

Ilkley Dental Care Limited

Bodental Dental Practice

Inspection Report

Bo Dental Practice
33 Otley street
Skipton
BD23 1EL
Tel:01756 794328
Website:www.pgbdental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 31/01/2016
Date of publication: 08/03/2017

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 31 January 2017 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Bo dental provides private dental treatment to patients of all ages and also has a small NHS contract. The services include preventative advice and treatment and routine restorative dental care.

The staff consists of three dentists, two dental hygienists, four dental nurses, one receptionist and a practice manager.

The practice is in the centre of Skipton, North Yorkshire based in a converted building. The practice has two surgeries, a decontamination room, a waiting and a reception area.

The opening hours are Monday – Wednesday 8:30am-5:30pm, Thursday- 8:30am-4:30pm and Friday 8:00am-11:30am.

The principal dentist is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Our key findings were:

- The premises were visibly clean and tidy.
- The practice had procedures in place to record and analyse significant events and incidents.

Summary of findings

- Staff had received safeguarding training, and knew the process to follow to raise concerns.
 - There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified, skilled staff to meet the needs of patients.
 - Staff had been trained to deal with medical emergencies, and emergency medicines and equipment were available.
 - Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment were delivered, in accordance with current legislation, standards, and guidance.
 - Patients received information about their care, proposed treatment, costs, benefits, and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.
 - Staff were supported to deliver effective care, and opportunities for training and learning were available.
 - Patients were treated with kindness, dignity, and respect.
 - The appointment system met the needs of patients, and emergency appointments were available.
 - Services were planned and delivered to meet the needs of patients, and reasonable adjustments were made to enable patients to receive their care and treatment.
 - The practice gathered the views of patients and took their views into account.
 - Staff were supervised, felt involved, and worked as a team.
 - Governance arrangements were in place for the smooth running of the practice, and for the delivery of high quality person centred care.
- There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:
- Review the use of the external exit to the practice within the decontamination room. This is to give due regard to guidelines issued by the Department of Health - Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices and The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance.
 - Review the health and safety checks to ensure they include electrical periodic testing and gas safety.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective systems in place to assess and manage risks to patients.

Staff completed annual training in how to deal with medical emergencies.

There were systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members.

X-ray equipment was regularly maintained.

The decontamination procedures were effective and the equipment involved in the decontamination process was regularly serviced, validated and checked to ensure it was safe to use.

There were maintenance contracts in place to ensure all equipment had been serviced regularly, including; fire extinguishers, the air compressor and medical emergency oxygen.

Staff were suitably qualified for their roles and the practice had undertaken the relevant recruitment checks to ensure patient safety.

There was evidence to demonstrate that staff had attended training in safeguarding patients and understood their responsibilities in relation to identifying and reporting any potential abuse.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice followed current guidelines when delivering dental care and treatment to patients. Patients' medical history was recorded at their initial visit and updated at subsequent visits. Patients received an assessment of their dental health. Clinicians obtained consent from patients before treatment was provided; and treatment focused on the patients' individual needs.

Staff provided oral health advice to patients and monitored changes in their oral health. Patients were referred to other services, where necessary, in a timely manner.

Staff were encouraged to complete training relevant to their roles and this was monitored by the practice manager. The clinical staff were up to date with their continuing professional development (CPD).

Qualified staff were registered with their professional body, the General Dental Council, and were supported in meeting the requirements of their professional regulator. Staff received ongoing training in a variety of subjects to assist them in carrying out their roles.

No action



Summary of findings

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Staff explained that enough time was allocated in order to ensure treatment was fully explained to patients in a way patients understood. Time was given to patients with complex treatment needs to decide which treatment they preferred.

Staff understood the importance of emotional support when delivering care to patients who were nervous of dental treatment. Patient feedback on CQC comment cards confirmed staff were understanding and made them feel at ease.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients in reception and over the telephone. Policies and procedures in relation to data protection and security and confidentiality were in place and staff were aware of these.

No action



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointments slots for urgent or emergency appointments each day. Patients could request appointments by telephone or in person.

The practice opening hours were displayed at the practice, in the practice leaflet, and on the practice website.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments to enable patients with limited mobility to access treatment.

There was a procedure in place for responding to patients' complaints. This involved acknowledging, investigating and responding to individual complaints or concerns.

No action



Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There were a range of policies and procedures in use at the practice which were easily accessible to staff.

