

St Neots Surgery

Quality Report

1 North Prospect Road Milehouse Plymouth PL23HY Tel: 01752561305

Website: www.stneotssurgery@nhs.net

Date of inspection visit: 14/04/2016 Date of publication: 11/05/2016

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Overall summary	2
The five questions we ask and what we found	3
The six population groups and what we found	6
What people who use the service say	10
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	11
Background to St Neots Surgery	11
Why we carried out this inspection	11
How we carried out this inspection	11
Detailed findings	13

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at St Neots Surgery on 14 April 2016. Overall the practice is rated as good.

Our key findings across all the areas we inspected were as follows:

- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- Staff assessed patients' needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. Staff had been trained to provide them with the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.

- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand. Improvements were made to the quality of care as a result of complaints and concerns.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour.

Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)

Chief Inspector of General Practice

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services.

- There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.
- When there were unintended or unexpected safety incidents, patients received reasonable support, truthful information, a verbal and written apology. They were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- Recruitment procedures and checks were completed to ensure that staff were suitable and competent.
- There were arrangements for the efficient management of medicines.
- The practice was clean, tidy and hygienic. We found that arrangements were in place which ensured the cleanliness of the practice was maintained to a high standard.

Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services.

- Data from the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) showed patient outcomes were at or above average compared to the national average.
- · Staff assessed needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance.
- Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.
- Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- There was evidence of appraisals and personal development plans for all staff.
- Staff worked with other health care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs.

Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services.

 Data from the national GP patient survey showed patients rated the practice higher than others for several aspects of care.

Good



Good





- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in decisions about their care and treatment.
- Information for patients about the services available was easy to understand and accessible.
- We saw staff treated patients with kindness and respect, and maintained patient and information confidentiality.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

- The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services.
- The practice implemented suggestions for improvements and made changes to the way it delivered services as a consequence of feedback from patients and from the patient participation group. For example, by ensuring better privacy was in place for patients in the waiting area by the addition of a privacy queue.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a named GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand and evidence showed the practice responded quickly to issues raised. Learning from complaints was shared with staff and other stakeholders

Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for being well-led.

- The practice had a clear vision and strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients. Staff were clear about the vision and their responsibilities in relation
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice had a number of policies and procedures to govern activity and held regular governance meetings.
- There was an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This included arrangements to monitor and improve quality and identify risk.

Good





- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place for notifiable safety incidents and ensured this information was shared with staff to ensure appropriate action was taken
- The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on. The patient participation group was active.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels.

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older patients.

- The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older people in its population. with a named GP for over 75 year olds. Care plans and reviews were in place for the frail and elderly.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- Pneumococcal and shingles vaccines were provided at the practice for older people. The GPs offered vaccinations at home if the patient was unable to come to the practice.
- The practice worked well with other professionals such as the community matron and the elderly care team to provide continuity of care for the patients from all agencies.
- All the GPs attended a daily meeting where they had the opportunity to discuss more complicated cases with each other and shared learning and knowledge about individual patients at these meetings.

People with long term conditions

- Nursing staff had lead roles in chronic disease management and patients at risk of hospital admission were identified as a priority. Individual clinics for the management of long term diseases were held.
- Health checks for carers of patients with long term conditions were provided at the practice.
- Practice staff had access to a matron for long term conditions through the district nursing hub referral service.
- Staff offered smoking cessation advice clinics and assessed and referred patients to weight management clinics when needed.
- All these patients had a named GP and a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For those patients with the most complex needs, the named GP worked with relevant health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.
- The practice worked with external agencies in other areas of long-term condition management such as diabetic retinopathy screening and podiatry.

Good





 One practice nurses and both nurse practitioners were independent non-medical prescribers who prescribed medicines for patients with long term conditions within their areas of competence.

Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young patients.

- There were systems in place to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young patients who had a high number of A&E attendances. Immunisation rates were relatively high for all standard childhood immunisations.
- Patients told us that children and young patients were treated in an age-appropriate way and were recognised as individuals, and we saw evidence to confirm this.
- Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises were suitable for children and babies.
- We saw positive examples of joint working with midwives, health visitors and school nurses. A midwife held clinics three times a week for patients. The practice worked with the midwife to share information between the various health professional involved with care
- The practice had baby changing facilities and a quiet room available if mothers requested one to breastfeed.
- Patients have access to full range of contraception services and offered sexually transmitted diseases and chlamydia screening.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students).

