

## The Smile Works Limited

# Malinslee Medical and Dental Practice

## **Inspection Report**

Church Road
Malinslee
Telford
TF3 2JZ
Tel: 01952 506556
Website:www.thesmileworks.com

Date of inspection visit: 4 February 2016 Date of publication: 18/03/2016

## Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 4 February 2016 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

## **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations

## Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations

## Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations

## Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations

## **Background**

Malinslee Medical and Dental Practice is a mixed dental practice providing mainly NHS with some private treatment for both adults and children. The Malinslee location is part of a group of four practices owned by the registered manager. The practice is situated in a purpose built health centre on the first floor. The practice had four dental treatment rooms and separate decontamination rooms for cleaning, sterilising and packing dental instruments. Also included were a reception and waiting area and other rooms used by the practice for office facilities, storage and housing a specialised X-ray machine.

The practice is open 8.40am - 5.00pm Monday to Friday. The practice has four dentists who are supported by eight dental nurses, dental therapist, dental hygienist and a practice manager. Other staff include a dental nurse who is a dedicated decontamination nurse, two receptionists and a business manager/treatment coordinator

The principal dentist and owner of the practice is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are

# Summary of findings

'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager was supported in their role by the practice manager and business manager

Before the inspection we sent Care Quality Commission comment cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We received feedback from 26 patients. These provided a completely positive view of the services the practice provides. Patients commented on the high quality of care, the caring nature of all staff, the cleanliness of the practice and the overall high quality of customer care.

## Our key findings were:

- The practice mission statement was to provide patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment.
- Strong and effective clinical and business leadership was provided by the registered manager.
- The practice benefitted from a stable staff base and an empowered practice manager.
- Staff had been trained to handle emergencies and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment was readily available in accordance with current guidelines.
- The practice appeared very clean and well maintained.
- Infection control procedures were robust and the practice followed published guidance.

- The practice had a safeguarding lead with effective processes in place for safeguarding adults and children living in vulnerable circumstances.
- Staff reported incidents and kept records of these which the practice used for shared learning.
- Dentists provided dental care in accordance with current professional and National Institute for Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines
- The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took these into account in how the practice was run.
- The practice had introduced a patient participation group across the four locations to promote the patient voice in the running of the practice.
- Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required.
- Staff recruitment files were organised and complete.
- Staff had received training appropriate to their roles and were supported in their continued professional development (CPD) by the practice owner and practice manager.
- Staff we spoke to felt well supported by the practice owner and practice manager and were committed to providing a quality service to their patients.
- Information from 26 completed Care Quality
  Commission (CQC) comment cards gave us a positive
  picture of a friendly, caring, professional and high
  quality service.
- The practice received no written complaints during 2015.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had robust arrangements for essential areas such as infection control, clinical waste control, management of medical emergencies at the practice and dental radiography (X-rays). We found that all the equipment used in the dental practice was well maintained. The practice took their responsibilities for patient safety seriously and staff were aware of the importance of identifying, investigating and learning from patient safety incidents. There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff working at the practice. Staff had received safeguarding training and were aware of their responsibilities regarding safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dental care provided was evidence based and focussed on the needs of the patients. The practice used current national professional guidance including that from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to guide their practice. We saw examples of positive teamwork within the practice and evidence of good communication with other dental professionals. The staff received professional training and development appropriate to their roles and learning needs. Staff were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and were meeting the requirements of their professional registration

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We collected 26 completed Care Quality Commission patient comment cards and obtained the views of a further nine patients on the day of our visit. These provided a positive view of the service the practice provided. All of the patients commented that the quality of care was very good. Patients commented on friendliness and helpfulness of the staff and dentists were good at explaining the treatment that was proposed.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took those these into account in how the practice was run. Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required. The practice provided patients with written information in language they could understand and had access to telephone interpreter services when required. The practice had two ground floor treatment rooms and level access into the building for patients with mobility difficulties and families with prams and pushchairs. The practice had introduced a patient participation group to promote the patient voice in the running of the practice. This group also provided an effective vehicle of informing patients about changes occurring in the practice and wider health service issues affecting patients.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

# Summary of findings

The registered manager, practice manager and other staff had an open approach to their work and shared a commitment to continually improving the service they provided. The practice had robust clinical governance and risk management structures in place. Staff told us that they felt well supported and could raise any concerns with the practice owner and practice manager. All the staff we met said that they were happy in their work and the practice was a good place to work.



