

Carlisle Parade Dental Practice

Carlisle Parade Dental **Practice**

Inspection Report

6 Carlisle Parade Hastings England Tn34 1JG Tel: 01424 428136 Website: www.agsmiles.com

Date of inspection visit: 7 November 2019 Date of publication: 29/11/2019

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 7 November 2019 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Carlisle Parade Dental Practice is in Hastings and provides private dental treatment to patients of all ages and NHS dental treatment to children.

The practice is accessed via steps. Patients requiring step-free access are advised of other local practices offering this. Car parking spaces for blue badge holders, are available outside of the practice which is within walking distance of local car parks.

1 Carlisle Parade Dental Practice Inspection Report 29/11/2019

Summary of findings

The dental team includes the principal dentist, one dental nurse, one dental hygienist and one receptionist. The practice has three treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by a partnership and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the CQC as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at Carlisle Parade Dental Practice is the principal dentist. The partnership had taken ownership of the practice eight months prior to the inspection.

On the day of inspection, we collected 33 CQC comment cards filled in by patients and spoke with two other patients.

During the inspection we spoke with the principal dentist, one dental nurse, the dental hygienist and one receptionist. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

- Tuesday and Friday 9am to 5pm
- · Wednesday and Thursday 9am to 6pm
- Saturday 10am to 2pm

Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Not all appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available on the day of the inspection, but these were delivered the following day.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff and there were systems in place to ensure that these were updated to reflect the new ownership of the practice.

- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Take action to ensure the service takes into account the needs of patients with disabilities and to comply with the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.
- Implement protocols and procedures in relation to the Accessible Information Standard to ensure that that the requirements are complied with.
- Implement an effective system of checks of medical emergency equipment and medicines taking into account the guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK) and the General Dental Council.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

No action	✓
No action	✓
No action	✓
No action	✓
No action	✓
	No action No action No action

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

Staff had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight vulnerable patients and patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication within dental care records.

The provider had a 'Speaking Up' (whistleblowing) policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, we saw that this was not documented in the dental care record. However, on the day of the inspection we saw that the records template was changed to ensure that this information was always documented; and a risk assessment was completed for the occasions where dental dams were not used.

The provider had a business continuity plan describing how they would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at all staff recruitment records. These showed the provider followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured that facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances. We noted that the practice had undertaken significant renovations of the building, some were still underway in areas not accessed by patients. Upon completion of electrical works the practice was due to be issued with a five-year electrical testing certificate.

A fire risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. We saw there were fire extinguishers and fire detection systems throughout the building and fire exits were kept clear.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required information was in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The provider carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken. We noted that this required updating to include the rationale behind the chosen sharps usage in the practice.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked.

Are services safe?

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Most emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. The day following the inspection an Automated External Defibrillator was delivered along with buccal midazolam (a medicine to treat seizures). We found staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order; and improvements were underway to ensure that these were effective.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients in line with General Dental Council (GDC) Standards for the Dental Team. A risk assessment was put into place on the day of the inspection for when the dental hygienist worked without chairside support.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The provider had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance. There were suitable numbers of dental instruments available for the clinical staff and measures were in place to ensure they were decontaminated and sterilised appropriately.

We found staff had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected. We noted that building works were ongoing in a part of the building not accessible to patients. This had resulted in a storage room in the practice being used to store waste which potentially posed a fire hazard. We discussed this with the practice owners who told us that the waste was being removed at the earliest opportunity.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The provider had carried out an infection prevention and control audit and was aware of the need to complete these on a six-monthly basis. The audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were typed and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The provider had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

We saw staff stored and kept records of NHS and private prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

An antimicrobial prescribing audit had been completed and staff planned to carry these out annually. The most recent audit indicated the dentists were following current guidelines.

Are services safe?

Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong in line with policies which the staff had recently updated to underpin their systems. The owners had taken over the practice less than one year ago and had not experienced any significant events or safety incidences. Staff told us that if there was an

incident this would be investigated, documented and discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to identify any potential areas for learning. This helped staff to understand risks which led to safety improvements.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Staff learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. We saw they were shared with the team and acted upon if required.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The clinicians prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The clinicians where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale to help patients with their oral health.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes in supporting patients to live healthier lives. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentist and hygienist described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients with preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition

Records showed patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions and we saw this documented in patient records. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. Staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentist recorded the necessary information.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

Staff had systems to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The provider also had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

Staff monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were amazing, excellent and very caring. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Various information was displayed for patients, for example, information on fees, fire evacuation procedures and complaints.

Privacy and dignity

Staff respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided limited privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. If a patient asked for more privacy, staff would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the requirements under the Equality Act. However, improvements were required to ensure that staff were aware of the Accessible Information Standard, a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given.

We saw:

 Interpreter services were available for patients who did not speak or understand English. Patients were also told about multi-lingual staff that might be able to support them.

Staff helped patients and their carers to ask questions about their care and treatment.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentist described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example, photographs, models and X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences. Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care. Patients with dental phobia or those with additional needs were given extra time in appointments to ensure that a personalised and holistic approach to dental treatment could be provided. Additionally, the practice had a therapy dog to provide therapeutic emotional and psychological support to patients with dental anxiety as well as those with conditions such as autism. The dog had received specialised training for this purpose.

A disability access audit had not been completed at the time of the inspection. Following the inspection, we saw evidence that this had been completed and an action plan developed to continually improve access for patients. Staff at the practice told us they would make adjustments for patients with disabilities to accommodate their needs. Reading glasses were available, the practice had plans in place to purchase a hearing loop. Patients could be seen in a downstairs treatment room and would be assisted up the steps into the practice.

Additionally, staff at the practice knew their patients well and would telephone some patients on the morning of their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice. The practice also telephoned patients following tooth extractions or extended treatments to review their well-being. Staff would ensure that, if necessary patients were assisted with using the parking machine, inform relatives that a patient had arrived safely at the practice and ensure that any written information and appointment times was received by relatives upon the patient arriving back home.

Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and included it in the practice information leaflet.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients who requested an urgent appointment were offered an appointment the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The practice's answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients were seen by the principal dentist out of hours. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The provider was responsible for dealing with complaints and concerns and took these seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The provider had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The receptionist together with the provider was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the receptionist about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The receptionist and provider aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice had dealt with their concerns.

The practice had received no complaints since taking ownership of the practice but told us that any concerns would be responded to appropriately and outcomes discussed with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

We found the partners had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care; to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

The partners were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

The partners were visible and approachable. Staff told us they worked closely with them and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive patient care.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care. The practice ethos focused on providing a 'personal' touch ensuring that patients were treated in a holistic manner.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

We saw the provider had systems in place to deal with staff poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so, and they had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The partners had overall responsibility for the management, clinical leadership and day to day running of the practice. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff. The new provider of the practice had undertaken several improvements including renovations and offering a broader range of treatments. Improvements including the reviewing and updating of all governance systems were ongoing.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

The provider had information governance arrangements which reflected current guidance and legislation and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff involved patients and staff to support high-quality sustainable services.

The provider used patient surveys, comment cards and verbal comments to obtain patients' views about the service. We saw examples of suggestions from patients the practice had acted on. For example, the practice reinstated magazines and displayed art in the practice following patient feedback. Additionally, the practice provided a water heater to warm the water from the dental unit water lines at the request of patients.

The provider gathered feedback from staff through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs, infection prevention and control and antimicrobial prescribing. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The partners showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

Are services well-led?

The receptionist and dental nurse had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.