

# **Rodericks Limited**

# Burbage Dental Practice

### **Inspection Report**

105-107 Church Street Hinckley Leicestershire LE10 2DB Tel: 01455 614895

Website: www.burbagedental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 30 November 2015 Date of publication: 28/01/2016

### Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 30 November 2015 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

### **Our findings were:**

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Background**

The practice opened in 2009 and is on the main street in Burbage. It is a large modern practice with all of the practice on the ground level, including three treatment rooms, a decontamination room, and a patient waiting area at reception. There is free parking available on the streets around the practice. The front of the practice has an intercom for patients that may need assistance such as patients using wheelchairs and those with limited mobility to enter the practice. Services provided include general dentistry, dental hygiene and cosmetic dentistry.

There are three dentists, two dental hygienists, five dental nurses and a practice manager. The dental nurses also cover reception duties.

The practice provides both NHS and private dental treatment to both adults and to children. The practice is open Monday to Friday from 8.30am to 5.30pm; the practice closes at 12.30pm for one hour at lunch.

The area manager is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

We received feedback from 54 patients about the services provided. The majority of the feedback reflected positive

# Summary of findings

comments about the staff and the services provided. Patients commented that the practice was clean and tidy; they found the staff offered a friendly, polite and professional service and were helpful and caring. They said explanations were clear and that they were always informed of what was happening which made the dental experience as comfortable as possible. Patients who were nervous commented how the staff made them feel at ease. However there were a minority of comments whilst reflecting positive experiences also mentioned that at times the dentists were running late and their appointments were therefore delayed.

The practice was providing care which was safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Our key findings were:

- Staff had received safeguarding training and knew the processes to follow to raise any concerns.
- There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff to meet the needs of patients.

- Infection control procedures were in place and staff had access to personal protective equipment.
- · Patients' care and treatment was planned and delivered in line with evidence based guidelines and current legislation.
- Patients received clear explanations about their proposed treatment, costs, benefits and risks.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- The appointment system met the needs of patients and waiting times were kept to a minimum where possible.
- There was an effective complaints system.
- The practice was well-led and staff felt involved and worked as a team.
- Staff had been trained to deal with medical emergencies and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were readily available and accessible.
- Governance systems were effective although policies and procedures were not all dated therefore we could not say how up-to-date those policies were.

# Summary of findings

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing care which was safe in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective systems and processes in place to ensure all care and treatment was carried out safely. The practice had procedures in place for reporting and learning from accidents and significant events.

Staff had received training in safeguarding vulnerable adults and children, and they could describe the signs of abuse and were aware of the external reporting process. There were robust recruitment processes in place and staff were appropriately recruited and suitably trained and skilled to meet patient's needs.

Infection control procedures were in place; followed published national guidance and staff had been trained to use the equipment in the decontamination process. The practice was operating an effective decontamination pathway, with robust checks in place to ensure sterilisation of the instruments.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Explanations were given to patients in a way they understood and risks, benefits and options available to them. Consultations were carried out in line with guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).

Staff were supported through training and opportunities for development. There were clear procedures for referring patients to secondary care (hospital or other dental professionals). Referrals were made in a timely way to ensure patients' oral health did not suffer and were tracked to ensure patients received their referral.

Staff had received training in the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005 and were able to explain to us how the MCA principles applied to their roles.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients were treated with dignity and respect and their privacy maintained. Patient information and data was handled confidentially. Patients provided positive feedback about the dental care they received, and had confidence in the staff to meet their needs.

Patients said they felt involved in their care, and were able to discuss their care and opinions. We saw that treatment was clearly explained and patients were provided with treatment plans. Patients with urgent dental needs or pain were responded to in a timely manner with urgent appointments available each day.

### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice was modern and well equipped. The waiting room was spacious and comfortable. The practice was accessible to patients and there was an intercom at the main door for patients that were of limited mobility or in a wheelchair.

There were arrangements for emergency dental treatment outside of normal working hours, including weekends and public holidays which were clearly displayed in the waiting room and in the practice leaflet.

The practice had a complaints policy and procedure, and patients' complaints were addressed.