- The needs of the working age population, those recently retired and students had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening that reflects the needs for this age group.
- Extended hours appointments were available in the evenings every other Monday and on every other Saturday morning.

Good





- The practice liaised with the NHS Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) screening programme. This was a way of detecting a dangerous swelling (aneurysm) of the aorta (the main artery of the body).
- The practice offered the Fit for Work scheme. This was a new support service, designed to help working people who face long-term sickness absence return to work more quickly.
- Patients could access on line appointment booking and prescription requests and could collect medicines from the pharmacy of their choice.
- · Health promotion clinics were offered. These included smoking cessation clinics and weight management.
- Travel advice and vaccination were offered by the practice
- Patients could access pre bookable appointments up to six weeks in advance. On the day appointments were available for each clinician and a duty doctor.

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice offered longer appointments for patients with a learning disability. They regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of vulnerable patients. The practice offered a flexible service to patients with learning disabilities. Through this flexibility they had provided annual checks to 80.5% of their learning disability patients.
- Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.
- Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.



- The practice had patients who were prescribed opiate substitute medicines and shared care for these patients with the local Harbour Drug and Alcohol Service. Three of the practices GPs had been specifically trained in working with these patients and attended regular refresher training days.
- The practice had a small number of patients whose first language was not English. Longer appointments were offered for these patients. Staff used translation services to help with communication.
- There was disabled access to all areas of the building. There was a passenger lift and accessible toilets for those patients with a disability.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

- 100% of patients with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the last 12 months, which was higher than the national average of 84.01%.
- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of patients experiencing poor mental health, including those with dementia.
- The practice carried out advance care planning for patients with dementia.
- The practice had told patients experiencing poor mental health about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- The practice had a system in place to follow up patients who had attended accident and emergency where they may have been experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and dementia.



What people who use the service say

What people who use the practice say

The national GP patient survey results were published in January 2016 The results showed the practice was performing in line with local and national averages. 238 survey forms were distributed and 104 were returned. This represented 1.04% of the practice's patient list. Feedback from the national GP patient survey indicated:

- 70% of patients found it easy to get through to this practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%.
- 93% of patients were able to get an appointment to see or speak to someone the last time they tried compared to the national average of 76%.

- 91% of patients described the overall experience of this GP practice as good compared to the national average of 85%.
- 89% of patients said they would recommend this GP practice to someone who has just moved to the local area compared to the national average of 79%.

As part of our inspection we also asked for CQC comment cards to be completed by patients prior to our inspection. We received three comment cards which were all positive about the standard of care received. We spoke with five patients on the day who told us told us they were pleased with the appointment system and appreciated that they could see a GP on the same day. Patient said the process for getting repeat prescriptions was good and that the online services were very good.



St Neots Surgery

Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser, and a practice manager specialist.

Background to St Neots Surgery

St Neots Surgery was inspected on Thursday 14 April 2016. This was a comprehensive inspection.

The practice is situated in the city of Plymouth. The practice provides a primary medical service to approximately 10,000 patients of a diverse age group.

The practice has a considerably higher proportion of patients under the age of 65 when compared to the England average. The practice has a low ethnicity rate compared to other practices (1%). Information published by Public Health England rates the level of deprivation within the practice population group as three on a scale of one to ten. Level one represents the highest levels of deprivation and level ten the lowest.

There is a team of five GP partners and one salaried GP, the whole time equivalent was five. They are supported by a practice manager, two nurse practitioners, two practice nurses, three health care assistants, and a team of administrative staff.

Patients using the practice also have access to community nurses, mental health teams and health visitors. Other health care professionals visited the practice on a regular basis. The practice is a training practice for medical students, GP Registrars (Specialist Training 3). There is one GP trainer at the practice and dedicated consulting rooms for this purpose.