Environmental risks were assessed and well managed.

Staff were encouraged to share ideas and feedback during regular practice meetings. All staff were supported and encouraged to improve their skills through learning and development.

The practice had a system to monitor and continually improve the quality of the service through a programme of clinical and non-clinical audits.

No action



Bodental Dental Practice

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection took place on the 31 January 2017 and was led by a CQC with a dental specialist adviser.

Prior to the inspection we asked the practice to send us some information which we reviewed. This included details of complaints they had received in the last 12 months, their latest statement of purpose, and staff details, including their qualifications and professional body registration number where appropriate. We also reviewed information we held about the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with three dentists, a dental hygienist, three dental nurses/receptionists, and the practice manager. We toured the practice and reviewed emergency medicines and equipment.

We reviewed policies, protocols and other documents and observed procedures. We also reviewed CQC comment cards which we had sent prior to the inspection for patients to complete about the services provided at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

Staff understood the Reporting of Injuries, Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR) and provided guidance to staff within the practice's health and safety policy. The practice manager was aware of the notifications which should be reported to the CQC.

The practice manager told us they received recent alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). (The MHRA is the UK's regulator of medicines, medical devices and blood components for transfusion, responsible for ensuring their safety, quality and effectiveness). These were shared with the team via email where appropriate.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had up to date safeguarding policies and guidance for staff to refer to including the contact details for the relevant safeguarding professionals. Staff were aware of their responsibility and had completed training to safeguard patients from abuse.

The clinicians were assisted at all times by a dental nurse.

We spoke with staff about the use of safer sharps in dentistry as per the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013. A safe sharps system had been implemented within the practice and we saw a sharps policy and risk assessment in place.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy and all staff had completed relevant training. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns about colleagues without fear of recriminations.

The dentists told us they routinely used a rubber dam when providing root canal treatment to patients in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society. A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reason was recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured.

We saw patients' clinical records were computerised and backed up to secure storage to keep personal details safe.

Medical emergencies

The practice had clear guidance about how to respond to medical emergencies. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). The practice maintained emergency resuscitation equipment, medical emergency oxygen and emergency medicines to support patients.

We saw staff had attended their annual training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support as a team within the last 12 months.

Staff had received first aid training and the first aid boxes were easily accessible in the practice.

The practice had a defibrillator (AED) to support staff in a medical emergency. (An AED is a portable electronic device which analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

Staff recruitment

The practice had a policy and procedure in place for the safe recruitment of staff. They included seeking references, immunisation status and checking qualifications and professional registration. The practice's policy was to carry out Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) checks for all newly appointed staff. These checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

We looked at the recruitment files of the newest member of staff and found they contained appropriate documentation. There was an induction programme for new staff to ensure they were knowledgeable about practice policies and procedure such as health and safety requirements, practice risk assessments and patient confidentiality. The recruitment and employment records were stored securely to prevent unauthorised access.

We saw the staff were covered by indemnity insurance (this is an insurance professionals are required to have in place to cover their working practice).

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

Are services safe?

The practice had systems, processes and policies in place to manage and monitor risks to patients, staff and visitors to the practice. These included regular checks of the building, the environment, infection prevention and control and sharps disposal.

There was a control of substances hazardous (COSHH) to health risk assessment and associated procedures in place. Staff maintained records of products used at the practice and retained manufacturer's product safety details to inform staff what action to take in the event of, for example, spillage, accidental swallowing, or contact with the skin. Measures were identified to reduce risks associated with these products, for example, the use of personal protective equipment for staff and patients, the secure storage of chemicals, and the display of safety signs.

Clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and the effectiveness of the vaccination was identified. People who are likely to come into contact with blood products, and are at increased risk of injuries from sharp instruments, should receive the Hepatitis B vaccination to minimise the risks of acquiring blood borne infections.

We saw a fire risk assessment had been carried out. The provider had arrangements in place to mitigate the risks associated with fire, for example, safety signage was displayed, fire-fighting equipment was available and fire drills were carried out annually.

Infection control

We saw systems were in place for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments. The decontamination equipment was regularly serviced, validated and checked to ensure it was safe to use.

An infection control lead was in place and they ensured there was a comprehensive infection control policy and set of procedures to help keep patients safe. These included hand hygiene, manual cleaning, managing waste products and decontamination guidance.