# Summary of findings

### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice staff were involved in leading the practice to deliver satisfactory care. Care and treatment records had been regularly audited to ensure standards had been maintained.

Staff were supported to maintain their professional development and skills. The practice was carrying out regular audits of both clinical and non-clinical areas to assess the safety and effectiveness of the services provided.

The practice had systems in place to involve, seek and act upon feedback from people using the service.



# Burbage Dental Practice

**Detailed findings** 

# Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection took place on 30 November 2015 and was conducted by a CQC inspector and a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Prior to the inspection we asked the practice to send us some information which we reviewed. This included the complaints they had received in the last 12 months, their latest statement of purpose, the details of their staff members, their qualifications and proof of registration with their professional bodies.

We also reviewed the information we held about the practice and found there were no areas of concern.

During the inspection we spoke with a number of staff working on the day. We reviewed policies, procedures and other documents. We viewed 15 Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment

cards that had been completed by patients, about the services provided at the practice. The practice had sent an email to patients prior to our visit which included a link to the Care Quality Commission share your experience form and 39 patients had completed this.

### Are services safe?

# **Our findings**

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had procedures in place to investigate, respond to and learn from incidents and complaints.

Serious incidents were reported on an incident form with a copy for the local area team to have an oversight of all incidents from practices in the area. Incidents were risk assessed and graded as set out in the incident policy as to the consequence and likelihood of the incidents occurring again. Processes were then put into place to prevent reoccurrence. Lessons learned from incidents were disseminated at the next practice team meeting. For example an incident had been reported where a dental nurse had tipped over a dirty instrument box, following this there was an action to ensure that boxes were sealed at all times. There was an accident book where staff recorded incidents such as needle stick injuries. The last incident recorded in the accident book was a needle stick injury in September 2015. Staff were encouraged to bring safety issues to the attention of the management. Staff would raise concerns with the practice manager or the area manager if necessary. The practice had a no blame culture and policies were in place to support this.

From information reviewed during the inspection we saw that the practice had received two complaints during the last 12 months which had been investigated and shared at a practice meeting with all staff. The practice has also responded to all the comments on NHS choices. Negative comments had been answered by the practice apologising and requesting the patient contact the practice manager so that the complaints could be investigated.

# Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies and procedures in place for recognising and responding to concerns about the safety and welfare of patients. Staff we spoke with were aware of these policies and knew who to contact and how to refer to agencies outside of the practice should they need to raise concerns. They were able to demonstrate that they understood the different forms of abuse and how to raise concerns. The practice had information at reception and on the staff room notice board of who to contact if they had any concerns in relation to safeguarding of children or adults. From records viewed we saw that staff at the

practice had completed safeguarding training on line in safeguarding adults and children. The practice manager was the lead for safeguarding to provide support and advice to staff and to oversee safeguarding procedures within the practice. No safeguarding concerns had been raised by the practice.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy and the staff we spoke with where clear on different organisations they could raise concerns with for example, the General Dental Council, NHS England or the Care Quality Commission if they were not able to go directly to their line manager or area manager. Staff spoken with on the day of the inspection told us that they felt confident that they could raise concerns without fear of recriminations.

The practice had an up to date Employers liability insurance certificate which was due for renewal November 2016. Employers' liability insurance is a requirement under the Employers Liability (Compulsory Insurance) Act 1969.

Discussions with dentists and examination of patients' dental care records identified the dentists were using a rubber dam routinely when completing root canal treatments in line with best practice guidelines from the British Endodontic Society. A rubber dam is a thin rubber sheet that isolates selected teeth and protects the rest of the patient's mouth and airway during treatment.

### **Medical emergencies**

The practice had procedures in place for staff to follow in the event of a medical emergency. All staff had received basic life support training including the use of the defibrillator (a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart including ventricular fibrillation and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm) other than two staff that had been booked to attend. Staff we spoke with were able to describe how they would deal with a number of medical emergencies including anaphylaxis (severe allergic reaction) and cardiac arrest.

### Staff recruitment

The practice had a recruitment policy which described the process when employing new staff. This included obtaining proof of identity, checking skills and qualifications,

### Are services safe?

registration with professional bodies where relevant, references and whether a Disclosure and Barring Service check was necessary. We saw that all staff had received a Disclosure and Barring Service check.

