

Cheriton (SW Care) Ltd

Cheriton Care Home

Inspection report

41-51 Westlecot Road Swindon SN1 4EZ

Tel: 01793522149

Website: www.cheritoncarehome.uk

Date of inspection visit: 16 December 2020

Date of publication: 08 January 2021

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Inspected but not rated
Is the service safe?	Inspected but not rated
Is the service well-led?	Inspected but not rated

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Cheriton Care Home is a residential care home providing personal and nursing care for up to 45 people aged 65 and over. At the time of the inspection there were 21 people using the service.

Cheriton Care Home supports people in one building and includes support for people living with dementia.

People's experience of using this service and what we found.

Risks to people were assessed and where risks were identified there were management plans in place. This included risks related to pressure damage.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk

Rating at last inspection and update

The last rating for this service was requires improvement (published 11 March 2020) and there were two breaches of regulation. The provider completed an action plan after the last inspection to show what they would do and by when to improve. At this inspection we found improvements had been made and the provider was no longer in breach of regulations.

Why we inspected

We undertook this targeted inspection to check the provider was now meeting Regulation 12 and 17 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014. The overall rating for the service has not changed following this targeted inspection and remains requires improvement.

CQC have introduced targeted inspections to follow up on previous breaches of regulation. They do not look at an entire key question, only the part of the key question we are specifically concerned about. Targeted inspections do not change the rating from the previous inspection. This is because they do not assess all areas of a key question.

We looked at infection prevention and control measures under the Safe key question. We look at this in all care home inspections even if no concerns or risks have been identified. This is to provide assurance that the service can respond to coronavirus and other infection outbreaks effectively.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Inspected but not rated
At our last inspection we rated this key question requires improvement. We have not reviewed the rating at this inspection. This is because we only looked at the parts of this key question we had specific concerns about.	
Is the service well-led?	Inspected but not rated
At our last inspection we rated this key question requires improvement. We have not reviewed the rating at this inspection.	



Cheriton Care Home

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (the Act) as part of our regulatory functions. We checked whether the provider was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Act. We looked at the overall quality of the service and provided a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

This was a targeted inspection to check whether the provider had met the requirements of the Regulation 12 (Safe care and treatment) and Regulation 17 (Good governance) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014

As part of this inspection we looked at the infection control and prevention measures in place. This was conducted so we can understand the preparedness of the service in preventing or managing an infection outbreak, and to identify good practice we can share with other services.

Inspection team

The inspection was carried out by two inspectors.

Service and service type

Cheriton Care Home is a 'care home'. People in care homes receive accommodation and nursing or personal care as a single package under one contractual agreement. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission. This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

Notice of inspection

We gave a short period notice of the inspection to establish the COVID-19 status within the service.

What we did before inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. We sought feedback

from the local authority and professionals who work with the service. We reviewed a range of records. This included two people's care records. A variety of records relating to the management of the service, including policies and procedures were reviewed. We spoke with the registered manager and a nurse.

During the inspection

We spoke with two people who used the service. We observed practice in relation to infection prevention and control. We checked that equipment was being used effectively.

Inspected but not rated

Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as requires improvement. We have not changed the rating of this key question, as we have only looked at the part of the key question we had specific concerns about.

The purpose of this inspection was to check if the provider had met the requirements of the regulations that were not met at the previous inspection.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

At our last inspection the provider had failed to robustly assess the risks relating to the health, safety and welfare of people. This was a breach of regulation 12 (Safe Care and Treatment) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

Enough improvement had been made at this inspection and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 12.

- Systems had been introduced to ensure effective monitoring of risks. This included systems to ensure people's needs were met and care plans followed.
- Risks related to pressure damage were managed and systems ensured equipment was effectively monitored through the electronic care record.
- The provider had reviewed and updated the medicines policy in relation to the administration of covert medicines. Covert medicines are medicines that are given to a person without their knowledge and are disguised in food or drink. There was no one in the service requiring their medicines to be administered covertly.

At our last inspection the provider had failed to ensure systems were robust enough to demonstrate safety was effectively managed. This was a breach of Regulation 17 (Good Governance) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

Enough improvement had been made at this inspection and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 17.

- Care plans included risk assessments and where risks were identified there were plans in place to manage the risks. These were regularly reviewed, and changes made where necessary.
- Care plans were regularly reviewed and audited to ensure they gave clear guidance in relation to the management of risks.

Preventing and controlling infection

• We were assured that the provider was preventing visitors from catching and spreading infections.

- We were assured that the provider was meeting shielding and social distancing rules.
- We were assured that the provider was admitting people safely to the service.
- We were assured that the provider was using PPE effectively and safely.
- We were assured that the provider was accessing testing for people using the service and staff.
- We were assured that the provider was promoting safety through the layout and hygiene practices of the premises.
- We were assured that the provider was making sure infection outbreaks can be effectively prevented or managed.
- We were assured that the provider's infection prevention and control policy was up to date.

Inspected but not rated

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Requires improvement. We have not changed the rating of this key question, as we have only looked at the part of the key question, we have specific concerns about.

The purpose of this inspection was to check if the provider had met the requirements of the regulations that were not met at the previous inspection

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

At the previous inspection systems were either not in place or robust enough to demonstrate safety was effectively managed. This placed people at risk of harm. This was a breach of regulation 17 (Good Governance) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014. Enough improvement had been made at this inspection and the provider was no longer in breach of regulation 17.

- The provider and registered manager had introduced an effective quality assurance system that enabled the monitoring and improvement of the service. There was a range of audits which included, medicines, care plans, infection control and equipment.
- Relatives were positive about the management of the service. Relatives had regular opportunities to discuss any concerns and to review the care being provided to loved ones. One relative told us, "I have nothing but absolute praise for them. [Registered manager] is great, very approachable. I can tell her if I'm not happy and they are not at all defensive. Very open."