We looked around the premises during the inspection and found the treatment rooms and the decontamination room was visibly clean and hygienic. They were free from clutter and had sealed floors and work surfaces could be cleaned with ease to promote good standards of infection prevention and control. We noted that the use of the one

external exit was via the decontamination room. We discussed with the provider that this exit be reviewed to ensure the maintenance of a sterile environment. This is to give due regard to guidelines issued by the Department of Health - Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices and The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance.

Staff cleaned the treatment areas and surfaces between each patient and at the end of the morning and afternoon sessions to help maintain infection control standards.

The practice had been carrying out an Infection Prevention Society (IPS) self- assessment audit regularly every six months. This audit relates to the Department of Health's guidance on decontamination in dental services (HTM01-05). This is designed to assist all registered primary dental care services to meet satisfactory levels of decontamination of equipment. The audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

The practice had completed a Legionella risk assessment. The practice met the Legionella safety guidelines and completed monthly water temperature checks. (Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings). The practice undertook processes to reduce the likelihood of legionella developing which included flushing the water lines in the treatment rooms at the beginning and end of each session and between patients, monitoring cold and hot water temperatures each month and the use of a water conditioning agent.

The segregation and disposal of dental waste was in accordance with current guidelines laid down by the Department of Health in the Health Technical Memorandum 07-01 Safe management of healthcare waste. The practice had arrangements for all types of dental waste to be removed from the premises by a contractor. Spillage kits were available for contaminated spillages. We observed clinical waste awaiting collection was stored securely.

Equipment and medicines

Equipment checks were regularly carried out in line with the manufacturer's recommendations.

Are services safe?

We saw evidence of servicing certificates for equipment such as the autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments), air compressor and X-ray equipment. We saw that Portable Appliance Test (PAT) had been completed but periodic electrical for wiring and fixed electrical equipment and gas safety certificates were omitted. We discussed this with the provider who confirmed these the necessary checks would be put into place.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had a Radiation Protection Adviser (RPA) and Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS). X-ray equipment was located in all treatment rooms. The practice's radiation protection files were maintained in line with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 and Ionising Radiation (Medical

Exposure) Regulations 2000 (IRMER). It was detailed and up to date with an inventory of all X-ray equipment and maintenance records. We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment.

X-rays were taken in accordance with the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP) Good Practice Guidelines. The justification for taking X-rays was recorded in dental care records to evidence the potential benefit and/or risks of the exposure had been considered. The patients dental records indicated each radiograph was quality assured and the findings reported on as per FGDP guidance. X-rays were stored within the patient's dental care record.

All staff were up to date with their continuing professional development training in respect of dental radiography.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept up to date detailed electronic dental care records. They contained information about the patient's current dental needs and past dental history. A thorough examination was carried out to assess the dental hard and soft tissues including an oral cancer screen. Dental professionals also used the basic periodontal examination (BPE) to check patients' gums. This is a simple screening tool that indicates how healthy the patient's gums and bone surrounding the teeth are.

We saw patient dental care record audits were undertaken by the practice and any necessary actions dealt with.

We received 70 CQC comment cards from the practice and were able to review patient surveys the practice had undertaken. Comments received reflected patients were very satisfied with the caring nature and professionalism of the staff.

Health promotion & prevention

The patient reception and waiting area contained a range of information that explained the services offered at the practice including the fees for NHS and private dental treatment.

The practice had a varied selection of oral health leaflets available and a good selection of dental products was on sale in the reception area to assist patients with their oral health.

Staffing

Staff confirmed they had completed a period of induction and training which covered areas such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and infection prevention and control.

We saw staff were encouraged to maintain the continuous professional development (CPD) which was a requirement of their registration with the General Dental Council (GDC).

The GDC is the statutory body responsible for regulating dentists, dental hygiene therapists, dental hygienists, dental nurses, clinical dental technicians and dental technicians. All clinical staff members were registered with the GDC and registration certificates were available in the practice.

Working with other services

The practice worked with other professionals where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations or specialist treatment. Where patients had complex dental needs, such as suspected oral cancer, the practice referred them to other healthcare professionals using their referral process. Information was shared appropriately when patients were referred to other health care providers. Urgent referrals were made in line with current guidelines.

Consent to care and treatment

Patients told us they were given appropriate information to support them to make decisions about the treatment they received. Staff confirmed they ensured patients had sufficient information and the mental capacity to give informed consent. Staff described to us how valid consent was obtained for all care and treatment and the role family members and carers might have in supporting the patient to understand and make decisions.