The practice had a formal induction system for new staff which was documented within the staff files that we checked.

There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified and skilled staff working at the practice. Staff told us a rota system was in place to ensure that where absences occurred, they would cover for their colleagues. The practice did not use agency or locum staff.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

A health and safety policy and risk assessment was in place at the practice which had been reviewed in 2015. There was a comprehensive risk assessment log covering risks as diverse as autoclave burns, sharps hazards and electrical safety. This identified risks to staff and patients who attended the practice. The risks had been identified and control measures put in place to reduce them.

There were policies and procedures in place to manage risks at the practice. These included infection prevention and control, legionella policy and sharps policy. The practice had a current Legionella risk assessment in place which was due to be reviewed November 2017. A Legionella risk assessment is a report by a competent person giving details as to how to reduce the risk of the legionella bacterium spreading through water and other systems in the work place. There were no outstanding actions identified from this to be completed.

Processes were in place to monitor and reduce these risks so that staff and patients were safe. Staff told us that fire detection and firefighting equipment such as fire alarms and emergency lighting were regularly tested and we saw records that confirmed these checks were completed weekly. All staff had been trained in fire safety in November 2015.

The practice had a business continuity plan to deal with any emergencies that may occur which could disrupt the safe and smooth running of the service. This gave actions to be taken in the event of risks such as fire or flooding and gave emergency contact details for the relevant personnel.

#### Infection control

The practice was visibly clean, tidy and uncluttered. An infection control policy was in place, which clearly described how cleaning was to be undertaken at the premises including the treatment rooms and the general areas of the practice. The practice employed contract cleaners to clean the public areas of the practice such as the waiting room and reception area. Dental nurses had set responsibilities for cleaning and infection control in each individual treatment room. The practice had systems for testing and auditing the infection control procedures.

We found that there were adequate supplies of liquid soaps and paper hand towels in dispensers throughout the premises. Posters describing proper hand washing techniques were displayed in the dental treatment rooms, the decontamination room and the toilet facilities.

The practice had a sharps management policy which was clearly displayed and understood by all staff. The dentists were responsible for the removal and disposing of needles which reduced the risk to dental nurses. The practice used sharps bins (secure bins for the disposal of needles, blades or any other instrument that posed a risk of injury through cutting or pricking.) The bins were located out of reach of small children. The Health and Safety Executive had issued guidance: 'Health and safety (sharp instruments in healthcare) regulations 2013.' We found that the management of sharps within the practice followed this guidance. The practice had a clinical waste contract in place and waste matter was stored outside in a locked area prior to collection by an approved clinical waste contractor.

We looked at the procedures in place for the decontamination of used dental instruments. The practice had a dedicated decontamination room that was set out according to the Department of Health's guidance, Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 (HTM 01-05):
Decontamination in primary care dental practices. We found good access from all treatment rooms to the decontamination room and it ensured a hygienic environment was maintained. The decontamination room had defined dirty and clean zones in operation to reduce the risk of cross contamination. There was a clear flow of instruments through the dirty to the clean area. Staff wore personal protective equipment during the process to protect themselves from injury. These included heavy duty gloves, aprons and protective eye wear.

We found that instruments were being cleaned and sterilised in line with the published guidance (HTM 01-05). A

### Are services safe?

dental nurse demonstrated the decontamination process, and we saw the procedures used followed the practice policy. Dirty instruments were transported in clearly marked purpose made containers containing fluid to prevent drying. The practice used a system of manual scrubbing as part of the initial cleaning process and then checked the instruments under light magnification before they were sterilised in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). Guidance and instructions were on display for reference. At the completion of the sterilising process, instruments were dried on lint free cloths, packaged, sealed, stored and dated with a date of sterilisation and an expiry date. We checked the equipment used for cleaning and sterilising was maintained and serviced regularly in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. There were daily, weekly and monthly records to demonstrate the decontamination processes to ensure that equipment was functioning correctly. The practice also had a washer disinfector however this was not in use at the time of our visit. Records showed that the equipment was in good working order and being effectively maintained.