# Malinslee Medical and Dental Practice

**Detailed findings** 

## Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008

The inspection took place on 4 February 2016 was led by a dentally qualified CQC inspector who had support from a dental specialist advisor remotely. Prior to the inspection, we asked the practice to send us some information that we reviewed. This included the complaints they had received in the last 12 months, their latest statement of purpose, and the details of their staff members including proof of registration with their professional bodies.

We informed NHS England area team that we were inspecting the practice; however, we did not receive any information of concern from them.

During the inspection, we spoke with the registered manager, practice manager, dentists, the decontamination dental nurse, reception staff and reviewed policies, procedures and other documents. We also obtained the views of nine patients on the day of our visit. We reviewed 26 comment cards that we had left prior to the inspection, for patients to complete, about the services provided at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

## Are services safe?

## **Our findings**

## Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The registered manager and practice manager described a good awareness of RIDDOR (The reporting of injuries diseases and dangerous occurrences regulations). The practice had an incident reporting system in place along with forms for staff to complete when something went wrong, this system also included the reporting of minor injuries to patients and staff. The practice reported that there were no incidents during 2015 that required investigation. We saw that there was only one accident reported in the accident book during 2015 which was of a very minor nature and did not require further investigation. The practice received national patient safety alerts such as those issued by the Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Authority (MHRA) via email. Where relevant these incidents were sent to all members of staff across the group of practices by the practice manager. The practice manager explained that relevant alerts would be discussed during staff meetings to facilitate shared learning, these meetings occurred every month.

# Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

We spoke to the dental nurse responsible for decontamination procedures about the prevention of needle stick injuries. They explained that the treatment of sharps and sharps waste was in accordance with the current EU directive with respect to safe sharp guidelines, thus helping to protect staff from blood borne diseases. The practice used a system whereby needles were not manually resheathed using the hands following administration of a local anaesthetic to a patient. The dentists were responsible for ensuring safe recapping using a 'scoop' method. This is a recognised method used in dentistry for the recapping of used needles. Dentists were also responsible for the disposal of used sharps and needles. A practice protocol was in place should a needle stick injury occur. The systems and processes we observed were in line with the current EU Directive on the use of safer sharps. There had been no needle stick injuries since this location opened a year ago.

We asked a dentist how they treated the use of instruments used during root canal treatment. They explained that these instruments were single use only. They also

explained that root canal treatment was carried out where practically possible using a rubber dam. (A rubber dam is a thin sheet of rubber used by dentists to isolate the tooth being treated and to protect patients from inhaling or swallowing debris or small instruments used during root canal work). This was also confirmed when we spoke to other dentists. Patients can be assured that the practice followed appropriate guidance issued by the British Endodontic Society in relation to the use of the rubber dam.

The practice manager acted as the safeguarding lead and acted as a point of referral should members of staff encounter a child or adult safeguarding issue. A policy was in place for staff to refer to in relation to children and adults who may be the victim of abuse or neglect. Training records showed that all staff had received appropriate safeguarding training for both vulnerable adults and children. Information was displayed in the practice that contained telephone numbers of whom to contact outside of the practice if there was a need, such as the local authority responsible for investigations. The practice reported that there had been no safeguarding incidents that required further investigation by appropriate authorities.

#### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with medical emergencies at the practice. The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED), a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Staff had received training in how to use this equipment. The practice had in place emergency medicines as set out in the British National Formulary guidance for dealing with common medical emergencies in a dental practice. The practice had access to oxygen along with other related items such as manual breathing aids and portable suction in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. The emergency medicines and oxygen we saw were all in date and stored in a central location known to all staff. The practice held training sessions each year for the whole team so that they could maintain their competence in dealing with medical emergencies.

#### Staff recruitment

## Are services safe?

All of the dentists, dental therapist, dental hygienist and dental nurses had current registration with the General Dental Council, the dental professionals' regulatory body. The practice had a recruitment policy that detailed the checks required to be undertaken before a person started work. For example, proof of identity, a full employment history, evidence of relevant qualifications, adequate medical indemnity cover, immunisation status and references. The systems and processes we saw were in line with the information required by Regulation 18, Schedule 3 of Health & Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2015. Staff recruitment records were stored securely in a locked cabinet to protect the confidentiality of staff personal information. We saw that all staff had received appropriate checks from the Disclosure and Baring Service (DBS). These are checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

## Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had arrangements in place to monitor health and safety and deal with foreseeable emergencies. The practice maintained a very comprehensive bespoke risk assessment manual. This contained a raft of assessments included radiation, fire safety, general health and safety and those pertaining to all the equipment used in the practice. The practice had a detailed business continuity plan to deal with any emergencies that may occur which could disrupt the safe and smooth running of the service. The practice had in place a well-maintained Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) file. This file contained details of the way substances and materials used in dentistry should be handled and the precautions taken to prevent harm to staff and patients.