The practice is open between 8.30am and1pm and 2pm to 6.00pm Monday to Friday. Appointments are available from 8.40am to12.10pm every morning followed by any urgent extras and 2.00pm to5.30pm followed by any urgent appointments daily. Extended hours appointments are offered from 6.30pm to 8.00pm on alternate Mondays and 8.00am to 11.30am on alternate Saturdays.

In addition to pre-bookable appointments that could be booked up to six weeks in advance, urgent appointments are also available for people that needed them. Outside of these times patients are directed to contact the Devon doctors out of hour's service by using the NHS 111 number.

The practice provides regulated activities from its primary location at 1 North Prospect Road Milehouse, Plymouth, PL2 3HY.

Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. The inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Detailed findings

How we carried out this inspection

'Before visiting, we reviewed a range of information we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to share what they knew. We carried out an announced visit on 14 April 2016. During our visit we:

- Spoke with a range of staff (insert job roles of staff) and spoke with patients who used the service.
- Observed how patients were being cared for and talked with carers and/or family members
- Reviewed an anonymised sample of the personal care or treatment records of patients.
- Reviewed comment cards where patients and members of the public shared their views and experiences of the service'

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?

- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services were provided for specific groups of people and what good care looked like for them. The population groups are:

- · Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Families, children and young people
- Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.



Are services safe?

Our findings

Safe track record and learning

There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.

- Staff told us they would inform practice manager of any incidents and use the recording form available on the practice's computer system.
- The practice carried out a thorough analysis of the significant events. Staff explained that this process was supportive and was used as an opportunity to learn from events.
- We reviewed safety records, incident reports national patient safety alerts and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. Lessons learnt were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice. All safety alerts were circulated to the relevant members of staff. Any national equipment or medicine safely alerts received that may compromise patient safety or care prompted a search on the computer system for any patients affected, they were then contacted to inform them of any actions needed.

When there were unintended or unexpected safety incidents, patients received support, truthful information, an apology and were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again. For example, a patient was asked by the practice to attend for a flu vaccination. Upon arriving it was clear they had already had one and that there had been a mix up of patients with the same surname. A full apology was also given to the patient and the incident was discussed with administration staff for continuous learning. Improved protocols were put in place and relevant staff received a reminder to check patient details more thoroughly.

Overview of safety systems and processes

The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse, which included:

 Arrangements were in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. These arrangements reflected relevant legislation and local requirements.
 Policies were accessible to all staff on the computer system and there was information for all staff to see throughout the practice. The policies clearly outlined who to contact for further guidance if staff had concerns about a patient's welfare. There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding. The GPs attended safeguarding meetings when possible and always provided reports where necessary for other agencies. Staff demonstrated they understood their responsibilities and all had received training on safeguarding children and vulnerable adults relevant to their role. GPs were trained to child protection or child safeguarding level three.

- A notice in the waiting room advised patients that chaperones were available if required. All staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- The practice maintained appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. The nurse practitioner was the infection control clinical lead who liaised with the local infection prevention teams to keep up to date with best practice. There was an infection control protocol in place and staff had received up to date training. Annual infection control audits were undertaken and we saw evidence that action was taken to address any improvements identified as a result. For example, we saw that the last audit undertaken in March 2016 showed some areas as cluttered. This was immediately rectified and the areas tidied. A further 'spot check' was undertaken two weeks later to ensure the areas were still in good order.
- The arrangements for managing medicines, including emergency medicines and vaccines, in the practice kept patients safe (including obtaining, prescribing, recording, handling, storing, security and disposal).
 Processes were in place for handling repeat prescriptions which included the review of high risk medicines. The practice carried out regular medicines audits, with the support of the local CCG pharmacy teams, to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing. Blank prescription forms and pads were securely stored and there were systems in place to monitor their use. One of the nurses and two nurse practitioners had qualified as



Are services safe?

an Independent Prescriber and could therefore prescribe medicines for specific clinical conditions. They received mentorship and support from the medical staff for this extended role. Patient Group Directions had been adopted by the practice to allow nurses to administer medicines in line with legislation. Health Care Assistants were trained to administer vaccines and medicines against a patient specific prescription or direction from a prescriber.