Staff files reflected that staff had received inoculations against Hepatitis B and received regular blood tests to check the effectiveness of that inoculation. People who are likely to come into contact with blood products, or are at increased risk of needle-stick injuries should receive these vaccinations to minimise risks of blood borne infections.

Records showed a risk assessment process for Legionella had been updated in November 2015. This was to ensure the risks of Legionella bacteria developing in water systems had been identified and measures taken to reduce the risk of patients and staff developing Legionnaires' disease.

(Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings). The records showed the practice was flushing their water lines in the treatment rooms. Records showed waterlines were flushed for two minutes at the beginning and end of each session, and for 30 seconds between patients. This was in keeping with HTM 01-05 guidelines. These measures would reduce the risk of Legionella or any other harmful bacteria from developing in the water systems.

### **Equipment and medicines**

Records we viewed showed that equipment in use at the practice was regularly maintained and serviced in line with

manufacturer's guidelines. Portable appliance testing (PAT) had taken place on all electrical equipment and staff completed additional checks to this on all equipment at regular intervals. Fire extinguishers were checked and serviced regularly by an external company in November 2015 and staff had been trained in the use of equipment and evacuation procedures.

Emergency medical equipment was monitored regularly to ensure it was in working order and in sufficient quantities. Records of checks carried out were kept for evidential and audit purposes.

Emergency medicines, a defibrillator and oxygen were readily available if required. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK and British National Formulary Guidelines. We checked the emergency medicines and found that they were of the recommended type and were all in date. Staff told us that they checked medicines and equipment to monitor stock levels, expiry dates and ensure that equipment was in working order. There were daily checks made on the defibrillator and oxygen with the other equipment and drugs checked monthly. We observed that checks were recorded.

### Radiography (X-rays)

X-ray equipment was situated in suitable areas and X-rays were carried out safely and in line with local rules that were relevant to the practice and equipment. These documents were displayed in areas where X-rays were carried out.

A radiation protection advisor and a radiation protection supervisor had been appointed to ensure that the equipment was operated safely and by qualified staff only. Those authorised to carry out X-ray procedures were clearly named in all documentation. This protected people who required X-rays to be taken as part of their treatment. The practice's radiation protection file contained the necessary documentation demonstrating the maintenance of the X-ray equipment at the recommended intervals. Records we viewed demonstrated that the X-ray equipment was regularly tested and serviced, and repairs undertaken when necessary.

The dentists monitored the quality of the X-ray images on a regular basis and records were being maintained. This ensured that they were of the required standard and reduced the risk of patients being subjected to further unnecessary X-rays.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

# **Our findings**

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice had policies and procedures in place for assessing and treating patients. Patients attending the practice for a consultation received an assessment of their dental health after providing a medical history covering health conditions, current medicines being taken and whether they had any allergies. The patient dental care record contained all the relevant detail and followed guidance provided by the Faculty of General Dental Practice. X-rays were taken at appropriate intervals and in accordance with the patient's risk of oral disease. X-rays were justified, graded for quality and reported.

The dentists we spoke with told us that each patient's diagnosis was discussed with them and treatment options were explained. Fluoride varnish and higher concentration fluoride toothpaste were prescribed for patients with a high risk of dental decay. Public Health England had produced an updated document in 2014: 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence based toolkit for prevention'. Following the guidance within this document would be evidence of up to date thinking in relation to oral healthcare. Discussions with dentists showed they were aware of the 'Delivering better oral health 'document and we saw evidence of this in dental records to show it was used in their practice. Prevention was a major element of the practice ethos and the dentists gave advice where required in relation to smoking cessation and alcohol consumption.

The dental care records were updated with the proposed treatment after discussing and recording the options with the patient. Patients were monitored through follow-up appointments and these were scheduled in line with the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.

We received feedback from 54 patients. Feedback we received reflected that patients were satisfied for example with the assessments, explanations, the quality of the dentistry and outcomes.

### **Health promotion & prevention**

The waiting room and reception area at the practice contained a range of literature that explained the services offered at the practice such as crowns and veneers.

Staff told us that they advised patients on how to maintain good oral hygiene both for children and adults. Staff also advised patients on the impact of tobacco and alcohol consumption on oral health. Referrals were made for smoking cessation and weight management. Patients were advised of the importance of having regular dental check-ups as part of maintaining good oral health. Patients were given free samples of toothpaste when available.