#### Infection control

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection within the practice. The practice had a robust infection control policy that was regularly reviewed and the practice had employed a dedicated decontamination dental nurse to carry out the infection control procedures in the decontamination suite. This consisted of a separate dirty and clean room separated by a glass partition. It was demonstrated through direct observation of the cleaning process and a review of practice protocols that HTM 01 05 (national guidance for

infection prevention control in dental practices') Essential Quality Requirements for infection control were being exceeded. It was observed that audit of infection control processes carried out in October 2015 confirmed compliance with HTM 01 05 guidelines.

We saw that the four dental treatment rooms, waiting area, reception and toilet were clean, tidy and clutter free. Clear zoning demarking clean from dirty areas was apparent in all treatment rooms. Hand washing facilities were available including liquid soap and paper towel dispensers in each of the treatment rooms and toilet. Hand washing protocols were also displayed appropriately in various areas of the practice and bare below the elbow working was observed.

The drawers of a treatment room were inspected and these were clean, ordered and free from clutter. Appropriate single used for patient treatment was evident. The lead dental nurse for decontamination told us that the single use items that formed part of the dental implant system used in the practice were for single patient use only. Each treatment room had the appropriate routine personal protective equipment available for staff use, this included protective gloves and visors.

The decontamination dental nurse described to us the end-to-end process of infection control procedures at the practice. Other dental nurses explained the decontamination of the general treatment room environment following the treatment of a patient. They demonstrated how the working surfaces, dental unit and dental chair were decontaminated. This included the treatment of the dental water lines.

The dental water lines were maintained to prevent the growth and spread of Legionella bacteria (legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings) they described the method they used which was in line with current HTM 01 05 guidelines. We saw that a Legionella risk assessment had been carried out at the practice by a competent person in May 2015. The recommended procedures contained in the report were carried out and logged appropriately. This included regular testing of the water temperatures of various taps in the building. These measures ensured that patients' and staff were protected from the risk of infection due to Legionella.

The practice had a separate decontamination room for instrument processing.

## Are services safe?

The decontamination dental nurse demonstrated the process from taking the dirty instruments through to clean and ready for use again. The process of cleaning, inspection, sterilisation, packaging and storage of instruments followed a well-defined system of zoning from dirty through to clean.

The practice used a system of manual scrubbing and an ultra-sonic cleaning bath for the initial cleaning process, following inspection with an illuminated magnifier they were placed in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). When instruments had been sterilized, they were pouched and stored until required. All pouches were dated with an expiry date in accordance with current guidelines. We were shown the systems in place to ensure that the autoclaves used in the decontamination process were working effectively. It was observed that the data sheets used to record the essential daily and weekly validation checks of the sterilisation cycles were always complete and up to date. All recommended tests utilised as part of the validation of the ultra-sonic cleaning bath were carried out in accordance with current guidelines, the results of which were recorded in an appropriate log book.

The segregation and storage of clinical waste was in line with current guidelines laid down by the Department of Health. We observed that sharps containers, clinical waste bags and municipal waste were properly maintained and was in accordance with current guidelines. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove clinical waste from the practice. This was stored in a separate locked location adjacent to the practice prior to collection by the waste contractor. Waste consignment notices were available for inspection. Patients' could be assured that they were protected from the risk of infection from contaminated dental waste.

## **Equipment and medicines**

Equipment checks were regularly carried out in line with the manufacturer's recommendations. For example, the two autoclaves had been serviced and calibrated in 2015. The practices' X-ray machines had been serviced and calibrated as specified under current national regulations between April and July 2015. Portable appliance testing (PAT) had been carried out in January 2016. The batch numbers and expiry dates for local anaesthetics were recorded in patient dental care records. These medicines were stored securely for the protection of patients. We found that the practice stored prescription pads in a safe overnight to prevent loss due to theft. The practice also had a prescription logging system to account for the prescriptions issued to prevent inappropriate prescribing or loss of prescriptions. We observed that the practice had equipment to deal with minor first aid problems such as minor eye problems and body fluid and mercury spillage.

