

Prime Life Limited Gilby House Nursing Home

Inspection report

9 High Street Winterton Lincolnshire DN15 9PU Date of inspection visit: 07 July 2021

Good

Date of publication: 30 July 2021

Tel: 01724734824 Website: www.prime-life.co.uk

Ratings

Overall rating for this service

Is the service safe?	Good	
Is the service well-led?	Good	

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Gilby House Nursing Home is a care home registered to provide accommodation and personal and nursing care to 22 people with mental health needs. At the time of our inspection, 21 people lived at the service.

People's experience of using this service and what we found

People were happy with the care they received, they felt safe and well looked after. Staff had been recruited safely. There were enough staff on duty who were provided with the appropriate training to enable them to carry out their roles effectively.

The home was clean and tidy; additional cleaning ensured people were safe from the risk of infection.

Care plans were up to date, risk assessments were in place and regularly reviewed.

People received their medicines on time and when they needed them. Staff had positive links with healthcare professionals which promoted people's wellbeing.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

People and staff spoke highly of the registered manager and their commitment to the service.

A system was in place which was used to monitor the quality and safety of the service. The registered manager carried out regular checks and analysis of incidents to ensure learning from events was shared with staff and actioned appropriately.

People were regularly asked their views on the service provided and action had been taken when suggestions were made.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the Care Quality Commission website at www.cqc.org.uk

Rating at last inspection (and update)

The last rating for this service was requires improvement (published 30 December 2020). There was a breach of regulation 17 (good governance) and regulation 12 (safe care and treatment). The provider completed an action plan after the inspection to show what they would do and by when to improve. At this inspection we found improvements had been made and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 12 and regulation 17.

Why we inspected

We carried out an unannounced inspection of this service on 27 October 2020. A breach of legal requirements was found. The provider completed an action plan after the last inspection to show what they would do and by when to improve safe care and treatment and good governance.

We undertook this focused inspection on 7 July 2021 to check the provider had followed their action plan and to confirm they now met legal requirements. This report only covers our findings in relation to the key questions safe and well-led which contain those requirements.

The ratings from the previous inspection for those key questions not looked at on this occasion were used in calculating the overall rating at this inspection. The overall rating for the service has changed from Requires Improvement to Good. This is based on the findings at this inspection.

We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the safe key question. We look at this in all care home inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to COVID-19 and other infection outbreaks effectively.

You can read the report from our last comprehensive inspection, by selecting the 'all reports' link for Gilby House Nursing Home on our website at www.cqc.org.uk.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service until we return to visit as per our reinspection programme. If we receive any concerning information we may inspect sooner.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Good •
The service was safe.	
Details are in our safe findings below.	
Is the service well-led?	Good •
Is the service well-led? The service was well-led.	Good ●



Gilby House Nursing Home

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

As part of this inspection we looked at the infection control and prevention measures in place. This was conducted so we can understand the preparedness of the service in preventing or managing an infection outbreak, and to identify good practice we can share with other services.

Inspection team The inspection team consisted of two inspectors.

Service and service type

Gilby House Nursing Home is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

Notice of inspection This inspection was unannounced.

What we did before the inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We sought feedback from the local authority and professionals who work with the service.

The provider was not asked to complete a provider information return prior to this inspection. This is information we require providers to send us to give some key information about the service, what the service

does well and improvements they plan to make. We took this into account when we inspected the service and made the judgements in this report.

During the inspection

We spoke with six people who used the service about their experience of the care provided. We also spoke with eight members of staff including the registered manager, regional manager, clinical nurse lead, care workers, domestic staff and the cook.

We reviewed a range of records. This included three people's care records and multiple medication records. We looked at four staff files in relation to recruitment and staff supervision. A variety of records relating to the management of the service, including policies and procedures were reviewed.

After the inspection

We spoke with two relatives and one professional who visit the service. We looked at training data and quality assurance records.

Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as requires improvement. At this inspection this key question has now improved to good. This meant people were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

At our last inspection the provider had failed to ensure the safety of the premises and assess, prevent and control the risk of infections. This was a breach of regulation 12 (Safe care and treatment) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

Enough improvement had been made at this inspection and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 12.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management; Learning lessons when things go wrong

- Risk assessments were in place and reviewed regularly to minimise risks. These provided staff with a clear description of any risks and guidance on the support people needed.
- The service was well maintained. Regular checks of the environment were undertaken to make sure it was safe. For example, checking the fire panel, fire exits, security and water temperatures to minimise risks to people. There was an ongoing programme of servicing, repairs and maintenance.
- Learning was shared through discussions and handovers between staff and at staff meetings.
- The registered manager responded appropriately when accidents or incidents occurred and used any incidents as a learning opportunity.

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

- Staff had regular safeguarding training and demonstrated a good understanding of how to protect people from abuse. They felt confident concerns reported were listened and responded to.
- Where potential safeguarding concerns had been identified, the provider worked in partnership with other agencies to protect people. One person told us "There is always someone about, the staff are all very helpful."

Staffing and recruitment

- Staff were recruited safely and appropriate checks were carried out to protect people from the employment of unsuitable staff.
- There were enough staff to meet people's needs. For example, where people required additional support, staffing numbers increased.

Using medicines safely

- People received their medicines safely.
- Staff members had been trained in the safe administration of medicines and were assessed as competent before supporting people with their medicines.

- Medicines management was audited regularly with systems in place for investigating any potential medicine errors.
- Where people were prescribed pain relieving medicines, on an 'as required' basis, clear guidance was in place to ensure staff had information about when these medicines should be given.
- Where people were unable to communicate, staff used comprehensive information within PRN protocols to assess and identify if they suspected a person was in pain.

Preventing and controlling infection

- We were assured that the provider was preventing visitors from catching and spreading infections.
- We were assured that the provider was meeting shielding and social distancing rules.
- We were assured that the provider was admitting people safely to the service.
- We were assured that the provider was using PPE effectively and safely.
- We were assured that the provider was accessing testing for people using the service and staff.
- We were assured that the provider was making sure infection outbreaks can be effectively prevented or managed.
- We were assured that the provider's infection prevention and control policy was up to date.

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as requires improvement. At this inspection this key question has now improved to good. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

At our last inspection the provider had failed to effectively operate systems to ensure compliance with the requirements and to monitor and mitigate risks which meant people were at risk of harm and of receiving a poor service. This was a breach of regulation 17 (Good governance) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

Enough improvement had been made at this inspection and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 17.

How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong; Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people

- The registered manager was clear about their role and responsibilities to be open, honest and apologise if things went wrong.
- The service benefited from having a registered manager who was committed to providing good quality care to people who used it.
- Staff told us they felt listened to, were supported to provide good care and enjoyed working at the service. One staff member told us, "The management support you. Their door is always open. You can go to them about anything."
- One relative said, "I have spoken to [Registered manager's name] on numerous occasions and continue to do so. [Registered manager's name] has done a good job in very difficult circumstances."

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- A clear auditing system was in place to monitor the quality and safety of the service provided. Any actions required were implemented, shared with staff and used to improve the service.
- The registered manager analysed accident and incident reports to identify trends, make changes and improvements to prevent recurrence.
- An improvement plan captured ongoing improvements across the service.
- The registered manager communicated all relevant incidents or concerns both internally to the provider and externally to the local authority or CQC as required by law.
- The service was welcoming, and the atmosphere was warm and supportive. We observed people using the service were treated with respect and in a professional manner.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

- People, relatives and staff were regularly asked to provide feedback on the service.
- Staff meetings were held, which gave staff the opportunity to raise issues and make suggestions. Staff comments included, "They listen to everything we suggest."

• The service had good links with the local community and worked in partnership with other agencies to improve people's opportunities and wellbeing.

Continuous learning and improving care

- The registered manager strove to improve the quality of the care provided. For example, checks were made to ensure people had care plans which reflected their needs and preferences.
- The registered manager and staff kept up to date with best practice through training, research and discussions with health and social care professionals.

Working in partnership with others

- People benefitted from partnership working with other local health professionals. For example, GPs, community nurses and a range of therapists.
- The registered manager encouraged staff to take on more responsibility through introducing lead roles to champion dignity, infection control, as well as medicines management.