 We reviewed five personnel files and found appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and the appropriate checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service.

Monitoring risks to patients

Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

• There were procedures in place for monitoring and managing risks to patient and staff safety. The practice had up to date fire risk assessments and carried out regular fire drills. All electrical equipment was checked to ensure the equipment was safe to use and clinical equipment was checked to ensure it was working properly. The practice had a variety of other risk assessments in place to monitor safety of the premises such as control of substances hazardous to health and infection control and legionella (Legionella is a term for a particular bacterium which can contaminate water systems in buildings). Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. There was a rota system in place for all the different staffing groups to ensure enough staff were on duty.

Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had adequate arrangements in place to respond to emergencies and major incidents.

- There was an instant messaging system on the computers in all the consultation and treatment rooms which alerted staff to any emergency.
- All staff received annual basic life support training and there were emergency medicines available in the treatment room.
- The practice had a defibrillator available on the premises and oxygen with adult and children's masks. A first aid kit and accident book were available.
- Emergency medicines were easily accessible to staff in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. All the medicines we checked were in date and stored securely.

The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan in place for major incidents such as power failure or building damage. The plan included emergency contact numbers for staff.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment

The practice assessed needs and delivered care in line with relevant and current evidence based guidance and standards, including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) best practice guidelines.

- The practice had systems in place to keep all clinical staff up to date. Staff had access to guidelines from NICE and used this information to deliver care and treatment that met patients' needs.
- The practice monitored that these guidelines were followed through risk assessments, audits and random sample checks of patient records.

Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice used the information collected for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice). The most recent published results were 92.3% of the total number of points available with 10.3% exception reporting. This practice was not an outlier for any QOF (or other national) clinical targets. Data from 2014/15 showed:

Performance for diabetes related indicators was similar to the national average. For example:

- The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2014 to 31/03/2015) was 75.2% compared to the national average of 80.53%. Data from 2015/16 showed improvement in the management of diabetes with 91% of all diabetic indicators being reached.
- The percentage of patients with hypertension having regular blood pressure tests was 83.5% which was similar to the national average of 83.65%.
- The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months was 86.6% which was similar to the national average of 89.5%

There was evidence of quality improvement including clinical audit.

- There had been 12 clinical audits completed in the last two years, three of these were completed audits where the improvements made were implemented and monitored.
- The practice participated in local audits, national benchmarking, accreditation, peer review and research.
- Findings were used by the practice to improve services. For example, recent action taken as a result included an audit undertaken in anti-biotic prescribing for urine infections. The audit checked that patients were being prescribed for the appropriate amount of time (three days as per national guidelines), the first audit showed only 41% of patients were being prescribed for the appropriate duration, the rest were being given a prescription to take the medicines for five days or more, a further re-audit showed an improvement, 76% of patients were seen to have been prescribed the anti-biotic for the appropriate duration.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- The practice had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff. This covered such topics as safeguarding, infection prevention and control, fire safety, health and safety and confidentiality.
- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support during sessions, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidating GPs. All staff had received an appraisal.
- Staff administering vaccines and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training which had included an assessment of competence. Staff who administered vaccines could



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

demonstrate how they stayed up to date with changes to the immunisation programmes, for example by access to on line resources and discussion at practice meetings.

- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support, one-to-one meetings, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidating GPs. All staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months.
- Staff received training that included: safeguarding, fire safety awareness, basic life support and information governance. Staff had access to and made use of e-learning training modules and in-house training.

Coordinating patient care and information sharing

The information needed to plan and deliver care and treatment was available to relevant staff in a timely and accessible way through the practice's patient record system and their intranet system.

- This included care and risk assessments, care plans, medical records and investigation and test results.
 Information such as NHS patient information leaflets were also available.
- The practice shared relevant information with other services in a timely way, for example when referring patients to other services.
- The GPs used an NHS e-Referral Service to refer patients to secondary care (hospital trusts). Urgent cancer appointments were made usually on the same day or if not within 24 hours. The system in place used by the administrative staff enabled them to check the referral had been received and an appointment had been made. The practice data showed good referral management for patients who needed an appointment from secondary care within two weeks. Data showed a 14.3% reduction in the referral rate due to more accurate and detailed diagnosis by the practice.