## Radiography (X-rays)

We were shown a well-maintained radiation protection file in line with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 and Ionising Radiation Medical Exposure Regulations 2000 (IRMER). This file contained the names of the Radiation Protection Advisor and the Radiation Protection Supervisor and the necessary documentation pertaining to the maintenance of the X-ray equipment. Included in the file were the critical examination packs for each X-ray set along with the three yearly maintenance logs and a copy of the local rules. The maintenance logs were within the current recommended interval of three years.

A copy of the radiological audits for each dentist carried out in 2015. Dental care records we saw where X-rays had been taken showed that dental X-rays were justified, reported on and quality assured. These findings showed that practice was acting in accordance with national radiological guidelines and patients and staff were protected from unnecessary exposure to radiation. We saw training records that showed all staff where appropriate had received training for core radiological knowledge under IRMER 2000 Regulations.

## Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## **Our findings**

## Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The dentists we spoke with carried out consultations, assessments and treatment in line with recognised general professional guidelines. The registered manager, a dentist, described to us how they carried out their assessment of patients for routine care. The assessment began with the patient completing a medical history questionnaire disclosing any health conditions, medicines being taken and any allergies suffered. We saw evidence that the medical history was updated at subsequent visits. This was followed by an examination covering the condition of a patient's teeth, gums and soft tissues and the signs of mouth cancer. Patients were then made aware of the condition of their oral health and whether it had changed since the last appointment. Following the clinical assessment the diagnosis was then discussed with the patient and treatment options explained in detail.

Where relevant, preventative dental information was given in order to improve the outcome for the patient. This included dietary advice and general dental hygiene procedures such as tooth brushing techniques or recommended tooth care products. The patient dental care record was updated with the proposed treatment after discussing options with the patient. A treatment plan was then given to each patient and this included the cost involved. Patients were monitored through follow-up appointments and these were scheduled in line with their individual requirements.

Dental care records we saw showed that the findings of the assessment and details of the treatment carried out were recorded appropriately. We saw details of the condition of the gums using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) scores and soft tissues lining the mouth. (The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums). These were carried out where appropriate during a dental health assessment.

#### **Health promotion & prevention**

The dentists were very focussed on the preventative aspects of their practice, to facilitate this aim the practice appointed a dental therapist and a dental hygienist to work alongside of the dentists to deliver preventative dental care. The practice had supported the training of extended

duty dental nurses (EDDN) for the provision of fluoride varnish applications as a preventive measure for children. The dental nurses were also trained to provide oral health education to young children and adults who are at a higher risk of dental decay and gum disease. The dentists and dental therapist also placed special plastic coatings on the biting surfaces of adult back teeth in children who were particularly vulnerable to dental decay. Tooth brushing techniques were explained to patients in a way they understood and dietary, smoking and alcohol advice was given to them where appropriate. This was in line with the Department of Health guidelines on prevention known as 'Delivering Better Oral Health'. Dental care records we observed demonstrated that dentists had given oral health advice to patients. The practice also sold a range of dental hygiene products to maintain healthy teeth and gums; these were available in the reception area.

## **Staffing**

The practice had four dentists who were supported by eight dental nurses, dental therapist, dental hygienist and a practice manager. Other staff included a dental nurse who was a dedicated decontamination nurse, two receptionists and a business manager/treatment coordinator. The practice manager was an experienced registered dental nurse and manager. They were developing their management skills by completing an NVQ level in management.

We observed a friendly atmosphere at the practice. Staff we spoke with told us the staffing levels were suitable for the size of the service. The staff appeared to be a very effective and cohesive team; they told us they felt supported by the registered manager. They told us they felt they had acquired the necessary skills to carry out their role and were encouraged to progress.

We confirmed that the dental nurses received an annual appraisal and had personal development plans. These appraisals were carried out by the practice manager. The dentists received one to one performance reviews with the registered manager.

There was effective use of skill mix in the practice. This enabled the dentists to concentrate on providing care to patients whose needs were more complex whilst the dental therapist and dental hygienist provided routine care and advice. The practice encouraged the development of the

## Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

extended duty dental nurse role (EDDN). We found that dental nurses had received additional training in the taking of dental X-rays, fluoride varnish applications, impression taking and oral health education.

The practice manager showed us their system for recording training that staff had completed. These contained details of continuing professional development (CPD), confirmation of current General Dental Council (GDC) registration, and current professional indemnity cover where applicable.

