

## **Snaith Hall Limited**

# Snaith Hall Care Home

## **Inspection report**

Pontefract Road

Snaith

Goole

Humberside

DN149JR

Tel: 01405862191

Website: www.snaithhallcarehome.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 13 January 2021

Date of publication: 27 January 2021

### Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good •
Is the service safe?	Good
Is the service well-led?	Good

## Summary of findings

### Overall summary

About the service

Snaith Hall is a residential care home providing personal care to 30 people aged 65 and over at the time of the inspection, some of whom were living with dementia or with a physical disability. The service can support up to 47 people.

The service has two units, each spread across two floors; The Garden Wing and The Hall. A number of bedrooms have ensuite facilities. People living in the two units have access to outside gardens and seating areas, which are provided in secure settings. There is car parking for staff and visitors to the front and side of the service.

People's experience of using this service and what we found

People felt safe and well looked after. All areas were clean, tidy and there was sufficient cleaning taking place to keep people safe from the risk of infection. Relatives said they were confident that staff provided good care in a safe way.

Care plans and risk assessments were in place for people's support needs. These were reviewed regularly and covered people's health conditions. Families confirmed that they were able to contribute their views on their relative's care and support.

The assessment, monitoring and mitigation of risk towards people who used the service was good. The registered manager carried out regular checks and analysis of falls and incidents to ensure learning from events was undertaken. This meant risks to people's health and safety were reduced.

People received their medicines on time and when they needed them. Staff had positive links with healthcare professionals which promoted people's wellbeing.

Staffing levels were consistent and staff were confident they could meet people's needs. We observed staff being patient, kind and respectful towards people. Care was person-centred and staff had time to chat with people during the day.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible. The policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

There was a registered manager who had come into post since the last inspection. They were making positive changes to the service; people, staff and relatives spoke highly of them. There was evidence of good leadership, oversight and management within the service.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the Care Quality Commission (CQC) website at www.cqc.org.uk

#### Rating at last inspection

The last rating for this service was good (published 5 October 2017).

#### Why we inspected

The inspection was prompted due to concerns received about infection control. A decision was made for us to inspect and examine those risks.

We undertook a focused inspection to review the key questions of safe and well-led only. We reviewed the information we held about the service. No areas of concern were identified in the other key questions. We therefore did not inspect them. Ratings from previous comprehensive inspections for those key questions were used in calculating the overall rating at this inspection.

We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the Safe key question. We look at this in all care home inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to coronavirus and other infection outbreaks effectively.

We found no evidence during this inspection that people were at risk of harm from these concerns. Please see the safe and well-led sections of this full report.

You can read the report from our last comprehensive inspection, by selecting the 'all reports' link for Snaith Hall Care Home on our website at www.cqc.org.uk.

#### Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service until we return to visit as per our reinspection programme. If we receive any concerning information we may inspect sooner.

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Good •
The service was safe.	
Details are in our safe findings below.	
Is the service well-led?	Good •
Is the service well-led?  The service was well-led.	Good •



# Snaith Hall Care Home

**Detailed findings** 

## Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

As part of this inspection we looked at the infection control and prevention measures in place. This was conducted so we can understand the preparedness of the service in preventing or managing an infection outbreak, and to identify good practice we can share with other services.

#### Inspection team

This inspection was carried out by one inspector.

#### Service and service type

Snaith Hall is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

#### Notice of inspection

We gave a short notice period of the inspection because of the Coronavirus pandemic. We had to arrange safe working procedures for our inspection.

#### What we did before the inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We sought feedback from the local authority who work with the service. The provider was not asked to complete a provider information return prior to this inspection. This is information we require providers to send us to give some key information about the service, what the service does well and improvements they plan to make. We took this into account when we inspected the service and made the judgements in this report. We used all of this

information to plan our inspection.

#### During the inspection

We spoke with two people who used the service about their experience of the care provided. We received two emails from relatives about their views of the service. We spoke with the nominated individual, the registered manager, two members of care staff and the housekeeper. The nominated individual is responsible for supervising the management of the service on behalf of the provider.

We walked around the service and observed care and social interactions using infection prevention and control (IPC) and socially distanced practices.

We reviewed a range of records. This included three people's care records and three people's medication records. We looked at three staff files in relation to recruitment and staff supervision. We looked at a variety of records relating to the management of the service.

#### After the inspection

We continued to seek clarification from the nominated individual and registered manager to validate evidence taken away from the service and sent to us.



## Is the service safe?

## Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- Staff were trained in safeguarding and had the skills and knowledge to identify and raise concerns internally and to relevant professionals.
- The registered manager was aware of their responsibility to liaise with the local authority if safeguarding concerns were raised.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management; Learning lessons when things go wrong

- People's care plans included risk assessments. These provided staff with a clear description of any risks and guidance on the support people needed.
- The registered manager monitored and analysed accidents, incidents and safeguarding concerns to aid learning and reduce the risk of them happening again.
- The environment and equipment were safe and maintained. Emergency plans were in place to ensure people were protected in the event of a fire.
- Staff training on health and safety had been completed. Staff had attended moving and handling, infection prevention and control and fire drill training.
- People and relatives were satisfied about safety in the service. One person who used the service said, "I feel safe here. I have no concerns or worries."

#### Staffing and recruitment

- Staff were recruited safely, and appropriate checks were carried out to protect people from the employment of unsuitable staff.
- There were enough staff on duty to meet people's needs. We observed that the service was calm, quiet and well organised. People's requests for attention were dealt with quickly and staff were working in an efficient way.

#### Using medicines safely

• Medicines were safely received, stored, administered and destroyed when they were no longer required. People were encouraged to manage their own medicines where they had those skills.

#### Preventing and controlling infection

• The service was clean and tidy throughout. Staff had received infection prevention and control training. They followed government guidance on Covid-19 and the provider's policy and procedure to ensure people were protected from the risk of infections spreading.



## Is the service well-led?

## Our findings

Well-led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as good. At this inspection this key question has remained the same. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

- The service benefited from having a registered manager who was committed to providing good quality care to people who used the service. One relative said, "I could not wish for [Name] to be looked after any better. The care staff treat them like family."
- Staff told us they felt listened to and that the registered manager was approachable.

How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong; Continuous learning and improving care; Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

- The registered manager communicated all relevant incidents or concerns both internally to the provider and externally to the local authority or CQC as required by law.
- Families said the service was open, honest and transparent. A relative said, "I am happy with the level of communication between the manager, staff and myself. From the onset the management team have kept us informed of their plans and processes to manage through the Covid-19 lockdowns."
- Regular checks were carried out by staff and the registered manager to ensure people were safe and happy with the service they received.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- Systems to monitor and assess the safety and quality of the service were in place. Audits were completed and any actions were implemented and used to improve the service.
- •The service had a welcoming and family orientated approach to care. Staff morale was high and the atmosphere in the service was warm, happy and supportive. One person told us, "I love it here. Over the last year I have kept in touch with my family through phone calls, skype calls and window visits."
- Our observations were that it was well run and people who used the service were treated with respect and in a professional manner.

Working in partnership with others

• The service had good links with the local community and worked in partnership with other agencies to improve people's opportunities and wellbeing.