

# D P Rundle Dentalcare D.P. Rundle Dentalcare Inspection Report

18 Netherby Drive Fenham Newcastle Upon Tyne Tyne And Wear NE5 2RS Tel: 01912747734 Website: www.newcastledental.com

Date of inspection visit: 9 July 2019 Date of publication: 31/07/2019

# **Overall summary**

We carried out this announced inspection on 9 July 2019 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

### **Our findings were:**

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Background

D P Rundle Dentalcare consists of two dental practices in Newcastle Upon Tyne, both of which provide NHS and private treatment to adults and children. The practices are closely located, so staff work at, and patients are offered appointments in, both sites.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available near the practice. This practice has three treatment rooms, two on the ground floor.

# Summary of findings

The dental team includes a principal dentist, four associate dentists and 10 dental nurses who also carry out reception work (two of whom are trainee dental nurses).

The practice is owned by a partnership and as a condition of registration, the provider must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. The registered manager at D P Rundle Dentalcare is the principal dentist.

On the day of inspection, we collected 12 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. These provided a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with the provider, one associate dentist and five dental nurses. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday – Thursday 8.30am to 7pm

Friday 8.30am to 5.30pm

### Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were not available as recommended by guidance. We saw evidence that these were ordered on the inspection day.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff. They should review their fire risk assessment to make sure it is practice specific.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the fire safety risk assessment to ensure it is practice specific and fire safety management is effective.
- Review the availability of medicines and equipment in the practice to manage medical emergencies, taking into account the guidelines issued by the British National Formulary, Resuscitation Council (UK) and the General Dental Council.
- Review the practice's systems to track and monitor their issuing of NHS prescriptions in line with national guidance.
- Review the practice's sharps procedures to ensure the practice is in compliance with the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013. In particular, a sharps risk assessment should be completed to account for the use traditional syringes with protective devices.

# Summary of findings

# The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

| Are services safe?                         | No action 🖌 |
|--|-------------|
| Are services effective?                    | No action 🖌 |
| Are services caring?                       | No action 🖌 |
| Are services responsive to people's needs? | No action 🖌 |
| Are services well-led?                     | No action 🖌 |

# Are services safe?

# Our findings

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

Staff had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight vulnerable patients and patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication within dental care records.

The provider had a whistleblowing policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The provider had a business continuity plan describing how they would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at four staff recruitment records. These showed the provider followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured that facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances. The provider had spare equipment to ensure continuity of service was prioritised. This included an extra steriliser and a compressor. We saw the steriliser had been regularly serviced.

The practice's fire risk assessment was carried out by the provider, in line with the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 requirements. It listed both practices in the assessment and was not specific to each premises. We saw there were fire extinguishers on both floors, fire detection systems throughout the building and fire exits were kept clear. The rear fire exit had a step which could be difficult for some patients to overcome and the fire risk assessment did not account for this. Records showed that fire detection and firefighting equipment were regularly tested and serviced. Following the inspection, the provider contacted the local fire service, a new fire risk assessment was completed, and they had arranged for a fire officer to review their current fire arrangements.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required information was in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The provider carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

### **Risks to patients**

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. Staff were aware of relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items, however a sharps risk assessment had not been undertaken to account for the risk of using traditional syringe systems with protective devices, rather than safer sharps. The practice's sharps injury protocol did not have contact information for where staff could receive advice

# Are services safe?

and support if they had a sharps' injury. We were assured this would be updated. Following the inspection, a sharps risk assessment and updated sharps injury policy were shown to us.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were not available as described in recognised guidance. The practice had two medical oxygen cylinders; one had past it's expiry date and the other was half full. The provider placed an order for replacement of the medical oxygen cylinders, and delivery was made, immediately. There was no volumatic spacer for asthmatic emergencies, insufficient adrenaline to treat a severe allergic reaction and not all recommended sizes of air masks to attach to the oxygen bag. We also found the aspirin (used to relieve angina) was not in the recommended form, and glucagon (used to treat low blood sugar) was stored at room temperature but the expiry date had not been changed in line with manufacturer's instructions. We received confirmation that equipment and medicines to treat all medical emergencies had been ordered. Staff kept records to make sure medicines and equipment were available, within their expiry date, and in working order; the principal dentist recognised that the system was not effective and assured us they would review this.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists when they treated patients in line with General Dental Council (GDC) Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05). Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required. The provider had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. There were suitable numbers of dental instruments available for the clinical staff. We saw some instruments were packaged without an expiry date stamped, and one had past it's expiry date. These were immediately withdrawn from use and were re-sterilised. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

We found staff had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance. We noted the clinical waste bins could be accessible to the public and possibly moved - the provider told us they had chained these to the wall outside and this was recently rebuilt. We were assured both clinical waste bins were chained following the inspection.