### **Staffing**

Dental staff were appropriately trained and registered with their professional body. Staff were encouraged to maintain their continuing professional development (CPD) to maintain their skill levels. CPD is a compulsory requirement of registration as a general dental professional and its activity contributes to their professional development. Staff files we looked at showed details of the number of CPD hours individuals had undertaken and training certificates were also in place.

Staff training was being monitored and training updates and refresher courses were provided. Staff had received training in the safeguarding of children and vulnerable adults. Staff we spoke with told us that they were supported in their learning and development and to maintain their professional registration.

The practice had procedures in place for appraising staff performance. We saw that staff had annual appraisals and that new staff had an appraisal after three months, six months and then annually. Staff confirmed that appraisals had taken place and they felt supported and involved in discussions about their personal development. They told us that the management team and dentists were supportive and approachable and always available for advice and guidance.

### **Working with other services**

The practice had systems in place to refer patients to other practices or specialists if the treatment required was not provided by the practice. The records at the practice showed that referrals were made in a timely way and followed NICE Guidelines criteria where appropriate. Referrals were logged and monitored to ensure that patients accessed the treatment they needed.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

We discussed the practice's policy on consent to care and treatment with staff. We saw evidence that patients were

### Are services effective?

### (for example, treatment is effective)

presented with treatment options, and consent forms which were signed by the patient. Dentists we spoke with was also aware of and understood the assessment of Gillick competency in young persons. The Gillick competency test is used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

We saw in documents that the practice was aware of the need to obtain consent from patients and this included information regarding those who lacked capacity to make decisions. Staff had received Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) training and staff that we spoke with understood

their responsibilities and were able to demonstrate a basic knowledge. MCA provides a legal framework for acting and making decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for them.

There was a care home near to the practice which supported people with dementia. Patients that had dementia were given extra time for appointments so that explanations could be given in a way each patient understood and therefore were consulted properly. This sometimes meant that several visits were necessary to ensure valid consent was given.

# Are services caring?

# **Our findings**

### Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

The practice had procedures in place for respecting patients' privacy, dignity and providing compassionate care and treatment. We observed that staff at the practice treated patients with dignity and respect and maintained their privacy. The main reception area was open plan but we were told by staff members that they considered conversations held at the reception area when other patients were present. Staff members we spoke with told us that they never asked patients questions related to personal information at reception and that there was always an available room that they could take patients to if necessary.

A data protection and confidentiality policy was in place. This policy covered disclosure of, and the secure handling of patient information. We observed the interaction between staff and patients and found that confidentiality was being maintained. Staff were aware of locking computers and the importance of not disclosing information to anyone other than the patient.

Patients told us that they felt that practice staff were polite and friendly and that they were treated with dignity and respect and were helpful. They also told us that staff were always welcoming and professional.

### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Feedback from patients included comments about how they were given good explanations and advice relating to treatments and they were clearly explained. Nervous patients also commented that staff were reassuring to their anxieties and needs.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

# **Our findings**

### Responding to and meeting patient's needs

The practice information displayed in the waiting area described the range of services offered to patients, the complaints procedure, safeguarding information and information about patient confidentiality.

The practice had an appointment system which patients said met their needs. Where treatment was urgent, patients would be seen the same day. The practice leaflet gave details of the arrangements for urgent treatment.

Appointment times and availability met the needs of patients. The practice was open Monday to Friday from 8.30am to 5.30pm closing for one hour at 12.30pm for lunch each day. Appointments were available each day for urgent appointments where patients were in pain.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had a range of policies around anti-discrimination and promoting equality and diversity. Staff we spoke with were aware of these policies. They had also considered the needs of patients who may have difficulty accessing services due to limited mobility or other physical issues. A disability access audit had taken place in January 2015 at the practice. The audit had identified that there were no steps to the practice and that the practice had an intercom installed for patients that were unable to open the door that would alert reception staff. The practice was all on the ground level and all areas were accessible to patients using a wheelchair. There was an assisted toilet, accessible to patients which had a pull cord that sounded an alarm at reception and there was a changing mat available at reception for parents of small children.