Staff worked together and with other health and social care services to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs and to assess and plan ongoing care and treatment. This included when patients moved between

services, including when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. We saw evidence that multi-disciplinary team meetings took place on a monthly basis and that care plans were routinely reviewed and updated.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff sought patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Staff understood the relevant consent and decision-making requirements of legislation and guidance, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005.
 When providing care and treatment for children and young people, staff carried out assessments of capacity to consent in line with relevant guidance.
- Where a patient's mental capacity to consent to care or treatment was unclear the GP or practice nurse assessed the patient's capacity and, recorded the outcome of the assessment.
- The process for seeking consent was monitored through patient records audits.

Supporting patients to live healthier lives

The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support. For example:

 Patients receiving end of life care, carers, those at risk of developing a long-term condition and those requiring advice on their diet, smoking and alcohol cessation.
 Patients were signposted to the relevant service.

Data from 2014/15 showed the practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 80.6%, which was higher than the national average of 74%. There was a policy to offer telephone reminders for patients who did not attend for their cervical screening test. The practice demonstrated how they encouraged uptake of the screening programme by ensured a female sample taker was available. The practice also encouraged its patients to attend national screening programmes for bowel and breast cancer screening. There were failsafe systems in place to ensure results were received for all samples sent for the cervical screening programme and the practice followed up women who were referred as a result of abnormal results.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given were comparable to CCG/national averages. For example, childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given to under two year olds was 89.2% and for five year olds 85.8%.



Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, dignity, respect and compassion

We observed members of staff were courteous and very helpful to patients and treated them with dignity and respect.

- Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.
- We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations; conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.
- Reception staff knew when patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.

All of the three patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the service experienced. Patients said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were helpful, caring and treated them with dignity and respect.

We spoke with one member of the patient participation group (PPG). They also told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Comment cards highlighted that staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. The practice was above average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 94% of patients said the GP was good at listening to them compared to the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 91% and the national average of 89%.
- 87% of patients said the GP gave them enough time compared to the CCG average of 90% and the national average of 87%).
- 97% of patients said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw compared to the CCG average of 97% and the national average of 95%)

- 89% of patients said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 85%).
- 90% of patients said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 91%).
- 88% of patients said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful compared to the national average of 87%)

Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients told us they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them. Patient feedback from the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views. We also saw that care plans were personalised.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were in line with local and national averages. For example:

- 92% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared to the CCG average of 90% and the national average of 86%.
- 89% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the national average of 82%.
- 91% of patients said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the national average of 85%

The practice provided facilities to help patients be involved in decisions about their care:

 Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.
 We saw notices in the reception areas informing patients this service was available.

Patient and carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment



Are services caring?

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access a number of support groups and organisations. Information about support groups was also available on the practice website.

The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 273 patients as

carers (3% of the practice list). Written information was available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them and information was also available on the website.

Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement, their usual GP contacted them or sent them a sympathy card. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified. For example;

- The practice offered early evening appointments every other Monday and Saturday morning every alternate week for working patients who could not attend during normal opening hours.
- There were longer appointments available for patients with a learning disability.
- Home visits were available for older patients and patients who had clinical needs which resulted in difficulty attending the practice.
- Same day appointments were available for children and those patients with medical problems that require same day consultation.
- Patients were able to receive travel vaccinations available on the NHS as well as those only available privately/were referred to other clinics for vaccines available privately.
- There were disabled facilities, a hearing loop and translation services available.
- The practice had a passenger lift.

Access to the service

The practice was open between 8.30am and1pm and 2pm to 6.00pmMonday to Friday. Appointments were available from 8.40am to 12.10pm every morning followed by any urgent extrasand2pm to 5.30pm followed by any urgent appointments daily. Extended hours appointments were offered from 6.30pm to 8pmonalternate Mondaysand8am to 11.30am on alternate Saturdays. In addition to pre-bookable appointments that could be booked up to six weeks in advance, urgent appointments were also available for people that needed them.