The provider carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

# Are services safe?

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The provider had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

We saw there was no log in place to monitor NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance. We were assured one would be implemented and received evidence of this following the inspection.

# Track record on safety and Lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. Staff monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped staff to understand risks, give a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

In the previous 12 months there had been no safety incidents.

There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned, and shared lessons identified themes and acted to improve safety in the practice.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Staff learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. We saw they were shared with the team and acted upon if required.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

# Our findings

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

## Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

The staff were involved in quality improvement initiatives including peer review as part of their approach in providing high quality care.

## Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentists described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition

Records showed patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

# **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. The practice used electronic tablet devices which patients would sign digitally to provide consent.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions and we saw this documented in patient records. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. Staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

# Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles. For example, dental nurses were trained in extended duties and associate dentists had expertise in various clinical procedures.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff discussed their training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff.

# Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

Trainee dental nurses were supported by frequent one-to-one meetings with the provider. The provider explained they had regular discussions with the trainees' college tutors to ensure their training was appropriate.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

Staff had systems to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections.

The provider also had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

Staff monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

# Are services caring?

# Our findings

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were kind, caring and helpful. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully and appropriately. They were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders, patient survey results and thank you cards were available for patients to read. Examples of suggestions that were put into practice from the patient surveys include:

- More variety of magazines
- Chairs with supporting armrests in the waiting rooms
- A coat hook on the back of doors.

### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. If a patient asked for more privacy, staff would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it. Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

# Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given) and the requirements under the Equality Act.

We saw interpretation services were available for patients who did speak or understand English. Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, and communication aids and easy read materials were available.

Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example photographs, models and X-ray images.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

# Our findings

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care.

The practice met the needs of more vulnerable patients, for example, by arranging appointments at times convenient to the patient and ensuring a sufficient appointment length was provided. For example, for patients with dental phobia, appointments were offered where waiting time would be kept to a minimum. Any vulnerable people, for example, those with learning difficulties and any patients with dementia or other long-term conditions, continuity of care was prioritised, longer appointment slots were booked, and patient records were annotated to ensure their preferences were recorded.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. A disability access assessment was not documented, but the provider was aware of the provisions in place and detailed how the practice would consider various patient's needs. These included step free access, ground floor treatment rooms and an accessible toilet. They were also considering installing a bell for patients to press if they required assistance with opening the front door.

### Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises and included it in their information leaflet and on their website.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

The staff took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with the 111 out of hour's service.

The practice's website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The provider took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The provider had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The provider was responsible for dealing with these. Staff would tell the provider about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The provider aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the provider had dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

# Are services well-led?

# Our findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Leadership capacity and capability

We found the provider had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care. They demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them. Any shortcomings raised during the inspection were prioritised and addressed appropriately. The provider was welcoming of the inspection feedback and gave assurance that they would review their governance systems.

The provider was approachable and staff told us they worked closely with them and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

We saw the provider had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

### Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care. Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice. As such, many staff had been working there for a number of years.

The staff focused on the needs of patients. The provider told us they would treat patients in a way they would expect to be treated in return; this was also displayed on their practice website.

We saw the provider took effective action to deal with staff poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so, and they had confidence that these would be addressed.

### **Governance and management**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The provider had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

### Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The provider had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

# Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The provider used patient surveys, comment cards and verbal comments to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The provider gathered feedback from staff through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

# Are services well-led?

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs, medical histories and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The provider showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. They booked dental training days for the entire practice team and encouraged them to fulfil their potential. Staff opinion was valued and we were told the provider was considering slightly reducing their surgery opening hours; staff and patient surveys were sent to gain their feedback in relation to this. The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.