The practice was able to use an interpreting service, both via the telephone and by booking interpreters in advance if necessary for any non-English speaking patients. The practice did not have a hearing loop.

#### Access to the service

Patients could access care and treatment in a timely way and the appointment system met the needs of patients. Where treatment was urgent patients would be seen within 24 hours or sooner if possible.

Staff we spoke with told us that patients could access appointments when they wanted them. Patients' feedback confirmed that they were very happy with the availability of routine and emergency appointments; however, there were some comments that said they had to wait for their appointment some days when they arrived.

The arrangements for emergency dental treatment outside of normal working hours, including weekends and public holidays were clearly displayed in the practice leaflet. Access for urgent treatment outside of opening hours was usually through the NHS 111 telephone line.

### **Concerns & complaints**

The practice had a complaint procedure that explained to patients the process to follow, the timescales involved for investigation and the person responsible for handling the issue. It also included the details of other external organisations that a complainant could contact should they remain dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint or feel that their concerns were not treated fairly such as NHS England. Details of how to raise complaints were accessible in the reception area and in the practice leaflet. Staff we spoke with were aware of the procedure to follow if they received a complaint.

The practice manager told us that there had been two complaints made within the last 12 months and actions had been taken which resolved these which we saw had been discussed and reviewed with practice staff in the monthly meeting. CQC comment cards reflected that patients were mostly satisfied with the services provided.

# Are services well-led?

# Our findings

### **Governance arrangements**

The practice had arrangements in place for monitoring and improving the services provided for patients. There were governance arrangements in place. Staff we spoke with were aware of their roles and responsibilities within the practice.

Clinical audits had taken place such as radiography, infection control and record cards to monitor and improve the quality of care provided. These were cascaded to other staff and discussed at practice meetings.

There was a full range of policies and procedures in use at the practice. We saw that most policies and procedures were kept under review. However for some policies dates were missing from the documents. As a result we could not say how up-to-date those policies were. We discussed this with the area manager who told us the policies were updated and reviewed when required by the clinical director of Rodericks. When any changes were made these policies would be forwarded to the area managers who would then disseminate to the practice managers via email. Staff were aware of the policies and they were readily available for them to access. Staff spoken with were able to discuss many of the policies and this indicated to us that they had read and understood them. This enabled dental staff to monitor their systems and processes and to improve performance.

#### Leadership, openness and transparency

The culture of the practice encouraged openness and honesty. Staff told us that they could speak with any of the dentists or the management team if they had any concerns. They told us that there were clear lines of responsibility and accountability within the practice and that they were encouraged to report any safety concerns.

All staff were aware of whom to raise any issue with and told us that the managers and dentists would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. We were told that there was a no blame culture at the practice and that the delivery of high quality care was part of the practice ethos.

### Management lead through learning and improvement

The management of the practice was focused on achieving high standards of clinical excellence and improving outcomes for patients and their overall experience. Staff were aware of the practice values and ethos and demonstrated that they worked towards these.

Practice meetings were held monthly and were minuted. We saw that there were standing agenda items for example, whistleblowing, safeguarding, complaints, cross infection and health and safety. Staff we spoke with told us that the items were always discussed and they would also use the time to remind staff of processes to follow.

# Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

Staff told us that patients could give feedback at any time they visited. Feedback could be given in a variety of ways such as via the practice website, Friends and family test, NHS choices and a practice survey entitled the patient smile questionnaire.

The practice had systems in place to review the feedback from patients including those who had cause to complain. All complaints and feedback received was discussed at the next monthly practice meeting to review and analyse the complaints and then learn from them if relevant, acting on feedback when appropriate. Recent feedback from patients was that there were not enough chairs in the waiting area and since then the practice had supplied more. The practice also put information into a folder for patients to access in the waiting area, for example price lists and complaints information.

The practice held regular staff meetings each month in addition the practice also had weekly 'team talks' which were ten minute meetings so that any issues could be discussed rather than waiting for the next meeting. Staff we spoke with told us that information was shared and that their views and comments were sought informally and generally listened to and their ideas adopted. Staff told us that they felt part of a team.