Web GP was available at the practice via the website and allowed patients to initiate an e-consultation at a time convenient to them. The practice guaranteed to respond within 2 working days.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed that patient's satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was comparable to local and national averages.

- 84% of patients were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared to the national average of 78%
- 70% of patients said they could get through easily to the practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%

People told us on the day of the inspection that they were able to get appointments when they needed them.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had an effective system in place for handling complaints and concerns.

- Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England.
- There was a designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.

We saw that information was available to help patients understand the complaints system

This included leaflets in the waiting room and information on the website.

We looked at 17 complaints received from March 2014 to March 2015 and 26 complaints from March 2015/2016. We found these were satisfactorily handled, dealt with in a timely way with openness and transparency. Lessons were learnt from concerns and complaints and action was taken as a result to improve the quality of care. For example, a patient complained that their address and telephone number had not been updated despite being informed twice at reception. The practice manager investigated and found that due to staff illness and absence there was quite a backlog of patient records needing updating. This resulted in the administration staff being tasked to ensure all the change of address notifications were completed as a matter of urgency. A letter of apology was sent to the patient with explanation and of the changes made to the system.



Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- The practice had a mission statement which was displayed in the waiting areas and staff knew and understood the values.
- The practice had a robust strategy and supporting business plans which reflected the vision and values and were regularly monitored.

Governance arrangements

The practice had an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This outlined the structures and procedures in place and ensured that:

- There was a clear staffing structure and that staff were aware of their own roles and responsibilities.
- Practice specific policies were implemented and were available to all staff.
- A comprehensive understanding of the performance of the practice was maintained
- A programme of continuous clinical and internal audit was used to monitor quality and to make improvements.
- There were robust arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks, issues and implementing mitigating actions.

Leadership and culture

On the day of inspection the partners in the practice demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and capability to run the practice and ensure high quality care. They told us they prioritised safe, high quality and compassionate care. Staff told us the partners were approachable and always took the time to listen to all members of staff.

The provider was aware of and had systems in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment). This included support training for all staff on communicating with patients about notifiable safety incidents. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place to ensure that when things went wrong with care and treatment::

- The practice gave affected people reasonable support, truthful information and a verbal and written apology
- The practice kept written records of verbal interactions as well as written correspondence.

There was a clear leadership structure in place and staff felt supported by management.

- Staff told us the practice held regular team meetings.
- The GPs and nurse practitioners met every day before surgery to discuss any issues that were important and to plan for the day ahead.
- Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise any issues at team meetings and felt confident and supported in doing so.
- Staff said they felt respected, valued and supported, particularly by the partners in the practice. All staff were involved in discussions about how to run and develop the practice, and the partners encouraged all members of staff to identify opportunities to improve the service delivered by the practice.

Seeking and acting on feedback from patients, the public and staff

The practice encouraged and valued feedback from patients, the public and staff. It proactively sought patients' feedback and engaged patients in the delivery of the service.

The practice had gathered feedback from patients through the patient participation group (PPG) and through surveys and complaints received. The PPG met every six weeks and was led by a GP; they carried out patient surveys and submitted proposals for improvements to the practice management team. For example, in 2015 some actions were identified and had now been implemented, including the introduction of a privacy queuing system to improve patient experience in the waiting room, the introduction of a patient information system which included information



Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

about the role of the PPG. The PPG also influenced the introduction of new staff uniforms and were involved in finding a solution to some delays identified regarding the local pharmacy and electronic prescribing.

Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. Staff told us they felt involved and engaged to improve how the practice was run.

Continuous improvement

There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. The practice

was a teaching practice with a good track record and commitment to training new GPs. The practice was registered as a GP teaching and training practice for under and post graduate education.

The practice had completed reviews of significant events and other incidents and shared findings with staff both informally and formally at meetings to ensure the practice improved outcomes for patients. Records showed that regular clinical audits were carried out as part of their quality improvement process to improve the service and patient care. The results of feedback from patients, through the patient participation group, family and friends test, were also used to improve the quality of services.