The practice had a consent policy in place and staff were aware of their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act (2005) (MCA). Mental Capacity Act 2005 – provides a legal framework for acting and making decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves.

The dentists demonstrated an understanding of Gillick competency. (Gillick competency is a term used in medical law to decide whether a child of 16 years or under is able to consent to their own treatment).

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Staff understood the importance of emotional support when delivering care to patients who were nervous of dental treatment.

We observed privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients who used the service on the day of the inspection. Space was limited and staff explained that if a private space was required for discussion the office or a surgery would be used. Staff told us they were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and how to maintain confidentiality.

Staff were confident in data protection and confidentiality principles and had completed information governance training.

Treatment rooms were situated away from the main waiting area and we saw doors were closed at all times when patients were being seen.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided patients with information to enable them to make informed choices about their dental care and treatment. Leaflets were available showing NHS and private treatment costs. The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments which were available at the practice.

Staff described to us how they involved patients' relatives or carers when required and ensured there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way patients understood.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

As part of our inspection we conducted a tour of the practice and we found the facilities were appropriate for the services that were planned and delivered.

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered in the waiting room and in the practice leaflet.

We looked at the recorded appointments and found capacity for urgent or emergency appointments. We confirmed the practice scheduled longer appointments where required if a patient needed more support.

We observed the clinics ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had an equality, diversity and human rights policy in place to support staff understanding and meeting the needs of patients. The staff told us they did not have any patients whose first language was not English, however if required, an interpreter service would be sought.

The staff made provision for patients to arrange appointments by telephone or in person, and patients received appointment reminders by email or telephone call. The practice provided extended and flexible appointment time to patients who were vulnerable and in need of extra care and support.

Access to the service

The practice was accessible to people with impaired mobility. There is level access and a ground floor surgery available. The patient toilet was not accessible for patients in a wheelchair.

We saw patients could access treatment and care in a timely way. The practice opening hours, and the 'out of hours' appointment information, were displayed. Emergency appointments were available daily for patients.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy which provided guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The policy was detailed in accordance with the Local Authority Social Services and National Health Service Complaints (England) Regulations 2009 and as recommended by the GDC.

Information for patients was available in the waiting areas. This included how to make a complaint, how complaints would be dealt with and the time frames for responses.

Staff told us they raised any patient comments or concerns with the practice manager immediately to ensure responses were made in a timely manner.

The practice had received two complaints in the last twelve months. Systems were in place to effectively manage concerns and complaints and share with the whole practice to enable staff learning.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The practice had governance arrangements in place including various policies and procedures for monitoring and improving the services provided for patients. Staff were aware of their roles and responsibilities within the practice. The practice manager was in charge of the day to day running of the service.

There was an effective management structure in place to ensure responsibilities of staff were clear. Staff told us they felt supported and were clear about their roles and responsibilities.

Health and safety and risk management policies were in place and we saw a risk management process to ensure the safety of patients and staff members. For example, we saw risk assessments relating to exposure to hazardous substances and medical emergencies.

Leadership, openness and transparency

The overall leadership was provided by the practice manager. The ethos of the practice was clearly apparent in all staff as being able to provide the best service possible.

We saw regular practice meetings were held and minutes of the meetings, with set agenda items such as customer service, significant events and patient feedback. We noted that items discussed included clinical and non-clinical issues. The meeting agenda was available to all staff and they were encouraged to suggest topics which could be discussed.

All staff said they could speak to the practice manager if they had any concerns and they were aware of the need to be open, honest and apologetic to patients if anything was to go wrong; this is in accordance with the Duty of Candour principle.

Learning and improvement

Staff confirmed that learning from incidents, audits, and feedback was discussed at staff meetings to share learning to inform and improve future practice.

The practice manager had introduced clinical and non-clinical audits. These included infection prevention and control, oral cancer screening, X-ray quality and record keeping. The practice manager provided feedback to staff identifying where improvement actions may be needed.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

Staff told us information was shared and they could raise any concerns about the practice if they needed to.

Patients' surveys were conducted by the practice in the last 12 months. The surveys comments had been reviewed and responded to. In response to a patient's comment the practice had recognised that they had not given adequate information to patients regarding a new 'call answering service' and also adjusted the way the service introduced itself on behalf of the practice.