

# Eagle House Surgery

### **Inspection report**

White Cliff Mill Street Blandford Forum Dorset DT11 7DQ Tel: 01258453171 www.eaglehouseblandford.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 24/04/2018 Date of publication: 21/06/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

# Overall summary

#### This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous

inspection June 2015 – Good)

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Eagle House Surgery on 24 April 2018, as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- The practice was proactive in promoting patient education on their conditions and self-management.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

- Results from comment cards, the Family and Friends Test and the GP National Patient survey shower consistent high levels of satisfaction with the care and treatment provided.
- The practice had developed a specific carer's pack which included information on healthy caring and healthy ageing. They had also developed a carer's self-assessment tool, to identify areas where support might be needed.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- The practice was responsive to patients' needs and tailored services to meet them. For example, in dementia care.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

We saw areas of outstanding practice:

In March 2017 the lead nurse received a Queen's Nursing Institute Award to develop a project targeting pre-retirement men who were overweight, using a pro-active and flexible approach resulted in exceeding targets and receiving additional funding from the Institute.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

### Population group ratings

Older people	Good
People with long-term conditions	Good
Families, children and young people	Good
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good

### Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a CQC lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser.

### Background to Eagle House Surgery

Eagle House Surgery is situated in the semi-rural area of Blandford, Dorset. The practice has approximately 8500 patients on its register. The practice also provides a service to the local army camp and can usually care for up to 1000 patients above their permanent practice population.

The registered provider is Eagle House Surgery and provides the following regulated activities:

Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

Diagnostic and screening procedures

Family planning

Surgical procedures

Maternity and midwifery services

The practice's demographics are in line with national averages, but there are a higher number of male patients in the 65-69 age group. There are also higher numbers of children under four who are registered.

The practice has four GP partners and two salaried GPs. There are three female GPs and three male GPs. The practice has a team of three practice nurses and three

health care assistants. The clinical team is supported by a practice manager, a reception team leader, five receptionists; a prescription administrator; a practice secretary and a medical records summariser.

When the practice is closed patients are advised to use the out of hours service provided by South West Ambulance service via the NHS 111 service.

We inspected the main surgery:

Eagle House Surgery,

White Cliff Mill Street.

Blandford Forum,

Dorset.

DT117DQ

And the branch surgery:

Families Medical Centre,

Blandford Camp.

Blandford Forum.

Dorset.

DT118RH.



### Are services safe?

# We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Reports and learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff.
- Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a DBS check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

#### **Risks to patients**

There were appropriate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.

- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff. There was a documented approach to managing test results.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

#### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.

#### Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This
  helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate
  and current picture of safety that led to safety
  improvements.

#### Lessons learned and improvements made



### Are services safe?

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



#### We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services.

(Please note: Any Quality and Outcomes (QOF) data relates to 2016/17. QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice.)

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- The practice was able to loan out blood pressure monitors for patients to measure their blood pressure over a period of time. The diabetic and respiratory nurse specialists encouraged patients to use MyHealth, a web application with education and monitoring facilities about their condition, the practice said that they were currently the highest user of this in Dorset.
- Staff used appropriate tools to assess the level of pain in
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

#### Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The carers' reviews are linked with the people they care for, and we offer annual health reviews by the same health professional, particularly for people with dementia and learning disabilities. The practice has been awarded Dementia friendly status.
- The nursing team offered home visit health checks to patients aged 75, providing physical health checks and starting conversations about ageing, encouraging plans for a healthy future and sign-posting patients them to appropriate organisations for support. This team also

- contributed to multi-disciplinary team meetings related to ensuring that patients at risk of hospital admission have comprehensive care plans in place to help them remain at home.
- · we work with community partners in developing our Primary Care Home, to support patients who are in acute situations requiring intensive support on our community "virtual ward"
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

#### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice had arrangements for adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease including the offer of high-intensity statins for secondary prevention, people with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension).
- The practice had recall systems based on a patient's birthday month.

#### Families, children and young people:

• Immunisation clinics were held at the main location and the branch site. Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were



significantly above the target percentage of 90%. For example, the practice achieved 99% of immunisations for children aged one year who had the primary five in one vaccine.

- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 79%, which was in line with the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme.
- The practices' uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was in line the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

 The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity,

- obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- A total of 96% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the previous 12 months. This is above the national average of 84%.
- A total of 98% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is above the national average of 90%.
- The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and those living with dementia. For example 96% of patients experiencing poor mental health had received discussion and advice about alcohol consumption. This is above the national average of 91%.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
   When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. For example, an audit of minor surgery procedures to ensure that all results were acted upon as needed. An audit carried out in March 2018 of the previous 12 months work, showed that not all patients had received the results of tests. This had not affected patient care, the practice put in place a dedicated template to ensure all information was captured and patients would be informed of test results.

Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives. The practice had noted that more could be done for patients with atrial fibrillation, an irregular heartbeat. They had promoted opportunistic pulse measurements both in the practice and at external



community events. This had increased the identification of the condition and treatment was provided. Results provided showed that 138 out of 152 with atrial fibrillation were on an anti-coagulant medicine.

- During the period April 2016 to March 2017, the practice achieved 100% across the Quality and Outcomes
   Framework. Exception reporting was consistently below 10%, apart from in the measurement of cholesterol levels in patients on the diabetes register and treating patients with atrial fibrillation with blood thinning medicines. The practice had improved its opportunistic screening of these patients and reported they had achieved 100% across all QOF indicators. They were waiting for the exception reporting to be verified and published.
- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

#### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. This
  included an induction process, one-to-one meetings,
  appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision
  and support for revalidation. The practice ensured the
  competence of staff employed in advanced roles by
  audit of their clinical decision making, including
  non-medical prescribing.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

#### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. The shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local area.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care.
   This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

- Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives. The practice promoted self- care through education and support. There were consistent approaches to patients with chronic disease through collaboration of the nurse team, GPs and secondary care specialists.
- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services.
   This included patients receiving end of life care, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- A project aimed at pre-retirement men who were overweight, using a pro-active and flexible approach resulted in exceeding targets.
- Eagle House Surgery have worked with partners to set up a walking group from the practice, with trained leaders to support people not used to regular exercise incorporate this into their lives.



- We have developed group education sessions for patients on anti-coagulation medication, and support secondary care diabetic team deliver education sessions at the practice.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



# Are services caring?

#### We rated the practice as good for caring.

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- Results from the GP National Patient survey were consistently higher than the national average on care and treatment provided by the practice.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them.
- Results from the GP National Patient survey were consistently higher than national averages for being involved in decisions about care and treatment.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

# We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Telephone and web GP consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

#### Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP and practice nurse also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

#### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- All family members were encouraged to register with the surgery to give a complete picture, enable inter-generational relationships to build and understand family dynamics.
- The midwifery team from the local NHS Trust provided clinics at both the main location and the branch site, offering pregnant women easy access to good antenatal care.
- Monthly multidisciplinary meetings were held regularly and include social workers in order that concerns around children could be discussed. The practice also liaised with a local secondary school about the welfare of young people and where needed made referrals to the community adolescent mental health teams.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours
- Evening cytology appointments were offered to enable working women to be screened.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

 Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

- The practice had good links with local mental health services and were able to access these on the same day if needed.
- The practice was accredited as Dementia Friendly and had a Dementia champion in place who worked with patients living with Dementia, their carers; other health professionals and voluntary groups to enhance the care of these patients.

#### Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care. For example, when they identified that patients were experiencing significant bruising following blood being taken. The member of staff was provided with refresher training and monitored; apologies were given to patients affected.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



# Are services well-led?

# We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing a well-led service.

#### Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice
  had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to
  achieve priorities. The practice developed its vision,
  values and strategy jointly with patients, staff and
  external partners.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

#### **Culture**

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.

- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- Clinical staff were considered valued members of the practice team. They were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted interactive and co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control
- Practice leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical



### Are services well-led?

staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions. Practice leaders had oversight of national and local safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.

- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand their impact on the quality of care.

#### **Appropriate and accurate information**

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

 There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

# Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

# Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.