water temperatures appropriately. This was identified by the regulatory officer engaged by the practice and reported to the practice who then checked correctly for April 2017. Due to staff sickness the water temperatures had not been monitored and recorded in May 2017.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with X-rays. The dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took and completed annual X-ray audits. However the practice did not develop action plans and share learning as a result of the audit.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.

<b>Are services effective?</b> We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	~
The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as pain free, punctual and gentle. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.		
The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.		
The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.		
<b>Are services caring?</b> We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	✓

We received feedback about the practice from 21 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were friendly, caring and helpful. They said that they were happy with the service received, fitted in for emergency appointments at short notice, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

<b>Are services responsive to people's needs?</b> We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.	No action	~
The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.		
Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children. The practice had access to telephone interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.		
The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.		
<b>Are services well-led?</b> We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant	No action	✓
regulations.		
regulations. The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure.		
The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment		

## Are services safe?

### Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate, respond and learn from accidents, incidents and significant events. Staff knew about these and understood their role in the process.

There was an incident tracker in place however as no incidents had been logged to date therefore we could not evidence that the practice recorded, responded to and discussed all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning in line with company policy.

The practice received national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA). Relevant alerts were discussed with staff, acted on and stored for future reference.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. The practice followed relevant safety laws when using needles and other sharp dental items. The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

#### **Medical emergencies**

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year with the latest training being completed in June 2017.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at five staff recruitment files. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice's health and safety policies and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental topics. The practice had current employer's liability insurance and checked each year that the clinicians' professional indemnity insurance was up to date.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients.

The segregation and storage of dental waste was in line with current guidelines from the Department of Health. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove dental waste from the practice and we saw the necessary waste consignment notices. However one of the two waste bin locks was broken and therefore waste was not securely stored before it was collected. The area development manager advised that this would be reported as a matter of urgency and only the lockable bin would be used whilst awaiting repair of the waste bin lock.

### Infection control

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05:

### Are services safe?

Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year.

There was a dedicated decontamination room which served all four treatment rooms and was used for cleaning, sterilising and packing instruments. Although staff were aware of the separation of clean and dirty areas in all treatment rooms and the decontamination room there was no signage to reinforce this. We were sent photographic evidence the following day to show that clean / dirty signage had been placed in the decontamination and treatment rooms. The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice carried out an infection prevention and control audits twice a year. This would be improved upon if the practice developed an action plan and shared learnings as a result of the audits.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. However we found that the staff had been completed the water temperature checks for incorrect sentinal taps, this was identified by the regulatory officer and reported to the practice who then checked correctly for April 2017. Due to staff sickness the water temperatures had not been monitored and recorded in May 2017.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises and there were cleaning logs in place. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

### **Equipment and medicines**

We saw servicing documentation for the equipment used. Staff carried out checks in line with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing and storing medicines.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

### Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file. We found that the practice had an Orthopantomogram X-ray machine (OPG) and X-ray developing machine in place with no supporting maintenance documentation. We were informed that the X-ray developing machine was broken beyond repair and was being removed and that the OPG machine was being decommissioned from use. Following our inspection we were advised that all electricity to the OPG and developer machines had been cut by an electrician and the decommissioning paperwork was being raised.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits every year following current guidance and legislation. This would be improved upon if there was an action plan or evidence of shared learning from the audit.

Clinical staff completed continuous professional development in respect of dental radiography.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

### Health promotion & prevention

The practice believed in preventative care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay for each child.

The dentists told us they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

### Staffing

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuous professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council. Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals.

### Working with other services

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. This included referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist. The practice monitored urgent referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence and the dentists and dental nurses were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16. Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

# Are services caring?

### Our findings

### Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

Staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were friendly, caring and helpful. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Nervous patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave personal information where other patients might see it. Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Music was played in the waiting room and there were magazines available. The practice provided drinking water in the waiting room.

### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. These included general dentistry and treatments for gum disease.

### Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

### Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

Staff told us that they currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment. For example, staff were aware of patients who became anxious sitting in a busy waiting room and ensured these patients were seated in a quiet part of the building and scheduled appointments at quieter times of the day.

Staff told us that all patients received a text message reminder prior to their routine appointments.

### **Promoting equality**

The practice made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. These included step free access, a hearing loop, easy read forms and an accessible toilet with hand rails and a call bell.

Staff said they could provide information in different formats and languages to meet individual patients' needs. There were several staff members who were multi lingual and the practice had access to interpreter/translation services.

#### Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, their information leaflet and on their website.

We confirmed the practice kept waiting times and cancellations to a minimum.

The practice was committed to seeing patients experiencing pain on the same day and kept several appointments free for same day appointments. The website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

#### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint. The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the past 12 months. These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

## Are services well-led?

### Our findings

#### **Governance arrangements**

The registered manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice and was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments to support the management of the service and to protect patients and staff. These included arrangements to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

#### Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients if anything went wrong.

Staff told us there was an open culture at the practice. They told us they would raise issues or concerns with the practice manager and were aware that they could also raise these to the area development manager if necessary. Concerns were discussed at staff meetings and it was clear the practice worked as a team and dealt with issues professionally.

The practice held meetings where staff could raise any concerns and discuss clinical and non-clinical updates. Immediate discussions were arranged to share urgent information. Weekly bulletins were used to disseminate clinical updates and a celebrating success document was shared nationwide within the company.

#### Learning and improvement

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, X-rays and infection prevention and control. However action plans were not developed and therefore there was no improvements made or shared learning as a result of the audits.

The area development manager and regulatory officer showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. We were shown an action plan put into place by the regulatory officer to support the practice with compliance issues. Some of the findings had been implemented by the practice manager however due to unforeseen circumstances not all of the actions had been carried out. The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed mandatory training, including medical emergencies and basic life support, each year. The General Dental Council requires clinical staff to complete continuous professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice used patient surveys, complaints and verbal comments to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.