All of the patients we asked on the day of our visit said they had confidence and trust in the dentists. This was also reflected in the Care Quality Commission comment cards we received.

## **Working with other services**

Dentists were able to refer patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary services if the treatment required was not provided by the practice. However the practice did not need to refer certain categories of patients to other centres because of the diverse range of clinicians working in the practice. There were dentists working in the practice who had additional skills in orthodontics (the treatment of misaligned teeth and jaws), minor oral surgery and dental implants. The registered manager explained how they would work with other services when required. The practice used referral criteria and referral forms developed by other primary and secondary care providers such as oral surgery, special care dentistry and hospital based orthodontics. This ensured that patients were seen by the right person at the right time.

#### Consent to care and treatment

We spoke with dentists about how they implemented the principles of informed consent; all of the dentists had a very clear understanding of consent issues. They explained how individual treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed with each patient and then documented in a written treatment plan. They stressed the importance of communication skills when explaining care and treatment to patients to help ensure they had an understanding of their treatment options.

The registered manager went onto explain how they would obtain consent from a patient who suffered with any mental impairment that may mean that they might be unable to fully understand the implications of their treatment. If there was any doubt about their ability to understand or consent to the treatment, then treatment would be postponed. They went on to say they would involve relatives and carers if appropriate to ensure that the best interests of the patient were served as part of the process. This followed the guidelines of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. They were familiar with the concept of Gillick competence in respect of the care and treatment of children under 16. Gillick competence is used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

# Are services caring?

## **Our findings**

## Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

Treatment rooms were situated away from the main waiting areas and we saw that doors were closed at all times when patients were with dentists. Conversations between patients and dentists could not be heard from outside the treatment rooms which protected patient's privacy. Patients' clinical records were stored electronically and in paper form. Computers were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage with paper records stored in lockable records storage cabinet in each treatment room. Practice computer screens were not overlooked which ensured patients' confidential information could not be viewed at reception. Staff we spoke with were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and maintaining confidentiality. As a result of patient feedback, the practice had developed a queuing system to maintain patient confidentiality at the reception counter.

Before the inspection, we sent Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards so patients could tell us about their experience of the practice. We collected 26 completed CQC patient comment cards and obtained the views of nine

patients on the day of our visit. These provided a positive view of the service the practice provided. All of the patients commented that the quality of care was very good. Patients commented that treatment was explained clearly and the staff were caring and put them at ease. They also said that the reception staff were always helpful and efficient. During the inspection, we observed staff in the reception area. We observed that they were polite and helpful towards patients and that the general atmosphere was welcoming and friendly.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice provided clear treatment plans to their patients that detailed possible treatment options and indicative costs. A poster detailing NHS was displayed on the patient notice board in the waiting area. Booklets were also available in the waiting area and on the practice website that detailed the costs of private treatment. The dentists we spoke with paid particular attention to patient involvement when drawing up individual care plans. We saw evidence in the records we looked at that the dentists recorded the information they had provided to patients about their treatment and the options open to them. This included information recorded on the standard NHS treatment planning forms for dentistry where applicable.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## **Our findings**

## Responding to and meeting patients' needs

During our inspection we looked at examples of information available to people. We saw that the practice waiting area displayed a variety of information including the practice patient information leaflet. This explained opening hours, emergency 'out of hours' contact details and arrangements, staff details and how to make a complaint. The patient notice board also displayed details about how to use the service for patients with disabilities, the practices' infection control policy and details about NICE recall guidelines from the patient's perspective. The practice web site also contained useful information to patients such as how to book appointments on-line and how to provide feedback on the services provided.

We observed that the appointment diaries were not overbooked and that this provided capacity each day for patients with dental pain to be fitted into urgent slots for each dentist. Patients were also invited to come and sit and wait if these slots had already been allocated. The dentists decided how long a patient's appointment needed to be and took into account any special circumstances such as whether a patient was very nervous, had a disability and the level of complexity of treatment.

## Tackling inequity and promoting equality

We saw that the practice had carried out a disability audit. As a result, the practice had made reasonable adjustments to prevent inequity for disadvantaged groups in society. The practice used a translation service, which they arranged if it was clear that a patient had difficulty in understanding information about their treatment and

hearing loops for the hard of hearing. To improve access the practice a lift was available for those patients with a range of disabilities and infirmity as well as parents and carers using prams and pushchairs.

#### Access to the service

The practice was open 8.40am - 5.00pm Monday to Friday. The practice used the NHS 111 service to give advice in case of a dental emergency when the practice was closed. This information was publicised in the practice information leaflet, practice website and on the telephone answering machine when the practice was closed.

## **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaint policy and a procedure that set out how complaints would be addressed, who by, and the timeframes for responding. Information for patients about how to make a complaint was seen in the patient leaflet, poster in the waiting area and patient website.

The practice had no written complaints during 2015. The low level of complaints reflected the caring and compassionate ethos of the whole practice. The registered manager explained that in the event of a complaint they adopted a very proactive response to any patient concern or complaint. Patients were spoken to by telephone or invited to a face-to-face meeting in an attempt to resolve the complaint or concern as soon as was practically possible. The ethos of complaints handling in the practice was to de-escalate concerns wherever possible to prevent a formal complaint from occurring. Patients would receive an immediate apology when things had not gone well. The registered manager explained that where appropriate a reflective statement was encouraged to be completed by the member of staff involved which promoted the culture of

## Are services well-led?

## **Our findings**

## **Governance arrangements**

The governance arrangements for this location consisted of the registered manager and the practice manager who was responsible for the day to day running of the practice. The practice maintained a very comprehensive system of policies and procedures electronically, badged under the title of 'back office'. All of the staff we spoke with were aware of the 'back office' system and how to access it. We noted management policies and procedures were kept under review by the registered manager on a regular basis.

## Leadership, openness and transparency

The practice had produced a mission statement, 'providing patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment'; the comment cards we saw reflected these aspirations. The staff we spoke with described a transparent culture which encouraged candour, openness and honesty. Staff said they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the practice manager or the registered manager. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did raise a concern. We found staff to be hard working, caring and committed to the work they did. All of the staff we spoke with demonstrated a firm understanding of the principles of clinical governance in dentistry and were happy with the practice facilities. Staff reported that the registered manager and practice manager were proactive and resolved problems very quickly. As a result, staff were motivated and enjoyed working at the practice and were proud of the service they provided to patients.

## **Learning and improvement**

We saw evidence of systems to identify staff learning needs which were underpinned by an appraisal system and a programme of clinical audit. For example we observed that the dental nurses and receptionists received an annual appraisal; these appraisals were carried out by the practice manager. We saw an example of one such review. The appraisal document appeared to be an effective way of determining the dental nurses learning and development needs. The dentists also received performance reviews with the registered manager. This review was based on the results of the record keeping audits and the observation of performance sessions for each dentist. The observation session was carried out in a non-threatening way, the aim of which was for the reviewer to act as a 'critical friend'.

Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development as required by the General Dental Council The registered manager told us that the practice ethos was that all staff should receive appropriate training and development. The practice used a variety of ways to ensure staff development including internal training and staff meetings as well as attendance at external courses and conferences. The practice provided a rolling programme of professional development. This included training in cardio pulmonary resuscitation (CPR), infection control, child protection and adult safeguarding, dental radiography (X-rays).

We found there were a number of clinical and non-clinical audits taking place at the practice. These included infection control, clinical record keeping and X-ray quality. The practice also provided peer review sessions for dentists on a quarterly basis, these were chaired by the registered manager. At each session a dentist at the practice would present a topic to the rest of the dentists, topics included clinical record keeping standards, the treatment of gum disease and dental implantology. The peer review sessions also gave the dentists an opportunity to discuss general matters about how the practice was run.

## Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The registered manager had set up a patient participation group for each of the four locations, these groups met each quarter. We saw the minutes of a group meeting for 18 November 2015. The function of this group was to provide patients with information about the various changes and updates that were occurring at each location. This included staff changes and changes that occur in the wider health economy such as the local 111 service. The meetings also gave the opportunity for patients to feedback on improvements that could be made to the service from the patient's perspective. The minutes of the November meeting described new staff coming to work at the service and the departure of the long standing practice manager. The minutes also gave details of the TV that was fitted in the waiting area as suggested by the patients.

All of the staff told us they felt included in the running of the practice and how the practice owner and practice manager listened to their opinions and respected their knowledge and input at meetings. Staff told us they felt valued and were proud to be part of the team. Results of

# Are services well-led?

the NHS Family and Friends Test we saw indicated that 100% were happy with the quality of care provided by the practice and where either highly likely or likely to recommend the service to family and friends.